



NEW YORK STATE SENATOR

Dean G. Skelos

Senate Passes Independent Redistricting Plan

Dean G. Skelos

March 15, 2011

Measure Would Reform Process To Draw District Lines In A Fair, Non-partisan Manner

The New York State Senate today passed a constitutional amendment, sponsored by Senator John Bonacic (R-C-I, Mount Hope), that would significantly reform the process by which new legislative and congressional district lines are determined. The amendment would create an independent, non-partisan apportionment commission, as called for in the NY Uprising pledge.

“This is a truly fair and non-partisan redistricting reform bill that is constitutional, does not give unfair influence to either party in the process and fulfills our commitment to address this issue,” Senate Majority Leader Dean G. Skelos said.

“With this action, we have taken a significant step towards reform that the Senate Democrats were never willing to take in the past two years.”

The bill (S.3331) would create an independent, non-partisan, apportionment committee to draw new legislative and Congressional districts every ten years. The commission shall consist of five members, none of whom may be past or current

public officials, nor past or current office holders in any political party. The Temporary President of the Senate, the Speaker of the Assembly, the Minority Leader of the Senate and the Minority Leader of the Assembly would each select one member. The four members would select, by a vote of at least three members, a fifth member to serve as chair of the panel.

“I am pleased this day has finally come. The Senate Democrats failed to address reapportionment in any form during the two years they were in the majority,” said Senator Bonacic. “I have been an advocate of non-partisan redistricting for years and it is encouraging that we’re taking this important step in the direction of reform.”

“I really appreciate the many individuals who have offered their strong support of this resolution throughout the years. I thank all of the past and present sponsors including: our Senate Majority President for 2009 and 2010 Senator Malcolm Smith; our Senate Majority Conference Leader for 2009 and 2010 Senator John Sampson; Senators Ball, Grisanti, Oppenheimer, Saland, Krueger, Golden, Duane and Stavisky; as well as former Senators Rath and Lachman and others.” Senator Bonacic said.

The State Constitution (Article 3) tasks the Legislature solely with the responsibility of redistricting. This has been upheld by the state Court of Appeals in numerous decisions. The only way to truly change the redistricting process is through a constitutional amendment.

In November 2010, voters in California, Florida and Oklahoma approved redistricting reform constitutional amendments.

In addition to creating an independent, non-partisan commission, the amendment approved by the Senate today included the following measures to reform the process:

* In no case shall a Senate district have a population which varies from the average population of all districts, unless a population variance is necessary to comply with one of the other standards set forth in this section, and in no case shall a single district have a population which varies more than five percent from the average population of all districts.

* The districts of a house shall be as compact as possible, consistent with the standards listed above. In no case shall the aggregate length of the boundaries of all

the districts of a house exceed by more than five percent the shortest possible aggregate length of all the districts under any other plan for the same house that is consistent with the other standards contained in the Constitution.

* Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of favoring any political party, incumbent legislator or other person or group. In preparing a plan, the commission shall not consider or take into account the address of individual persons, including incumbent legislators. The commission shall not use the political affiliations of registered voters, previous election results, addresses of incumbent legislators, addresses of individual persons and demographic information other than population head counts for the purpose of favoring any political party, incumbent legislator or other person or group. Districts shall not be drawn for the purpose of diluting the voting strength of any language or racial minority group.