1	JOINT HEARING BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE
2	STANDING COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE AND
3	STANDING COMMITTEE ON LABOR
4	PUBLIC HEARING:
5	TO HEAR PUBLIC TESTIMONY ON THE PROPOSED FARMWORKERS FAIR LABOR PRACTICES ACT
6	THE PROPOSED PARMWORKERS PAIR HABOK PRACTICES ACT
7	T '
8	Little Theater SUNY Morrisville Student Activities Center
9	80 Eaton Street
10	Morrisville, New York
11	Date: April 25, 2019 Time: 11:00 a.m.
12	
13	PRESIDING:
14	Senator Jen Metzger Chair, Senate Standing Committee on Agriculture
15	Senator Jessica Ramos Chair, Senate Standing Committee on Labor
16	CO-SPONSOR:
17	
18	Senator Rachel May Chair, Rural Resources Committee
19	ALSO PRESENT:
20	
21	Senator Velmanette Montgomery
22	
23	
24	
25	

			2
1	SPEAKERS:	PAGE	QUESTIONS
2	Michael McMahon	16	20
3	Partner E-Z Acres, LLC		
4	Yusuf Harper	23	26
5	Owner Pure Grown, LLC		
6	Crispin Hernandez	27	31
7	Member Rebecca Fuentes		
8	Lead Organizer Workers Center of NY		
9	Art Gladstone	33	37
10	Retired Farm Labor Specialist NYS Department of Labor (retired)		
11	David Fisher	41	45
12	President New York Farm Bureau		
13	Angela Cornell	51	54
14	Professor of Law Cornell Labor Studies		
15	Lucio Rene Villanueva	56	59
16	Farmworker Hemdale Farms and Greenhouses		
17	Angelo Ocampo		
18	Supervisor for H2A workers Hansen Farms and Hemdale Farms		
19	and Greenhouses		
20	Matthew Critz Owner	61	64
21	Critz Farms		
22	John Clark President	67	
23	Northeast Agribusiness and Feed Alliance		
24			
25			

Г

			3
1	SPEAKERS:	PAGE	QUESTIONS
2			OOF STIONS
3	Lon Stephens General Manager	70	
4	Cooperative Feed Dealers		
	Judi Whittaker	74	
5	Part Owner Whittaker Farms, LLC		
6	Karin Reeves	77	81
7	Owner	1 1	ОΤ
8	Samuel Montelongo Farmworker		
9	Reeves Farm		
3	Alfredo Mejia	83	85
10	Farmer My-t Acres, Inc.		
11	_	0.5	0.0
12	Stuart Mitchell President/CEO	85	90
13	Pathstone Corporation		
	Jesse Mulbury	93	96
14	Farmer Northern Orchard		
15	Herbert Engman	101	105
16	Former Ithaca Town Councilor	T O T	T O 2
17	Ithaca, New York		
1 0	Yusuf Abdul-Qadir	107	111
18	Director Central New York NYCLU		
19	Travis Torrey	113	
20	Farm Manager	113	
21	Leandro Mateos-Gaytan Farmworker		
	Torrey Farms		
22	Librada Paz	121	125
23	Advocate		

Rural and Migrant Ministry

			4
1			
2	SPEAKERS:	PAGE	QUESTIONS
3	Gabriela Quintanilla Western New York Coordinator Rural and Migrant Ministry	129	
4		1 2 1	1.2.6
5	Diana Caba Senior Director of Economic Empowerment Hispanic Federation	131	136
6	Anthony Emmi	137	140
7	Owner/Partner Emmi and Sons, Inc.	137	110
8	Jose Vega	146	147
9	Farmworker Emmi and Sons, Inc.	140	T#1
10	Taga Ohana	150	153
11	Jose Chapa Legislative Coordinator Justice for Farmworkers	150	153
12	Tanana Marah	1 - 4	
13	Jason Turek Farmer Leonardo Resendiz Perez	154	
14	Farmworker Mayolo Rivera		
15	Farmworker Turek Farms		
16	Bruce W. Krupke	158	162
17	Executive Vice President Northeast Dairy Foods Association, Inc.		
18	Irvin Temich	163	
19	Farmworker Phil Hall	103	
20	Partner Schum-Acres Dairy OPS		
21	Paul Baker	169	173
22	Director NYS Horticultural Society	109	Τ / 3
23			
24			
25			

			5
1	SPEAKERS:	PAGE	QUESTIONS
2	Kim Skellie	173	177
3	Owner	173	177
4	Matt Wunder Farmworker		
5	El-Vi Farms		
6	Jeremy Brown Partner & Dairy Manager Twin Birch Dairy	180	
7	David Randall	183	
8	Farmer Co-Vale Holsteins	103	
9	Bruce Gibson	187	
10	Partner Locust Hill Dairy, LLC		
11	Matt Igoe	192	196
12	Sales Manager HV Farms	192	190
13	Meghan Hauser	197	201
14	Co-Owner Table Rock Farm, Inc.		
15	Samantha DeRiso	203	
16	President Central NY Labor Council		
17	Bret J. Bossard	207	
18	Farmer Lupareo Perez-Carbajal	20,	
19	Farmworker		
20	Barbland Dairy, LLC		
21	Paul Fouts Farmer	212	216
22	Fouts Farm		
23	Jeremy Mapstone Dairy Operations Manager Pastureland Dairy, LLC	218	222
24	rascarciana barry, nnc		
25			

Γ

25

SENATOR METZGER: Are we ready?

If you could take your seats, we're going to get started.

We have -- I'm very happy that we have a long list speakers today.

So my name is Jen Metzger. I am the Senate Chair of the Agriculture Committee.

I want to welcome you on behalf of the Agriculture Committee, and also on behalf of the Labor Committee, we're holding joint hearings.

I just want to mention that the -- our -- the Chair of the Labor Committee, Jessica Ramos, is -- got delayed. She got stuck in traffic on the thruway, there was some kind of accident. So she'll be joining us later. She is the sponsor of this bill.

And I want to thank Rachel May, my colleague, who chairs the Rural Resources Committee for being -- for being a co-host of this -- of this first hearing.

It -- this is one of the several hearings that we'll be holding on the Farmworker (sic) Fair Laborers (sic) Practices Act.

It is, in my view, vital to receive direct input from farmers, farmworkers, and the public

about this important legislation.

These are the first hearings on this subject in nearly a decade, and the first held outside of Albany.

Tomorrow we'll be holding a second hearing in Suffolk County, and on May 2nd we'll be holding a third hearing in Sullivan County.

As Chair of the Agriculture -- Senate

Agriculture Committee, and the representative of
many farmers and farmworkers in Ulster, Orange,

Delaware, and Sullivan counties, I recognize that
this proposed legislation will greatly impact
farming in New York.

The purpose of these public hearings is to hear from farmers and farmworkers alike as we weigh this legislation, and learn directly from you about the realities of small and family-owned farm operations in New York, and listen to the concerns and needs of all those who will be affected by the proposed legislation.

New York has deep roots in farming. It represents \$4.2 billion of our economy, and is an integral part of our rural heritage and culture.

In contrast to agriculture in other parts of the country, most of New York's farms are small and

midsized and family-owned. Over half of the farms in our state are under 100 acres.

Today our farms are a pivotal engine of the state's economy, and vital to the well-being of our rural communities and the state's long-term food security, yet many of New York's small and midsize farms are struggling, and despite the popular local food movement, increasing numbers of people in rural and urban communities are experiencing foods' insecurity.

We have to work collaboratively to find solutions that sustain our system of farming and our long-term food security.

I want to thank all of you for being here.

I know this is actually a very hard time of year for farmers and farmworkers alike to come to a hearing, to get off of the farm, and we're really appreciative of that.

And I want to turn it over to my colleague Senator May.

Thank you very much.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

Greetings, everyone.

I'm Senator Rachel May, and I represent the 53rd Senate District, which includes

Madison County.

So welcome to my district if you have come from far away.

