

Aubertine to Dairy Industry Advisory Committee: Vertical Integration, Anti-Trust Concerns, Transparency Must Be Addressed in Defense of the Family Farm, Our National Security, and Our Economy

DARREL J. AUBERTINE June 3, 2010

ISSUE: AGRICULTURE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE: AGRICULTURE







oply to 1. Wagement Design Office (A. Marco Office)

e 3, 2010

iry Industry Advisory Committee 8. Department of Agriculture nie L. Whitten Building objection D.C. 2000.

ar Committee Members:

ark you for this opportunity to provide public comment and for taking an active look at dairy industry. As a farmer, who milked cows for 35 years, and chair of the New York to Senate Committee on Agriculture, I am glad to have this opportunity to share my makes with season.

you are aware, a cannot be over stand into intensive prosession or instrusion are not as a constraint of the six of colleges. Despite the fact that consumer prices are at or text and take high, futures in New York and across the county are paid as an or not as a result of the six of th

fact is the approach world for some short term, but this latest sharp in prices has bit biggest and wealing farms, because you cannot stay in business when you since between and \$100 per hardenbergist of soils wild. Not since the early 1990s has the price of relik on consistently higher than the United States Department of Agriculture's official cost of Subscience. This sharph has therew farmers into our nation's wetline class cogniting federal

wever, not every segment of the dairy industry is struggling—theiry processors conflicted were record prefits per earlier year on the backs of farmers and the tacquery. We distinct isoally addressing the many concerns relating to price manipulation and anti-tous, the flag is that the dairy farmer will be lost in this country with many other agicalisation ten to follow. This will leave our circums without a domestic front quiptly and dependent an increasingly neutralization and world parameters. Dairy Industry Advisory Committee U.S. Department of Agriculture June 3, 2010 Page 2

It is no expectation that this panel will take this neality very seriously, Centairly, the procussors are not the energy of Emraces and their good tools benefit flarmers because this manufactures provide a market fire the milk. However, the processing sector has openly sought serv ways to not the local flarmer out, through imports and providers. The remaining flarmers are left with a relationship that insolves more taking than giving on the part of the processors.

Enforcing existing anti-turn lines to prevent the sugge-resegues that are ferring the vertical integration of American agriculture would create a more for resulter. In 1921, the Packers and Stockyarich Ant was passed in direct response to the near 50 persons consolidation of the U.S. nearlyactivity industry by a more for purkers. Pive corporations content men than 89 persons of all heef processing today, Rathers than blocking the consolidation of these gainst configurations, the federal governments conceins to approve mergers. For example, the Cargill-Constituted deal concentrated 42 persons of U.S. som exports, nearly one-third of systems, and 20 persons of U.S. som exports are constanted and concentrated the contract of U.S. som exports are contracted and the contraction of the c

thow can traitly furnis compete against transactional corporations that its prices though closed contracts, have guaranteed tax. herefit shar are not writibilite to an independent furner, and can influence trade donly. These assignable needs in and the apparent shirting and stream large appreciated by a silk analytic sharp to relate that undersort what a farmer is paid by poccusion. This souths is a system that provides no real protection for consumer from swregalized injects such as milk provide concentration or melanism entanglated frond and deviatation our rural rate has and economies which have depended on fair-log appointance industria to support joth on and off the farms droughed our saint with history. Our misseal security, our food security and our economic security depends on each applicableme industria.

In 1885, Thomas Jefferson woste to John Juy that American's formers were one "most vigorous, most independent, most virtuaus" citizens, who are "ried to their country and wedded to its liberry and interests with the most lasting bands." Troday, our farmers are too often forgotten in the discussions of consomic development and national security because they are increasingly becoming too fire.

