1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON HIGHER EDUCATION		
2			
3	PUBLIC HEARING		
4	TO EXPLORE WAYS TO REVIEW OVERSIGHT OVER FOR-PROFIT SCHOOLS IN ORDER TO BETTER INFORM STUDENTS, PARENTS,		
5	AND CONSUMERS ABOUT FOR-PROFIT EDUCATION SCHOOLS		
6			
7	Legislative Office Building Van Buren Hearing Room A - 2nd Floor		
8	Albany, New York		
9	Wednesday, April 10, 2019 Time: 10:00 a.m.		
10	PRESIDING:		
11	Senator Toby Ann Stavisky		
12	Chair		
13			
14	PRESENT:		
15	Senator James Gaughran		
16	Senator John C. Liu		
17	Senator Rachel May		
18	Senator Shelley B. Mayer		
19	Senator Kevin Thomas		
20	Assemblyman Harvey Epstein		
21	Assemblywoman Alicia Hyndman		
22	Assemblyman Steve Stern		
23			
24			
25			

			3
1	SPEAKERS (Continued):	PAGE	QUESTIONS
2	CONSUMER ORGANIZATIONS		
3	Kirsten Keefe	112	146
4	Senior Staff Attorney Empire Justice Center	112	110
5	_	110	1.46
6	Ramond Curtis State Policy Manager	112	146
7	Veteran Education Success		
8	Gary M. Schacher Commander	112	146
9	James Casey Department Adjutant		
10	The American Legion		
11	Johnson Tyler Senior Attorney, Consumer and	112	146
12	Foreclosure Units Brooklyn Legal Services		
13			
14	CAREER SCHOOLS		
15	Terence Zaleski	187	
16	Executive Director and Counsel Coalition of NYS Career Schools		
17	Joseph Monaco	187	
18	Principal Culinary Tech Center		
19	Frank Talty	187	
20	Director Refrigeration Institute		
21	Beshoy Boshra	187	
22	General Manager Austin Medical Assistant Training		
23	Anthony Civitano	187	
24	Executive Director NYS Beauty School Association		
25			

1 SENATOR STAVISKY: (No audio or video.) I chair the Senate Committee on Higher Education. 2 And this is a hearing of the Committee on 3 Higher Education concerning the for-profit colleges 4 and schools. 5 6 And I'm joined today by, on my left, 7 Assemblymember Steve Stern from Suffolk County, 8 Huntington -- town of Huntington; 9 Senator James Gaughran from Nassau County --SENATOR GAUGHRAN: That's right. 10 11 SENATOR STAVISKY: -- and Suffolk County --12 It's a shared, it's like BOCES, Commissioner. 13 -- and by Senator John Liu from 14 Queens County. 15 Before we start the hearing, I'd like to 16 thank everybody for coming, and I'd like to thank 17 the folks on my staff who put much of this material together and planned for the hearing: 18 19 Jan Dorman, the committee director, is behind 20 me; 21 Beth Lamountain, the executive secretary; 22 Marilyn Dyer, the executive assistant; 23 Sabiel Chapnick, my Senate fellow and 24 counsel; 25 And, Mike Villa, my chief of staff.

There will be a 5-minute time limit for testimony, and a 15-minute period for questions and answers.

We have a clock over there (indicating), which will let us know when the time is up.

The purpose of the hearing today is to explore ways to better inform students, parents, consumers, on how to make decisions about attending a proprietary degree-granting college or a licensed career school in New York State.

In his Executive budget, the Governor proposed two rules:

The 80/20 rule, which prohibits for-profit institutions from receiving more than 80 percent of its revenue from state, local, or federal funds, whether it be scholarships, grants, loans, et cetera;

And the 50 percent rule, which requires institutions spend at least 50 percent of its revenue on instruction.

This is going to be a fair hearing, and we will be absolutely fair and respectful to everyone.

This hearing is being live-fed, and transcripts of the hearing, with copies of all testimony, oral and written, will be submitted--

will be available next week for you to download and print at www.nysenate.gov/committee/highereducation, at that website.

And having said that, I'm delighted to welcome the commissioner of education of the state of New York, Mary Ellen Elia; and her deputy commissioner, John D'Agati; and Deputy Commissioner Kevin Smith.

Commissioner.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Good morning, Chair Stavisky and members of the Senate Higher Education Committee, and those from the Assembly who have joined us.

As you pointed out, I'm Mary Ellen Elia, the commissioner of education.

John D'Agati is with me, and Kevin Smith, from my staff, that oversee our degree-granting for-profit schools, in John D'Agati's area; and our career schools that are in Kevin Smith's area.

You have my written testimony before you.

I'd like to start by providing you with the general overview of our current system of oversight of for-profit colleges and non-degree-granting private career schools, and certified English-as-a-second-language, or "ESL," schools, of

New York State.

Oversight of these schools are primarily divided between the two offices in our department.

Our Office of Higher Education, that is the one that is overseen by John D'Agati, specifically, the Office of College And University Evaluation, or "OCUE," oversees all degree-granting colleges, including our for-profit colleges; and our Bureau of Proprietary School Supervision, or "BPSS," oversees all non-degree-granting private career schools and certified English-as-a-second-language schools, and that office falls in Kevin Smith's area.

The OCUE degree-granting institutions in

New York State must meet certain program

registration standards for each degree program it

offers, which includes standards relating to

resources, faculty, and curriculum.

For-profit degree-granting colleges in

New York are evaluated against the same standards as

our not-for-profit public or independent colleges.

This is not true across the country.

New York State currently has 25 for-profit degree-granting institutions, with an overall enrollment of approximately 33,000 students, which is about 3 percent of the total enrollment in

colleges in New York State.

The Board of Regents and the department exercise oversight over for-profit degree-conferring institutions in several ways.

First, we review and evaluate applications for new degree authority, which must be approved by the Board of Regents.

Then we continue to oversee these institutions to ensure that they maintain the capacity to have degree-granting and conferring authority.

In addition, we review applications for new registered programs, and may conduct site visits and require reports and information from the college, to determine if the institution continues to have the ability to offer quality programs.

We also investigate student complaints.

And, finally, we have the authority to revoke or limit degree-conferring authority when there is sufficient cause, including establishing enrollment caps.

OCUE's institutional review and oversight process is substantial.

I'm going to give you an overview of that so that you understand the review and oversight for

for-profit colleges in New York State.

In 2017 the Board of Regents enacted a new and more rigorous process for establishing a new for-profit degree-granting college in New York State.

It is a multi-phased process that first requires a written application with information on a variety of issues.

These include the need for each degree program it seeks to offer in New York, institutional capacity, performance outcomes, and evidence that the individuals having ownership or control have a record free from fraudulent and deceptive practices.

If the written application is determined to meet these requirements, it moves to the second phase, wherein the department reviews additional information about the applicant, the financial capacity of the institution, the consumer protections in place, and the academic quality of the programs to be offered.

These -- this phase can also include an in-person capacity interview, a site visit, and any additional reports or information the department may request.

The department then makes a recommendation to

The Regents regarding whether or not to grant provisional degree authority, which can be for a period up to five years.

During the provisional authorization period, the institution must submit information and reports as required by the department.

Prior to the end of the provisional authorization period, the Board of Regents may either grant permanent degree authorization, extend provisional authorization, or deny permanent authorization and direct the closure of the institution.

The OCUE approval of degree-granting programs requires the following:

Each academic program offered by any college, including for-profit colleges, must be approved and registered by the department before the college can advertise, recruit, or enroll students.

As I mentioned earlier, the standards by which the department registers college programs are in regulation, and apply to all New York State colleges regardless of the sector.

I think this is a very important point: We have oversight of SUNY and CUNY, the independents, and that same oversight, the same processes, are in

place for our for-profit degree-granting institutions.

The registration standards require, among other things:

A demonstration of qualified and competent faculty, sufficient resources; that is, facilities, equipment, et cetera, to support the program;

Clearly-defined course and program objectives, and credit must be granted in compliance with regulatory requirements;

Students' capacity to undertake the program, and the institution's capacity to provide instruction and other student supports.

The department will deny registration to any program that does not meet the registration requirements.

The department also has the ability to deregister programs that do not continuously meet program registration standards.

OCUE has a complaint resolution process for all students attending degree-granting institutions, including for-profit colleges.

After attempting to resolve their complaint through the institution's grievance and appeal process, which every institution is required to

publish and enforce, students can submit complaints related to their educational programs to the department.

The department reviews the complaint, contacts the institution to request additional information, copies of applicable policies and requests, and requests an institution response to the complaint so that we understand exactly how they have taken it seriously and have addressed the issues.

Complaints that fall under the jurisdiction of another federal or state department or agency are directed to those offices; for example, complaints related to student financial aid are directed to the New York State Higher Education Services Corporation (HESC) and to the U.S. Department of Education, as those agencies, not SED, administer the student financial-aid programs.

That's the same with our not-for-profit colleges at both SUNY and CUNY.

Complaints alleging consumer fraud are directed to the New York State Attorney General's Office.

State Authorization Reciprocity Agreements, or "SARA," is an important part of this.

I want to take some time to talk about this separately, but this related topic, and that is the SARA agreement, commonly referred to with the acronym "SARA."

You know, in education we have lots of acronyms, so, if you need clarification on any of those, please ask.

SARA is a multistate reciprocity agreement for distance education offered by colleges to residents in other states.

It establishes a set of standards that each participating state applies when approving its own colleges to participate in the agreement.

Those institutions that meet the standards, and are approved by their home state, are permitted to enroll residents in other SARA states in distance education programs without the need for each state to approve each individual institution separately.

Before 2016, when the Legislature passed and the Governor signed the legislation that permitted

New York State to join SARA, any out-of-state

institution could enroll New York State residents in any online program.

We had no information about where our New York State residents were enrolled.

SARA provided, for the first time, a structure for the oversight of out-of-state distance education in New York State.

As a result of SARA, we now have a mechanism, the authority and the capacity, to assist

New York State residents with complaints about their out-of-state's distance education programs, something we did not have before SARA.

SARA also provides a structure and process for the removal of institutions from the SARA agreement.

It also gives the department, for the first time, data about the number of New York State residents who are enrolled in out-of-state distance education and where those students are enrolled.

For example, we know that, in 2017-18, there were more than 43,000 New York residents enrolled in out-of-states' distance education at 962 different SARA institutions.

We also know that in that same year, more than 34,000 residents in other states were enrolled in distance education programs provided by the 96 New York State colleges that SED has approved to participate in SARA.

A critical point to remember about SARA is

that it has absolutely no impact on the ability of
New York State Attorney General to take action
against any institution offering distance education
in New York State under her fraud and consumer
protection authority.

In addition to enacting regulations to implement the SARA agreement in New York State, the Board of Regents also enacted regulations establishing oversight of non-SARA institutions that want to offer distance education in New York.

If an out-of-state institution is not participating in SARA, that institution must apply directly to SED for authorization.

Our standards mirror SARA standards; in other words, it's not easier to get approved by SED than it is to be approved by SARA.

Many student and consumer advocacy groups applauded The Regents when they enacted the non-SARA regulations, as they recognized the important consumer protections that are established.

BPSS, or non-degree-granting schools, are another part of the work that we do at SED.

This Bureau of Proprietary School

Supervision, and the schools that they oversee,

licenses and monitors nearly 400 non-degree-granting

private career schools and certified English-as-a-second-language schools.

These schools provide postsecondary career and technical education in a wide range of skilled programs, such as coding, allied health fields, cosmetology, and dog grooming.

BPSS licenses proprietary schools and credentials proprietary schoolteachers to ensure that appropriate standards are met.

As a result of your efforts, current laws regarding non-degree-granting proprietary schools in New York State, under the oversight of the BPSS, are often held as a national model.

These laws ensure consumer protection, promote increasing educational competence, high standards, accountability, and integrity within the non-degree-granting proprietary-school sector. They also require monitoring and oversight by the department with fairness and equity.

BPS (sic) program review and oversight process of non-degree-granting private career schools and licensed ESL schools is as follows:

These schools are issued an initial two-year license, and subsequent four-year licenses contingent upon re-approval at each expiration

point.

Schools are required to provide BPSS with bank accounts, projected tuition statements, showing financial viability for the first year without the tuition income.

BPSS assigns a field associate to each school for monitoring throughout the licensure period, wherein there are unscheduled inspections, technical-assistance visits, and re-approval of educational documents.

Should a school fail to maintain substantial compliance with the education laws and commissioner's regulations, the school's license is denied and a forced closure is ordered.

Following their initial license, schools are required to file a financial statement annually.

BPSS has a financial evaluator who interfaces with the schools to manage the collection process, and BPSS has the authority to impose financial penalties for schools who fail to comply with the deadlines and requirements.

The financial evaluator must -- may also make recommendations to require a performance bond for schools whose financial conditions are deemed to threaten their ability to educate students.

Every school curriculum must also be licensed by BPSS, and each curriculum is reviewed and approved, or denied, by a BPS (sic) evaluator, and, if needed, consulting evaluators who are experts in the occupational field being reviewed.

Curricular are licensed for a two-year or four-year period, at which time reapplication is necessary at the expiration.

This ensures that BPSS is approving curricula that responds to the rapidly changing occupational fields that we license.

BPS (sic) investigations and the audit unit investigates every complaint made against a BPS (sic) school or individual employed by a BPSS school.

Schools found to be operating in violation of the law or regulations may have sanctions or discipline imposed, including suspension or revocation of the school's license, its financial penalties, or corrective-action plans.

BPSS-related student complaints are resolved through an investigations and audit unit.

Each student complaint is assigned one or more investigators to perform a comprehensive investigation.

Student complaints can be resolved informally through an investigator's recommendation and report, or formally through the issuance of a refund of tuition and fees.

The law also authorizes BPSS to process claims through an established tuition reimbursement account, or "TRA," to refund paid tuition to students.

The TRA allows BPSS to quickly and efficiently resolve the complaint.

So, in conclusion, I want to thank you,
Chair Stavisky and the members of the Senate Higher
Education Committee, for allowing me to testify
today, and provide you with the important overview
of the department's current oversight over
degree-granting colleges and non-degree-granting
private career schools and ESL schools.

We believe that the current oversight of our colleges, whether they be for not-for-profit or for-profit or non-degree-granting BPS (sic) schools should be continually examined to ensure student protections.

We look forward to working with you, the Assembly, and Executive when potential issues or changes are identified.

1 Thank you.

We'd be happy to answer your questions.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Before we ask you to answer questions, we've been joined by Senator Shelley Mayer from Westchester, and Senator Rachel May from Syracuse, as well as staff from the Finance and Council Committee of the Senate, Lonnie Threatte, Clayton Eichelberger, Andrew Goldston, Rachel Porter, and Mike Swanson.

Senator Liu, you had a question.

SENATOR LIU: Yes.

Thank you, Madam Chair, for convening this important hearing.

I think many of us were surprised when the executive budget was first released.

And, I appreciate your testimony, Commissioner Elia.

Your testimony seems to indicate that the State Education Department and The Regents have a pretty good handle on this stuff already; and, therefore, what the Governor has proposed may not be totally necessary, if not totally unnecessary.

Let me state from the outset that I am very concerned that -- that the people of New York State have access to quality education.

And, that in the event that they choose a for-profit institution, that there are standards that are going to be upheld, and that they will actually achieve -- have a reasonable chance to attain their degrees, and to do so without going deeply, deeply into debt, more so than they would if they attend a non-profit college or institution.

So we certainly want the students to be protected.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Right.

SENATOR LIU: The question is: How do we do that; how do we achieve that?

Is the Governor's proposal the way to go about it?

And coming into this hearing, I wasn't exactly sure what the SED would say, but it seems to me that you think -- you think the department already has a good handle on it.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Well, let me say, Senator Liu, we've been working on this.

And as you see, we've made recent changes and clarifications of the regulations for our for-profits.

I can say to you that I think that, as you look at where we already are in New York, we are

recognized nationally as one of those states that has the most oversight of for-profit of anyone, and, in fact, we often are asked to give information to other states on how we do that, to protect students.

I will say this: The quality of our education across the state is critically important for SED as it is for you.

We want to make sure that our students have access to programming, whether that be for a not -- from a not-for-profit or a for-profit, that is quality, and it protects them.

We believe that many of the things that are in place in state education and regulations, and under the purview of our Regents, is substantial.

Is there something that perhaps we could improve upon?

I would never say that we can't get better.

But I would say to you that it is very important, I think, to be aware of all of the areas that we already have oversight, and that we have requirements in place for the for-profit institutions, whether they be degree-granting or career schools.

So, I appreciate the opportunity.

I told Senator Stavisky, it's important for

you all to be fully aware of where we are now, so that anything that is proposed, we could make sure that we cover that; and, if not, we work with you.

SENATOR LIU: And so I have -- I guess I have three specific questions. I can repeat them again later if necessary.

The first question is:

There is some thought that the requirements that the Governor has proposed would go for -- the requirements that the Governor has proposed for for-profit institutions are much more stringent than the requirements expected of non-profit institutions.

That would be my first question: Is that true or not true?

Second question --

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Okay.

SENATOR LIU: And I'll give you the whole context.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: All right.

SENATOR LIU: The second question would be: Would the Governor's proposal -- pardon me.

Would the Governor's proposals, if enacted, have the result of shutting down, perhaps the majority, if not the great majority, of these

for-profit institutions?

And my last question, which I guess is the overarching question: If the Governor's proposals are enacted, would it -- would it not only not better protect students, but would it actually take away opportunities from students?

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So those are -- those questions are related to each other.

SENATOR LIU: Yes.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So let me respond.

Specifically, the "50 percent," if you use that, the goal for the 50 percent, I think it's important to take into account that, if the requirements are that 50 percent at least be spent in certain ways, we need to make sure that people are aware that -- that what is included in that instructional requirement for 50 percent, that that, in fact, reflects the requirements of not only salaries, et cetera, but, the facilities that a school may have.

So, if you're in New York City, the reality of the rents for New York City's facilities are going to be very different than if you were in somewhere else.

And I think to have it be one particular

thing is really a critical point for us, because that's where it gets into the second part of your question, is could these things negatively affect schools?

It is possible that that could negatively affect schools because they couldn't stay in within those guidelines, and, consequently, then they wouldn't be able to meet the requirements of law in New York, and then they'd be in a position where they wouldn't be able to meet the guidelines; therefore, they'd be closed.

I think one of the other factors is, many of these schools provide extensive supports for students.

If that wasn't included in one of those categories, then you have a school that is providing an opportunity for many students who need to have those additional -- additional supports, maybe guidance in how to get through this program, maybe additional tutoring, all of those things could possibly not be included in the definitions.

And if that is the case, then those schools would not be able to provide those for students.

And some of these students are our most at-risk students from our high schools, who are

trying to complete a postsecondary education, and they might be then in danger of not being able to get through because they didn't have the additional supports.

So all of those things are -- are -- I think,

So all of those things are -- are -- I think, what you would not want to have happen with additional legislation, so it needs to be very carefully reviewed with the realities of the schools and -- and the supports that they provide for students.

SENATOR LIU: Thank you.

Thank you, Madam Chair.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

And, in fact, I asked that question at a budget hearing.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Yes, you did.

I remember.

SENATOR STAVISKY: And I'm sure the person I asked remembers.

Senator Mayer.

SENATOR MAYER: Thank you.

Good morning, and thank you for being here.

I want to agree with many of the comments of my colleague Senator Liu, in I think our strong opposition to the Governor's proposal in his

executive budget, and I'm pleased that we were able to push back against that.

I have had several terrific visits in my district and elsewhere to excellent, high-quality proprietary schools which not only help people get into the workforce, but provide a meaningful support mechanism, as you described, to ensure they have the support they need to succeed.

And I want to commend, I know some of them are here today.

I do think we have to have this issue in context, however.

We are dealing with a national conversation about proprietary colleges, and, particularly, default rates and graduation rates.

And I think one of our arguments here, in pushing back against the Governor, was, yes, SED is already doing an excellent job at addressing those issues on its own, and we have confidence in them.

So I wonder if you could speak with greater specificity about your review of any schools that may have had a default rate that was unduly high, or a graduation rate that was unduly long, out of context with our other schools of higher education which also have these challenges at a very, you

know, significant rate.

But I think, again the more you provide us with the information that shows that our current regulatory apparatus is sufficient, that strengthens our arguments that we do not need additional legislation.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So let me bring out the specificity that we provide on graduation rates.

So our for-profit colleges' graduation rate is 35.8 percent, SUNY is 41.6, CUNY is 28.7, and our independents is 66.

SENATOR MAYER: Over how many years, might I ask?

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: That is as of 1217-18 (sic).

SENATOR MAYER: But is that over -- over a what period of time graduation rate?

DEP COMM JOHN D'AGATI: Six years.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Six years.

SENATOR MAYER: Thank you. Okay.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So -- so you can see there -- the -- the proprietary for-profit colleges are within that same kind of area of graduation rates.

I think it's a huge thing for all of us to be

working on.

I mean, graduation rates are at the high school level are as important.

But, clearly, when we have students that move into postsecondary, we need to be focused on what we can do to provide the supports they need to make sure they get through.

And the added stress comes because they're paying funding -- they're paying for those tuition payments, and then, ultimately, whatever they have in loans after that, that they have to pay back.

So it's even more critical that we address these graduation rates.

I will say that it's important to know what -- related to the idea of loan defaults -- SENATOR MAYER: Yes.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: -- so we do not collect the information on loan defaults.

The loans originate with many sources, so you have private loans, you have federal, you have other -- other family loans.

I mean, there's a number of areas where you would say a student is defaulting on a loan.

It may be difficult to really generate an accurate picture of that, given the fact that those

sources of information are many different places.