I also represent much of Onondaga County, including most of the city of Syracuse, and part of Oneida County.

I concur with Senator Metzger that it's very important that we hear from many voices, and I advocated strongly that we have a hearing in this region of the state, because I know, especially this time of year, for farmers to travel much farther would be very difficult.

And so I am -- I welcome all of you here.

I also want to welcome some of the other elected officials who are here.

We have Assemblyman Brian Miller here,
Assemblyman John Salka, and Assemblyman Al Stirpe.

And we have representatives from

Senator Antonacci's office, and

Senator Robert Jackson's office, and also

Senator Magnarelli's -- I mean,

Assemblyman Magnarelli's office.

We are also expecting, in addition to Senator Ramos, Senator Velmanette Montgomery coming up from, Queens --

Is that...

-- anyway, Manhattan -- or -- or,
New York City.

And we -- she also said she would be a little bit late.

But one of the things that I have made a priority, is to make sure that we bring people from the city -- some of the Senators from the city up here to see and hear what the issues are in upstate, because it is so important that we in the Senate Majority are actively representing the entire state.

And so I'm excited to have all of these Senators here today, and also all of you.

And I especially want to thank our host here, SUNY Morrisville, which has graciously allowed to us use this beautiful theater.

I want to introduce the president of SUNY Morrisville who began his term in 2015.

Prior to that he served as the college's provost, dean of the College of School of Business, and interim dean of the Norwich Campus in the School of Agriculture and Natural Resources.

He earned his Ph.D. in labor economics, collective bargaining, and econometrics from the SUNY College of Industrial Labor Relations at

Cornell University, and he holds a master's degree from Cornell and a bachelor's degree from the University of Massachusetts.

Please welcome, David Rogers.

[Applause.]

PRES. DAVID ROGERS: Thanks.

Thank you, Senator.

Whenever I hear that introduction, I somehow feel a lot older than I feel.

But, anyway, thank you, Senator Metzger and Senator May.

Your leadership for this event is unquestioned, and I very much appreciate your choosing SUNY Morrisville as the location for this conversation.

Let me just say, in a very brief commercial, you may or may not know, Morrisville was founded over 100 years ago, in 1908, as an agricultural institution, a farmers' college.

And men and women came here to learn a wide variety of skills attendant to increasing farm production throughout New York State.

The college was founded, largely, in response to rising food prices, unsafe food systems, and it was an effort to bring technology, largely learn

from Cornell research, to the practitioners, the farmers and the workers, in the agriculture industry.

So I think it's incredibly appropriate that you have assembled here, and we're thrilled that you have.

I think it's fair to say that, in the last 100-plus years, Morrisville's learned something about learning and research in agriculture, and often it starts at a most basic level with direct acquisition of information, and that often starts with a conversation face-to-face, much like we're doing here.

So, for all elected officials in the audience, and everyone who is in attendance, and especially for the Senators, I appreciate your courage in investing in this direct research method.

It's tried-and-trued and it's very successful.

So, thank you again for being here at Morrisville. We're thrilled that we can continue to offer this forum in which you can engage in important discussions.

So thank you again, Senators, and thank you, everyone.

I am going to head back and do things that college presidents do, like go to a college council meeting that I'm in the middle of.

Since I'm not exactly a direct farmer, I will not speak today. But I will let you know that I have a keen interest in agriculture, because almost all of our graduates, in one way or another, have -- in the School of Agriculture, have become leaders, including -- small commercial -- including two ag commissioners.

So thank you again for being here, and I'll leave you to the great work that you're about to begin.

Thanks.

[Applause.]

SENATOR MAY: So before we begin, I want to mention a few ground rules here.

We know that this topic can elicit passions, and we expect to hear a lot of different perspectives here today.

We are asking everyone to keep your comments respectful and your responses respectful because this is about dialogue.

We also ask you to use the time that you have to state your positions, and any recommendations you

have to make the proposed legislation better.

There are going to be four minutes for each speaker, and we have a timer here, and someone in the front row who will hold up a sign when there's one minute to go.

And we're going to have the people testifying sit over here.

In the interest of time, because we have so many people, it would be good if two or three people would come forward at a time, and just sit and wait their turn, because, otherwise, we'll spend a lot of time in transition between the speakers.

And you can -- there's a stairway over there on that side that you can come up.

We also are accepting written testimony through May 3rd.

So if you are here and are not on the program to speak, we -- you can submit your written testimony. So, please see one of our staff members and they will tell you how to do that.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

SENATOR MAY: Right.

So we have received written statements from everybody who is testifying today.

We highly recommend that you not read it

word-for-word, but that you summarize or tell us what you're thinking.

It's much easier to listen to that, because this is going to be a long hearing, and it also gives you the opportunity to really get eye contact with us and, you know, say what's on your mind.

You should also know that this hearing is being live-streamed.

We have wonderful staff here from the

New York State Senate who are streaming this. And

it will be recorded for the Senate record, so you

can find it online.

I also want to say we have Ari Mir-Pontier here from Senator Metzger's office, who will be doing translation to and from Spanish for anyone who needs it.

So, for now, my biggest request is that you silence your cell phones, and let's move to our first witnesses.

Michael McMahon, please come forward.

And Elizabeth Henderson, I understand is not here.

So, Yusuf Harper, if Yusuf Harper is here, and Crispin Hernandez and Rebecca Fuentes, could come up here, please.

Thank you.

MICHAEL McMAHON: New York State Senate

Chairs Ramos and May -- Metzger and May, thank you

for this opportunity to testify before you today.

Every farm in New York State may potentially be greatly impacted by the proposed Farmworker (sic) Fair Labor Practices Act.

E-Z Acres, LLC, is an 800-cow, 2200-acre family-owned farm in Cortland County.

We've been in business for 33 years, my father before me in the same location since 1956.

As employers of 11 full-time agricultural workers, we've looked carefully at this legislation, and from the perspective of employers who respect and value our hard-working employees, we concur with the following aspects of this legislation:

That no employee should work more than a six-day week. The body and soul need a day of rest. This has always been our farm's policy;

That paid vacation, paid sick days, and paid personal days for full-time workers are a must.

We've provided this to all of our workers for over 20 years;

That all workers be covered by workmen's (sic) comp and unemployment insurance.

In fact, all dairy farms that meet the State's payroll threshold for comp and unemployment are already providing these benefits;

The disability insurance is an affordable benefit that we are willing to provide;

That all workers, regardless of their country of origin, be allowed to hold a New York State driver license. This would benefit both workers and their employers who often dedicate considerable time to transporting employees to stores, banks, and doctors' appointments;

That housing, when it is provided, should meet certain standards of quality and be open to inspection by a third-party agency;

That we do not oppose the right to collective bargaining, with some stipulations.

However, in the matter of time and a half, overtime on a 40-hour workweek and beyond an 8-hour day, this provision has the potential to cause great harm to an already suffering farm economy.

The dairy industry has endured five years of extreme financial duress.

If this law is enacted, Farm Credit East, a major ag lender, has calculated that farms like mine will see FarmNet income completely wiped out.

It will cost our farm a minimum of an additional 95,000 in the first year, and a payroll budget that is already strained by the increasing New York State minimum wage.

Our workers are averaging over \$39,000 per year, plus housing and utilities.

We're already at a disadvantage with our neighboring states who operate in the same northeast milkshed, whose minimum wages are from 23 to 35 percent below New York's.

Our businesses cut costs everywhere we possibly can. I have no idea how we'll shoulder this burden. As dairy farmers, we cannot pass along this expense.

Our only option would be to limit our workers to 40 hours, and facing decreased earnings, they have said they will leave.

FarmNet estimates that the overtime requirement will lead to a loss of 20 to 25 percent of New York's family farms in the first year alone.

As the chairman of the Cortland County

Industrial Agency, I can tell with you all certainty
that the exodus of farms would have a devastating
effect on our rural economy.