Resisting consolidation will encourage mere it is competition in the market, but to use will requiring getter decisionate. While blackrapt family framens are shocking come or selfage for well below value, procession are cashing in on contracts with dealers. By Inv., procession will be prices the pry on the open market, constants have always been private. The family dairy farm with a periability produce procession partnership that make the family of the processing produce procession partnership that makes basic resistance and the processing processing the produce procession partnership that makes basic resistance and the processing processing the produce procession partnership that makes basic resistance and the processing processing processing the processing p

Dairy Industry Advisory Committee U.S. Department of Agriculture June 3, 2010 Page 3

Recent history has made close the link between our energy independence and our nation sensity. We cannot affect to have a canastraphe drive is stread in security our food independence. As a nation, we can learn from the ministate made in becoming two depenor foreign energy by not allowing ounceless to become not dependent on fromping food. I the two lesses are obtand in more ways than one, as measurable energy is a new industry can be tailled to be hereif farment. This industry creates more than 40,000 jets, adds nor than \$12 billion sationally in not farm income each year and decreases the demand for OMEC cit.

As we support energy independence, so it is incumbent on this panel and all Americansposh for policies that benefit the independence of our facility furnits. We must keep faith our founding fathers by ensuring that their dreams are not baried beneath dumped impoor playout ander by transautional corporations with no allegiance to anything but their or bettern line.

Pamily farmers are not begging for federal handouts. Farmers are proud people, who, follow of the land and their industry, have weathered droughts, overcome disease, and outlined depression. They simply want their labor to be valued, their products to be competitive, and their own government to take their side in the global marketplace.

It is with great concern for our nation and the state of New York that I will continue to weigh in on these important issues and the dairy industry as a whole. I certainly appreci your focus on this issues as well. If I can be of any assistance, pieces contact me through office or \$100,000,000.

Darrel J. American

sb

WASHINGTON, D.C. (June 3, 2010)—State Sen. Darrel J. Aubertine today submitted comments to the U.S. Department of Agriculture's recently created Dairy Industry Advisory

Committee stressing the need to address vertical integration in the industry, anti-trust concerns, and the risks of dependence on foreign food.

"The struggling dairy industry puts other sectors of the Agriculture industry as a whole in jeopardy," said Sen. Aubertine, chair of the Senate Agriculture Committee. "In New York and other areas of this country, dairy supports the infrastructure used by all farmers and the collapse of the dairy industry, or just the collapse of domestic milk production, would drive this nation into a food crisis. We must not become dependent on a world food supply that is already expected to be running short in the next few decades. Protecting the family farmer is a matter of national security and good economics."

In his testimony, which was read to the committee by New York State Senate Agriculture Committee Director, the Senator stresses the economic value of dairy farming and the jobs it supports, along with the need for food security and the lessons learned from becoming too dependent on foreign oil. He notes the record profits in the processing sector, higher prices for consumers and business practices aimed at cutting the local farmer out. He calls for the enforcement of anti-trust laws, a resistance to consolidation in the industry, and price reporting of contract deals by processors.

"This is about defending the family farm," Sen. Aubertine said. "It is incumbent on this state to do all it can to help our farmers, but the larger issues are all federal and we need action. This advisory committee must stand up for our dairy farmers and not back down from the challenge of restoring parity in an industry that has farmers on the brink of collapse and consumers paying more than ever. This committee has the potential accomplish real change, if they use their power on behalf of the farmer and the consumer."

Below is the text of the Senator's testimony:

Dear Committee Members:

Thank you for this opportunity to provide public comment and for taking an active look at the dairy industry. As a farmer, who milked cows for 35 years, and chair of the New York State Senate Committee on Agriculture, I am glad to have this opportunity to share my thoughts with you.

As you are aware, it cannot be over stated that domestic production of milk within the dairy industry is on the brink of collapse. Despite the fact that consumer prices are at or near an all-time high, farmers in New York and across the country are paid no more now for their product than they were paid 30 years ago. Over that same time span, more than a farm a day, some eight per week, in New York State have closed. Many have sold out to another farm with the hopes of staying in business by just milking more cows.

The fact is: that approach worked for some short term, but this latest slump in prices has hit the biggest and smallest farms, because you cannot stay in business when you lose between \$6 and \$10 per hundredweight of milk sold. Not since the early 1990s has the price of milk been consistently higher than the United States Department of Agriculture's official cost of production. This slump has thrown farmers into our nation's welfare class requiring federal subsidies to avoid total collapse.