And, the decisions about the operations and the high stakes of, whether I'm going to go to a for-profit, am I going to take out the loans for that school, and, then, how am I going to track whether the loans, in fact, get paid over a period of time?

Those same loan-default rates could be in place for other schools.

And so you have circumstances that occur at the end of a student's time period, time in a college or university, in any of our public -- public or our for-profits that similarly could affect whether or not there's a loan default.

We don't know all of that data, and the data that we have from the national is not as clear as we would like, and we can't really get it down to our specific schools here in New York.

So I think there's another part of that that is very important.

We have annual reports that come to SED that include admissions, enrollment, and retention, their graduation rates, off-campus instructional location, all of these things that lead you to believe that, whether -- if a student is, in fact, in a for-profit

school, and they have retained their students and graduated their students, many of these schools have very strong programs for placement in the workplace.

SENATOR MAYER: Right.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Which then would lead to you believe that those schools would have less of a loan-default rate than a school that didn't have that kind of placement activity.

And, for the most part, the for-profits, the reason that they are often selected by students is because of the placement rate.

So those are the things --

SENATOR MAYER: Yes, I'm well aware of that.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: -- so it's -- it's not an easy thing, Senator, to say, this is the reason. And it's not easy for us to identify exactly where the default rates are.

And those statements that are made at the national level, I'm not disputing the data, but it's not as clear-cut as saying, we have high default rates from these kinds of schools.

SENATOR MAYER: I understand, and I appreciate it.

And I know the placement issue is extremely important to students, and that is one of the

reasons they choose these schools. 1 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: That's right. 2 SENATOR MAYER: I just think, in order to 3 ensure that our participation in this national 4 conversation is valued, it would be very helpful for 5 us to be able to rebut the claim of loan defaults at 6 7 a higher rate, or out of the mainstream, by our proprietary schools. 8 9 And I would encourage us to figure out ways to have accurate data. 10 11 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So -- so we will --12 as a staff, we'll go back and look and see what we 13 can provide for you, so at least, if it is -- if 14 it's not clear, we'll identify why we believe it 15 isn't as clear --16 SENATOR MAYER: Thank you. 17 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: -- as what some --18 some sources are saying is clear. 19 SENATOR MAYER: Thank you. 20 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 21 In the meantime, we've been joined by 22 Assemblywoman Alicia Hardman? 23 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Hyndman. 24 SENATOR STAVISKY: Hyndman.

I'm -- my colleague from Queens, my friend

25

from Queens, and, Assemblymember Harvey Epstein from Manhattan.

Senator May.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

And thank you for your testimony.

I apologize for coming in in the middle.

I have toured a proprietary college in my district, or adjacent to my district, and they have a lot of good stories about student success and programs they offer that no one else offers. And I recognize that they provide a service that -- that is valuable in our community.

But having been a -- on the faculty at SUNY and at a private university, I was, frankly, horrified at the conditions of the faculty there.

And I $\operatorname{\mathsf{I}}$ -- I guess the idea that we're -- we are holding institutions to such different standards is really troubling to me.

And so I'm wondering about what oversight there is of employment at these institutions, and do you feel there needs to be more oversight?

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So for the for-profit institutions, depending on whether it's a career school, and not -- it might certify someone in a particular area, but it doesn't provide a degree, or

a for-profit for degree, we believe we are -- in fact, we are putting the same standards for those degree-granting as we do any of our colleges or universities across the state.

In the particular circumstance you mentioned, if a -- if a not-for-profit public university or college, is -- through the State is certified and able to function and be part of a SUNY system or a system, or a not-for-profit, we look at all of those schools when they get their accreditation and when they get their approvals through The Regents.

So when that occurs, they have met the requirements for the faculty that you mentioned.

If that isn't occurring and hasn't maintained itself, then, normally, we would hear from someone who would say, "this is not up to standard," and we would then go in and review that.

We do have, on a regular basis, those schools are accredited, and so they would get -- from an accrediting agency, they would get accreditation.

So as we're looking at the for-profits, we do the same thing.

So they come to us; they provide information on the faculty that they're going to have, the facilities they're going to have, the institutional

supports that they have for students, their tuition, all of those things are provided, as well as their financials.

So if they are treated -- the for-profits are treated exactly the same as the not-for-profits.

So any of those things that then we would have complaints about would be something that we would go in and review.

And if we needed to, then we would put them in a review status, and tell them what they have to do to improve, or we would take stronger action against them.

So, the process is in place for both, the for-profit and the not-for-profit, and that is through SED regulations.

And then it is true that, in fact, we have closed schools, based on what we have been told when we go and investigate. It's the case, when we see the resources that they no longer have in place, we have had colleges, that are in both categories, close.

SENATOR MAYER: Okay. I guess, by the same token, we heard a lot about how this school served students who are at risk in various ways, and who were facing a lot of troubled circumstances at home.

And I asked about mental-health support for them, and they said, Oh, well, yeah, we have a whole list of providers in the community that we refer them to.

So they had nothing that they provided themselves, which was, again, I felt like a very different model, at the very least, if not a different standard, for what an institution of higher education would be providing.

And so it was, again, just this sense that there are very different standards that, at least -- or expectations, of for-profit institutions than of other institutions.

And so I just am grappling with this, that maybe it does make sense to have, also, different standards of oversight for them.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So it's clear, SED's role for the -- particularly the degree-granting, those are not different.

So the standards that they may have, SUNY may provide, on any one of their campuses, what you're suggesting.

And if it is a much smaller college, an independent college, for instance, doesn't have that requirement on their campus, that they may have

referrals that go to community agencies, that could be the case in both of those.

So an independent school and a for-profit could have the same, but we judge those schools, and allow them to be in place in New York, based on that.

Now, the BPSS, the kinds of things that are provided in BPSS schools, which are more career-oriented schools, that are for-profit, those schools, again, we look at what kinds of supports they have available for students.

And, mainly, we would be looking for things, that they would support students who were on their campus or in their programs, to be able to complete the coursework successfully, and then the guidance that they get afterwards on job placements, et cetera.

SENATOR MAYER: And are there standards in place for what they have to inform the students of ahead of time when they are applying, for example --

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Yes.

SENATOR MAYER: -- of what -- exactly what is included and what is not included in their tuition?

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Yes.

That is all part of what would be reviewed as

they were seeking our support for either type of 1 school, whether it was for a degree-granting or it 2 was a career school. 3 SENATOR MAYER: Okay. 4 5 Thank you. COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Uh-huh. 6 7 SENATOR STAVISKY: Senator Gaughran. SENATOR GAUGHRAN: Good morning, 8 Commissioner. 9 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Good morning. 10 11 SENATOR GAUGHRAN: I just had a question. 12 In your testimony you pointed out that the 13 for-profit degree institutions makes up about 14 3 percent of the student population of the state. 15 How does that stack up with other states, or, 16 I guess, larger states or similar states, to 17 New York? COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: I don't know that we 18 19 would... 20 DEP COMM JOHN D'AGATI: They're generally 21 smaller. (Indiscernible) larger for --COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: You want to speak 22 23 into the mic. 24 DEP COMM JOHN D'AGATI: Generally -- I don't 25 know about every state, but, generally, I know that

1 other states have larger footprint of for-profit colleges. They serve more students. 2 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So we have 3 25 for-profit colleges that are degree-granting. 4 They have -- some have multiple campuses. 5 We have 400 career schools that fall under 6 7 BPSS. And those are the two different groups that 8 are considered for-profit in New York. 9 SENATOR GAUGHRAN: I mean, I was just 10 11 curious, that would mean that 97 percent are 12 not-for-profit. 13 I was just wondering if, you know, places 14 like Virginia, you know, Massachusetts, might be 15 interesting to see how this relates. 16 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So we can check on that information and get it over here if we're able 17 to track it down. 18 19 SENATOR GAUGHRAN: Okay. Thank you very 20 much. 21 SENATOR STAVISKY: Assemblywoman? 22 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you very much, 23 Senator Stavisky. 24 I want to thank you and your staff for

indulging me and allowing me to participate in this.

25

1 SENATOR STAVISKY: We're delighted to have 2 you. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you very much. Commissioner, it's good to see you again. 4 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Good to see you. 5 6 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Deputy Commissioner 7 Kevin Smith, Deputy Commissioner D'Agati. I do have to disclose that I worked for the 8 9 State Education Department for 15 years, in the Bureau of Proprietary School Supervision, so I do 10 11 have a thing, would you say, Kevin, a good 12 background? 13 So I will say that it's a small office. 14 do a lot of heavy lifting for the entire state of 15 New York. And I still speak with my colleagues, and 16 I know they're undergoing a closure right now with a 17 foreign-language school in there. And they're working very hard to service the students, as they 18 19 always do in New York State. 20 So, very quickly, Commissioner, you did say 21 that, the proprietary schools, the colleges, provide 22 information to the State Education Department when 23 it comes to complaints, reviews. 24 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Uh-huh.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: But there's no

25

prior -- it's not like SED doesn't go out and review the facility before their degree-granting.

You review the facility.

Are there visits that take place subsequently if there aren't any complaints?

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Yes.

So they would get their approval for a two-year, or then a four-year, and after that they still would have to go out and -- we would go out and investigate them, and they would then provide all that information for us again.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Yes, so Kevin just reminded me, you may be asking on the degree side or the non-degree side?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: The degree side.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: The degree side.

So that's John.

And out of that department, they do, if there's any complaints, or if they're asking for any changes in what they initially submitted to us, expansions, any changes like that, they would have to submit to our office, just like any of our not-for-profits would, SUNY or the CUNY schools.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: They submit, but you

don't have to necessarily go and review those changes or additions?

DEP COMM JOHN D'AGATI: We don't have to, but we often do, particularly -- you know, and, again, as the Commissioner said, we start with a provisional authority, and they have to come back to us for permanent authority.

And, often, we will go and visit again before we issue that permanent authority.

But, there's no mandate that we go to every single -- that we do a site visit at every single time.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: How many -- I know one of the things we talked about in the -- before pre-budget, was the lack of -- or, the deficiencies in staffing.

How many investigators or associates do you have in OCUE to do just that?

And how many colleges and universities are in the state of New York, and the -- where are the shortages, I guess I'm asking?

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So thank you for bringing that up.

So we do have -- in the proprietary schools career side, in the BPSS, we have a dedicated staff,

1 because a portion of the fees come to support the staff that we have. 2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: 3 Right. COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: And they support all 4 of the staff and the oversight. 5 6 So we have approximately 22, Kevin? DEP COMM KEVIN SMITH: 7 25. COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: 25 right now. 8 9 DEP COMM KEVIN SMITH: Hopefully, 26. 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: With the new 11 director; right? COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: That are supporting 12 13 that part of the work. On the other side, in OCUE, which are the 14 15 degree-granting, we are very constrained there 16 because, as you can imagine, we have all of the 17 other colleges and universities across New York State that, for any changes, must submit 18 19 those changes to the same department that has the

oversight for the for-profits.

20

21

22

23

24

25

However, what happens is, we move as quickly as possible on those, and we have taken some staff from one area to another to try to cover. But it's a real constraint for us there.

And -- and the fact that these things are all

in place, it's not as though someone can move forward and get approved without having the approval come.

So the timing can be affected, clearly, by the fact that we don't have staff to do it.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Do you think that then leads to a lot of self-reporting from institutions of higher education in New York?

DEP COMM JOHN D'AGATI: We have -- yes, there is a lot of self-reporting that comes in, and data that we collect, and review. On a regular basis we're looking at this.

A lot of times, though, if we get a complaint, we'll look at it more in detail.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Uh-huh.

What's -- what -- what's the number, if you had a magic number, to have you at full operating, to do outreach throughout the state, Mr. D'Agati, what would that be?

I think it's important to highlight the -you know, we're always asking SED to do more, but
not giving them the resources to do it.

So if you had a number.

DEP COMM JOHN D'AGATI: It's hard to come up with a number. It depends on how much oversight you

really want.

But, there's about 250 degree-granting institutions in New York, and, you know, some need a little more oversight than others.

But, we could always use more professional staff to do the kinds of site -- ongoing site visits that would provide a little bit more comfort level.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Yeah, and I want to point out that, that the things that are done in that office are things like expansions of -- into new departments at SUNY or a CUNY school, that would all come there. A change in the site, and add-on of any program or a different degree level of that program, all of those reviews are done through the same department.

We, right now, though, have 25 for-profit colleges. Some of them have multiple campuses, and those are the ones that are served in the OCUE office.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. Thank you.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Thank you.

And we appreciate your bringing up that point.

SENATOR STAVISKY: And to that point, we have

a memo from your office, answering that issue, and I'll be glad to send it to you.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: It addresses exactly the needs in case there's additional oversight issues that have to be addressed.

Obviously...

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Well, and I think as we've talked to you, Senator Stavisky, we are certainly willing to look at any possible changes, and give our insight as to where, either, we're currently working in that area and doing that, or whether or not there's some things that we have to add.

The bottom line is, we want to protect our students in any of these programs, and we want to make sure that, ultimately, they can be the most successful and move into a workforce that they're then valued for the knowledge they have.

SENATOR STAVISKY: And, obviously, that's the purpose of this hearing: We're going to hear from both critics and the users of your services.

Assemblymember Epstein.

And then we'll call the next...

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you, Madam Chair,

1 for allowing me to participate and to be here. And thank you for being here. 2 I know -- I think we all have the same goal, 3 to making sure the students get high-quality 4 education in our schools. 5 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Right. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Just some concerns, just around the SARA agreement. 8 9 I'm wondering: How many students do you see 10 using online -- exclusively online colleges in the 11 New York? 12 And, what have you seen are the response 13 since 2016? And the second piece of that would be: 14 15 Looking that, you know, California isn't part 16 of the SARA agreement, they've really tried to have, 17 I would argue, tougher standards. Wondering if whether SARA's been the right 18 approach for New York? 19 20 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Well, I will say to 21 you, I think that it really has. If you think about before we had the SARA 22 23 agreement, there was little control over whether or not a student got an online program. 24

They could go online, they could have the

25

program, and be offered that program here in New York.

We have now standards for that, and I think that's a really important point.

I'm not sure if you were here for the initial numbers that I read off, but there are more than 43,000 New York residents enrolled in the out-of-state distance education through 962 SARA institutions.

So you can see, that is a growing number.

And I think we all know that online learning is -- is -- opens up many opportunities for individuals who may currently be in a job, but want to get an add-on credential so that they can expand and have better opportunities, or, and we have teachers that are getting add-ons to their certifications.

I mean, there's many ways that online learning can provide opportunities that a student, because of constraints in their life, are unable to participate in an existing campus program.

I would tell you that we think that the SARA program is providing us with oversight that we never had before.

And we think that's an extremely important

part of providing opportunities for New Yorkers to learn.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Because, I'm wondering, the balance of New Yorkers who are going to other online schools versus other students from other jurisdictions coming to New York online schools, wondering what that balance looks like?

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So, there's 34,000 residents in other states enrolled in our distance learning given at 96 New York State colleges.

But let me say this:

I would -- I would venture to say that

New York has not been as forward-pushing on the

issue of providing distance learning as other

colleges and universities have in other parts of the

country.

So I think as -- as more of our colleges -- I've had conversations with SUNY, and I know CUNY has expanded their online.

SUNY is making that a major effort this year, to make sure that they expand their online opportunities.

And we believe that, then, you will have many more students participating in our programming here.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And have you seen an

uptick in online complaints?

Because we -- we've heard a lot of, like, people who feel like they don't get enough support from online learning, and feel like there's no vehicle to -- to --

DEP COMM JOHN D'AGATI: We have not seen an uptick in complaints either from out-of-state students or in-state students at online.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And how do you regulate the online schools to ensure that they're meeting the standards that we want?

Is it through the SARA agreement? Or do you feel like there's additional resources that you need to be able to ensure that those students are getting the support that they need through online learning?

DEP COMM JOHN D'AGATI: So to participate in SARA, the colleges pay a fee. The fee is used to support staff. And that group of staff that we have work strictly on SARA institutions.

And there are regional bodies from several of the states, and then there's a national organization, that we interact with, that helps to establish the standards and revisit the standards.

And, certainly, if there is a complaint, they are a conduit to address issues.

If we have -- if we were to have several students complain about a particular institution that is out-of-state, we can go to the national organization that we participate in, and they would -- they would, with us, raise those issues with that institution and with the state that authorizes them.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: And those complaints would come into our office, and then we would process those through our SARA connection.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And so it's a complaint-driven process? It's not an affirmative process that the department --

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Well, it's affirmative at the beginning, of course, because then they get approved to be in the SARA organization of states and colleges.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Right.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: And so they have to be approved to be in it.

Not all states are participating in SARA, so, that excludes some.

And if a state wants to offer a distance-learning program, or an institution wants to offer a distance-learning program, in

New York State, then they have to go through a much more extensive process to be approved, and they cannot offer it in New York State.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And just a -- two quick questions, this is around default, and kind of seeing default rates for students, you know, five and ten years out.

We've seen this uptick in default rates, both for online and for-profit, from what I've seen.

I'm wondering how you're tracking those default rates?

And, obviously, it's a concern when students -- you know, higher numbers of students, either online learning or for-profit schools, are defaulting.

Kind of what -- what -- you know, I -- sometimes lower default rates, maybe two years out, or five and ten years out, we're seeing larger default rates.

And I'm wondering what you're tracking on those.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: So the default rates are really tracked by the institutions that give the loans.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Right.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Right?

And so that's not something that SED does because we are not in charge of that.

So it would either -- it would be through the federal government. It could be through states. It could be through private institutions that forgive loans. It could be bank loans. Et cetera.

So, that's not something that we track.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And is there something that you feel like you shouldn't be tracking?

Because, obviously, the concerns around student default is like a huge issue.

Obviously, student debt is a national conversation that we're seeing, and larger default rates and larger debt.

And I'm wondering, if someone -- if we're not collectively dealing with it in a positive way for New Yorkers, it's, you know, obviously, a concern.

DEP COMM JOHN D'AGATI: Right, I mean, we could try to institute a data collection on default rates, rather than utilize the federal, but it would -- it would require resources, yeah.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: I have just two quick

questions --

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Sure.

SENATOR STAVISKY: -- and if you could limit the response.

The first one is --

That most of my questions have already been asked.

-- but, do you believe that New York should adopt a gainful-employment metric, similar to that in, I believe, California?

Would that be useful?

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Well, we have had that conversation.

We do think that it's important that there be a focus, particularly in our career schools, to make sure that there's an opportunity for gainful employment after that.

We also believe that that is something that we should be looking at in any of our institutions of higher learning, so that we know whether or not that program is providing what it needs to provide, so a student who goes there, that pays the money for that program, has the opportunity to go into employment.

And that may be, at that point, then they add on additional experiences, either at the college

1 level or technical. I think it's important for us to know that, 2 3 yes. SENATOR STAVISKY: And second, unrelated, 4 I know the career schools have a -- well, let me 5 rephrase it. 6 Should there be a fund set up for the 7 students who attend the proprietary degree-granting 8 9 colleges, similar to the ones that exist for the 10 career schools? The fund --11 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: The -- yeah, the TRS 12 fund. 13 SENATOR STAVISKY: -- the T -- yeah, the TRA (sic) fund. 14 15 What did you think? 16 COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Well, I will say that 17 that has protected students to a great extent in 18 those programs. So if a student has complaints, we 19 20 investigate it, we find that there's a real issue, 21 or, in the circumstance which we have right now, 22 with the school closing --23 SENATOR STAVISKY: That's the point of my 24 question.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: -- and we're saying

25

that, in fact, the students who paid their tuition for the school are not going to be able to get it, that opportunity, first of all, we believe that the institution should be held accountable, and we will push hard to make sure that that occurs.

But if, in fact, there are no resources there to reimburse students, we believe that's a very important thing.

I think the degree-granting institutions, because of the financials that we collect on a regular basis, we know whether or not they're financially able.

And so that's one of those things, I think that is something we certainly could look at with you.

And if you would like more information on how that might affect our for-profit, the 26, or 25, that we have currently, I think that is certainly we could -- something we could explore with you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

Does anybody else?

Then we thank you very much.

COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: Thank you.

We appreciate your time.

SENATOR STAVISKY: I know we get the feeling

```
1
        that SED is going to be really the lynchpin,
        I think, for anything that --
 2
               COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: And we want to be
 3
        part of --
 4
 5
               SENATOR STAVISKY: -- transpires.
               COMM. MARY ELLEN ELIA: -- any opportunities
 6
 7
        that you see to improve.
 8
               Thank you.
 9
               SENATOR STAVISKY: Our next group will be the
10
        degree-granting institutions, and we have:
11
               Marc Jerome, president of Monroe College;
12
               Christopher Barto, vice president of
13
        government relations and community affairs at
14
        LIM College;
15
               Frances Fel -- Feiser (sic), president,
16
        Bryant & Stratton College;
17
               And, Michael Hatten, chairman and CEO,
        New York Automotive & Diesel Institute.
18
19
               And we thank you all for coming.
20
               MARC JEROME: Are you ready for us?
21
               SENATOR STAVISKY: Yes.
22
               MARC JEROME: I'm not sure, is this
23
        microphone working?
24
               It is.
25
               SENATOR STAVISKY: If not, we'll get a
```

college student.

[Laughter.]

MARC JEROME: Good morning, Senator Stavisky and members of the Higher Education Committee.

Thank you for inviting me today.

My name is Marc Jerome, and I am the president of Monroe College in The Bronx.

I also, for the past eight years, have been working on these issues federally, including gainful employment, student debt, so if you have any questions of me later, you can ask.

First, I would like to thank Senator Stavisky and all of you for your support during the budget process.

The Governor's proposal would have closed my institution, despite having some incredible outcomes for just the students you are worried about.