Equipment dealers, mechanics, welders,

electricians, feed stores, veterinaries, diners,

clothing, and grocery stores who provide goods and

services to farms and their employees will also

lose.

My son is the sixth-generation McMahon to

produce milk in Cortland County, and I'm asking you

produce milk in Cortland County, and I'm asking you not to make him the last generation.

Thank you for this opportunity.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

Do you want to ask?

SENATOR METZGER: Yes.

If I could just ask one question.

First of all, I just want to express my appreciation for your comments, and for highlighting the aspects of the bill that you support, as well as those aspects that are problematic.

I just -- for you, I just wanted to ask if you had an alternative recommendation on the provision of overtime.

MICHAEL McMAHON: I think 60 hours would be much more reasonable.

My Latino workers will not work for us if we can't guarantee them 62 to 65 hours a week. They will not work for us.

SENATOR METZGER: And what percentage do they

make up of your farmworkers?

MICHAEL McMAHON: They -- I have eight Latino workers, and -- besides the four owners, because we brought in two junior partners, my son and another unrelated young man.

So we all provide labor, but the actual employees, there are eight Latinos and two local workers.

SENATOR METZGER: Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: I also have a question about, just the economics of it.

So if workers were to make overtime, would you expect them to be more productive and to be able to work fewer hours than you have them working now, or is the number of hours just the number of hours that needs to be worked?

MICHAEL McMAHON: Senator, it's a struggle to get them to keep their hours to that.

They would work 80 if I would allow it.

We just don't allow it on our farm.

But I don't know that we would see any increased efficiencies by it. They're going to make more money and they would be happy.

So, I don't know how else to answer that.

SENATOR MAY: Well, I'm more thinking, if

they're going to make more money for overtime, then, 1 you know, working 80 hours now would be -- would net 2 them, roughly, the same of working 60 hours with 3 4 overtime pay. And I'm wondering, would they -- if they were 5 working fewer hours, would you expect them to be 6 7 more productive? Like, you wouldn't need that many more hours 8 of their time to accomplish the work that you need 9 to do. 10 11 MICHAEL McMAHON: The schedules are pretty 12 rigid. I mean, when we milk cows three times a day, 13 14 it's pretty rigid. 15 They -- as an owner, you know, I'm 67. I'm 16 still working 70, 75 hours a week. 17 But, regardless of that, I don't -- I'm not sure -- I just -- there's this many hours of work 18 that have to be done. 19 20 SENATOR MAY: Okay, that was really the 21 question. 22 Thank you. 23 MICHAEL McMAHON: Yeah, yeah. 24 SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

MICHAEL McMAHON: Thank you.

25

YUSUF HARPER: Thank you for this 1 2 opportunity. I agree almost totally with the preceding 3 speaker. I am not in dairy, however. 4 My name is Yusuf Harper. 5 I'm an organic farmer from Chenango County. 6 7 I have three to five H2A workers, primarily from Guatemala. 8 9 If this bill is passed it's going to harm my 10 workers. 11 It would be great if I could pay them 12 stockbroker salary. I'd love to do it. They work 13 harder, and many times they're just as smart. 14 Why can't I do that; why can't I pay them time and a half after 40 hours? 15 16 It's called "margin." 17 I have no ability to increase my prices 20 to 25 percent that it would take to cover costs without 18 19 loss of sales. 20 This bill does nothing to block my 21 competitors from Pennsylvania, New Jersey, California, Mexico, Chile, Canada, from selling in 22

the market, and who will be able to undercut my prices because of lower labor costs.

23

24

25

If this bill passes, it will be much more

cost-effective for me to -- to -- even with -- even with the increased costs of housing and transportation, it will be much more easy for me to cap -- to hire more workers, cap their hours, okay, than to pay the time and a half.

But my costs will be substantially higher under any of those circumstances.

And with this, my workers, who seek to maximize their seasonal earnings, will be, in fact, earning less. That's not what they want.

We work in a -- on a seasonal basis.

We work by sunlight, okay, unlike -- unlike our dairy farmers who work a 24-hour schedule.

We're restricted by sunlight.

So there's a maximum amount of time that they can earn the amount of money they need and want to earn.

So, my overall costs go up, my revenue goes down, and each worker earns less.

Is there anyone in business that thinks this is a winning strategy?

Doesn't sound like it to me.

It's a curious bill, in that it doesn't mandate that the farmer earn a minimum wage for over 40 hours a week.

It's also curious that it doesn't have any mandates about tariffs on produce and other farm items that come into the state from outside.

So what this bill, in fact, does is:

It puts my farm at risk of survival because I will have increased costs without the ability to pass on those costs to customers;

It puts my workers at risk because, if

I don't have a farm, I don't need farmworkers, and
then they don't have an income;

It actually reduces the amount my farmworkers can earn to take back home.

In the long run, it promotes using less labor by using more machinery, which doesn't require complex paperwork, yearly angst over bureaucracy and delays, and no overtime.

In an attempt to do good, this bill, if passed, will be anti-farmer, anti-farm community, as we just heard, and, importantly, anti-farmworker.

It is one more way of saying New York doesn't like agriculture, doesn't want viable farms, and doesn't want healthy small communities.

It appears that the people -- to the people of agriculture in New York that what is wanted is widget-makers with widget-workers, possibly robots,

in widget-villes, and widget-food produced in other places.

On behalf of my workers and my community.

On behalf of my workers and my community, whom I care deeply about, don't pass this bill.

Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: So you mentioned, though, that you do support some aspects of the bill.

Is it just the overtime?

YUSUF HARPER: Just like the previous farmer, we do all of those things that he does as well.

If there has to be a limit -- my workers are just like his. They want to maximize their hours. They don't want to minimize their hours.

If there has to be a minimum, 60 is much closer than 40.

SENATOR MAY: Okay. And just because you mentioned it, have you ever calculated your own hourly take-home pay?

YUSUF HARPER: I'm a retired physician.

When I started this farm, okay, you know what physician's hours are. I would stop my practice and I would go to work on the farm.

There would be many hours -- many days when we would be packing trucks at 12:00 to 2:00 in the morning so that I could go to work the next day.

1 That's farming, that's how you get farms 2 started. 3 SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you. SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. 4 5 (Crispin Hernandez testifies in Spanish, 6 and translated to English by Ari Mir-Pontier.) 7 CRISPIN HERNANDEZ: Hello. My name is Crispin Hernandez. 8 Right? 9 This is my co-worker Rebecca. 10 11 So I'm a member of the Workers Center of 12 Central New York, a membership-based organization 13 located in Syracuse. REBECCA FUENTES: Sorry. 14 And he is also a plaintiff in a lawsuit 15 16 against the State of New York, along with the Worker 17 Justice Center and Workers Center of Central 18 New York, to win the protective right to organize. (Crispin Hernandez continues to 19 20 testify in Spanish, now translated to 21 English by Rebecca Fuentes.) 22 CRISPIN HERNANDEZ: I'm here representing the 23 interests of farmworkers, members of the Workers 24 Center, and other workers in New York. 25 I'm also here representing workers who are

not able to be here because of the long hours that they work, and that they would like to be here also.

I was a farmworker for three years, and

I worked at a dairy farm called Marks Farm, one of
the biggest farms in the north of the -- in the

North Country.

When I started working there, I was making -- started work, making \$7.25 an hour, 12-hour days, 6 days a week.

I had an accident at the farm when a cow step on my hand.

I asked for help to the owner, and the son -the daughter of the owner, and they didn't help me,
they didn't take me to the doctor or hospital.

In March of 2015, the son of the owner -the -- no, the son-in-law of the owner fire one of
my co-workers in a violent manner in front of my -an unjust manner in front of my co-workers.

This happened in front of many workers who couldn't do anything or say anything because they were afraid.

I helped to organize a rally against this, to protest what has happened to my co-worker.

Then I was demoted because -- as an interrelation tactic.

Then I asked for help to form a committee so that I could help my other co-workers.