However, not every segment of the dairy industry is struggling—dairy processors continue to see record profits year after year on the backs of farmers and the taxpayer. Without seriously addressing the many concerns relating to price manipulation and anti-trust, the reality is that the dairy farmer will be lost in this country with many other agricultural sectors to follow. This will leave our citizens without a domestic

food supply and dependent on an increasingly overburdened and woefully unregulated world food market.

It is my expectation that this panel will take this reality very seriously. Certainly, the processors are not the enemy of farmers and their profit does benefit farmers because these manufacturers provide a market for the milk. However, the processing sector has openly sought new ways to cut the local farmer out, through imports and powders. The remaining farmers are left with a relationship that involves more taking than giving on the part of the processors.

Enforcing existing anti-trust laws to prevent the mega-mergers that are forcing the vertical integration of American agriculture would create a more fair market. In 1921, the Packers and Stockyards Act was passed in direct response to the near 50 percent consolidation of the U.S. meatpacking industry by a mere five packers. Five corporations control more than 89 percent of all beef processing today. Rather than blocking the consolidation of these giant conglomerates, the federal government continues to approve mergers. For example, the Cargill-Continental deal concentrated 42 percent of U.S. corn exports, nearly one-third of soybeans, and 20 percent of U.S. wheat exports into the hands of a single transnational company.

How can family farms compete against transnational corporations that fix prices through closed contracts, have guaranteed tax benefits that are not available to an independent farmer, and can influence trade deals? These unequal benefits and the apparent skirting of anti-trust laws are perpetuated by a milk marketing system that undercuts what a farmer is paid by processors. This results in a system that provides no real protection for consumers from unregulated imports such as milk protein concentrates or melamine manipulated foods, and devastates our rural tax base and economies which have depended on thriving agriculture industries to support jobs on and off the farms throughout our nation's history. Our national security, our food security and our economic security depends on our agriculture industry.

In 1785, Thomas Jefferson wrote to John Jay that American's farmers were our "most vigorous, most independent, most virtuous" citizens, who are "tied to their country and wedded to its liberty and interests with the most lasting bands." Today, our farmers are too often forgotten in the discussions of economic development and national security because they are increasingly becoming too few.

Resisting consolidation will encourage more fair competition in the market, but so too will requiring price disclosure. While bankrupt family farmers are shooting cows or selling them well below value, processors are cashing in on contracts with dealers. By law, processors only have to reveal the prices they pay on the open market; contracts have always been private. The family dairy farm with a perishable product and only one community buyer must contend with an anti-competitive producer-processor partnership that makes basic pricing privileged information.

Recent history has made clear the link between our energy independence and our national security. We cannot afford to have a catastrophe drive us toward re-securing our food independence. As a nation, we can learn from the mistakes made in becoming too dependent on foreign energy by not allowing ourselves to become too dependent on foreign food. And the two issues are related in more ways than one, as renewable energy is a new industry that can be tailored to benefit farmers. This industry creates more than 40,000 jobs, adds more than \$12 billion nationally in net farm income each year and decreases the demand for OPEC oil.

As we support energy independence, so it is incumbent on this panel and all Americans to push for policies that benefit the independence of our family farms. We must keep faith with our founding fathers by ensuring that their dreams are not buried beneath dumped imports, or plowed under by transnational

corporations with no allegiance to anything but their own bottom line.

Family farmers are not begging for federal handouts. Farmers are proud people, who, for love of the land and their industry, have weathered droughts, overcome disease, and outlasted depression. They simply want their labor to be valued, their products to be competitive, and their own government to take their side in the global marketplace. America's farmers are asking nothing more. They deserve nothing less.

It is with great concern for our nation and the state of New York that I will continue to weigh in on these important issues and the dairy industry as a whole. I certainly appreciate your focus on this issue as well. If I can be of any assistance, please contact me through my office at 518-455-2761.