The past few months have been incredibly challenging because, for the first time, some of the divisiveness that we witnessed nationally has come to New York, and has resulted in proposed legislation that is uninformed and irrational.

I welcome today's dialogue, and I fully agree that the issues of student debt, graduation rates, institutional spending priorities, are important

topics; however, we should not be discussing these issues for only one sector of higher education.

If you take one thing from my comments today, it is this: Higher education in New York is actually failing too many low-income students.

Whether we look at graduation rates or loan default, shockingly weak outcomes for low-income students demand accountability in all sectors.

And I am so proud of the impact that my institution, and others like mine, have had on the same students that you are concerned about.

In 1933 my grandfather and great-aunt founded Monroe College.

My father preceded me for 50 years.

I'm the third generation of my family to lead the institution, and I'm absolutely passionate about what we do in The Bronx.

Significantly, our Bronx campus is located in the poorest congressional district in the country, but has some of the best outcomes for low-income students and first-generation students of any institution across all sectors.

Monroe consistently ranks among the top institutions in New York State for graduating Black and Latino students.

As you just heard, the New York State Board of Regents and the Department of Education have created the most unique, progressive, and effective higher-education accountability framework in the nation.

At its core is the simple notion that any degree-granting institution in New York, from any sector, must meet the rigorous requirements promulgated by The Regents, and implemented and enforced by the State Education Department.

The decision by the State Education

Department almost 50 years ago to require for-profit colleges to operate as academic institutions, and not businesses, was fortuitous.

New York's framework has produced for-profit institutions that are examples of national excellence, such as Monroe College, School of Visual Arts, and LIM College.

Very important for all of us, students and families deserve accurate, easy-to-understand, and consistent information on programs and colleges they want to attend.

All students should be able to compare information about similar programs and be warned if outcomes are weak.

Students should also have a reasonable expectation they will graduate from the college they want to attend, and colleges should be held accountable if too few students graduate.

But in too many colleges across all sectors, this is not happening.

In fact, SED reports that there are

30 colleges in New York State that report on-time

graduation rates less than 10 percent, only one of
which is a for-profit institution.

Students should also have a reasonable expectation that if they take a student loan, they will not default.

In fact, there are 36 degree-granting institutions in New York State that have default rates that are above 15 percent; 22 are public, 2 are private, and 12 are for-profit.

The issue of student-loan default can be found across all sectors.

The higher-education landscape has changed dramatically. Pressure in higher education in New York is intense.

The two largest college closures in New York have been two private colleges: the Dowling College and the College of New Rochelle.

The largest advertisers in New York are non-profit colleges, and the huge growing public and non-profit online providers, like Southern New Hampshire University, which spends well over \$100 million in advertising.

Accountability and consumer protection should be extended to all institutions and all students.

To do anything else is to abandon the close to one million students -- New York college students who do not attend for-profit institutions.

The national efforts to regulate solely the for-profit sector, such as the gainful-employment rule, which I have been intimately involved with for many, many years, are so overbroad and so imprecise, that they have the exact opposite effect from what was intended.

Rather than punish poorly-performing programs, the rules actually close some of the best performing programs in the country, while leaving the same programs at other institutions unaccountable simply because they have a different tax status.

This cannot be what was intended.

New York should stay the course, holding all institutions accountable, and protecting students

wherever they may attend.

I have a list of proposals in my written testimony, I'm happy to talk to you about, or answer any questions.

Thank you so much.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

We'll hold the questions until everybody has an opportunity.

CHRISTOPHER BARTO: Thank you,
Senator Stavisky and members of the Committee on
Higher Education.

My name is Christopher Barto, and I'm the vice president of government relations at LIM College, where I've been employed for almost 15 years.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify today, and for your bipartisan rejection of the Governor's proposed For-Profit College Accountability Act.

For LIM College, and the Marcuse family who started the college three generations ago, thinking about the possibility that our college, a leader in fashion business and experiential education, could be summarily closed, based on a set of arbitrary and capricious metrics, is incomprehensible.

Given our history and the important role that we and other APC colleges play in higher education in New York, this begs the question of, what problem are we trying to solve?

Are we being asked to be sacrificial lambs for the sins of others?

Political dogma that routinely describes all proprietary colleges as predatory seems to be part of the issue at hand, because, in this digital age of transparency, certainly, all education consumers are deserving of better information regarding any institution of higher ed.

In my 30 years of higher-ed experience, working with thousands of students and parents, they are largely consistent in wanting to know the same kinds of information: Cost of attendance, programs of study, and the employability of graduates.

They expect that information from all colleges regardless of private or public status, non-profit or proprietary.

At LIM College we have students enrolled from 43 states and 37 countries.

We are a nationally and internationally recognized institution, and students compare us with colleges offering similar programs of study across

the U.S. at both public and private colleges.

To suggest that a unique set of public disclosures, that would only apply to proprietary colleges in New York, would somehow help these students compare LIM College to our competitors, ignores who those competitors are, and I believe would only add to further confusion of inconsistent information that many already face in this important decision-making process.

For example, there is currently no uniform definition of how colleges should calculate the employability of their alumni, or any general requirement that they do so, except for in some of the licensed professions.

So college data in this area is all over the map.

All degree-granting colleges in New York have to meet the same set of standards that we've heard about from the Board of Regents and the State Education Department.

As such, I would assert that any additional state-based metrics designed to better inform students should exist for all sectors of higher ed.

In fact, the White House has recently directed the U.S. Department of Education to develop

program-level outcomes data that they intend to add to the federal College Scorecard, including information on earnings, student debt, and loan-repayment rates.

This will truly provide education consumers with something that has been long overdue: the ability to actually compare similar academic programs across a wide variety of institutions in all sectors.

New York was a leader and ahead of the curve when it mandated the use of the standardized financial-aid award letter based on the federally-recommended shopping sheet.

Congress is now proposing that requirement nationally.

We urge you to join in supporting the national effort to also establish commonsense program-level outcomes for all colleges.

I have with me 29 letters of support from alumni, industry presidents, and senior executives, as well as members of our board.

They all attest to the unique nature of the college's academic programs and the essential role that LIM College plays in supporting the economic engine of New York's fashion and related industries.

We provide an innovative education, while at the same time we work tirelessly to keep tuition increases to a minimum, and to reinvest our tuition into continued improvement of our facilities and all academic career and support services.

The college has done so without the direct financial support of any New York- or federally-provided funds, the type of taxpayer-based funding that highly subsidizes public colleges and universities.

Most educators agree that institutional quality is well evidenced by the improved economic mobility of a college's graduates.

If the portion of tuition dollars spent exclusively on instruction was a direct correlation with institutional quality, then we would expect to see that the outcome metrics of CUNY and SUNY colleges would be dramatically higher than colleges in other sectors.

But that is not the case.

I would venture to say that how efficiently and effectively an institution uses its financial resources does matter and can influence the success of its academic programs.

Families certainly care more about the

transformative capability of a college experience than they do about meeting a financial-inputs benchmark.

As you consider the possibility of implementing additional standards or disclosures of data, we urge you to clearly articulate the intended outcome, ensure any new standard in New York utilizes established norms that can be compared to other institutions, and continue to maintain parity by equally applying those measures to all sectors of higher education in New York to support transparency and access for all students in the state.

Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

Who's next?

FRANCES FELSER: That would be me.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Okay.

FRANCES FELSER: Good morning, Chair Stavisky and other esteemed Senators of the New York State Senate Higher Education Committee.

My name is Frances Felser. I am the president of Bryant & Stratton College, a family-owned institution, where I have served for almost 30 years in a number of institutional capacities.

I would like to thank you for rejecting the Governor's budget proposal, which is allowing me to complete my final year as a commissioner of the Middle States Commission on Higher Education.

Bryant & Stratton was founded in Buffalo, New York, in 1854.

Within New York we have eight campuses and one extension center in Buffalo, Rochester,

Syracuse, and Albany. The college has also been accredited by the Middle States Commission on Higher Education since 2002.

There are over 57 colleges and universities in Upstate New York, all focused on professions, such as doctor, lawyer, teacher, engineer, and physical therapists, which require a bachelor's degree or advanced credential.

However, while most occupations require postsecondary education, not all require a four-year or advanced degree.

In addition, not all students have the capacity or desire for these professions.

With that said, Upstate New York, relative to the careers that require an associate's degree, such as medical assistant, physical therapist assistant, accountant, network administrator, paralegal, and business manager, the only college choices for these occupations are the community colleges and Bryant & Stratton.

80 percent of our students are female,
40 percent are African-American, and 40 percent are
Caucasian.

The average age of our student is 27.

And while we offer bachelor's degrees, over 70 percent are pursuing associate's degrees.

Over 70 percent of our students have attempted higher education elsewhere before coming to us, and, interestingly, of that 70 percent, almost 80 percent have previously attended a community college.

Perhaps the most important reason our students come to us is our personalized career education and experience.

Students learn in their first semester of study how to be postsecondary learners, which gives them the confidence and capacity to finish their studies and graduate.

The result is, students who may not have been successful elsewhere at other colleges can and are successful at Bryant & Stratton.

Included in my written testimony are data

regarding graduation and default rates.

Simply put, while our students have more academic and socioeconomic challenges, our outcomes are very strong when compared to our peer community colleges.

The college is proud of our 1,000 students who graduate every year in New York State, who typically remain and work in the communities where their respective campus is located.

An interesting statistic is that longitudinal studies show that our graduates' average salaries have increased 6.3 percent on a 5-year annual compounded basis after graduation, compared to CPI of 2.4 percent.

The access of federal Title IV grants and loans, as well as the New York State tuition-assistance programs, have allowed students the access and choice to institutions of higher education they have deemed best suited for them.

What is often overlooked is the possibility that federal regulations, perhaps unintentionally, contribute to the increased usage of student loans.

This unintended consequence occurs, since students are entitled to borrow for costs beyond tuition, books, and fees.

For example, consider a student who borrows to cover tuition, fees, and books, successfully completes the program on time, graduates with debt \$14,500 and a monthly loan payment of \$165.

Now consider that same student who borrows the maximum amount permitted by federal regulations, which provides the capacity to borrow for living expenses, transportation, and similar costs, successfully completes the program on time, graduates with debt of \$24,750, which is \$10,000 more than the first example, with a monthly loan payment of \$283, which is \$100 more than the first example.

The regulations as currently written do not allow colleges and universities to limit federal borrowing that students are entitled to.

In conclusion, Bryant & Stratton has been deeply committed to our students and their success for over 165 years, and we remain committed as ever.

Some of you have visited our campuses and have witnessed our students' success in action.

For those of you who have not, please consider this an invitation to come to our campuses and visit our students and educators.

I thank you for this time this morning.

1 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. And we have Michael Hatten. 2 3 MICHAEL HATTEN: Good morning, Senators. Good morning, Assemblymembers. 4 Thank you for the opportunity to appear 5 6 before you once again. 7 Last time was on January 28th, late into the evening. 8 SENATOR STAVISKY: We remember. 9 [Laughter.] 10 11 MICHAEL HATTEN: And I thought it was well 12 worth it to participate in that conference, in that 13 hearing, I certainly think it's worth it to 14 participate this morning. 15 I want to just introduce myself for those of 16 you who don't know who I am. 17 My name is Michael Hatten. I'm the chairman and CEO of New York Automotive and Diesel Institute, 18 19 the college of transportation technology in our 20 state. 21 I'm also the chairman of the Advisory Council 22 for Licensed Private Career Schools, appointed in 23 1993 by Mario Cuomo, reappointed multiple times, 24 currently as the chair of that advisory council.

This marks -- this June will mark my

25

49th year in postsecondary education.

I've done every job that you can do:

Admissions, financial aid, student services,

director, vice president, president, chairman, and

now I own a college.

It's not a bad thing to be for-profit. It shouldn't be a word that we put over here and make it pejoratory (sic).

It's something that merely reflects the tax status that we enjoy.

That's it.

Our commitment, my commitment, and our board of trustees' commitment is to the mission of the institution and to its outcomes, and to the betterment of its students.

I'd like to focus some of my remarks on the State Education Department, of which I have had,
I guess the honor, I would say the honor, of interacting with for many, many years.

I've seen the good, the bad, and the somewhat ugly.

Today we have a state education department with a great chairman, a great commissioner, two terrific deputy commissioners, an incredible Board of Regents headed by Betty Rosa, just reappointed in

March to her second term; terrific educational professionals in our state.

And we are regulated at the highest degree of any state that I know, and in any circumstance that I have heard of.

It took our institution four years of concentrated effort, coming from non-degree to degree-granting. Multiple millions of dollars were invested in that effort.

There was something there at that institution that I saw 10 years ago when I bought the school, and at that time it was terminated from participation in Title IV by the U.S. Department of Education. It was an institution going out of business.

From that period, 2008 to 2017, we built that institution into a degree-granting college.

We have to report the same regulatory, statutory, requirements as any degree-granting college in the state of New York.

We worked hard, we kept our noses down, and, finally, we were able to break that barrier that hadn't been passed for 13 years.

The Regents had not authorized a non-degree school to become degree-granting for 13 years.

1 Why did they do it in our case? 2 Oh, beside the fact that I'm a good-looking guy, and, you know? 3 No, no. 4 5 It was our outcomes; it was what we do for our students. 6 7 We have 95 percent minority Black and Hispanic men in our institution. 8 9 They graduate -- right now we're testing it, because we don't know exactly where it is -- but 10 11 over -- at over 50 percent on-time completion rate, 12 with a projected 70 percent at time and a half. 13 They are employed at anywhere from 80 to 14 90 percent. 15 We just had a visit from our national 16 accreditor to re -- for reaccrediting the 17 institution. 18 They asked our students in a survey that they conducted -- not us, we don't conduct it, they 19 20 did -- what they thought of the institution. 21 99 percent said they were satisfied with the

education.

99 percent.

22

23

24

25

98 percent said they would recommend it to a friend, a family member.

That's incredible.

Those are the kinds of outcomes that are,

I think, typical of the vast majority of

degree-granting institutions in this state, and

I would say, from my experience, the non-degree

schools in this state.

If you -- I see my time is almost up, so let me end with this:

If you want to address perceived problems, which I don't believe they're out there, but if you want to address those, strengthen the State

Education Department's staff and administration so that they can do more regulatory authority and oversight, and they can interact with institutions, not in a way that's -- that's -- you know, that we look at as bad, but in a way that they may be able to improve those institutions so they can get to the finish line.

They $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ we have some incredible professionals at State Education Department.

Unleash that power.

Then there will be no question about anything we do here, and we'll be just talking about even greater outcomes in our state.

Thank you for the opportunity, and if you

1 have any questions, I'd be happy to answer them. You also have my written testimony perhaps in 2 front of you as well. 3 SENATOR STAVISKY: We've been joined by 4 Senator Kevin Thomas of Suffolk County. 5 SENATOR THOMAS: No. 6 7 SENATOR STAVISKY: No? Sorry. Nassau County, from Long Island. 8 9 [Laughter.] SENATOR STAVISKY: And he will ask the first 10 11 question. 12 SENATOR THOMAS: All right. 13 So I have about six or seven questions here, and I'm hoping every single one of you can answer 14 15 those. 16 All right, so, first question, to the 17 president of Monroe College --18 MARC JEROME: I'm right here. SENATOR THOMAS: -- why -- what percentage of 19 20 expenditures are on marketing versus instruction at 21 your school? 22 MARC JEROME: First thing, thanks for the question. 23 24 I think it's a great question, and it's 25 something I think is relevant for all institutions.

We're at about 2 percent of our overall budget.

SENATOR THOMAS: 2 percent. Okay.

What percentage of students that graduate from for-profit schools earn more than a high school graduate?

MARC JEROME: I don't know that answer off the top of my head. I'm happy to look it up for you.

I've done the study for the State, which

I think I might have provided to your office, of all

colleges in New York, with the percentage of

students that make more than \$25,000.

And, overall, I felt the for-profit sector performed very well.

Maybe it was 6 percent lower than the public sector, and the reason why I thought that was a strong finding, because the public sector includes the research institutions, such as SUNY Albany, SUNY Binghamton, who attract a much higher-income, higher academically-prepared student.

So I'm happy to provide that information, and I think overall in the state, the sector performs well.

SENATOR THOMAS: Okay.

1 CHRISTOPHER BARTO: I'll just add to my colleague, that the college -- federal College 2 Scorecard does have information of that kind 3 publicly available, to refer you to that. 4 I will comment that, that is based on 5 students who start at an institution, and not 6 necessarily finish at that institution. 7 So it's not perfect data, but it is the best 8 data that's out there that currently provides some 9 10 metric along those lines. 11 SENATOR THOMAS: Do any of you have any of 12 that data with you today? 13 MARC JEROME: I certainly -- I've run that data, and I can have it forwarded to you. 14 15 I believe I might have already given it to 16 your office when I visited on a snowy Friday. 17 SENATOR THOMAS: Okay. SENATOR STAVISKY: Can I add, I have the 18 College Scorecards available. 19 20 SENATOR THOMAS: Okay. 21 CHRISTOPHER BARTO: I believe it's an exhibit within the Association of Proprietary Colleges' 22 23 testimony. 24 SENATOR THOMAS: How many cents of every

dollar goes to instruction?

25

MARC JEROME: I'm also happy to start with that.

So I think, to some extent, as we heard before you arrived, the question is a little too narrow.

As someone who spent 25 years in The Bronx working with low-income students, low-income students, while instruction is important, support around the students is equally as important.

So we were firm, and we remain convinced, the Governor's proposal to look only at instructional costs, which is the cost of the faculty and their benefits, was not an appropriate metric.

And if you use that metric, the bulk of non-profit and public colleges in the state of New York would have failed that metric.

SENATOR THOMAS: Okay.

How about, LIM?

CHRISTOPHER BARTO: At LIM College, we know that instructional support and instruction is about 20 percent, but we also know that the statewide average is 22.4 percent for all colleges and universities --

SENATOR THOMAS: Can we go back to my first question about the expenditures for marketing versus

1 instruction? How much did I spend on marketing? 2 CHRISTOPHER BARTO: Sure. 3 For advertising, our expenditures are about 4 5 5 percent. 6 SENATOR THOMAS: 5 percent. 7 Can you send me that data as well? CHRISTOPHER BARTO: 8 Sure. 9 SENATOR THOMAS: All right. And what percentage of students that graduate 10 11 from your school earn more than a high school 12 graduate? 13 CHRISTOPHER BARTO: So, again, the College Scorecard data has that information. 14 15 In LIM's case, I believe that we're at about 16 65 percent. 17 SENATOR THOMAS: 65 percent. Okay. 18 Do you know whether any of them earned less than a high school graduate? 19 20 CHRISTOPHER BARTO: Senator, I know -- what 21 I can say to you is that, an extremely high percentage of our students, over 90 percent, are 22 23 employed within their field of study or related industries within 12 months of graduating, and their 24

career progression is phenomenal.

25

And we track that.

We have a very active relationship with our alumni who utilize our career services, both at the time that they are initially graduating, and then many of them who come back to the college for additional support to advance to mid-level and executive-level positions.

SENATOR THOMAS: What percentage of students pay down their loan debt in five years?

Either one of you.

CHRISTOPHER BARTO: Off the top of my head,

I don't have that specific figure for you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: The answer to that question again is available online.

CHRISTOPHER BARTO: Correct.

SENATOR STAVISKY: The U.S. Department of Education puts out a College Scorecard for every -- all 50 states, and perhaps territories as well.

At LIM College, the graduation rate is 55 percent, and students who return after their first year is 74 percent.

SENATOR THOMAS: Do they have information on --

SENATOR STAVISKY: I'm not the lobbyist for.

I'm simply a --

[Laughter.]

SENATOR THOMAS: No, I mean, again --

MARC JEROME: But, Senator Thomas, the -I've done a lot of work on repayment rates, and,
generally, you know, I was at the U.S. Conference on
Financial Aid.

Something like -- the U.S. Department of Education recently announced only 24 percent of all American borrowers are paying down their student-debt principal.

So the issue of paying -- loan-repayment rates is clearly a national issue.

Generally, it is true, community colleges and for-profit colleges have lower repayment rates than independent colleges because we're less selective and we serve lower-income students.

It's -- so -- but that data is out there, it's easy to find.

And in -- and when the federal government proposed this, you found failures, widespread failures, to meet the metric at public institutions, historically Black institutions, as well as for-profit institutions.

SENATOR THOMAS: But do either one of you have stats on how many of your graduates pay down

1 their debts in five years?
2 MARC JEROME: It's
3 it's all on the College Sc

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARC JEROME: It's all public information, it's all on the College Scorecard; the College Scorecard provides all that information.

And, generally, I think the sector performs well, especially when you look at comparing us to institutions that serve the same demographic that we serve.

Could we do better?

The answer is yes.

But, the data is the data.

SENATOR THOMAS: How much tuition does it cost a student to go to one of your schools?

How much tuition do they pay?

MARC JEROME: We have a wide range.

(Indicating.)

CHRISTOPHER BARTO: Sure.

In the current academic year, our tuition and fees are 27,030, well below the average private-school tuition of the competitive schools that we overlap with, which is about \$39,000.

MARC JEROME: Yeah, I mean, my institution may be one of the most affordable private colleges in the state, with tuition at about 7,000.

The bulk of students come without taking a

student -- without taking any student debt, and we work very hard with that.

We also support 80 undocumented students going with full scholarships, which may be the largest program of its kind in the state, if not the country.

So, you know, as my colleague said, you can be for-profit and for good.

And, you know, if you visit The Bronx, whether you talk to an employer, a community-based organization, or an elected official, for 86 years we've made a major impact.

FRANCES FELSER: Our tuition and fees are \$9,000 a semester, \$18,000 a year.

SENATOR THOMAS: Okay.

(Indicating.)