One evening I was with my co-workers after work, at a meeting, and the owner -- the son of the owner came over to interrupt, and he called the local police and the state police, and they intimidated me and the co-workers and the organizers.

We resisted intimidation, and we decided that we were going to meet again the next week.

The next week only two workers showed up, myself and another worker, because we -- they were afraid because of the intimidation with the police.

SENATOR MAY: We need to speed this up, so if you --

REBECCA FUENTES: Yeah, it is hard when you are interpreting --

SENATOR MAY: I know, I totally understand.

REBECCA FUENTES: -- so I think that should have been taken into account.

SENATOR MAY: It is, but I -- just to be as quick as possible.

REBECCA FUENTES: Right, but it's probably not very fair.

(Inaudible audience comment.)

SENATOR MAY: Right. 1 REBECCA FUENTES: Yeah. 2 SENATOR MAY: I think it's already been --3 I'm just saying, if we can --4 5 REBECCA FUENTES: No, we'd read it ourselves, and it was more -- it was around the time. 6 7 SENATOR MAY: Is -- are we -- okay, fine. No problem. 8 REBECCA FUENTES: I would say, let's just go 9 10 to the last part. 11 (Crispin Hernandez continues to testify in 12 Spanish, and Rebecca Fuentes continues to translate 13 to English.) 14 CRISPIN HERNANDEZ: We kept strong, and we 15 went educating workers, from trailer to trailer, 16 educating workers about what was going on. 17 But the next day, my co-worker and myself, we were fired. 18 19 REBECCA FUENTES: So maybe it's better if you 20 ask questions about this. 21 This is relating about intimidation because 22 of the -- not having the protected right to 23 organize. 24 So it could be a good idea now. 25 There's more about that, and more of the

things, so maybe you can ask questions. 1 2 SENATOR MAY: I have a question. 3 So you mentioned the lawsuit that you're involved in. 4 Is it -- is the proposal in this bill enough 5 to make that lawsuit unnecessary? 6 7 (Rebecca Fuentes now translating English to Spanish, and Spanish to English, for 8 Crispin Hernandez.) 9 SENATOR MAY: Would it solve the problem? 10 11 CRISPIN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, the -- the -- what 12 is -- what we have in the lawsuit is included in 13 this law, and, most importantly, is to have a voice 14 in the workplace, more equality. Because, nowadays, if workers speak up and 15 16 just say something, employers intimidate them and, 17 like in my case, with the police. SENATOR MAY: So is it particularly the right 18 to organize that is the element of this bill that 19 20 would be -- would solve that problem? 21 CRISPIN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that is correct. 22 But I want to also bring up, it's important 23 the day off. There are many workers who work seven 24 days a week. And also the overtime pay.

So I just want to say, our work is very

25

1 important. And I know that a lot of farm owners, the employers, complain a lot. But our work is 2 very, very important to put food on the table. 3 SENATOR METZGER: Can I just ask about how 4 many farmworkers were employed on this -- on that 5 6 farm? 7 REBECCA FUENTES: How many? CRISPIN HERNANDEZ: 60 workers -- at that 8 9 particular farm, 60 workers. 10 And, in general, there are between -- around 11 60,000 workers. 12 We want to be recognized in this. We are all 13 human beings, and this is why this is important. 14 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 15 REBECCA FUENTES: Thank you. 16 CRISPIN HERNANDEZ: Gracias. 17 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. Gracias. (Mr. Hernandez and Ms. Fuentes leave the 18 19 speaker table.) 20 SENATOR MAY: Are you Art? 21 ART GLADSTONE: I am. 22 SENATOR MAY: Okay, wonderful. 23 And just before you start, let me just say, 24 next, Brian O'Shaughnessy. 25 Is Brian here?

And -- oh, David Fisher. 1 Angelina Cornell, if she's here. 2 Go ahead, Art. 3 ART GLADSTONE: My name is Art Gladstone, and 4 5 I'm a city kid. 6 I grew up next to the Senate District of 7 Senator Ramos, on 162nd Street, between the Long Island Expressway and Casino Park. 8 9 I am, by federal definition, a seasonal 10 farmworker. 11 From 1997 to 2012, I was the farm labor 12 specialist for Central New York of the New York 13 Department of Labor, a position more commonly 14 referred to as "the rural rep." 15 My job was to facilitate labor relationships 16 between farm operators seeking workers and workers 17 seeking employment. I also provided technical assistance using 18 19 the federal guest-worker program. 20 Additionally, I worked to resolve labor and 21 compliance issues with operators and workers alike. 22 Many times, it was about listening to either 23 party vent. 24

I worked from a philosophy of trying to do the greatest good for the greatest number. This did

25

not mean pitting one side against the other.

These days, what I hear from farm operators is added stress and anxiety from this proposed legislation.

Now, this is on top of the everyday stresses and anxieties of farming that would leave most of us mere mortals either in a pile of mush or popping Xanax like they were Jujubes.

The issues that I hear from farmworkers are exemplified by Blanca, who, due to issues about her status, was unable to be home with her son at a critical time for him.

Even worse, was her not being home for the passing of her father.

All I could do was be there and comfort her while I gave her a picture of her father and mother I had taken in their provincial town. I was there as she cried her heart out, clutching that photo to her chest.

I believe that the answers to the real farmworker issues are better solved at the federal level through comprehensive immigration reform and revamped guest-worker legislation.

Terms and assurances already in guest-worker contracts take care of many of the issues this

proposed law attempts to solve.

I never hear workers talking about issues like those this legislation feels must be addressed.

Now, I don't purport to speak for the farmworker population, but I know the people that I know.

I think it would be audacious and presumptuous for any person or groups to say that they speak for such a diffuse and diverse group of people.

One can find dissatisfied workers to say, indeed, this bill has the issues that need solutions.

I feel it would be unconscionable to pass this partisan and agenda-driven legislation without truly hearing the issues I believe actually occupy the minds of most New York State farmworkers.

My belief, based on 20-plus years working with the New York State agricultural community, is a fear that the trash will roll downhill, and those most negatively affected by this bill are going to be the workers.

Automation is waiting around the corner to ease costs and cut down on labor.

I fear workers' incomes are going to be

reduced since hours will be, by necessity, cut back.

The result?

Smaller remittances on which so many families rely on for economic advancement, opening small businesses, obtaining education for their children, and, overall, improving their safety and living conditions.

This has the potential to cause long-lasting negative consequences in areas and for people least able to contend with these changes.

I also fear for the damage to be done to worker-farm-operator relationships that have been developed over many years.

Both parties have benefited, and years of physical and emotional investment will be threatened.

Workers will go where they can get the hours needed to finance their needs and dreams.

New York State farm operators will be left with few alternatives that provide them the reliable workforce they have come to appreciate.

Now, if Senator Ramos was here, I would say to her, because she would get this: Senator Ramos, upstate is not Roosevelt Avenue.

The one linchpin for many of these localities

1 is the stability of the agricultural community. I strongly believe that the passage of this 2 proposed legislation will not serve the economic 3 interests of farmworkers, farm operators, or 4 New York State in general. 5 Thank you for hearing me out. 6 7 [Applause.] SENATOR METZGER: Thank you, Art. 8 9 I have a question. Are there provisions of this bill that you do 10

Are there provisions of this bill that you do see as workable?

ART GLADSTONE: Of the bill that are workable?

You know, what I refer to, so many of the things that are being attempted to solve, I believe the answer really is going to come through guest-worker legislation, because that's truly going to represent who the farmworker population is going to be.

So I think the State is chasing something that needs to be done at the federal level.

SENATOR MAY: I'm also going to ask the audience not to applaud after different speakers.

The less disruption we have, the better.

Thank you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ART GLADSTONE: That was embarrassing.

SENATOR METZGER: Thank you very much.

BRIAN O'SHAUGNESSY: Thank you, Senators, for holding these hearings.

My name is Brian O'Shaughnessy, and for 20 years I was executive director of the Labor-Religion Coalition of New York State.