MICHAEL HATTEN: Uh, tuition and fees at New York Automotive & Diesel Institute are \$36,000 for the two-year programs that we offer. The college programs we offer, once again, \$9,000 a semester.

We have in -- you'll see in the written testimony, we have an average student-loan debt, when the students graduate, of twelve to twenty thousand dollars.

1 And we have an in-school student debt of 2 anywhere from four to eight thousand as they 3 progress through the program. SENATOR THOMAS: Okay. 4 5 I have no more questions. 6 Go ahead. 7 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. Incidentally, I must comment, I was reading 8 9 quite a bit of background material, and I read Mr. Jerome's testimony before the Congress about a 10 11 month ago? 12 MARC JEROME: Yes. 13 SENATOR STAVISKY: Extremely impressive. 14 And I suggest, anybody would like a copy, 15 I have a copy, before Senator Durbin and, I forgot 16 the member of Congress, but --17 MARC JEROME: I appreciate it. 18 SENATOR STAVISKY: -- yep. 19 But I would be glad to provide -- it answers 20 many of the questions that Senator Thomas had asked. 21 Are there any --22 SENATOR MAY: I have some questions. 23 SENATOR STAVISKY: -- you have a question? 24 SENATOR MAY: Yeah. 25 SENATOR STAVISKY: Okay.

Senator May, let's go this way.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

And thank you for the work you do.

I think it's clear that there are students who get an enormous value from what you offer, and -- and, in some cases, can't find those services anywhere else.

And I -- I recognize that this is about serving students, and it's appropriate that your testimonies were all about what you do for the students.

But, as I've said to the commissioner, I also have the perspective of a former faculty member, and I didn't hear a lot of, if any, mention of the faculty in most of your testimony.

So, I would like to ask you about, presumably, your faculty have higher-education backgrounds, presumably most of them have completed a four-year degree at least, and many of them advanced degrees.

And, I am sort of curious to know, do you pay them at the kind of rates that you would hope that your own graduates would be able to earn when they complete your degrees?

FRANCES FELSER: Hello, Senator.

SENATOR MAY: Hi.

FRANCES FELSER: We have met, you've been to our college --

SENATOR MAY: Right.

FRANCES FELSER: -- yes.

And if I could, I'd like to respond to your earlier comment, and then I could answer your question.

You know, it's -- when you came to visit us, and you're very familiar with this because you're an educator, every opportunity is an education moment.

Right?

And it's unfortunate that -- that when you saw the area where our faculty work, that -- back to students, your earlier comment about our students, and our passion for our students, all of our associates, but particularly our faculty, are very passionate about our students, and our students' success.

And I think I shared with you that we have a program in the first term, in first semester, and it really moves into the second semester. And they're -- the way the courses in the first semester have been structured, they interrelate with one another.

And so the faculty have lined up all of their content and all of their assessments so that a student doesn't have peaks and valleys. Right?

And so the faculty who are in -- that are involved in that first semester, and into the first year, all work together very collectively with regard to that -- that student, or students, in their first semester.

And they've come -- they've come to realize that, for their benefit, as well as the students' benefit, the way that they have their office space is best designed for them in that way.

So it isn't, I suppose, something we impart on them. It's something they have asked of us.

However, since you've raised it, I have -I'm going to go back, I have got to go back, and ask
our faculty, you know: What is it, in terms of how
they would like their space to be, as it relates to
themselves, as well as the students?

So I'm going to go back and do a survey, and I'll let you know.

SENATOR MAY: Yeah, it wasn't -- it wasn't so much the physical conditions, although I was wondering, how do you do office hours? How do you have a private conversation?

1 FRANCES FELSER: They work it out. SENATOR MAY: Yeah, I'm sure they do. 2 FRANCES FELSER: They work it out. 3 SENATOR MAY: But it was more, you know, 4 higher ed depends on educated faculty. 5 FRANCES FELSER: 6 Right. SENATOR MAY: And we have a crisis in higher 7 education right now with adjuncts at -- at the 8 9 public and -- and independent institutions getting 10 underpaid. 11 And I worry that they're further being 12 undercut, potentially, by some of your institutions 13 that have, it seems like, fewer controls and less 14 faculty power, in a way, over this process. So I -- this is --15 16 FRANCES FELSER: So to answer your second 17 question, you know, the regulations -- New York State regulations, as well as what I would call 18 "academic convention," require our faculty to be 19 20 content experts. 21 Most are, if not all, are master's degrees or 22 beyond. 23 The point that I would like to make, though, is the fact that not all content experts --24

And we know education is a profession.

25

Right?

-- the individuals who go on to teach at the post -- at the secondary level, you know, to be an educator, it takes the techniques to be a good pedagogist, instructional deliverer.

Our content experts who come to us with the MSs or the Ph.D.s aren't necessarily the best of educators in a classroom. Right?

SENATOR MAY: I'm aware, that's a problem, yes.

FRANCES FELSER: So we -- we spend a significant amount of effort having our content experts learn how to be educators.

That's what we do, and that's what allows our students in their first term, first year, to be more successful.

Let me answer your final question.

Our faculty are paid at market. If -- and if not, I would say better than market.

SENATOR MAY: Okay.

Yeah, I guess I was thinking, if we're going to ask the question, "how long does it take your students to pay off their loans?" how long does it take your faculty to pay off the loans that they had to take out in order to get the degrees that they

1 have in order to teach at your institution? MARC JEROME: But if you visited a number of 2 3 our institutions, you would find a very long-tenured faculty. 4 My institution, I have the data here, 5 6 61 percent have been on faculty full-time more than 7 10 years. Another 14 percent have been there more than 20 years. 8 It's an incredibly diverse faculty that's 9 dedicated to the institution. 10 11 The pay is strong, that's why they're not 12 leaving. 13 And, so, as it is with everything else, we 14 are a diverse group: Upstate/downstate, 15 associate/bachelor/master's, 16 residential/non-residential. 17 Even in SUNY there's a diversity of institutions and a diversity of faculty. 18 19 MICHAEL HATTEN: So we have an average salary 20 of our full-time teachers/faculty, once again, we're 21 fairly new as a degree-granting institution, it 22 averages -- they're all full-time, no part-time, and 23 it averages about \$85,000 a year.

They range from master's degrees,

They're experts in their field.

24

25

baccalaureate; mostly associate degrees because it's -- automotive and diesel is a very technical -- highly technical. And we don't really have a lot of pathways for folks in the state of New York to get a baccalaureate and a master's degree in automotive.

Maybe in the future we will, but we don't right now.

But they all have to meet the standards, the NATEF standards, ASA standards.

So they're expert, master, certified, in all of the disciplines that we teach, and the outcomes verify the quality of our faculty.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

CHRISTOPHER BARTO: And I would just add, at LIM College, as a master-degree-granting institution, in the academic disciplines, there is a requirement that most of those faculty hold a doctorate.

And within the field of business, most of those are folks who have at least a master's degree.

Amongst the adjunct faculty there are folks who are practitioners within the fashion industry, who teach specific disciplines, like, something like a textiles course, who have relevant experience in that area and may not have an advanced degree, but

have the experience in the industry that our students are looking for.

Their salaries are competitive.

Our full-time faculty, the average time on faculty is over nine years.

And our adjunct faculty, their average time is five-plus years on our faculty.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: May I just quickly follow up to Senator May's question?

At CUNY and SUNY we have a problem of too many adjuncts.

What's your percentage of full-time faculty?

MARC JEROME: Well, I mean, I'm not sure we would accept a conclusion about it being a problem, because, with certain, more practical programs, having an adjunct faculty is actually a great strength.

So, in criminal justice, if the district attorney of The Bronx would like to teach two classes, you know, we think it's an excellent addition to our faculty, and the students especially, in certain fields, have a great appreciation for the people with real experience, sometimes, over the people that have the earned

Ph.D. 1 But I think all of our institutions have a 2 high percentage of full-time faculty. 3 SENATOR STAVISKY: Assemblyman Epstein. 4 5 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Yeah, can you just tell us, when you're saying "high," what do you mean, 6 7 "percentage"? MARC JEROME: You know what? I don't -- I'd 8 have to go back and get the actual. 9 10 I don't want to answer it correctly. 11 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So -- because you're 12 saying it's -- it's all everyone, basically, is 13

full-time. So are you saying 70 to 80 percent, or are

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARC JEROME: No, it's not less than

50 percent, but I would -- I don't know the answer off the top of my head, and it's my apology.

I will get the answer for you.

you saying, like, less than 50 percent?

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: I appreciate that.

And then when you said "tenured," are they tenured positions? Or are they just -- when you said "tenured," what did you mean?

MARC JEROME: "Long tenured," which means they've worked for many, many years. Not tenure.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Not tenure. 1 2 MARC JEROME: And -- and -- but, in my institution, the faculty actually voted on tenure, 3 and voted not to have a tenure system. 4 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: At your school? 5 MARC JEROME: At my institution. 6 7 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: But not unionized, not tenured? 8 9 MARC JEROME: Yes. 10 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And any the for-profits 11 a unitized faculty? 12 And so there's a tenure system for yours? 13 FRANCES FELSER: We don't have a tenured 14 system, but we do have faculty, in certain 15 communities, that are union. 16 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And so -- and they --17 what percentage of the faculty are full-time versus 18 the adjuncts? FRANCES FELSER: You know, it's interesting 19 20 you asked that question, because we don't look at it 21 quite that way. 22 We look at it as, which per -- what 23 percentage of faculty are teaching the students, and how much of it is full-time versus part-time. 24 25 Right?

1 Because we believe, like my colleague said, that there's a good balance between a faculty member 2 who is full-time, and also an individual who is 3 coming in with that -- the world experience, if you 4 5 will, from a context-expert perspective. 6 To answer your question, we try to keep it 7 around 50/50. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: So 50 percent are 8 full-time, 50 percent are people who come for a 9 couple of classes, or, adjuncts? 10 11 FRANCES FELSER: Students are exposed to 12 50 percent of full-time instructor or 50 percent --13 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And what percentage of 14 your instructors are full-time versus adjunct? 15 FRANCES FELSER: That, I don't know, because 16 we look at it the other way. 17 We look at it from the students' perspective. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Is there a way that you 18 19 could get us? 20 FRANCES FELSER: I can get that to you, sure. 21 ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Good. 22 And so, you know, obviously, this issue, 23

And so, you know, obviously, this issue, we're all -- our all goal is to ensure that students get high-quality education --

FRANCES FELSER: Sure.

24

25

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: -- get good jobs that are high-paying, and become successful adults.

And we've all read stories, you know, the city commission on, what, consumer affairs who was at a for-profit college recently.

So how do we prevent the abuses that we've seen and are complained, where, you know, people are pressured into signing on to student loans and they didn't know what they were, they didn't understand the debt they were borrowing, they weren't able to graduate, and they had all of this debt service, they used up all their TAP dollars?

We're trying to avoid those situations.

I assume you all are, and we are, and we're trying to figure out how to get there.

And so -- so our job is to kind of look at the system and figure out if there are additional protections that we need to put into place to protect those vulnerable students who are going to be -- that are maybe hoodwinked by someone who is not doing the right thing, to sign on to a -- to a -- to a program that doesn't actually meet their needs.

So how do we -- how do we all get there?

Because, in my mind, maybe not in your minds,

we're not there today, because we still see complaints that are still going on.

FRANCES FELSER: So at Bryant & Stratton

College, we have a program, where we actually hire a

third party to --

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: But it's not statutory, but you do it yourself?

FRANCES FELSER: We do it ourselves. Right?

-- and it -- and what we do is, we survey

students -- perspective students; students who have

either come to us and enrolled, or those who came to

us and didn't enroll.

And we -- the survey group are experts at this in terms of asking the questions in a certain way, so that you get a good understanding of the roll of what our admissions representatives have said, or not said, as well as what our financial-aid advisers have said versus not said.

So questions such as, the differentiation between a grant and a loan, right, making sure that that was articulated, and the person heard it and understood it.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Right.

FRANCES FELSER: I mean, have -- our studies come back spectacularly, believe and -- and -- but,

as with anything else, we're an

continuous-improvement institution, and so there's

always something that you can do to learn from that,

and make change if you had to.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Well, this third-party system, do you find that to be beneficial, then?

Is that something you put into place because you thought it allowed students to be able to have this neutral party to be involved in this conversation?

FRANCES FELSER: We found it -- we found it as another way of ensuring our integrity.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Good.

Thank you.

MARC JEROME: I mean, there are a few other things.

You could have a code of conduct.

We have a code of conduct.

I personally, as the president, insist on meeting all new admissions and financial aid and employees, and talk to them about, you know, putting the interests of students first, and always acting in the best interests of students and their families.

So there are things out there.

As we've heard before, SED could use more resources.

But I guess what I would ask, you know, for all of you is, is not to paint a brush of many institutions when we have an issue come to the fore with one or two institutions, because, every year, there are things that happen with SUNY, CUNY, and the independents as well.

And we're not having hearings about preventing college closures because of College of New Rochelle, or, if there was a CUNY story in "The Post," we're not having hearings on that.

So, you know, we hear one school at a time, but we think there's a lot we do, and there's a lot that SED could do.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Uhm, Chair Stavisky, is it okay if I ask some questions?

SENATOR STAVISKY: Sorry.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: No, that's okay.

Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: I'm looking at the Scorecards.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thanks again.

All of you -- well, thank you for your testimony today.

All of you are part of the APC; right? 1 MICHAEL HATTEN: 2 No. 3 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: You're not? MICHAEL HATTEN: Independent. 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. 5 And this 20 -- out of all the schools that 6 7 are in --I know, I see her in the audience. 8 9 -- but out all, how many -- okay, so I'll 10 table that question. 11 One of the complaints -- or, one of the 12 things that SUNY and CUNY are working on very 13 diligently right now, is to increase the number of 14 faculty members that look like their students, and 15 that is a big problem. 16 SUNY has a whole roll-out that the chancellor 17 has -- the new chancellor has instituted, because there's a lack of faculty that look like the 18 19 students that they serve. 20 And you -- all of you have said that you 21 serve especially larger populations of Black and 22 Latino students. 23 What does your faculty look like, and what are your numbers? 24 25 MARC JEROME: I mean, I would say the

Monroe College faculty is one of the most diverse faculties in the country, especially with African-American and Latino faculty.

I don't have the exact data in front of me, but we consistently hear from students that one of the reasons, if they've transferred, especially from a large public -- a public institution, that they like Monroe, is because the faculty look like them and they resemble, you know, and they relate to them.

So I will absolutely get you that data.

The data is very strong.

MICHAEL HATTEN: I could say that, off the top of my head, probably 60, 65 percent are minority, and the other 30, 40 percent are not.

CHRISTOPHER BARTO: Assemblywoman, we can certainly, at LIM, get you that information.

We have a very diverse faculty, again, a mix of academics and practitioners from the fashion industry.

And we routinely get very high marks from our students about their interaction with faculty.

In fact, a student-based metric is

The Princeton Review "Top Northeast Colleges," and
we have, for 14 years in a row, been listed as an

institution that gets extremely high marks, and has made it into that distinctive category of the top northeast colleges in the United States by

The Princeton Review, and student surveys.

FRANCES FELSER: I would say ours varies by campus. We might have more diversity at one location versus another.

Collectively, I would say, though,
Assemblywoman, we need to do better at that.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I think -- well, yeah, I also know that where you're located is different than where these three schools are located.

Because, what we're finding is, there's a -throughout education there is a lack of teachers,
faculty, especially in the cities, where, if you're
thinking about success of students, and seeing
themselves to be successful in education, it's
important to have those models in front of them.

So one of the things, I asked that question, because I relatively know the numbers are higher in your institutions than sometimes CUNY and SUNY.

And so for -- I represent Queens.

I'm happy to say that this is the only degree-granting institution I have in my district.

So, my role is to make sure that they're offering the quality education that I know that our students deserve.

And a lot of that is involved with making sure that the faculty is qualified, and making sure that you're doing recruitment, because, if we're talking about the -- this narrative, where for-profit colleges aren't doing their fair share, from my experience, I'm seeing that the schools are hiring more people that look like the students they serve.

But also, to Mr. Jerome, I'm surprised you didn't say that the Speaker of the Assembly also taught at Monroe College, and use Darcel Clark as an example.

I think it's important to have those practitioners because, a lot of times, students are, sometimes, if they get some -- if they get professors in front of them that they don't always relate to that attributes to their success.

So that is definitely a concern.

I mean, Mr. Jerome, I know you've done a lot of work outside of Monroe, nationally, and when it comes to federal oversight, and so forth.

But can you please talk about the process

that SUNY -- not SUNY -- that the SED has oversight of your schools?

Because I know you said, four years, Mr. Hatten, that you had to go through.

But SED, as well as the department of edu--U.S. Department of Education, they do their audits, and you're all Middle States-accredited. Right?

So you have three bodies that you have to answer to on an annual basis.

MARC JEROME: I just want to give you one comment on this.

50 years ago the Department of Ed made the decision to have for-profit degree-granting institutions meet all the same standards.

It was historic.

The states that went the business model, saying, no, your businesses, like California, have the exact opposite effect of what I think was intended.

And so in New York, you have to have libraries. We have dormitories. We have Division I athletics.

We do not have a proliferation of multi-campus storefronts all over the state, like the states that adopted the other approaches.

1 And in some ways I feel bad, because there's 2 one report that gave New York a bad grade for not regulating for-profit colleges differently, when, in 3 fact, New York deserved the "A" on that report. 4 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: All of you are --6 are -- when's the last time any of you have had an 7 audit by USDoE? CHRISTOPHER BARTO: In 2011, LIM had a 8 9 federal program review. And, in 2015, we had a review by the state 10 11 comptroller's office for our participation in the 12 TAP program. 13 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And it was -- and 14 you're --15 CHRISTOPHER BARTO: The results were 16 extremely positive. The findings were exceptionally 17 nominal. 18 And -- and we received positive feedback in both instances. 19 20 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I asked that because, 21 I think, you know, when we started talking about 22 for-profit colleges, the oversight is there. You have the Middle States accreditation. 23 24 You have oversight from SED.

You have oversight from the federal

25

government.

So while I think -- I understand the aim of it, I don't understand it was, basically, to cut out any vitality that the sector had.

So, I thank the Chair, but I don't have any more questions.

But I would like to visit your institutions.

I know I was supposed to visit LIM the other day, LIM, but I had a family issue.

So, I will be back.

CHRISTOPHER BARTO: We welcome you back.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Mike, I'll call you, so -- so that you know.

And you're still on the advisory council, Mr. (indiscernible)?

MICHAEL HATTEN: They just don't want to let me go.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Really?

MICHAEL HATTEN: And they -- the Governor has in front of him an applicant, a very well-qualified applicant, to take over the chair, and we're still waiting for that appointment.

But until that time comes, I will remain active as a chairman of the advisory council for licensed private career schools.

Even though I'm on the other side, I will do 1 2 everything I can to add to the quality that's already there. 3 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Okay. 5 Thank you. 6 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 7 Any other questions? MICHAEL HATTEN: Thank you. 8 9 MARC JEROME: Thank you. 10 CHRISTOPHER BARTO: Thank you. 11 SENATOR STAVISKY: I just want to ask one 12 other question. 13 On the -- sorry, about that. 14 On the -- you spoke about the -- the 15 evaluations, the... 16 Are there any suggestions you would make, in 17 terms of metrics, or information, or data, that would make it easier to take a look at those schools 18 that are in need of remediation, so to speak, those 19 20 schools who need some additional help to be brought 21 up to the standards that other schools have? MARC JEROME: I mean, I made a few proposals. 22 23 One is, just to look at risk. SED would look at institutions where 24

enrollment is declining very precipitously, or

25

increasing very precipitously, because that is normally problematic on both sides.

And, currently, I'm not sure anyone tracks that.

So that would be one of my recommendations.

FRANCES FELSER: The other alternative might be to look at, you know, the same sec -- the -- look at program length, and perhaps socioeconomic similarities, and evaluate, do some averages in standard deviations.

And those that are at the lower end of the standard deviations which should be the ones you should focus on.

MICHAEL HATTEN: I'd just like to add a comment.

We have -- from -- from -- this is from my viewpoint.

We have more than enough matrix out there, and I know there are a few out there that we could probably add.

I want to go back to what I said initially: Strengthen the State Education Department.

There's enough quality professionals there to do the job, and I think that will get us to where you ultimately want to go.

1 SENATOR STAVISKY: Because my concern, quite frankly, are the number of students who are 2 3 graduating with debt that they are -- have trouble paying off, and looking for a job in the area in 4 which they were trained, and I think that is an area 5 6 that can need -- not with you, but with other 7 institutions, can use some improvement. Thank you all for coming. 8 SPEAKER NOT ON VIDEO: Thank you, Senator. 9 SENATOR STAVISKY: And now we have the 10 11 consumer organizations. We have, Kirsten Keefe, senior staff attorney 12 13 at the Empire Justice Center; 14 Ramond Curtis, Veterans Education Success; 15 Gary Schachter (sic), commander, and 16 James Casey, department adjunct (sic), of the 17 American Legion; Kyle Boxhorn, paralegal, Western New York Law 18 19 Center; 20 And, Johnson Tyler, senior attorney, consumer 21 and foreclosure unit, Brooklyn Legal Services. 22 KIRSTEN KEEFE: Thank you, Senator. 23 And also, Kyle Boxhorn, I -- he was traveling 24 from Buffalo this morning.

He sent me an e-mail a little bit ago, that

25

he was having car problems on the way, and waiting for AAA. So he might come in, but he asked me to relay that to the Committee, with his apologies.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Whatever.

Why don't you go, you want to go first?

KIRSTEN KEEFE: Sure.

So, my name is Kirsten Keefe again. I'm a senior attorney with the Empire Justice Center. I'm located here in Albany, New York.