The coalition became involved in this issue when farmworkers asked for our help, and, because the New York laws that govern farmworkers are, to me, profoundly labor and religious issues.

The labor questions at the heart of this bill will be addressed, I'm sure, by most speakers today.

But what about the moral and religious side of this dimension?

These can be seen by putting this hearing into historical context.

In the 1930s, almost all workers in the U.S. benefited greatly from passage of federal legislation, especially the Fair Labor Standards Act; however, there were two groups of workers excluded from this law: domestic workers and farmworkers; basically, all people of color.

What was said in Congressional debate back then is instructive because it led to the exclusions

which New York has not changed, even though other states have.

Two examples:

To quote Representative Wilcox, "You cannot put the Negro and the White man on the same basis and get away with it."

To quote Senator Smith, "Any man on this floor knows that the main object of this bill is, by human legislation, to overcome the great gift of God to the south."

So in order for the vast majority of workers in the United States to gain labor benefits, a compromise, cemented in racism, meant two groups were legally excluded.

Nine years ago New York ended the exclusion for domestic workers, but to this day they continue to oppress farmworkers.

For a New Yorker all of my life, over 70 years, I am greatly ashamed of this fact.

I need to say, I have the greatest respect for many farmers, and I know that many of them treat farmworkers fairly.

I have personally been involved in community-supported agriculture and I support farmers' markets.

And for years I was in favor of the legislation -- legislative action that gives millions and millions of New York tax dollars to assist agriculture in this state.

But let's look at the contrast.

Over the past 80 years, what have New York legislators done to directly benefit farmworkers?

In 1996, drinking water in the fields.

In 1998, access to toilets and sanitation on some farms.

And in '99, an end to a sub-minimum wage that farmworkers suffered under.

Looking at this monumental disparity, how can you not, Senators, do all in your power to pass this bill this year?

Let me point out that, 30 years ago, a task force of the then-governor recommended that farmworkers be granted the same rights as all workers; in other words, ending all exclusions.

What happened to this recommendation? Nothing.

And so with this, what I call "dismal history," and also with a strong pessimistic streak that inflicts my Irish soul, I hope you understand my doubt about the legislators of New York ending

these exclusions this year.

And, on the other hand, I am optimistic in the way that the famous Irish writer Seamus Heaney was, when, in chronicling the terrible violence of Irish decades, he wrote, "Once in a lifetime justice can rise up, and hope and history rhyme."

Will justice rise up in 2019 for New York's farmworkers?

Will hope and history rhyme in the Senate chamber this year?

I believe it will, Senators, because of your moral leadership.

Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR METZGER: Thank you.

David.

DAVID FISHER: Questions?

Good morning.

Thank you for taking the time to put these hearings together and listen to farmworkers and farmers.

I'm David Fisher.

My family has a multi-generational dairy farm in Madrid, New York, where we employ over 55 people, and have great respect in who they are and what they

do on the farm every day.

I'm also here as president of the New York

Farm Bureau, the largest general farm organization
that represents every commodity, size, and type of
farm in New York State.

We need a strong farm community, the benefits are far-reaching.

Farms are the leading driver of the economic economy in Upstate New York and Long Island, but it isn't just the economy that depends on our farms.

It's also our customers, consumers, both far and near, and the markets in New York City and the food banks across the state.

This is why the legislation, as presented, raises so many concerns for our farms.

Farm Credit East analyzed the impacts of overtime at 8 hours a day and 40 hours a week.

It found that labor costs will rise, on average, 17 percent, or, about \$300 million.

In addition, when you take into effect the rising minimum-wage costs, along with overtime, net farm income, on average, will drop 23 percent.

Some of the more labor-intensive commodities will take an even bigger hit.

Vegetable growers will see a net farm income

decline by 43 percent;

Greenhouse and nursery operations at 58 percent;

And fruit growers at 74 percent.

And you've already heard about the dairy, 101 percent drop.

This isn't an assumption, but has been proven, as shown by the recent ag census that were released this month by USDA.

New York lost 2100 farms in the past 5 years.

What sets New York apart, is the minimum wage has consistently climbed to one of the highest in the nation, driving up all wages.

Nearby Pennsylvania's minimum wage is still at the federal level of \$7.25.

I think when we all agree our employees deserve a fair and competitive wage, and that's why it's a rarity for farms to pay minimum wage.

We must offer good wage and benefits, or else we won't have employees in this tight labor market.

Our employees will go elsewhere if they aren't receiving the wages and hours that they're demanding.

New York farms compete against farmers in other states and countries with much lower wage

rates, as I said.

Our farms can't just raise prices to absorb a large jump in overtime and expect to sell their goods.

Our members have told us they'll consider growing other crops that require less labor;

And others have said they'll cut hours or let employees go if they're forced to downsize;

Yet others have said they'll just get out of the business that they love.

My sons are having similar conversations about our business.

They've both had the opportunity to work in multiple states before trying to return to our farm.

And I was asked the question last week: Is it time that we look elsewhere?

It's a tough thing to face when they are, potentially, the eighth generation to farm in our community in Madrid.

So who pays the price? We all do.

Our workers will lose hours, see smaller paychecks; fewer farms, will mean less money going to our local communities; and local food access will become even more difficult.

We need to do what's right by our employees,

and we do.

This legislation won't fix the bad apples, but it will drive the good apple farms, the dairies, the nurseries, and the vegetable farms, and others, to make choices that will change the face of New York agriculture and rural New York State.

Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Yeah, I have a couple of questions.

Just to press you on the economic issues, we've heard a lot of claims that workers would go elsewhere if they couldn't work the number -- more -- beyond 40 hours a week.

But if Pennsylvania pays so much lower wages, it seems like they wouldn't earn more, in total, if they moved there and worked more hours than they're working in New York.

So I'm just trying to understand this argument.

DAVID FISHER: Pennsylvania doesn't have a many fruits and vegetables as we do.

They'd probably go to Michigan or someplace like that.

And it's really hard, because it's different.

Dairy farms can schedule.

You know, if you look at, let's take apple harvest, those people, some of them come from all over, but, say, from Jamaica. They come here for eight weeks, and that's the window, that's their goal, is to work at least six, or seven days a week, for that time period, to have money to go home and take care of their family for the rest of the year.

So, you know, you're trying to make -- it's really tough to -- agriculture is so diverse, it's really hard to put everything in the right perspective from a law standpoint.

And that's why, agriculture is diverse.

New York is the second most diverse agriculture,

commodity-wise, in the nation, and the diversity

within each of those is so huge.

But we are so dependent in the northeast on weather conditions that, like, California doesn't have to worry about that. It's dry every day.

You know, we have to -- when the time comes, we have to work.

On our farm right now, we are behind. We haven't gotten any crop work done.

So when the weather does break in the next week, you know, we're going to work six or seven days a week, and, you know, we work right with

everybody to get those things done.

So, it's really hard to legislate things that, like I said, you -- we have been no control over weather, and those people come here for a reason: They come here to earn money, to take care of their family.

And their family in other countries have a great standard of living because they can come here and do that.

So as Art said, it really needs to be a federal fix, would help, but, it's very tough to legislate everything.

SENATOR MAY: Okay.

And I also feel like we keep hearing different things about the minimum wage.

The minimum wage is higher in New York, and so pushes labor costs. But a lot of people are paying above minimum wage anyway.

Do you have statistics about what percentage of farmworkers actually are paid minimum wage in New York?

DAVID FISHER: I don't have that in my pocket, but we will get that for you.

SENATOR MAY: Okay, great. That would be --SENATOR METZGER: For your farm, what are -- what's the wages on your farm?

DAVID FISHER: We're starting entry level ---well, we have a couple high school kids at minimum wage. But, basically, we start at \$12.50.

So -- and we are a little bit different because we don't have -- we have all local help.

SENATOR METZGER: I was wondering if you could speak to -- dairy is year-round, so the labor requirements are different, you know, the hours are different.

And if you could just discuss, you know, how you're different -- what the differences are, how you're differently impacted, by overtime requirements, and that kind of thing.

DAVID FISHER: It depends on how many hours they want the work. But, on a lot of farms, where there are Latinos, they want hours because they're here to send money home.

Our farm is a little bit different, that we don't have that.

But it's schedulable, it's -- the barn things are.

But the crop side is not, so we have H2A workers for the summer that help on our outside crew.

SENATOR METZGER: Okay.

DAVID FISHER: And we can't -- we can't -- we have to do it when the weather cooperates. And if we get a couple rainy days, we all do shopwork, or whatever. And when we get nice days, we just put in a lot of hours.

And that's farming, and it's, just, a lot of people don't understand that.

SENATOR MAY: So you heard Crispin's very powerful testimony.

Do you -- have you encountered this kind of -- or, heard about this kind of issue with intimidation and --

DAVID FISHER: I won't endorse bad actors, and I won't say they're not out there, but that's the Department of Labor's job, and that's the marketplace.

And I will tell you, I think these things are going to take care of themselves because people want transparency in their food system.

They want to know, environmentally, what we're doing. They want to know how we're treating our employees.

And so I think, if we don't do that, and we don't show that transparency, that people won't buy

our products.

So I think it's going to take care of itself when the consumers, they are demanding those things, and they're getting them.

And there's programs in place to prove those things that we're doing on dairy farms and vegetable farms.

So, I just don't not feel like it's something that needs to be legislated when the consumer preference is going to prove where those products came from and how they're grown.

SENATOR MAY: What mechanisms are there for transparency of that kind, to find out how farmworkers are being treated?

DAVID FISHER: The dairy industry is working on that right now.

As far as, we have a FARM, F-A-R-M, program for dairy, which monitors how our animals are treated. And there's more phases being implemented.

And there's a lot of traceability things that more companies are requiring all the time.

SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you.

(Mr. O'Shaughnessy and Mr. Fisher leave the witness table.)

51 ANGELA CORNELL: Thank you very much. 1 Thank you very much, Senators, for this 2 3 opportunity. I'm sorry, I'm battling a cold and a sore 4 5 throat, so I hope you can hear me okay. My name is Angela Cornell. 6 I have been on the faculty of Cornell Law 7 School since 2005. My area is labor and employment. 8 And I also direct the labor law clinic, and 9 I am the chair of the faculty steering committee of 10 11 the Cornell Farmworker Program. 12 There has scarcely been a category of workers 13 whose working conditions and reality more necessitated additional labor protections. 14 15 The work is one of the most dangerous, 16 according to the U.S. Bureau of Labor statistics. 17 They're exposed to dangerous chemicals, 18 machinery. They have low-pay, demanding working 19 20 conditions. 21 They're isolated on the farms; generally, 22 they reside there.

> A considerable percentage of young, underage workers are employed in agriculture, and in New York that number is growing because of the exodus from

23

24

25

Central America. I'd say it's probably about

30 percent of workers are underage on farms in New

York.

Their legal status makes them particularly vulnerable. About 60 percent of undocumented -- 60 percent of workers are undocumented.

Those who have H2A visas, even, you know, those who are documented, also have particular vulnerabilities.

They are tied, as you may know, to their employer. Their livelihood, and their legal status, is dependent on that employer.

And I'll just mention that, we've heard that there have been some videotapes of farmworkers saying that they're opposed to this legislation.

And I would just note that many of these workers do face the undue influence of their employers. The power dynamic, like I said, not only is that the source of their income, but they reside there. And if they're an H2 worker, they're totally dependent on their status and that individual.

So, just something to be mindful of, of that situation.

The supporters of this bill also strongly support farming in New York, especially family

farms.

I do not believe that extending basic labor rights to farmworkers is going to detrimentally impact the agricultural sector in New York.

Farmers are, of course, concerned about profits. I think that's a legitimate concern.

But the overtime bill, unlike minimum wage, gives all of the control to employers; they have all of the control over whether to assign overtime.

Do I think that means that their workers will only work 40 hours?

No. I think their workers will work less hours than they're working now, but they will receive higher pay.

Farmers are also concerned about labor disruptions, but, particularly related to the right to organize and bargain collectively.

Labor rights have been extended to a number of industries that involve, you know, concerns about crucial issues, like public health, and patient care, and police and fire.

There have always been ways to provide those workers labor protections without risking the public's health and safety.

I'll conclude, because I'm out of time,

simply by saying that these are worker who sustain

New York's multi-billion-dollar agricultural sector

as the nation's second-largest producers of apples,

the third-largest dairy producers.

The farmworkers deserve more.

You have the capacity, we have the capacity, to extend these basic minimum protections to these farmworkers.

And these are fundamental rights, and we should not wait for the federal level to pass an immigration bill in order provide these basic labor protections for our farmworkers in New York.

Thank you very much.

[Applause.]

SENATOR METZGER: Thank you.

So I have a couple of questions.

One is, you say that 30 percent of the workforce -- farm-labor workforce is underage.

I've been to many farms and have not seen evidence of that.

So I just wanted to know where you got that data, and what it's based on?

And, then, if you could answer how you think this bill would address that, if it does exist.

ANGELA CORNELL: Okay.

I am -- I am the faculty -- on the faculty 1 steering committee of the Cornell Farmworker 2 3 Program, and we have a presence in upstate farms. We regularly go to farms. We regularly do 4 trainings on farms. 5 If you'll look at my statement, you'll find 6 more about the work we do on farms. 7 And, at the law school, we also have a 8 farmworker clinic. 9 We know that there are many children working 10 11 on farms that are under the age of majority. 12 Why is that relevant? 13 I'm simply pointing out that there are a 14 number of reasons why these are vulnerable workers. 15 These are precarious workers, and they need 16 additional labor protections. 17 Thank you so much. 18 SENATOR METZGER: I won't ask you too many 19 more questions because you seem --20 ANGELA CORNELL: Sorry. 21 SENATOR MAY: And we can communicate by some 22 other way if you would prefer. 23 I did also have a question about the -- what 24 we've been hearing about scarcity of labor on farms,

and whether that's something that you have noticed

25

as well?

ANGELA CORNELL: I understand the concern about the scarcity of workers.

I actually think that "higher pay and the overtime" language will translate into slightly higher pay for these workers.

It could actually end up bringing more workers to New York.

But I do understand that farmers here have had a difficult time finding workers, I understand that.

I don't think this bill will negatively affect. In fact, I think it could end up being helpful.

SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you.

Hope you feel better.

LUCIO RENE VILLANUEVA: Good morning.

My name is Lucio Villanueva. I have traveled from Mexico to work for Hemdale Farms and Greenhouses for eight years.

Hemdale is a 3,000-acre farm, with crops, including vegetables, as well as greenhouses to start vegetable plants.

I have a beautiful wife and a one-year-old daughter at home, and another baby on the way.

So coming to Hemdale Farms allows me to make a good living for my family.

Hansen Farm and Hemdale Farms runs a separate business, but work together for stay on the top of our work and market their vegetables.

My supervisor, Angelo, is going to talk on behalf of myself and another employees of the both farms.

ANGELO OCAMPO: I have to read too because I don't remember everything.

My name is Angelo Ocampo.

I supervisor for H2A workers for Hansen Farm and Hemdale Farms and Greenhouses.

I have worked for full-time for Hansen Farm for over 20 years.

Hansen Farm is the fourth-generations family farm, celebrate our's 100 years in business.

This year Hansen Farm won the national YS (sic) Primary Shipper and the high-quality vegetables, particularly cabbage.

The H2A employees are here for seven or eight months. They get paid 13.25 per hour. We free all, including houses, transportations, and reimbursement for the travel.

This is their job, and they want to work as

many hours as possible for the seven, eight months.

Most of the works, including planting, hoeing, and harvestings has to be done in good weather.

When the conditions are good, we work the 10 or 12 hours a day, and time, 7 or more days in the row, and 60 or more hours a week, to keeping the crops in the schedule.