I really want to thank Senator Stavisky and the Committee for holding this hearing today and addressing the issue around for-profit colleges and proprietary schools.

It is really important that New York is addressing it at this point in time when the federal government is clearly leaving us high and dry in terms of protections, even a base level of protections, to ferret out the worst of the for-profit schools.

I really want to applaud the New York State Education Department for their efforts.

It is difficult for me to think about, Marc wanted to give an "A" to New York State for protecting students.

And from our perspective, I just think of the

hundreds of students that are coming into the offices of legal-service providers and others, and the calls that I get, I don't even provide direct services at this point in time to students, but the calls that I'm receiving from students, about the awful situations that they are in.

And I really want to encourage the State this session to provide the State Education Department with more resources and really strong tools, and also to think about resources -- greater resources statewide, to really get at this problem.

It's not just a matter of students going deeply into debt, which is certainly a national conversation.

It is much more, I think, of an issue of students going into debt and not being able to repay it.

I know the Committee has heard in other -or, the Senate has heard and the Assembly has heard
in other hearings the issues that students have been
having, but student-loan debt never goes away.

I spent the last 20 years as a homeowner advocate dealing with mortgages.

I thought that was the worst kind of debt because of the size of the debt.

But, you know, you can lose your home, you can file for bankruptcy, you can get out from under a note; you can start anew. And I have seen that time and time again with families.

Student-loan debt is even worse.

You know, we are certainly reaching a time where a lot of student-loan debt is now mortgage-size for a lot of students, but even more so, even for that student who is only ten, twenty, thirty thousand dollars in debt, that follows you until death.

So it is really critical the state

Legislature jumped on the bandwagon as soon as we started identifying predatory mortgage loans in this state. We put in very strong protections to prevent the worst abuses and high-cost home loans, and then we added more protections for folks around subprime home mortgages.

And that is what the State needs to do now in terms of the types of colleges, the most predatory colleges and proprietary schools, that are out there targeting students.

I also want to say that I firmly believe the student-loan issue and the for-profit issue is a civil rights issue.

When you look at the debt, so, there's a statistic that, in New York State, 72 percent of African-American students who attended a for-profit school default on their student loan within 12 years of completion or leaving that program.

72 percent; that's almost three-quarters are defaulting on their students loans for students who attended for-profits.

That's compared to 24 percent of

African-American students who attended -- who have

never attended a for-profit school who default.

That is a problem.

The National Caucus of Black Legislative

Leaders passed a resolution in December of 2018,

calling on states to address this as an issue for

African-Americans in this country.

And I would also just like to highlight that, that this is disproportionately impacting individuals of color and communities of color.

Student-loan debt is not also just personal to the individual, but it impacts communities, and it certainly impacts the individual's ability in other aspects of their life, not just in having this debt, but their ability to move forward; get married, buy houses, you know, accumulate wealth in

other ways.

My testimony addresses the questions that were posed to the advocates.

I will just go over them generally, and what I've provided in my written testimony.

One question was about metrics.

And one thing that I want to say about metrics is, metrics can be complicated. You will probably never have a perfect metric.

To be -- to ideally assess whether or not a school is providing an adequate education and really serving their students well, I think you would really have to look at all of the circumstances and have a very resourced State Education Department in order to do that.

We are probably never going to get there, and so my biggest fear is that New York State is going to fail to act because there is this sense that we cannot come up with the perfect metric.

Metrics do show signs, and I want to encourage the Legislature to not -- to not think of it as just individual metrics.

Metrics can be signs to trigger further investigation, there can be a layered approach.

It's difficult to advocate for one metric

over another when I think a much more comprehensive scheme could be useful.

But the metrics that I -- I suggested in my testimony I think are not uncommon of metrics that other states have looked at and adopted, or are considering adopting.

And that's certainly other advocates are proposing.

Some sort of, you know, 80/20, 90/10, rule.

The Governor proposed the 80/20 rule, requiring no more than a certain percentage of a school's revenue come from government sources, taxpayer dollars, and, you know, encouraging

New York State, as the Governor's proposal did, to close a loophole that, on the federal level, the 90/10 has been discussed, allows schools to count veterans' benefits --

And I'll let Ramond talk much more about this.

-- but, veterans' benefits to count as their non-government sources, which makes no common sense in the world.

But some sort of measure to sort of assess whether or not this school has market value is necessary.

Another metric could be looking at the cost of tuition and fees to the individual, versus the cost to the school of student instruction.

Repayment rates, and not just whether or not a borrower -- or, student-loan borrower is paying anything back on their student loans, but whether or not they're really paying on the principal, and not indentured servitudes for the rest of their lives on this loan, is necessary to look at.

I think earnings, the gainful-employment rule, I was part of the negotiated rule-making, I was an alternate.

Johnson Tyler was the lead negotiator on behalf of legal services' clients last year, that Marc was also involved in, Marc Jerome.

But I think some form of the gainful-employment rule should be employed in New York State, and can be employed in New York State.

Again, no measure is going to be exact.

Every -- any kind of metric that anybody comes up with in any aspect of life could be considered arbitrary and capricious.

It doesn't necessarily mean that it is.

And the gainful-employment measure is a base

level where there is information available to determine: Did this person take on too much debt, or did the cost of the program cost so much more than the earnings really play out to be, for individuals coming out of programs.

And, also, the growth rate of schools I think could also be sort of another standard to be looked at.

I just want to highlight that, you know, just like throughout the mortgage crisis that we had, when, you know, the typical question was: A bank -- you know, why would banks lend people money they can't afford to pay back?

And there is this assumption that, banks, there's some regulation on banks in terms of lending, and that lend -- banks aren't lending you money that they know, they're not making you a loan that they know, from day one is going to fail.

Right?

Obviously, they were doing that, and there was no regulation at that point in time stopping them from doing that.

But the average homeowner believed that there was oversight and regulation.

And I think the average student looking at

college, I think an average person, 50 or 60, with a lot of experience in their lives, would think this, but, certainly, somebody with a lot less experience, at 18 or 19, is going to think that there is some oversight and some real regulation about bad schools, and that New York State is not going to provide them with tuition assistance to attend a bad school, and the federal government isn't going to give them a loan to attend a school that is really setting them up for failure.

So, you know, there has to be great oversight, and there has to be real sanctions.

Disclosure of all the metrics and the standards is never going to be enough.

You know, I go into Best Buy and I rely on the person telling me about the speakers that I want to buy, even though I go onto "Consumer Reports" and I do my homework.

It is human nature to rely on what people are telling you and what you might read on paper.

It is very difficult to read charts and graphs and statistics about institutions, and do your own evaluation, without some sort of interpretation of that.

So I think a lot of the metrics, they should

be publicly available information, but just disclosure alone is never going to be enough.

I will also add that a lot of low-income students don't have access to computers.

We found this out when we were representing students after Corinthian College's Everest

Institute closed in Rochester, and Empire Justice

Center started helping a lot of those students

because there were no other resources at that -- in that area at that point in time to help students.

And we realized that it was, really, almost

50 percent, or more, of students don't even have a computer at home.

So when you think about, you know, if most people are relying on handheld, although, actually, the statistics are very low of low-income people even having smartphones to be able to see their information, you can't be looking at charts on your handheld, you know, and requiring somebody to just, you know, be doing their own due diligence and their own research to determine whether or not a school is bad -- good or bad for them.

So disclosures are never going to be enough.

They are helpful, but we really do need real sanctions.

I would add that, in sanctions, and, you know, exactly what the sanctions should be, whether it should be closing a program, whether it should be closing a school, I could imagine a tiered system, depending on different pieces of it.

I -- you know, I would agree that there should be warnings to schools, but, we need to act on it, because, as bad schools exist, even if it takes a year or two or five to investigate a bad school, students are attending that school, they are taking out loans, and they are, potentially, tied to those loans for the rest of their lives.

So I would also encourage the Legislature to start looking at some increasing borrower protections for students who we do fail to protect and allow a bad school to exist in New York State and allow students to go -- to go to bad schools and be harmed by those bad schools.

I think New York State also has to think about additional ways, enhancing protections for those borrowers, not only a private right of action to allow a student to go after a school if they were harmed, but, also, you know, including some real relief to students, so their lives aren't absolutely ruined by the five or ten or twenty, whatever,

thousand dollars in student loans that they took out, and, you know, then have no opportunity to try again at a better school.

Finally, and I'll just end with, there were questions around marketing and advertising.

I certainly think there should be limits on marketing and advertising.

You know, when I step in this room and sitting in this room today, you know, if we asked everybody to sort of raise their hands in how many people are here from the for-profit sector,

I imagine it outnumbers -- it certainly outnumbers the number of consumer advocates, I know.

You know, there are a lot of resources in this industry, and we are outnumbered as consumer advocates trying to do public education to students, and counselors trying to do education to students.

I think the State is probably outresourced in terms of any public education that they would like to do to state -- to students, to try to educate them on how to best pick a good school for them to go to.

But there is really -- and -- and I want to add that, the public colleges and universities, and the non-profit colleges and universities, do not

have the resources, the same resources, that the for-profits are spending on marketing and targeting students to come to their institutions.

There are many stories that have been brought out through prior hearings.

I know that this hearing, folks didn't want to focus on individual student stories, but there are a lot of stories and a lot of evidence out there of the heavy marketing and targeting that goes on.

So I think there needs to be limits in marketing, both in terms of maybe dollar limits or percentage limits that schools are able to spend, as well as, I think other -- there could be other consumer protections.

You know, I know when I was searching around on websites of for-profits, you know, you immediately get a pop-up on a lot of sites. And you can't even access further basic information about that school unless you hand over, you know, your contact information and open yourself up to heavy targeting.

So I'm happy to answer any questions at the end.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Why don't we give everybody a chance to make their presentation, and

then ask, like we did for the other group.

RAMOND CURTIS: Good afternoon,

Senator Stavisky and the remaining member of

Congress.

Thank you for this time today.

My name is Ramond Curtis. I'm with Veterans Education Success.

I'm also a combat veteran; served from 2003 to 2009. I was in Iraq in '05-'06.

I was one of the lucky ones who didn't fall for proprietary targeted marketing, and was able to attend an Ivy League school with my education benefits from the military, and left debt-free.

So it's shocking to me, the prices that

I heard about how much students pay at these
proprietary schools, especially knowing outcomes.

We work with about 9,000 students across -well, former students/veterans from across the
nation. And I am overflowing with awful stories
that we were asked not to bring today, so I won't go
any further into that.

I like that we're talking about pricing.

I think that's a very important aspect of this entire issue, because we're talking about, student-loan debt that has taken off in the nation,

has now surpassed credit card debt.

We're asking the questions: Well, is the product worth the price?

So, the U.S. federal government came up with a way of solving this issue. It's called the "90/10 rule."

And when we're doing other things, like when we're -- as in using taxpayer dollars to purchase a new jet, or build a highway, or something like that, the way in which we ensure that we're paying a fair price is that we have a bidding happen between those -- between the different companies that might do that. And then we take the best possible solution from that.

When we're doing -- when we're paying for medical care from taxpayer dollars, the hospital or the doctor or the specialist can send a bill to the government and say, "This is what it costs," and then the government tells them what they're going to pay.

This is how we make sure that we're paying a fair price on those things.

We have systems.

The systems set in place was the 90/10 rule, set in 1992.

It was 85/15. And then, shortly thereafter, due to excessive proprietary lobbying, it was changed to 90/10.

Move, flash-forward, to when student -- when they created the post-9/11 GI Bill, which is distinctly different from the Regular GI Bill, as well as vocational rehabilitation under the Department of Defense Act.

When those benefits were established, they, unfortunately, fell outside of what the 90/10 rule defines as "federal student aid."

And so what that does, is it turns every single veteran who has benefits, and family members who are using their benefits, into a cash cow.

To give you a simple example of this, five veterans maxing out their GI Bill at a for-profit private school legally authorizes that school to take in an additional \$1 million in federal student aid.

So what we have here is a regulation that was intended to help us set the price, and understand why a school that charges \$27,000 a year for students to go there is experiencing the same performance rates as community colleges.

It baffles me that that was used as an excuse

when we were down here today, because community colleges cost a quarter of that.

The reason is, because they have -- they deal with low-income students, minority students, first-generation students, oftentimes having to leave school, come back.

Their performance rates don't reflect that of for-profit -- non-profit private schools or public schools, you know, four-year schools.

That's the difference here, that's what we're talking about.

If they're having the same outcome as community colleges, then why are they charging four times the outcome?

Why are students getting into tens of thousands of dollars of debt?

And this is why: It's because of the loophole.

So I'd like to also tell you that, I am very happy to report that the Oregon House Education

Committee has passed a bill to the floor to close the "90/10" loophole, one that I specifically helped draft and helped educate them on what that is.

It has passed through House. We have every reason to believe that it will pass the House. The

difficult lift was the committee.

The same thing happened in California just yesterday.

Oregon was on Monday.

California was yesterday.

In California it was passed, along with six other bills, that are regulating the for-profit colleges.

 $\label{eq:solution} \mbox{So I'm very happy and excited to express} \\ \mbox{that.}$

But, primarily, my focus is on the 90/10 loophole closure, because I believe that that is the essential aspect of ensuring that schools are not overcharging students for the product that they're providing.

I agree with everyone in this room that these schools should be providing a very necessary product.

They serve a population, that the whole purpose of federal student aid existing was to help lift this population up out of poverty, offer them success, and bring them to a place where they would be able to sustain themselves and support themselves.

Now we have a regulation that was intended to

regulate these prices, that is no longer functioning, and we have veterans across the country who are being defrauded, to exploit a loophole, so that these schools can increase their revenues exponentially.

And let me be very quick, this is about practices. This is not about anything -- this is not about specific schools.

This is about predatory practices that you cannot escape.

If you are a private school and you enlist veterans, then you are partaking in this loophole. Isn't it your fault.

Furthermore, many of these larger schools that are more successful have a fiduciary duty to their shareholders to increase profits.

If it's legal, then they're required to do it, in fact, by the law, to ensure that they're increasing these profits as much as they possibly can, and so of course they're going to focus on enrolling students.

I'd like to read something from a report that came out of one of the reports from the Veterans Education Success.

SENATOR STAVISKY: It's time.

RAMOND CURTIS: I apologize.

If I may, just very quickly, again, there are only four of us, and nine of the others, just to get some of this information out.

But, internal corporate e-mails obtained by the U.S. Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pension, during its two-year investigation, show executives at the largest for-profit colleges actively discussing their military strategy on federal funds and the need to accelerate -- quote, accelerate military billing and collections to keep under the 90 percent cap on federal aid.

So if I may, this is the essential part; this is what will help a lot of the solutions, and bring these institutions back to a place where they are serving those who need it the most.

Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

Commander.

GARY M. SCHACHER: Senator Stavisky, distinguished members of the Committee, I thank you for the time to speak during this hearing.

My name is Gary Schacher. I am the New York department commander for the largest veteran service

organization in the country.

I do not come here with an ideological agenda.

We are not interested in attacking one sector of higher education or another; but, rather, to ask the Senate Higher Education Committee a simple question: How can we better come together as a state to protect veterans and service members transitioning to higher education, completing degree programs, and obtaining career-ready skills?

As the drafters of the original GI Bill, the American Legion's abiding imperative has been to promote policies that ensure that every single veteran or service member who enrolls in higher education graduates with a credential or degree that is worth the cost and leads to meaningful employment, which will allow them to lead a successful life after their time of service.

We can report, that it is a fact that veterans are often singled out and targeted with deceptive, fraudulent, and predatory college-recruiting practices.

The Eisenhower Administration first discovered this with the original GI Bill, and the phenomena continues 75 years later.

1 The impact on veterans today is real.

Thousands of veterans have filed complaints with the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, including many from New York State.

An example is from Chans (ph.) P. from

Fort Drum, and I quote: After graduating,

I proceeded to attempt to get a job and no one would

hire me, or even give me a chance, because I was

from WyoTech, even though I was third in my class.

Because of stories like these, in 2017 our national membership passed "Resolution Number 78: Support Greater GI Bill Outcomes," by closing the 90/10 loophole.

With the passage of this resolution, the American Legion joined veteran military-service organizations nationwide in calling for the closure of the 90/10 loophole.

By exploiting this loophole, for-profit colleges count GI Bill benefits as private funds, offsetting the 90 percent cap they otherwise face on the access to federal student aid, an accounting practice that nearly two dozen state attorneys general have said violates the intent of the law.

As former Consumer Financial Protection

Bureau Director Holly Petraeus has written, "The

90/10 loophole gives for-profit colleges an incentive to see service members as nothing more than dollar signs in uniform and to use aggressive marketing to draw them in."

In December, a U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs internal audit highlighted for-profit schools' deceptive advertising campaigns used to recruit veterans, and warned that the government will waste \$2.3 billion over the next five years if changes are not made to reel in the abuse.

In addition to the veterans who have been exploited by bad schools, we are also concerned about veterans attending schools that unexpectedly close.

Thousands of veterans attended

Corinthian colleges and ITT Tech before their

campuses abruptly closed.

And we are receiving more and more reports on veterans who attended Argosy University, that are now without any transfer options.

To add a quantitive component to the troubling closures, in 2016 the Department of Education issued a report, that 191 colleges received more than 90 percent of their revenue from federal student-aid GI Bill education benefits and

DOD education programs.

Expanding upon this, Veteran Education

Success research highlights the heavy and growing

reliance of proprietary schools on such educational

benefits, and suggests that sectors targeting of

veterans and service members has helped so often the

impact of its overall enrollment decreases.

For-profit colleges can change this practice by improving their education and appealing to employers, as DeVry demonstrated when it voluntarily closed the 90/10 loophole and reduced its overall reliance on federal student aid.

Regardless of legislative action, this should be a commonsense goal that for-profit schools set in order to establish quality assurance.

Even as there are federal dollars -- even as these are federal dollars, the American Legion Department of New York asserts that our state has a critical responsibility to enforce policies and rules that protect veterans and service members, especially from schools and programs that will leave them in deep debt for worthless degrees, to not lead to gainful employment, and recruit using fraudulent claims.

In conclusion, we should expect nothing less

than the Empire State to lead the country in establishing innovative and meaningful accountability metrics for the quality of education, and it is critical that this includes protections for New York's student veterans.

As long as military education and GI Bill funds are counted on the 10 percent side of the 90/10 rule, our veterans will have a target on their backs in the shape of a dollar sign.

Thank you again for this opportunity to testify before this Committee.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

And, Mr. Tyler.

JOHNSON TYLER: Good afternoon.

Thank you, Senator Stavisky, for inviting Legal Services NYC to testify today.

Thank you Senator and Assemblywoman for sticking around to hear our testimony as well.

I work at Legal Services NYC.

This is the largest provider of free legal services in the country, and I specialize in student loans.

I've been working in this area for a long time, and I help many students who go to SUNY schools, who go to private schools, go to

Columbia University, go to Cornell, with student-loan problems.

But what I see often, over and over again, are students who go to for-profit schools, and their big problem, generally, is, they have no skills, they have a lot of debt.

And, in dealing with this problem, the question has always arisen: Is it anecdotal?

I work at a place that helps low-income people. They are going to have problems with their -- repaying their debts because they're low-income and they qualify for some of our services.

But what -- there is empirical evidence, and that's the College ScoreCard.

So I have spent a lot of time pouring over the College Scorecard. I've done didn't for many years now.

This has lots of information compiled by -from schools by the Department of Education, but
also from the U.S. Treasury on tax returns.

So it reports income that is reported, not voluntarily, it's part of your tax return, as to how much you're earning.

So there's a way that the federal government

is able to associate people who complete a FAFSA form and put their Social Security number on it, with their tax returns, years going forward, and they can apply to the institution that they went to.

Having said that, I have written extensively for this Committee in response to the questions.

But in looking at all this data, because

I spent a lot of time looking at it, there is

something that really emerges fairly starkly that

I'd like to share, and that has to do with associate

degrees, the two-year-degree colleges.

These are schools that, if you look at how the CUNY system and the SUNY system performs for community college, they do a really good job.

Do they do a perfect job?

No, but, there's very little debt that's taken out to go to these schools.

And for CUNY schools in New York City,
7 percent of the students borrow. Everyone else is
getting grants, Pell grants or TAP grants, and
leaving debt-free.

So when I look at these -- those schools, they're really engines of great opportunity.

And when you look at the College Scorecard you can see, later on, students actually who go to

the community colleges capitalize on it.

They're earning, by and large, about \$36,000 is the median income that's getting reported

10 years after they've originally filled out that first FAFSA form.

So they're actually -- do they go skyrocket into the middle class?

No, but they're certainly moving out of poverty, and they -- those schools take in a lot of Pell students.

The majority of the students at the CUNY schools are Pell-student recipients, and they're people of color.

The five community colleges in New York City have 90 percent people of color in them.

So these are people who are highly at risk and they do well at these schools.

So when I see someone who goes to a for-profit associate's-degree program and has no skills, it really is disturbing, because they could have gone to a community college and had a better chance, and at the very least, if they're really not college material, so to speak, they could have left without any debt; and that debt comes back and gets collected and paid year after year after year.

So when you look at -- when you look at all of the associate programs, there are actually about 10 programs that do a terrible job educating people, and do a terrible job in terms of repayment.

So the students who go to those schools end up with about the earning power of \$24,000 ten years later.

That's really nothing.

They're not doing better than a high school -- someone who was just a high school graduate, because the average earning for them is \$28,000 ten years after leaving high school.

So they've failed, and they have -- on the median when they leave school, they have about \$12,000 of debt.

So they have a huge amount of debt. They've really been railroaded in the wrong place.

So that group of people, how many people are we talking about?

We're talking about 17,000 people every year who are going to those schools.

So some of these schools, we hear about how they're mom-and-pop schools.

One of them had a equity investor that took partial ownership of the school in 2006. The rate

of the school enrolled at that time, prior to the equity people coming in, 6,000 students.

They shot up to 13,000 students shortly thereafter. They operated in multiple states, including New York.

And now they've done -- that's the "back" group, because they've gotten their money. The 10-year equity capital investors, they sort of work that way. They put in the money for 10 years, and they take it out.

But -- so there is a huge amount of profit motivation that's going on here, and there are metrics, that I've outlined in my written testimony, that can identify them.

So the metrics that I would use to identify are:

Earnings after six years of touching the school, what percentage of students are earning more than a high school diploma?

Most of the for-profit -- the for-profit industry, on a whole, does less than half of that.

So more than half the students, six years after they touch a for-profit school, are earning less than a high school graduate.

So that's one way to look at it.

I'm not saying you should take that average and knock out the whole industry, but you can look at some schools.

LIM, for example, did real -- does really good on this score. They do okay on this, I think.

And I get some of these mixed up.

But some of the schools, and I've put in the testimony, they actually do quite well when you look at this stuff. But others do quite poorly.

So that would be one of the metrics I would look at.

The other one has to do with the repayment rate, which also takes into account the amount of debt that is accumulated, and the ability to repay it down.

Because those are the people -- and when you look at those numbers that are associated with who's having trouble repaying them, those people are more likely to be subject to garnishment; tax-refund intercepts, which includes your earned-income tax credit. So that's money that could have come into the state.

So that would be the other metric I would apply.

I want to address one question that keeps

coming up: Why are we focusing on this sector?

Let's do it for everyone so that it's equal among all sectors, being the for-profit and the non-profit and the private non-profit.

I mean, let's look at -- just look at the whole school industry right now.

There are a little under a million students going to schools in New York State.

About 351,000 go to private for-profits;

560 to go public schools -- 560,000 go;

And fifty-two or fifty-three each year are
going to for-profit schools.

But the for-profit schools are in the news all the time over this problem. They're the people who are coming into my door.

I was here only two months ago, where there was all this news that came out about schools closing down.

And I found a whole new set of clients who have just come in from the Dream Center schools.

That closed down a few weeks ago.

I had a client come in today and say:

I didn't get, what happened to, my living-expense allowance?

Well, you know, they're -- they've taken

\$18 million that was designed to go to students for their living expenses, and they gave it to other creditors within the organization, and then they filed for bankruptcy or went out of business.

So the sector does have a problem in profit motivation that results in a lack of quality.

Another problem I see over and over again, it's just -- when you end up in an associate's program at a for-profit school, if it's not a good for-profit school, they're going to charge you through the roof for something that is really not necessarily a good investment.

So I had a client, I outlined in my testimony about this, from ASA College, who does a terrible job in educating people on every single one of these metrics that I've discussed, and you can also look at other metrics that are in my testimony, and he's paid, between the Pell grant that he received and the loans he took out --

SENATOR STAVISKY: It's time.

JOHNSON TYLER: -- he paid \$40,000 for an associate's degree in business administration, and he couldn't find a job after that.

So, thank you for listening to my testimony.

1 SENATOR STAVISKY: Okay. Thank you. Do you have a question? 2 3 Senator May. SENATOR MAY: Thank you all for your 4 5 testimony. 6 And let me follow up on that, because one of 7 the things we heard from the previous group was that the for-profit model is really not that different 8 from the non-profit model. 9 10 But, do you have a sense of where the profits 11 go? 12 Are they put back into the education, or are 13 they extracted? 14 And if they're extracted, does that mean 15 taxpayer dollars for -- for, you know, student aid 16 are just going into someone's pocket? 17 JOHNSON TYLER: You know, I don't know where the money goes, but I can tell you that DeVry, 18 19 that recently -- has been in the news for years, the 20 FTC sued them, got a \$100 million settlement. 21 One of my clients got \$250 out of that 22 settlement, and still owes \$25,000, so, big deal. 23 Their current executive gets paid about 24 \$11 million between -- between the salary, which is

\$2 million, plus \$9 million in equity compensation.

25

So money does go for profit.

Money also goes towards recruiting more students.

I -- my colleague Kirsten mentioned these pop-ups.

I was doing research on one of these cases, and I couldn't get beyond the pop-up at ASA College. So I put in my telephone number and my name, just out of -- impulsively, and I received, within 15 minutes, a phone call, and I received thereafter a phone call every single day for three weeks, from that recruiter.

So after that I was, like, okay, I'm just going to do an experiment and see where -- what -- what's the Borough of Manhattan Community College going to do when I ask for more information, because they have a little thing on their website.

So I did that, and they said, "Thank you for your information." It was like an automatic return. "We'll get to you shortly."

I never heard from them.

So a lot of money goes to recruitment, and that's because money can be made.

I mean, I had a client recently who signed up at Mildred Elley College, and she's paying \$32,400

for a medical-assistant degree for two years.

You know, these places are expensive.

And she didn't even know that.

She was 20 years old. She didn't even know what she was signing up for.

I mean, she knew what she what was studying, but she didn't understand that she had taken on any of debt.

So...

KIRSTEN KEEFE: Can I ask to just respond?

One of the Governor's proposals was, it would require for-profit schools to disclose their financial statements.

So I think, you know, your question, and we don't know, you know, at the very least, right, we should also be increasing the amount of information that the schools are providing to the State, as well as publicly, to be able to answer these questions.

RAMOND CURTIS: We have a whistleblower from Ashford --

I understand they don't have a location here in the city, but just to understand, because a lot of these, especially the larger ones, function very similarly.

-- a whistleblower from Ashford who

participated in their annual report. And he explained that, predominantly, the profits go to a -- profits, so, it goes to their investors, as well as legal services and lobbying.

This is a very -- for them, this was a very expensive panel.

And also lawsuits when they are found -- you know, just last January there was a lawsuit with -- not Corinthian, but a lawsuit with 49 state attorneys general, and the settlement was half a billion dollars.

This is where the money goes.

It's not going into instruction.

It's going into pay their legal fees, to pay their lobbyists, and to pay their investors, and to pay their marketing teams and advertisements that are -- you know, they look like they were created by the U.S. Air Force or the U.S. Army.

These are professionally, expensively made.

They're also sending individuals to veterans homes, by the way, after they get out.

This the type of aggressive marketing is also very expensive.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Assemblyman, do you have a

question?

2 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Yes.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Assemblyman Epstein?

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: (Indicating.)

Thank you very much for testifying.

I have a couple of questions.

Ms. Keefe, you mentioned -- can you be more specific, for New York State and New York State schools, and differentiate between proprietary colleges and the non-degree sector?

Can you -- do you have any specific examples?

KIRSTEN KEEFE: If you're talking about data,

I don't.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Because you kept saying "bad schools."

So one of the things I -- I want this testimony, so that we make sure we go after the bad actors, because if it's the bad schools that are giving the schools that are trying to be compliant, we want to make sure we get the bad schools.

And you said that several times in your testimony.

KIRSTEN KEEFE: So the "bad schools" that I'm referring to are schools that are not properly serving the students that are going to them, whether

it's a degree-granting school or whether it's a certificate or a trade -- more of a trade school, typically thought of as a trade school.

But it's really the schools that are, you know, not providing adequate education for students to come out and making more than they could have made if they hadn't attended that school, and, in addition, making enough money to be able to repay their loans, you know, such as the gainful-employment record, you know, compares schools.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: So when you talked about the heavy marketing and targeting, and having protections, you're just generalizing over the entire sector?

You're not specifically saying that there's any schools in New York City or around the state that are particularly bad actors; you're just generalizing the whole industry?

KIRSTEN KEEFE: I'm not saying that all the -- so, I'm not accusing, if that's what you interpret it as.

I'm sorry.

I'm not accusing all for-profit schools of bad activity.

I was trying to express that there is aggressive marketing and targeting of students within the for-profit sector.

And that I think it would be helpful if there were limits put around either pot -- you know, a person, potentially, a dollar percentage.

One of the questions I think that was posed sort of insinuated that that is being considered.

And then also some general regulations around marketing and targeting, you know, requiring schools, for example, to know whether they are a public institution, a non-profit institution, a for-profit institution, and maybe some other limits on marketing and how aggressively.

You know, I would love to see a prohibition that first states in -- for schools, non-profit or for-profit, but if you want to access information on the website, you don't have to first put in your information in order. That there should be some level of basic information that's available.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: That's a lot businesses, not just education --

KIRSTEN KEEFE: Well --

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: -- we know throughout the (indiscernible).

Any -- any -- any area you're going, on any website, unless you're blocking pops-up, they pop up. Right?

KIRSTEN KEEFE: Yes.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: You could go into a DSW, and then the next day I look at my phone and all of these shoes are, you know, on my phone.

RAMOND CURTIS: May I also contribute to that just a little bit? Because --

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I'll come to you, I'm coming to you.

Mr. Tyler, one of the things --

And this is the thing: I want us to make sure we get those bad actors.

-- of the students -- of the former students that come to seeking legal services, are you able to provide them any recourse using USDoE for loan repayment, the State Education Department? Do you collaborate with BPSS?

Because a lot of times I'm hearing the intertwining of the college sectors with the non-degree schools.

So, I'm wondering, are you able to provide any recourse or working with SED to make sure -- or USDoE, to make sure these some of these students are

able to get loan repayment? 1 JOHNSON TYLER: (No audio.) 2 So almost all of the students -- sorry. 3 Almost every student is coming in with a 4 financial problem, that's what brings them into the 5 office, usually a tax-refund intercept. 6 7 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: And they didn't get their taxes; right? 8 JOHNSON TYLER: They didn't get their taxes, 9 and they're in default. 10 11 So I get them out of default and I get them 12 into a payment plan -- an income-driven repayment 13 plan, some working exclusively with the 14 U.S. Department of Education in fixing the loan. 15 We do try to get loans forgiven, there are 16 discharge provisions. 17 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Right. 18 JOHNSON TYLER: Those are, the ones we have 19 success are on, are people that are disabled, people 20 getting Social Security. So that's an elderly 21 population. 22

The younger group who have been ripped off by schools, we have been filing borrower defense applications.

23

24

25

I filed one in 2016. It still wasn't ruled

on even by the Obama Administration.

They are not ruling on these things.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Even less now.

JOHNSON TYLER: With even -- oh, much less now.

So there are about 100,000 of them pending as of last May when someone last counted.

So there really isn't that sort of relief unless you're disabled.

Everyone else, the relief they're getting, is they're getting a payment plan, which means the interest is just accumulating.

And for young people that's, you know, pretty depressing and difficult, when they're -- you know, for example, if you had -- if you're a single person earning \$30,000, you're going to pay \$100 a month.

But if you have a debt that's about \$40,000, that debt is actually, despite those \$100 payments, is going to keep increasing.

So that's a pretty terrible situation.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: When these individuals come to you and they -- their taxes have been taken away, and they can't, how many -- what length of time did they attend the school, and what length of time do they come to you?

Because usually if your taxes are being taken away, it's because a period of years that have passed by, where they, unfortunately, did not pay or make any attempts to pay, and then the taxes are taken away.

JOHNSON TYLER: Right, right.

It's usually, I would say, predominantly, the people who are coming with tax-refund intercepts are people who went to school and got nothing out of it.

So either they completed the education and thought it was a waste time;

Or, like this person I just mentioned before at Mildred Elley, but she's current on her things, but she dropped out. She's, like, the instruction thinks stinks. I'm not doing this again. This is a waste my time.

But she still owes a debt she has to repay, which I informed her.

So to answer your question, "what percentage of the people are completers or not completers?" it's hard to say.

I would say it's a mixture. It's certainly a mixture.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I'm concerned about that young person because, if that school was not

giving the education that they purported that they 1 were going to give her, do you contact the 2 3 institution, or do you just --JOHNSON TYLER: Oh, no, we can't do that. 4 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: You're not allowed? 5 6 JOHNSON TYLER: Yeah -- I mean, we could do 7 that, but it's just -- it's not productive. I mean, I have so many clients, it's not 8 likely that I'm going to get anywhere on an 9 individual basis, doing something like that. 10 11 I mean, the problem with, you know, every 12 single school, every single client that comes in with a problem, it's not a problem I can fix to 13 14 reform Mildred Elley. 15 I think it's this body's job to say, we're 16 not going to give TAP money to schools that don't 17 perform. ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Well, that's a long 18 19 process. And we have to --20 JOHNSON TYLER: But I certainly --21 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: -- SED would have to 22 do an investigation --23 JOHNSON TYLER: -it certainly --24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: -- OCUE would have --25 OCUE and USDoE, because -- and this is the thing,

1 like, we have several bodies in New York State. And then, to Mr. Curtis, a lot of the 2 examples you gave seem to be outside of New York 3 State. 4 You said Corinthian College or WyoTech. 5 6 For them, they don't operate --RAMOND CURTIS: I don't believe that was --7 WyoTech was not -- I was not --8 9 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I'm sorry, I'm sorry. 10 Commander, you mentioned those schools. But the information -- a lot of information 11 12 you give is not specific to New York State. 13 RAMOND CURTIS: Is that a -- maybe I can help 14 illuminate. 15 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Because I want to 16 make -- we -- in New York State we have a lot of 17 layers. 18 You have the State Education Department. 19 You have U.S. -- well, USDoE, and then you 20 have the accrediting associations that accredit 21 these schools. 22 So the examples you gave of Oregon and California, are those schools -- is that the 23 situation in New York? 24 25 Are we missing something?

RAMOND CURTIS: Yes.

So what I -- and I want to clarify something, and that's why I wanted to speak to the question that you were asking her.

This isn't about bad schools.

These -- the concept, the institution itself, functioning properly, serves a demographic that we need to be serving in this state; for me, especially veterans.

However, because the federal law that is supposed to be establishing the price isn't functioning, because they're not incorporating all of taxpayer dollars, then we aren't getting a proper price set on this.

We aren't doing it in the same way that we price everything else.

And to also be clear, when we're talking about DSW, that's not a comparable example because we don't get given vouchers from taxpayer dollars to pay for these shoes.

That's our own dollar bills that we can spend on absolutely anything else, and we make the choice to either purchase the shoes, or purchase food, or something else, and we make that decision based on our own evaluation of the quality of the product.

Now, that changes when we're using these vouchers.

It's the reason that the federal government established the regulation that you must receive 10 percent of your revenue from a private source.

And that can be any private source. It doesn't have to be from the student.

So the closure that's happening at the state levels in New York, that's completely the same availability, because this isn't also about -- we can't tell you who to -- how to enroll veterans.

That's done by the state-approving agency.

We're also not talking about that.

We're talking about closing the loophole that allows them to leverage veterans' benefits to exploit a loophole and increase their revenue by nine times for each single veteran.

And so --

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: That letter you read was from what -- what state was that from?

RAMOND CURTIS: The quote?

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I can't talk about what -- yeah.

RAMOND CURTIS: Oh, that was a report that the Veteran --

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: You read an letter, or an e-mail.

RAMOND CURTIS: -- I read a quote from a report that Veterans Education Success put together, based off of the 2012 Senate report from the Health Department.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: No, you read an e-mail, where the school was specifically targeting veterans for how much money they would get.

RAMOND CURTIS: Oh, yes.

It was an -- internal e-mails that were received, from the Senate report, that speak about how they're -- they need to increase their -- they need to expedite their enrollment and the funds coming from veterans, so that --

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: It was from a report?

RAMOND CURTIS: Yes.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: So do you don't have a specific location where they were doing these practices?

Because --

RAMOND CURTIS: Oh, absolutely.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: -- one of the other examples you gave, I'm not sure it was this hearing, but the previous hearings, like, people were

actually on college -- I mean, on these campuses or at veterans centers, recruiting veterans.

Is that happening in New York State?
RAMOND CURTIS: Yes, absolutely.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Can you -- see, that bothers me, because of the service that has been given to this country, we want to make sure that this stops.

If ASA is a bad actor, then they need to -we need to make sure that this is something that
stops.

They're also not part of APC, which, you know -- and that's another way, an association is making sure that their members are giving standard and quality education to the students.

So I think the -- the onus on this body is to make sure that, in New York State, we are protecting all students, whatever institution of higher education they choose to go to, and that I believe is the purpose.

RAMOND CURTIS: I can actually walk through exactly how we can do that in New York State, from beginning to end.

I was the one who came up with the idea of how we're doing in it California, and in Oregon as

well.

It has to do with the licensing agency in the state who approves the state to -- or, approves the for-profit private school to enroll students in the state.

All you have to do is incorporate into the regulation, which already exists, and the very amazing regulation that exists in New York, incorporate an additional regulation that strengths the language that already exists at the federal law, and instead of saying -- because the federal law defines "federal tuition assistance" as Title IV.

That only incorporates the subsidized student loan and the Pell grant.

It doesn't incorporate benefits for veterans under the post-9/11 GI Bill. It doesn't include benefits for foster children either.

So these individuals, the money is being -is coming from the same place, it's coming from
taxpayers. But -- which, by the way, TAP as well is
coming from taxpayers.

And yet these schools are allowed to legally count it as private funds.

Now, it's not an intentional thing. It is an unintentional loophole.

And so to fix it, we can't regulate the federal funding, but the State of New York can say, If you're receiving this much in taxpayer dollars, then you can't enroll students in this state because you are clearly not proving yourself as a viable business if your business model is based upon enrolling and exploiting a loophole.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you.

Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Assemblyman Epstein.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: I want to thank you all for being here and taking the time to give us this really -- really vital information.

And I just wanted to, maybe if someone can respond around default rates, and what you're seeing in the for-profits, not, you know, three years out, five years, or ten years out.

Are you seeing higher default rates in for-profits than you're seeing in other institutions, as why?

Can you -- can you help us understand that a little more?

RAMOND CURTIS: Yes, absolutely I can.

Again, I've been -- I've been bouncing all over the states.

I -- the state policy manager is going from different capitals, dealing with this in different ways, and seeing different angles.

Unfortunately, the Century Foundation was not able to be here to testify, but you all should have an extensive testimony submitted by Bob Shireman, who is one of the greatest experts, I would say, in the country on this issue, having worked in it for decades.

However, I will say that the default rate for students, now, right now, we're not counting it at five years, we're counting it at three. So everything that's being disclosed by the school is on a three-year default rate.

And as many of us know, you can defer.

And a lot of these schools are -- one of the bad practices -- again, it's practices, not schools -- bad practices is encouraging them and facilitating them to defer.

And then once they get past that three-year point, they go into default.

It's not only that, but they've accumulated an incredible amount of interest on these loans as well.

So to get around that, to show that their

students aren't defaulting within the three years, they invest in systems that help the students defer up until they're past that point when the government is measuring it.

Right now it's a five-year point, it's 40 percent.

And, do you recall the percentage of schools in the state of New York that make up the for-profit sector in students?

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: 3 percent. Right?
RAMOND CURTIS: Yes.

So those numbers are incredibly concerning. Incredibly concerning.

And one of the primary issues, and I will keep going back to this, because I believe that one way to end a lot of these bad practices is to stop incentivizing these schools to legally inflate their 10 percent private section.

And I believe that one of the mistakes that we encountered with the budget proposal, was it was set at 80/20.

That 10 percent difference is negligible in comparison to closing a loophole that is making a million more dollars per five veterans that max out their GI Bill at the school.

A million more dollars. 1 That would change the face of how for-profit 2 schools operate in this state. 3 KIRSTEN KEEFE: So I do have some specific 4 statistics from the Century Foundation regarding 5 defaults. 6 7 So, according to their research, 47 percent of students who are attending for-profits default 8 9 12 years out, when looking 12 years out of college. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: This is New York State 10 11 data? 12 KIRSTEN KEEFE: This is New York State, yes. 13 So 47 percent of students who went to a 14 for-profit. 15 That's compared to 11 percent of students who 16 are in default 12 years out who attended a public, 17 and 10 percent for students who attended a 18 non-profit. ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: And how recent is that 19 20 data? Do you know? 21 Is it --KIRSTEN KEEFE: I think this is based on 22 2000 -- oh, I don't know. 23 24 RAMOND CURTIS: It's a '17 report.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: 2017.

25

So it could be on '16 or '15 data?

KIRSTEN KEEFE: Yeah.

And then the other statistic that I'll just share is, seven -- so according to their research, 7 percent of the students who are attending higher education in New York State are attending for-profit schools. I should say only 7 percent.

But, that, for-profit students who attended a for-profit make up 25 percent of the students who are in default, and I think that's three years out --

RAMOND CURTIS: That's the three-year.

KIRSTEN KEEFE: -- in New York State.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Great.

And so -- I know we've talked about some ways to fix this, but one thing I'm really interested in is this idea that, what we see now with other schools, where the for-profit is incentivized, sometimes, to have people on staff who are encouraging people to attend.

Is there a requirement of a third party at the early stages of enrollment, does that help -- do you think that would help in any way to kind of get to these issues?

Or, is this a good choice?

This -- what is the actual dollars? What are 1 2 you borrowing? What are you getting? And so forth. Does that help at all, or is that not useful? 3 KIRSTEN KEEFE: So I don't -- I can't say 4 from experience whether it helps or not. 5 6 I -- one thing that I will say, so, one, 7 there are a whole group of financial counselors for high school-level students. Right? 8 9 And I certainly think that could and should be enhanced. 10 11 I don't know how much it exists. 12 The counselors that I know of, the groups are 13 located in New York City. 14 And one I did want to highlight for the 15 Committee, that the resources outside of New York 16 City are really nil for students, certainly 17 student-loan borrowers after they leave schools. 18 You know, there are three organizations at 19 least in New York City that have, I think, very 20 robust student-loan assistance programs: Legal 21 Services NYC, of course. MFJ. NYLAG. 22 There are probably others in New York City.

They are starting to do work with

Western New York Law Center.