When it is raining and the soil is wet, like this week, we have to wait for the soil or the crop to dry.

During planting season, we have for (indiscernible) have had to wait many days, or weeks, for all condition that we grow the crops.

Last year, the large sauerkraut company in the world in closing their New York State plants in Ontario County, moving to the cabbage harvest to Wisconsin, and ours vegetables harvest was down.

(Indiscernible) for 2019, Hansen and Hemdale was negotiating, and got more contracts with another national vegetables company because, these two farms, they had to be new house -- they had build new houses and new packing house that is now built.

That's it.

1 SENATOR MAY: Thank you very much. ANGELO OCAMPO: You're welcome. 2 3 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you very much. Can I ask, how long have you been on that --4 working on that farm? 5 6 ANGELO OCAMPO: I have 20 years -- over 7 20 years. SENATOR METZGER: 20 years. 8 9 And is it all -- are the all the workers from 10 the H2A program, or --11 ANGELO OCAMPO: Yeah, yeah, the H2A, they 12 coming from Mexico. 13 SENATOR METZGER: Okay. 14 ANGELO OCAMPO: Yeah. The H2A guys, yeah. 15 SENATOR MAY: And when you're working 16 12 hours in a day, do you get breaks? 17 ANGELO OCAMPO: Yeah, we have two breaks -no, three breaks. 18 It's 9:30, 3:00, and 6:00; three breaks. 19 20 SENATOR MAY: And you say sometimes you work 21 seven days a week. Do you have the option to take a day of rest, 22 23 or do you have to work? 24 ANGELO OCAMPO: The problem is, all depend on 25 the weather, because, right now, we see it for

two weeks. You know, if we want to catch, you know, 1 the scale for the plants to stay in the ground. You 2 know, we no planting in the time, maybe it's too 3 late to do the harvesting. 4 SENATOR MAY: So there is no choice? 5 6 The workers cannot choose to take what day of 7 rest during the week? ANGELO OCAMPO: Well, yeah, it depend on the 8 9 guys. If they want to taking the day off, they 10 taking, you know. 11 12 SENATOR MAY: They can? 13 ANGELO OCAMPO: Yeah. 14 SENATOR MAY: Okay. 15 ANGELO OCAMPO: Because there's 35 guys, 16 sometimes the 35 guys, the 5 guys didn't work, or 17 10 guys didn't work, that day, they can switch, you 18 know, yes, for they to have breaks too. Uh-huh. 19 20 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 21 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. Gracias. 22 LUCIO RENE VILLANUEVA: Thank you. 23 ANGELO OCAMPO: Thank you for the time. 24 [Applause.] 25

1 (Mr. Villanueva and Mr. Ocampo leave the witness table.) 2 MATTHEW CRITZ: I think there were somebody 3 before me, but --4 SENATOR MAY: Abdul-Qadir? 5 6 No? Okay. 7 Okay, Matthew Critz. And on deck we have, John Clark, and 8 9 Lon Stephens. If you can come up, that would be 10 great. And Judi Whittaker. 11 12 But, go ahead. 13 MATTHEW CRITZ: Okay. I'm Matthew Critz. 14 My wife and I are the owners of Critz Farms in Cazenovia. We're first-generation farmers. 15 We've been there since 1985. We now own 350 acres, 16 17 and grow a very wide, diverse crops. 18 Our main crops are apples, pumpkins, 19 blueberries, and Christmas trees, where we do a 20 bunch of other stuff. 21 We're kind of in a unique position, where 22 80 percent of our crops are marketed retail. And we 23 have a separate workforce that does that, that gets 24 overtime if they work, and all that, workmen's (sic)

comp, disability... the whole shooting match.

25

We also have six H2A workers that do the field work. Those H2A workers also get workmen's (sic) compensation, disability insurance, days off if they want them. We pay unemployment insurance on them.

We have full -- pardon?

SENATOR METZGER: They are not actually allowed to collect on the unemployment.

MATTHEW CRITZ: Yes, yes, we have to pay, but they can't collect.

Kind of a weird situation, isn't it?
Yeah, it's very strange, that we have to pay,
but they can't. I would be fine if they could
collect.

We're -- these workers, besides the pay they get, they also receive free housing, free utilities, free phone, free Internet, free television, free transportation back and forth to work, free transportation to the supermarket every weekend, free transportation from their home country to where they work for us.

They also make \$13.25 an hour, which is the base H2A rate in the northeast.

And the answer to your question a little bit earlier: In Pennsylvania, if you have H2A workers,

you have to pay \$13.25.

So my concern -- your question about workers leaving to go to different states?

In Pennsylvania, if you're H2A worker, and you don't like working in New York because you can't get over 40 hours, you're going to move to another state. Even Pennsylvania you're going on get paid \$13.25, not the minimum wage in that town -- in that city -- or in that -- excuse me -- in that state.

And so my wife and I feel very opposed to this.

We feel for, two reasons, especially on the overtime rate, and, you've heard the same story all along, it's all about the weather.

And for us, with 20 percent of our stuff going wholesale, we compete against Pennsylvania, Ohio, Vermont, New Hampshire, and especially Canada, no overtime rules there.

We ship almost a million pounds of pumpkins all over the northeast every fall, thousands of Christmas trees, and we're competing with these people every day, and we can't absorb this extra cost.

It's -- I can't pay \$20 an hour to pick pumpkins and compete against the Canadians that ship

64 them down from Nova Scotia into my Boston market. 1 2 We've already lost market share to them 3 already. One minute left? 4 5 Okay. 6 The other point I'd like to bring up is, the 7 workers, this is going to adversely affect the workers. Because we can't afford to pay that rate, 8 9 they're going to get knocked back to 40 hours. 10 They're H2A guys, they come here for one 11 reason, and that's to work, so, they want to work 12 50, 60 hours a week. 13 So -- and as far as recommendations, I could live with 60 hours. I could live with one day off a 14 15 week. 16 And we're already doing the other things 17 already with the workmen's (sic) comp and that whole 18 thing. 19 Do you guys have any questions? 20 SENATOR METZGER: Yeah.

About what percentage of your costs are labor costs?

MATTHEW CRITZ: Oh, God.

21

22

23

24

25

Because we're in the vegetable business, it's a lot. 30, 40 percent, at least.

SENATOR METZGER: Right. And it's great --1 it's good to get a sense of how it differs across 2 different crops -- different kinds of crops, 3 different kinds of agricultural products, so... 4 MATTHEW CRITZ: Yeah, the labor is very 5 expensive. 6 7 And it will put a pretty large burden on us, trying to compete with these people from -- that 8 aren't in from New York. 9 10 Also, if I can have one second, I'd also like to touch on all the other businesses that are 11 12 affected by our business. 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We buy the cardboard to put the pumpkins in from a firm in New York State. That'll go down.

We use a local trucking firm, and that's, truckloads, drivers that won't have loads to drive.

We buy new tractors from a local dealer.

It's just -- it's going to precipitate all the way down if we have to drop this business because we can't afford to be in it anymore.

SENATOR MAY: I'm also wondering about the transparency issues that we talked about.

How often is the housing inspected, or that kind of thing?

MATTHEW CRITZ: Yes, okay, for us we get our

housing inspected twice a year by the local health department.

We also get at least one visit from

New York State Department of Labor every summer.

And we get a visit from the federal Department of Labor every summer.

The Jamaican government provides a liaison person for the Jamaican workers.

So -- and we've been doing this 10 years now, and we've never had a case where we've had an unhappy employee.

But if we had an employee that was unhappy, he would talk to the Jamaican liaison officer; he would then talk to me.

If things weren't working out, he didn't like to work at my farm, they could help arrange moving him to another farm, and maybe a worker from another farm coming to me.

Technically, they're here to work for my farm. But, if there's unhappy employees, there's ways around it.

So they're not, like, totally beholding to me.

And if they don't want come back, they don't come back.