Kyle Boxhorn was supposed to be here from the

23

24

25

student-loan borrowers.

Our office, Empire Justice Center, in Rochester was providing assistance only for the Corinthian students because it was such an urgent need because of the immediate closure of that school.

But we don't have the resources and capacity to do it.

And I don't know of another legal-services program outside of New York City and Western New York Law Center that has like a dedicated program.

And I -- and my sense is that that's the same in the financial-counseling or the student-counseling world as well.

I just don't know of a lot of groups that exist outside of New York City, not that they don't, but, you know, I just don't know.

So I just wanted to raise that dichotomy.

I'm often representing, you know, the rest of the state.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Well, I do worry, though, that once they to get to a legal-services office, it's too late in the game.

KIRSTEN KEEFE: Correct, yes.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Right.

So my hope is to get them up front, to say, you know: At the time that you're going to go, do you know your options well? Are you informed? Are you, like, educated enough to know what you're signing on?

And who's the one who can help that student?

You know, some people, fortunately, have a support network.

Other people don't.

Is available, in place, to help that student make a well-informed decision at the early stage?

Is this for-profit, non-profit, or private school the best place for me to go?

And, then, how do I make that decision?

And, you know, obviously, we're focusing on for-profits here because of the high level of defaults.

But I have to think that this early intervention has got to have an impact on someone making those choices.

RAMOND CURTIS: Absolutely.

One of the issues, if I can, just quickly, what we're facing right now is that it is so complicated and convoluted, that it would take an

absolute professional and expert in the field to navigate past what types of tactics are used, deceptive, misleading tactics that are used, by for-profits in order to show that they are a quality school.

Oftentimes you don't know until you've gone to the school, paid the tuition, gone to the classes, gotten a degree, and then realized the difference between the two.

It's difficult to require them to disclose certain things to demonstrate their quality, because it becomes so complicated that, for example, when we're talking about graduation rates that's 150 percent time, if somebody who completes within 150 percent time, and, for purposes that I even understand as a policy wonk, it doesn't include students that drop out.

I would be very interested in knowing how many students drop out of these schools.

JOHNSON TYLER: If I can respond to your question, I think counseling people on these sort of financial endeavors, especially school, I have students who are helping, and they say, I'm going back to ASA, I'm going to TCI, I'm going to another for-profit.

It's really hard to talk people out of what 1 they've decided to do. 2 I think, if you look at California, and 3 I appreciate what you said, Assemblywoman, about it 4 being difficult to take away TAP, but you look at 5 what California did, they just created a metric. 6 7 They had a three-metric thing. If you don't pass these three metrics, we're 8 9 not giving you Cal-Aid. 10 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Cal-Aid? 11 JOHNSON TYLER: Cal-Aid is the equivalent of 12 TAP. 13 Sorry.

So they had a program, and they've taken schools that you stop right in that state, and they've left, they've moved out. And they have better quality schools because of it.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I think that the idea of trying to leave this up to consumers, it's really not fair to consumers.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Got it.

JOHNSON TYLER: And -- thank you.

ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Yeah, I -- so can you, like, share those metrics with us?

It could be would be great to see what

California is doing, and how those metrics could 1 2 apply here in New York. SENATOR STAVISKY: I'd appreciate it if you 3 kept, you know, your answers short. 4 One -- I have one question before Senator Liu 5 6 asks his. 7 You spoke about bad schools. What did you do to resolve the issue? 8 Did you refer incidents to the State 9 10 Education Department? Did you go to court? 11 KIRSTEN KEEFE: Did I -- so we are not 12 currently providing direct services calls that 13 I get, and that's one of the problems that I was 14 trying to highlight. 15 I don't know who actually the best folks are 16 to refer people to. 17 I do encourage folks to file a complaint with 18 the State Education Department and the Office of the 19 Attorney General's Office, typically. 20 But it's -- but we -- we're not doing it, and 21 I don't have a lot of resources to send people to 22 (indiscernible). 23 SENATOR STAVISKY: So you do not --24 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: I just want to say,

the State Education Department will only look at

25

1 complaints if they're within two years of the last date that the student left. 2 So if we could maybe expand that. 3 SENATOR STAVISKY: But they deal with 4 complaints, and that's what I'm asking. 5 ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Maybe we could 6 7 expand --8 SENATOR STAVISKY: Did you file a complaint, 9 or, you know, start at the lowest level, seeking a 10 remedy? 11 KIRSTEN KEEFE: I did not. 12 I mean, I just try to provide the most basic 13 information that I can to consumers who call me with 14 random questions. 15 SENATOR STAVISKY: No, I understand. 16 Senator Liu. 17 SENATOR LIU: Thank you. Thank you, Madame Chair. 18 19 First, thank you for allowing me to take part 20 in this hearing, even though I am not assigned to 21 this Committee. 22 And apologies for my absence before I --23 I actually am assigned to the Transportation, and Finance, committees, where I had to go take votes. 24

But I'm glad to be back.

25

And I'm sorry I missed a lot of the testimony of this particular panel.

But, I have similar questions to what I had asked Commissioner Elia earlier, which is -- or, I guess, maybe they're variations of those questions.

But some of you cite specific examples where you helped individuals who had difficulties with some of the proprietary institutions, you know, loan issues, default issues, not being able to get a job through their placement.

Have your respective organizations helped individuals who have had similar situations with non-profit institutions?

RAMOND CURTIS: Veterans Education Success works strictly with for-profit, veterans who have been defrauded of their benefits through for-profit institutions.

SENATOR LIU: Are you aware that some of these same issues, not being able to pay back loans, not being able to get placed on a job, exists also in non-profit -- non-profit institutions as well?

RAMOND CURTIS: Well, to -- it's a two-part answer, and I'll keep it quick as the Chair requested.

But, first, these same issues exist, but nowhere near at the capacity that they do in the for-profit industry.

And, second, we focus on closing the 90/10 loophole which does not apply to non-profit institutions. They don't get any incentive to exploit veterans' benefits in order to increase the amount of federal student aid that they receive.

Our focus is on closing the 90/10 loophole and protecting veterans from being targets that are cash cows for these schools, for every single private for-profit school that -- you know, that is regulated by the federal government.

SENATOR LIU: Okay. And are you -- would you be in concurrence, or would you not really have any basis to make a judgment, about the contention that the regulations, as proposed by the Governor, would shut down the majority of proprietary institutions?

RAMOND CURTIS: I think that -- the reason -the only reason that any proprietary institution
that was -- and, again, just to focus on just the
80/20 aspect of it, if the 80/20 rule was to close
down your institution, it wouldn't be because it was
an unfair regulation.

It would be because you have practices that

aren't sustainable under a system where you are actually following the law.

If you can't adjust your business practices in order to ensure that at least 10 percent of your students -- or, at least 10 percent of your revenue from tuition is coming from a private source, if you can't at least do that, if that closes you down, then, I apologize, sir, but you are not a financially lucrative business that should be operating.

You are using taxpayer dollars.

SENATOR LIU: So are you of the understanding, or perhaps presumption, that most of these proprietary institutions are bad actors then?

RAMOND CURTIS: So, again, and I need to continue to press this, we are not addressing bad schools.

We are addressing bad practices.

SENATOR LIU: Well, before you just said that if they're going to be shut down, essentially, because they're bad apples --

RAMOND CURTIS: Well, if you were --

SENATOR LIU: -- then so be it.

RAMOND CURTIS: -- right.

If you're using a bad practice, and you have

no other way to operate outside of exploiting a loophole, that isn't -- that's not specific to anyone. I think that would apply to absolutely any business in the state of New York, or the country, for that matter.

If you can't sustain your business without exploiting a loophole that allows to you receive 100 percent of your funds from taxpayer dollars, without anyone actually regulating the price of how you set that, then, of course, I don't believe any business should be operating at that, let alone a for-profit private institution that's job is to educate.

However, every single for-profit private institution does of fall under this loophole.

There are no one -- no one's exempt from it because it's a loophole that is in a law that governs all for-profit private institutions.

The only for-profit private institutions that aren't exploiting this loophole are the ones that do not enroll any veterans, or ones like -- like the commander mentioned earlier, like DeVry, who voluntarily stopped following the loophole, and they count veterans' benefits as federal tuition assistance, and not as private money, as it is

1 intended. SENATOR LIU: Mr. Tyler. 2 3 JOHNSON TYLER: Yeah, Senator Liu, to answer your question, I did prepare testimony two months 4 5 ago. I don't remember the statistics, but all 6 for-profit schools would not have been closed down 7 by --8 9 SENATOR LIU: I'm sorry, say that again? 10 JOHNSON TYLER: -- would not have been closed 11 down. 12 There were a number of schools that passed 13 the metrics that the Governor already proposed. 14 SENATOR LIU: Oh, yeah, I mean, not everyone. 15 But there's a contention that the majority of 16 them would have to close down. 17 RAMOND CURTIS: A claim? JOHNSON TYLER: Would have to change their 18 19 practices to meet the metrics, yes. 20 SENATOR STAVISKY: No, the previous panel 21 testified -- the previous panel --SENATOR LIU: But the head of Monroe 22 23 College --24 SENATOR STAVISKY: -- the head of Monroe College --25

SENATOR LIU: -- said that they'd have to shut down.

SENATOR STAVISKY: -- testified that his office would close down if we had either the 80/20 rule or the 50 percent rule.

RAMOND CURTIS: Just to -- I checked out their numbers from '16-'17. That data is available, the most recent data that is available, from the Department of Education shows that the only school that risked closing down with the 80/20 rule, and, again, this is if they do not change their practices --

Which I think we can all agree that exploiting a loophole is a bad practice.

-- if they do not change their practices, the only school that would close down, possibly, would have been Bryant & Stratton.

The other schools were well within.

And, in fact, I believe Monroe College was the furthest from it.

The amount, even with the amount of veterans that study there, and I think that's wonderful, but the calculations that the number of veterans,

I have, the number of veterans that studied there in '16-'17 that were enrolled, and I have the average

amount that the veterans received per year, and then 1 I have the percentage of federal tuition assistance 2 3 that they received. There was no way that they were going to be 4 5 able to --6 SENATOR LIU: I mean, obviously, 7 Madame Chair, we either have to have some follow-up meetings to get to the bottom of this, or just have 8 an arm wrestling contest right here on the table. 9 [Laughter.] 10 11 RAMOND CURTIS: Well, there's a clear difference between statistics and claims. 12 13 That's another issue that I'm facing with a 14 lot of legislators, is that we are having to show up 15 with ample amount of evidence. And then a 16 for-profit institution can just flood you with 17 claims without providing any actual data that you can verify. 18 Mine is verified from their own 19 20 self-reporting to the federal government. 21 So --22 SENATOR STAVISKY: You will provide with us that information? 23

RAMOND CURTIS: Yes, absolutely.

SENATOR STAVISKY: That's all we're asking.

24

25

1 SENATOR LIU: Yeah, I think this panel has provided useful information. 2 3 It's just --RAMOND CURTIS: You hate to think --4 SENATOR LIU: -- a different --5 6 RAMOND CURTIS: -- (indiscernible) dishonest. 7 SENATOR LIU: -- it's like parallel universe. I feel like I'm in a "Star Trek" episode 8 here. 9 [Laughter.] 10 11 SENATOR LIU: My last question, Madam Chair, 12 for this panel, anybody on the panel, or everybody 13 if possible, is --14 SENATOR STAVISKY: If you would keep your 15 answers --16 SENATOR LIU: Brevity is the soul of wit. -- why don't -- do you have an opinion as to 17 where -- whether for-profit colleges should even 18 19 exist in the first place? 20 RAMOND CURTIS: I have a strong opinion about 21 that. I come from -- I'm first generation, 22 23 low-income, combat war veteran, who worked my way through all of this. 24 25 The product that they are supposed to be

1 providing --SENATOR LIU: Well --2 RAMOND CURTIS: -- right, but this is --3 SENATOR LIU: -- the concept, the college 4 that makes profit. 5 RAMOND CURTIS: -- that's what I mean, the 6 7 concept, the institution -- the concept of the institution is incredibly valuable to those of us 8 that need it the most. 9 10 I went to a community college that didn't 11 have the greatest metrics, Bronx Community College, 12 but, I also didn't find myself under a mountain of 13 debt when I finally finished that degree, and, those credits transferred. 14 15 SENATOR LIU: So you're saying you don't 16 necessarily have anything personal 17 (indiscernible) --RAMOND CURTIS: Against the institution --18 for-profit institutions? 19 20 Absolutely not. I -- I -- I love it. 21 SENATOR LIU: How about, the others organizations, is there any kind of --22 23 RAMOND CURTIS: I think there's certainly a 24 place for for-profit institutions in college and

two-year-degree programs and certificate programs.

25

In fact, I -- when I was younger, I took a certificate program to become an EMT at a for-profit school.

They do a good job, they get you enrolled, they get you out quickly. You pay your check, and you get your -- the work you want to have.

So there certainly is a role for them.

SENATOR LIU: By the way, you have to pay your check at a non-profit institution, including public colleges too, if you want to get your piece of paper.

Yes.

KIRSTEN KEEFE: I mean, I'll just respond by saying, my beef isn't with the school, whether it's non-profit or for-profit.

My focus is on students.

And we hear of, we know, there are a lot of students that are really struggling to pay debt.

When you look at the data, there are a lot of students that are -- you know, have been attending for-profit schools.

There seems to be a disproportionate impact when you look at the data.

I certainly agree that there are concerns with student-loan debt, and that was in the

testimony that I presented earlier.

In the public arena, but, you know, also in the non-profit arena, probably more so in the non-profit arena than the public arena, you know, student-loan debt is a much, much bigger issue.

But it -- there is -- when you look at the numbers, there is a disproportionate impact on students regarding student-loan debt and not being able to pay it back.

Right?

It's not a matter of how badly somebody gets into debt.

It's really about whether or not they can pay it back.

And the numbers do show a disproportionate impact.

Our office was deeply impacted by, you know, students who were coming in the doors by Corinthian.

Those students were not protected by the federal government. There weren't adequate protections and oversight on the state level.

SENATOR LIU: Okay.

Thank you.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

1 RAMOND CURTIS: Thank you very much. 2 SENATOR STAVISKY: And our last panel will be the career schools: 3 Terence Zaleski, executive director and 4 counsel, Coalition of New York State Career Schools; 5 6 Joseph Monaco, Culinary Tech Center; 7 Frank Talty -- if I mispronounced your name, I apologize -- director of Refrigeration Institute; 8 9 Beshoy Boshra, general manager, Austin Medical Assistant Training; 10 11 And, Anthony Civitano, executive director, 12 New York State Beauty School Association. 13 As you noticed, we tried to separate the 14 degree-granting institutions from the career 15 services. 16 TERENCE ZALESKI: Thank you very much, 17 Senator. 18 We appreciate very much the opportunity to 19 come before you today and to address the issue of 20 oversight of for-profit career schools. 21 And, you know, we appreciate the way you've 22 structured the forum, and it makes a whole lot of 23 sense in this manner. 24 Is that on now? 25 SENATOR STAVISKY: Yes.

TERENCE ZALESKI: It is on. 1 2 Okay, great. 3 The -- we -- you have at this hearing table, executive director of the Beauty School Association, 4 and I'm as the executive director of the Coalition 5 6 of New York State Career Schools. 7 And we're going to be, I guess, progressing down the table, sort of, in this order. 8 9 And, I would just like to make a point that, 10 11 12 13

in terms of the Coalition of New York State Career Schools, we are represented today at this hearing by Joe Monaco, who represents the culinary school, and the culinary sector, which is a very important sector in our career-school industry.

We also have Frank Talty, who is the owner of the Refrigeration Institute, which is buildings trades.

And then we have Beshoy Boshra, who is owner of a medical school.

So we tried to have some diversity.

SENATOR STAVISKY: In my Senate district.

TERENCE ZALESKI: Excuse me?

SENATOR STAVISKY: In my Senate district.

TERENCE ZALESKI: And in your Senate

district.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

189 1 Ah, how about that. Very astute about that. 2 [Laughter.] 3 TERENCE ZALESKI: But, you know, alongside me 4 over here in this case, is something that I'm going 5 be leaving behind for the Committee, and if you ask, 6 what's that? 7 What's in here are some materials from 24 --8 9 more than 24 schools, two doz -- more than two dozen schools that are members of the Coalition of Career 10 11 Schools, who are licensed by the State Education 12 Department. 13 And one of the things that we want the Committee to have access to is: 14 15 What it is that a school actually puts out on 16 as its face; what does a catalog for a school like 17 look? What are the details of that catalog? 18 What types of disclosures are contained 19 20 within that information that's provided there? 21 What information is made available to the 22 student? 23 Also, the complaint process, how is that

All of this is laid out in all of the

disclosed in the materials?

24

25

catalogs, and what you'll find is that, because of the very stringent regulations of the State

Education Department and the implementation of

Article 101 of the education law, you'll find that all of these catalogs are consistent:

You'll find the same types of information laid out in there;

You'll find that the enrollment agreements, which are the contracts with the students, are very carefully detailed;

You'll find the refund policies that are clearly stated in there, in terms of what the students are supposed to be receiving.

And, I'd just like to state that, you know, that level of detail, that thoroughness of information, you know, it is all about programs that really have high impact on students' lives, as you'll be hearing.

And we hope that the testimony of the school owners will demonstrate that.

You'll also be finding, if you look at the catalogs for these types of programs, that, you know, the costs are generally quite reasonable.

The levels of student debt are modest while they're in school and at post graduation.

And, in many cases, you'll find that there's -- they're absolutely non-existent.

And one point that I would like to make before the -- before they start to speak, we've heard from consumer advocacy groups and veterans' groups.

One of the things that the Committee may not be fully aware of is the availability of a full refund for students if a complaint is made against one of these schools, and if the State Education Department verifies that complaint.

I mean, you heard the commissioner speak earlier about the tuition-reimbursement account and the way that operates.

I mean, there is a protection there.

A veteran that has concern, that feels that they've been defrauded, can go to the State and file a complaint. And then that complaint, if it is founded, will lead to a full return of that student's money.

And on top of that, it will also trigger not just an investigation into that one instance, but the State Education Department looks to see if there is something more general that's going on, something systemic in the school.

So that a complaint that comes in to the

State Education Department about one of our schools

is something that the State Education Department

takes very seriously, and our schools take very

seriously, because there will be a comprehensive

review, a comprehensive audit, a comprehensive

investigation.

And, if the State Education Department sees that that one student's complaint has some merit, then that, in turn, can lead to a complete reversal and return of funds to a large number of students in a given situation.

And that's something that's often overlooked in this discussion, that level of protection that is available through the TRA in our schools.

But, enough from me as an executive director.

It's more -- you heard from me back in January, and we appreciate the attention that the Committee paid back then at the very late hour that we testified.

More important now, you have to hear from the schools, and with that...

JOSEPH MONACO: Thank you.

TERENCE ZALESKI: Thank you.

JOSEPH MONACO: Senator and Assemblywoman,

1 thank you. And I just wanted to really say thank you for 2 allowing us the opportunity to clarify a few things 3 today. 4 I really want to address why we're here. 5 Six weeks ago, when the Governor proposed the 6 7 two --8 SENATOR STAVISKY: Can I interrupt you for 9 one moment? 10 Can you move the microphones closer to you? JOSEPH MONACO: Of course. 11 12 Better? 13 Great. SENATOR LIU: The Governor likes to hear what 14 15 you're saying. 16 JOSEPH MONACO: Okay. 17 [Laughter.] SENATOR LIU: Just kidding. 18 19 SENATOR STAVISKY: He wants to know if you 20 brought samples. 21 JOSEPH MONACO: I can do that. 22 [Laughter.] 23 JOSEPH MONACO: Senator, first, my name is Joe Monaco. I have been a school owner, an 24 25 operator, of for-profit licensed proprietary schools here in New York State since 1981; for over 35 years.

I have bought, sold, started, a variety of different schools, primarily in the area of culinary education.

Today, I have four licensed schools: one in Buffalo, one in Poughkeepsie, one in New York City, and one in White Plains.

We are probably one of the largest ACCES-VR contractors, and by that I mean, if you're familiar with the old VESID and ACCES-VR, which is providing training services for those with disabilities here in New York State, we are one of those largest contract providers here.

To clarify, I think, why at least I'm here, in my 35 years here, 6 weeks ago was the first time that I ever felt, that regardless of compliance outcomes, what we did, what my staff has done, for students over the years, that I would be out of business like that.

Those two proposals in the Governor's budget, regardless of what you've heard today, the 80/20 and the 50 percent would have totally put me right out of business, both areas. And I believe almost all of the proprietary sector.

I'm the 80/20 front. 1 The 80/20 is not a loophole. 2 3 It's not going up to 90/10 as a loophole for veterans' monies. 4 5 Okay? I have two licensed schools here in New York 6 7 State: one in Buffalo, one in Poughkeepsie. My director is right behind me. She's been 8 9 with me for 24 years. We are 100 percent reliant on ACCES-VR 10 11 dollars. 12 We train nobody but those disabled clients 13 that we get referred from your state agency here. 14 We are very proud of the job that we do for 15 those kids. 16 Learning-disabled, former drug and alcohol 17 abuse, you name it, those disabled students that 18 come to our school go through our program. And our 19 graduations, there is not a dry eye in the place. 20 Okay? 21 We are 100 percent reliant on those funds. 22 We are a contractor. 23 Those counselors elect to send those students 24 to us. They don't have to.

But that 80/10 -- that 80/20 rule would have

25

totally not allowed me to be a licensed provider 1 here in the state. 2 I'm the 50 percent rule, just for clarity. 3 I like hearing today that everyone's talking 4 about instruction, and 50 percent to instruction. 5 6 That's very different than the way it came 7 out. In the Governor's proposed budget, it came 8 out 50 percent to faculty salaries. 9 That would have put me out of business. 10 11 There is no way any school in this state can 12 put 50 percent of its revenue to faculty salaries. 13 It's just not a business model. 14 It will never happen in the for-profit, 15 not-for-profit, public sector, anywhere. 16 So to me, that is why we're here. 17 In terms of our schools and what we do, and what we've contributed, you know, I can tell you, in 18 35 years, I have been subject to, as you heard from 19 20 our commissioner: 21 Every two years, curriculum renewals; 22 Every four-year license renewals; Teacher licenses; 23 24 Who I hire as my directors; 25 Who we hire as our admissions people, and

agents.

And anybody who gives information about our schools, everybody has to be licensed.

Everybody has to either go through a state BPS (sic) training program, or our internal.

We are subject to, here in this state, surprise visits from our associates; from, you heard earlier, the investigative unit of BPSS here in this state, who has a staff.

I've had surprise investigative units show up at my schools.

In my 35 years, I have had three student complaints, I have paid one fine.

And six weeks ago, that could have all been wiped away, and that's why we are really here today.

We are -- I am -- I cannot tell you, my staff, directors, directors of placement, directors of faculty, have been with us for years.

We have an 81 percent graduation rate, and of that graduation rate we place 89 percent in the field.

We recently did a study of our grads, because it's difficult in this state to do business as a proprietary school.

To even be here, I feel like we finally

earned our place at this table and being recognized in higher education.

We did a survey of our graduates.

64 percent of our graduates went to a community college or college.

Of that 64 percent that had gone, 70 percent did not complete.

70 percent did not complete, yet they graduated from us.

Of the 70 that did not complete, what they recognized, and they admitted, was that 83 percent said college wasn't for them.

40 percent acknowledged that they had some learning difficulties.

So, when these proposals came out in the Governor's budget, that would just wipe away the sector, the thing that I think the Committee here in New York State has to recognize is choice is critical here in this state. There is such a problem at higher ed, and students need as much choice.

And I think that was recognized, which is why
I think we did get the support we did get.

But even on a go-forward basis, please recognize that people here need choice. And any

regulations need to be administered across the board 1 for all. 2 Of those 70 percent that did not complete --3 or, of those 30 percent that did receive the --4 5 their degree, 90 percent are not even working in 6 their chosen field of study because, of course, they 7 came to us, and, hopefully, we placed them and were working. 8 9 I disclosed many facts as you required in my written testimony. 10 11 I just have to say that, these proposals, and 12 a lot of what was discussed even earlier, really has 13 a huge impact on our sector. 14 SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you. 15 JOSEPH MONACO: Thank you. 16 Thank you for having us. 17 You're up. 18 FRANK TALTY: Thank you. 19 Thank you, Senator Toby Stavisky, 20 Assemblywoman Alicia Hyndman, and Senator John Liu. 21 It's an honor to be here with you guys today. 22 For anyone who doesn't know me, I am 23 Frank Talty. I'm the founder of the Refrigeration

And I'm the vice president of the Coalition

Institute. We're in our 25th year.

24

25

of New York State Career Schools.

It is my intention to speak to you about my life's work, and the great work my colleagues do here with me today, and to speak to you about the entire private for-profit non-degree-granting sector, and all the amazing students we serve.

The Refrigeration Institute has built many strong relationships with HVAC contractors, hotels, colleges, universities, and departments of New York City and New York State.

For the past 20 years we have built a partnership with one of the finest HVAC companies in New York State, Donnelly Mechanical.

I have a letter from the president of Donnelly Mechanical, John Fallon, which really explains a lot.

It's a short letter, and I have submitted it in my written testimony.

"I have known Frank Talty for over 20 years, and worked with him in the Refrigeration Institute during this time, to not only help recruit new talent to our company, but to also train our existing staff.

"The curricula offered at the school are head and shoulders above the programs in the tri-state

area, and the reason we've partnered to develop our technical teams over the years.

"Frank's approach to teaching and building relationships with his staff, students, and friends is rarely found in these days.

"I highly recommend Frank as a top-tier educator, mentor, and person."

We train college graduates; high school graduates; veterans; people with disabilities; people who have not yet achieved high school diploma, but have a proven ability to benefit from our training.

In our schools, the Refrigeration Institute, the "cool school," regardless of students' background, we start with the basics.

Attention is retention.

So, first, we emphasize the importance of showing up every day on time, no excuses.

If a student cannot commit to excellent attendance right from day one, then they're not ready to begin training.

Our classes begin at 10 a.m., and students start showing up an hour before the class, often by 9:00 a.m.

We teach them how to learn: How to move

information into short-term memory. Then we teach them how to move that information into long-term memory.

Next we work on their self-esteem.

We talk to them about great people from history, like Henry Ford, who said, "Whether you believe you can, or you believe you can't, either way, you're correct."

My students are amazing, but they don't know that, because they believe that they're not smart enough, old enough, young enough, fast enough, slow enough, good enough.

Many believe they're just not enough.

When people told Henry Ford he was not enough, that he could not build a car for around the price of a motorcycle, he took the "t" out of the word "can't," and he stuck that "t" on the front of his car: The Model T Ford.

And the rest is history.

Once the student believes he or she can do this, they begin to learn like never before in their life. Now all things are possible.

This private for-profit non-degree-granting sector, career trade schools, are the most innovative, most flexible, the most responsive, to

whatever the economic need for skilled development in New York State is, to whatever the economic need for skilled development in our society is.

We serve a very important educational role in this state, and we do it well.

This is exactly what we do for so many companies in this state.

I have submitted a letter from

Robert Berninger, director of plant operations,
energy, and engineering, facilities operations
division, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center.

In his letter, he states that "TRI was chosen over many other schools due to its willingness to tailor its program to the needs of MSK. Other schools wanted more students or were unwilling to tailor their program."

Mr. Berninger is very happy with the knowledge TRI instilled in their students, and if they need more engineers, they will partner with TRI again.

This private for-profit non-degree-granting sector is leading New York State in preventing recidivism.

Many of our alumni, within two to five years of graduation, are making over \$100,000 per year.

Making just half that amount is an amazing accomplishment.

And this is how we are not just talking about generational change. Together, our sector is making true generational change.

In conclusion, like many of my colleagues in the for-profit non-degree-granting education sector, I feel I was born to do this; that this is my calling in life.

It is where I combine the skills that I have learned in my life, and my ability to communicate those skills to others, for the purpose of enriching lives, and the lives of their children and grandchildren.

I love my work.

I feel I do a good job of refrigeration, air conditioning, training, with the strong electrical component, the same as my peers who train people in electricity, plumbing, carpentry, medical programs, culinary, computers, automotive, and the beauty industry, as well as many other career paths.

I hope to teach and help change lives for the rest of my working days.

Thank you so much.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

BESHOY BOSHRA: Good afternoon, Senators and Assembly --

SENATOR STAVISKY: Would you get a little closer to the mic.

BESHOY BOSHRA: My name is Beshoy. I come from Austin Medical Assistant Training in Forest Hills, Queens.

I would like to say, about Austin Medical, why I have this school.

Particularly, this is not my first business.

I have before the school, three other business.

I'm doing very good in this business.

I have a lot of people who work with me.

We making successful service for all of our patients, because my business is related to the medical field, and some business not related to the medical field.

In one point, when we tried to hire people coming from other schools, we tried to get some people who's experienced that can serve the patient, because we deal with health, and we need people who really care about people life.

And we found a little bit hard to get people with experience that can help our patient or help

our doctor offices, or when we send people to the hospital; so people that like helps patients, and giving us good service for our patient.

Until we get to the point, we have one of our medical assistant, and I would like to say that she was coming from community college. And when we hire her to work with patient, and, (indiscernible), what all of us know, when we go to doctor office, the first thing we do, vital sign.

We realize she doesn't know how she can do vital sign.

And this is the basic thing in the medical field.

From this point, I decide, me and my team, to start doing school to train the student, to give them the qualification, and make them successful in this field.

And from here, coming Austin Medical
Assistant Training, to be having the student, train
them the right way in the field, give them job, and
put them in the field.

We get a lot of student. We don't do any -- a lot of advertisers.

Even in the building, like, I don't have a sign in the building. There's a small sign on the

front door.

But, when we start with a couple of student, all my student have it now, (indiscernible) in my report, almost talking about 45 student, all of them coming of the network from another student.

All of them, they get job.

And the good thing in the school here, they not only get job after graduate, we have 100 percent job placement after graduate. All of them work on the field. It is not anything related to the field, on the medical field.

And I have 95 percent of my student, they are working in the field.

They understand the program, they understand the school. And we send them to work with doctor office and hospital, and they are still continue education with us.

We're very happy we have this service for,

I don't want to say young people, because,

(indiscernible), we take like 20 and up years,

people coming in. And they are able to understand
what they coming for.

They knows, when they sign the enrollment agreement with our school, they has all the details, break it down for everything, paying how much the

tuition books and registration fee. They know they have the seven days. If doesn't want to continue the school, they can take all the money back.

They knows all the stuff from the school, the refund policy, it is in the enrollment agreement they sign, and how they can get the money back.

So, basically, it has every single detail to understand how the school and program work.

What surprise me for some times are the running the school, and work in the medical field, a lot of people coming in.

And, before, I was not reported this names, but now I start to report after the budget, and stuff like. We receive some students coming from community college.

They has a medical assistant program, and they want to take the program again.

And I have the student names, because we start to report that.

And the questions is, they ask them, they pay, but they doesn't get the quality education, and they come back to our school to get training because, as a private school, we're focused with the hand-on.

At the career school, if our student doesn't

have experience and hand-on, they will never found job.

And this is where we're focused, to give the student the experience and the hand-on before they start the career.

And I'm think this is why we have 95 percent of our students still in the school, continue the classes, and they get hired.

How much we charge, and I hear the group before us was talking about the prices we charge.

It surprised me, ultrasound program, we charge \$25,000 for two years and a half.

One of the school, and I say community college in Long Island, they charge you 43,000.

EKG, we charge 400.

Other school, Laguardia, charge 963.

And, this is just some of the programs.

(Indiscernible) we charge 500. Other schools charge 1,540.

So is double what we do with our student.

In the end, and to be honest, after a couple of months is when we started the school, was all this rules and regulations, and -- and -- and killing us.

We decide, me and my team, we have a meeting,

because we said, why we have this stuff for business? It was all just regulations, and rules, and killing ourself. And our time is spent from 7 a.m. until 10 and 11 p.m. in the office, report. When we finish with the report, another report coming in, and they asking for every single details.

And I just submit report for credential for all my students: The name, the phone number. Where they get hired, the phone number of the place. Who is the manager? And, how many student apply, how many student accept?

Like, it is, like, crazy.

Like, I all my business before, I don't have to spend all this time, and I'm making a lot of money.

And now when I get this business, is to be honest with you, is a lot of headache.

But, we have a meeting, this is what we decide, to continue education, and because of one reason:

All my business, what we do, it just dealing with business, making money, we're good.

But this is the first time we feel, I did all for all the business before, my signature, I don't feel it when I sign a check for over two hundred,

three hundred thousand. I don't feel when I sign those checks like that.

But, when I start to sign the certificate for a student, I start to feel the value, I start to feel I'm giving my student, life, future, a career to start.

So this is what we decide to continue, the school, because we really focus about our student, to give them life and future.

It is not everything we have to spend the time to get money.

Sometimes we need to spend time in our school to give other people life and futures.

Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

ANTHONY CIVITANO: Thank you,

Senator Stavisky, Senator Liu, and the Honorable Assemblywoman Hyndman.

My name is Anthony Civitano.

And I am here on behalf of the -- I'm the executive director of the New York State Beauty School Association, as well as a former school owner.

I'm a second-generation licensed hairdresser, second-generation school-owner.

And I'm here to tell the story of our students and our schools.

I'm going to jump around from the aggregate a little bit, and then to my own experiences.

The intent for my testimony is to demonstrate the rigors of regulation and oversight that for-profit schools, non-degree-granting schools, must abide by to operate in the state of New York.

As you've heard from many of my colleagues, the education law, 5001, is a very well-equipped oversight tool for the State Education Department to have oversight over us.

As mentioned before, all of our schools are licensed, our curriculums are approved and licensed, our directors are licensed.

And I think one of the most important things, as we speak about predatory schools, is that our agents are licensed, and they're the only ones that are allowed to speak to the students, or potential students as they come to inquire about our schools.

In the Beauty School Association, we're teaching the non-traditional student.

These are not students that go the traditional pathways of higher education.

Many of them have tried in the past to go to

that route, or many want to -- they come out of high school knowing that this is what they want to do.

So rather than go to a two- or a four-year degree-granting school for, I don't know what, which is what they have generally go for, they're coming to our school for the trade of their choice.

They know what they want to do.

Many of them are second- or third-generation hairdressers, makeup artists, estheticians, nail technicians; whatever you have.

So when they come to us, they have a very focused intent on what they're going to do at our schools.

Our programs are promulgated by the Department of State.

So the Department of State is what gives us our 1,000-hour core curriculum, and then the schools write their own curriculums around that core curriculum.

We have to teach that 1,000 hours.

We cannot deviate. We cannot change anything. We can't even change nomenclature.

We keep it exact and succinct to what the Department of State licenses us for.

The BPSS has the oversight for that, it gets

approved through their rigors, and then we start to teach.

When our students graduate, they are ready to sit for a written and a practical exam, which then licenses them for general business law, to be licensed as a hairdresser, or whatever chosen program they've taken in our institutions.

I'm sad to see that some of the Senators

leave today, because I definitely want to address

some of the misguiding -- misguidance about default

rates or about completion rates.

Many of our schools, if not all of the 30 schools that we represent, are accredited institutions.

So, in the journey to become an accredited institution, you have to be a licensed school.

And by the way, when gainful employment was first created at the national level, there was something called "state authorization".

And I'm happy to say that New York State was able to fly through that litmus test because we are regulated by the Department of Education.

Not a state board, not a group of people who are just practitioners in the industry, and they create the rules.

But, the State Education Department.

We're not governed by the Labor Department or the Department of Health.

It's the State Education Department; therefore, we were able to really get through that with, I don't want to say ease, but a lot of other states didn't have the ability that we had.

So, when our students graduate, they are only allowed to borrow \$9500 as an -- in an academic year.

That is the maximum that they are allowed to borrow.

They can receive a Pell grant of up to, approximately, 6500.

In aggregate, our schools in the state of New York charge about \$15,000.

So the students are allowed to actually get granted and borrow more than we are charging.

When our students graduate, they're getting positions, starting out anywhere from \$24,000, to the sky is the limit.

When they come to our schools, we do not promise that they'll be Vidal Sassoon, but we do promise them that we will give them an entry-level education to pass the written and practical test,

and get an entry-level position out in the career.

Then the career helps them as they go along, like any other.

With experience you get better, and you're able to advance your career.

I want to talk about default rates a little bit, if I can.

The default-rate problem in the national scope should really be looked at, that the federal government should not be charging 6 percent for a student loan.

That is prime-plus.

If the government wanted to really help students out, they should do a study of themselves and find out what the cost of administering those loans are, and then charge the appropriate interest based on that.

One of our colleagues earlier spoke about student loans being put into forebation (sic) (ph.), or holding them off.

Well, I can tell you that, after three or four years, when does personal accountability come into the student?

To look at somebody who's graduated 10 years ago, and they haven't paid back their student loan,

I just don't see the common sense in that.

I can see, two years, three years, but beyond that, where's the personal accountability?

What are we teaching our generation, this new generation, about personal accountability?

We're not.

We're teaching them that, you can do whatever you want to do, and then just dump over anybody if you didn't achieve what you wanted.

Our students' graduation rates in the beauty schools, if you're an accredited school, at minimum, this is minimum, and we all exceed this, is 50 percent completion rate, 60 percent licensure rate -- I'm sorry, employment, and 70 percent licensure rate.

I would put those statistics up against any two- or four-year degree.

Our students graduate, they work in the field.

And the wonderful thing about this industry, is that you can work as little or as much.

You can work behind a chair. You can be a sales representative. You can go to research and development.

There are so many aspects of our industry

that you can go into.

I want to speak about my own experience now.

At one time I owned two schools -- well,
I owned nine schools, but at one time I owned two
schools; same exact ownership, same exact
curriculum.

One school was in an inner city based in Long Island, in Nassau County.

The other was in -- further out in the suburbs in Suffolk County.

Now, remember, same ownership, same curriculum; same everything. Different student body. But our default rates were vastly different.

I ask you, is that because of the school, or is it because of the students that we're teaching?

We have 1,000 hours to teach them.

 $\label{eq:weak_program} \mbox{ We teach them, remember, a dictated program } \mbox{ of 1,000 hours.}$

We try to get in there as much as we can about fiscal, aptitude, and being able to have student-loan repayment in their mantra.

When we're enrolling them and we're administering the student loans, we are explaining to them about how to budget, and how to make sure that they can afford this program.

So I ask you, again: When does it become student's accountability, and not the school's?

Default rates are not a judgment, or a good correlation to the schools, good or bad.

And last thing I'd like to say, Senators, is that I would love to see, instead of percentages of students, add in the dollar amount.

Because our students, on average, enroll about 100 to 110 students a year.

Some are 50 students a year, some are 300 students a year.

When the students default, at 5 or 10 percent, or even to 30 percent maximum allowed, look at the dollar amount that is attached to that, as opposed to some of these two- and four-year degrees, where they're charging exorbitant amounts of tuition, and the default rate then correlates to real hard dollars.

I thank you for your time.

If you have any questions for me, I would love to answer.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: First I want to thank Senator Stavisky for having this hearing. I think this is very informative.

And to your staff, and allowing me to participate.

Obviously, I'm not on the Senate, so it's really funny to sit on this side, and look at the representatives before me, because these were some of the schools that I had the opportunity to provide oversight to.

And I think one of the things that they spoke very interestingly about is the amount of oversight that the Office of the Bureau of Proprietary School Supervision provides to the proprietary non-degree-granting sector.

With only 20 to 25 people, they're able to supervise over 400 schools throughout the state of New York, as well as incoming schools who want the privilege of becoming proprietary schools.

So I would say that the oversight is there.

And, if anything, and I'm going to say this joke, and I know this is recorded, that, as a former investigator, one of the things we said was, "Schools become degree-granting because they don't want the oversight."

And, the amount of work, if a complaint is -if a complaint is there, the oversight, when we come
unannounced to the school, like you said. The

agents have to be licensed to do the admissions. The advertising is even reviewed by that office within SED.

And a lot of times the AG would refer complaints to SED, to do the investigation, and the findings would be given to the attorney general.

And, last, I don't have any questions for you all, because I know BPSS does a good job, and I don't think they always get the credit that they should.

And I see Kevin Smith is still here, so
I have to say it for his benefit.

But I think the office does a tremendous -- a tremendous lift for the state of New York.

And I will say this:

That, we talk about Trump University a lot.

That investigation started in BPSS, with undercover investigations, and all of that information was given to the former attorney general, and that office took all the credit.

So, I understand the work that you all do, and the oversight that's there.

And I would really -- we're exploring legislation to duplicate some of the efforts that's done in BPSS, as maybe for the proprietary-college

sector.

I think, you know, the "cool school," the work that you do with HVAC students, getting them employed throughout the institutions throughout the city of New York, is instrumental.

So, I congratulate all of you for being in this business.

And, you're newer to this than the people sitting on either side of you, but, the -
New York State has done a great job with the oversight, and I hope they continue to do that, which is why they ask for all the information that they ask for, to make sure the quality of education the students are getting is -- is -- is worthy of it.

Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

And let me thank you for coming, because

I think your questions here came from not just the role of an Assemblywoman, or community leader, but, as a professional in the field.

It was like having another expert witness, except the expert witness is sitting on this side of the table.

So we certainly appreciate your insights,

1 and, anytime.
2 ASSEMB

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HYNDMAN: Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

Senator Liu.

SENATOR LIU: Well, thank you, Madam Chair, and I want to thank you for holding this hearing.

I don't think that the gentlemen enjoyed seeing me here as much as they saw the Assemblymember, especially with her previous capacity.

So, you know, it's been a long hearing, but thank you very much for your testimony.

I think some of your testimony went far beyond the scope of this hearing, so we can -- if is anything that we need to do, we certainly can, with the Chairwoman's consent, work on it.

But on this particular issue, whether we go forward or not, again, we want to protect our constituents, your students.

Most of your contention is that they don't need protection.

And I think -- I suspect there's probably some truth somewhere in the middle. If not necessarily relevant to every one of your institutions, there probably -- it probably does

pertain to at least some of the institutions in our state.

So thank you very much for the input, and
I am fairly confident there will be quite amount -quite an amount of follow-up.

Thank you.

SENATOR STAVISKY: Thank you.

And I thank everybody for coming.

And, I think this has been a very, very good experience, particularly in terms of trying to do the right thing, whether it be the regulation, the oversight, but, also, responding to the Governor's budget, Article 7 language, about the 60 -- the 80/20 rule and the 50 percent rule.

And I think it's been painted with such a broad brush, that I'm not sure how much of that applies to the career schools.

I agree with much of the testimony.

But on the other hand, we've got to make sure that the consumers are protected, because, with all due respect, they are our clients, as your clients.

So I thank you all for coming.

And we have a lot of paperwork, thanks to (indicating).

TERENCE ZALESKI: Yeah, well, we'll keep the

staff busy for a while.

SENATOR STAVISKY: But, we do thank you for coming, as we thank all of the people who came: the consumer advocates, the degree-granting colleges, and the State Education Department, because I found their testimony to be very compelling also.

So I thank you, and this hearing is adjourned.

(Whereupon, at approximately 2:06 p.m., the public hearing held before the New York State Senate Standing Committee on Higher Education concluded, and adjourned.)

---000---