And we have guys that have been coming back for 10 years now.

SENATOR MAY: That's what I was going to ask, how long your --

MATTHEW CRITZ: Pretty much, everybody that we have now has worked for us before, and come back, the six migrant workers that we have.

So if they didn't like working for you, and you didn't give them good housing, or didn't take care of their hours, or if, on a rainy day, you didn't make work for them so they could get their hours in for a week when it rained all week, they wouldn't come back to you.

SENATOR METZGER: Okay.

Thank you very much.

SENATOR MAY: John.

JOHN CLARK: Good afternoon, Senator May,
Senator Metzger, and the members of the Senate Labor
and Agricultural committees.

My name is John Clark, and I'm president of the Northeast Agribusiness and Feed Alliance, a 300-member trade association of agribusiness companies that serve --

SENATOR MAY: Can I interrupt you for a second so that I can welcome my colleague

Senator Velmanette Montgomery, who drove 5 1/2 hours to get here, and we are very, very pleased to have her here.

Thank you.

[Applause.]

JOHN CLARK: Thank you for introducing her. Welcome.

As I was saying, the Northeast Agribusiness and Feed Alliance is a 300-member trade association of agribusiness companies that serve production agriculture throughout the northeast U.S.

Our members comprise of feed, seed,

fertilizer, ingredient suppliers, credit providers,

and others, whose business it is to support the

farming community.

Locally here, in Oneida, Herkimer, and

Madison counties, some of the members that would -
could be affected would be Bailey's Feed in

Bloomville, Brown's Feed in Frankfort,

Louis J. Gale & Son in Waterville, Gold Star Feed

and Grain of Sangerfield, and Lutz Feed Company in

Oneonta, who each employ 20 to 50 people that

support the farming community.

Therefore, the Northeast Agribusiness and Feed Alliance is here today to stand with the

farming community in strong opposition to

Senate Bill 2837, and implore you to find a path
forward that effectively addresses the economic
realities of New York's farming sector.

No state policy that attempts to address the issues identified in Senate Bill 2837 will be successful unless the policy effectively respects and addresses the economic impacts to New York farmers.

Absent a win-win solution, Senate Bill 2837 will alter the face of agriculture, and dare I say, the fabric and the face of rural Upstate New York forever.

To paraphrase the rest of my testimony:

For the past four to five years, dairy farmers, who are price-takers, have suffered with low commodity prices.

To put this burden on them would hurt their businesses and make them less competitive.

As was stated, New York is now number three in dairy.

If this bill goes through, it could make them less competitive and force milk to be produced in other states where it will be more competitive.

My point here today is that, we stand in --

1 shoulder-to-shoulder with the dairy producers. And it will have a rippling effect, just as 2 Mr. Critz talked about his suppliers, the feed 3 industry will be adversely affected. 4 I respectfully submit these comments. 5 6 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. 7 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 8 SENATOR METZGER: I don't have any questions. 9 Did you have any questions? 10 SENATOR MAY: I don't think I have any 11 questions. 12 And we're going to have to limit our 13 questions a little bit if we're going to get through 14 everyone on our list. 15 So, thank you for your testimony, Mr. Clark. 16 JOHN CLARK: You're welcome. 17 LON STEPHENS: Good afternoon, Senators Ramos (sic), Metzger, and Montgomery, and 18 distinguished members of the Senate Labor and 19 20 Agricultural committees. 21 My name is Lon Stephens. 22 I am the current general manager of 23 Cooperative Feed Dealers in Conklin, New York. I have held this position for the past 29 years. 24

35 independent and family-owned feed mills

25

own this 85-year-old cooperative.

CFD's activities include providing bulk grain, mineral and vitamin premixes, and animal nutrition advice to member mills.

Our New York feed mill membership count totals 18.

The average employment represented by each is approximately 20, not including CFD's 45 employees, for a total of about 400 employed in New York State.

Each one of these members, and CFD itself, relies on the dairy industry for their income, so each has a vital interest in the success of New York State dairy farms.

As the previous speakers have told you, milk prices have been depressed over the past three to five years, devastating the bottom lines of many otherwise successful dairy farms, and driven many out of business.

Increasing expenses on the remaining farms will only hasten their exodus, and the negative impact it will have on the economies of upstate towns and counties already struggling to keep their job and tax revenue intact.

I'm here to testify that not only dairy farms, but vegetable and crop farms, which are so

vital to the upstate economy, will be challenged.

But the 400 jobs represented by CFD and its members will be impacted as well.

The increase in accounts receivables from dairy farmers held by my members threaten their very survival. And I predict that some feed mills will not survive.

Many of my members have been in business for over 100 years.

The current economic threat from dairy -affecting dairy farms, unable to pay their feed
bills, is much more severe than at any other time
during my 34-year tenure at Cooperative Feed
Dealers.

This threat to feed mills is real, and the ripple effect to the economies of the towns and villages that benefit from the jobs and tax revenues they supply would be significant.

I urge to you consider the impact that raising labor expenses will have on this important New York State industry.

Dairy farmers will have no one to pass this expense along to, other than their vendors, my members, and I can attest that my members cannot extend any more credit than what they already have.

Your decision to not allow this legislation 1 to be enacted is the decision to help New York State 2 agriculture as it struggles through this darkest 3 period in its history. 4 5 Dairy farmers, and farming, has been a 6 bedrock of New York landscape and economy for the 7 past two centuries. Please give it a chance to continue. 8 9 Thank you for your consideration. SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. 10 11 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. I don't believe we got your written 12 13 testimony, so if you can submit --14 SENATOR METZGER: We do. 15 SENATOR MAY: -- oh, do we have it? 16 Oh, okay. 17 I beg your pardon. 18 LON STEPHENS: Do you have mine? 19 SENATOR MAY: Yeah, I guess we do. 20 (Mr. Clark and Mr. Stephens leave the 21 witness table.) 22 SENATOR MAY: Are you Judi Whittaker? 23 JUDI WHITTAKER: Yes, uh-huh. 24 SENATOR MAY: So Karin Reeves is next, if you 25 could come up, and Alfredo Mejia.

I guess I need to be louder here. 1 2 You can go ahead. 3 JUDI WHITTAKER: Good day, Senator May, Senator Metzger, and Senator Montgomery. 4 Thank you all for the time. We appreciate 5 6 you listening to our concerns. My name is Judi Whittaker. 7 Along with my husband, I, and my son, we have 8 9 a dairy farm in Broome County. Our farm has been in business for 104 years. 10 11 My husband and myself were hoping to pass 12 this farm on to our grandsons. 13 I'm not so certain now. 14 We milk cows three times a day, every day, 15 365 days a year. 16 We have employees we value very much. 17 They're just like family to us. We include them in on picnics, special occasions, and celebrations. 18 If enacted, this Farmworker (sic) Fair Labor 19 20 Practices Act would have dire consequences on our 21 farms, and I really worry that those employees we 22 value so much would not stay and we would not have 23 them any longer. 24 We pay our workers well.

We provide housing for our employees.

25

includes a house, electric, cable TV, Internet service, heat, air conditioning, a day off. We pay workers' compensation on them. We pay disability on them.

It's a lot of expense for us.

Looking at the expense that that would cost us, and what our potential of what we could do to change anything, would be to start charging our employees for the housing costs; for the electric, for the cable TV, for the Internet services.

We haven't -- if we have to pay additional overtime pay on our farm, would take our payroll, which is a little bit more than \$500,000 now, to closer to \$700,000.

When all the taxes and all of the fees are included, it's more of a losing proposition for us.

We're a dairy farm, we are price-takers, not price-setters.

We send our milk to DFA, it's processed and bottled. 30 days later, we get paid for that milk, not knowing at the time we sent it what we're going to get paid.

We have no idea, we have no control over that.

We can't pass it on to anyone, the costs of

those.

With dairying being in a downturn five years now, we're losing money every day.

This additional burden would possibly put us out of business.

We can't pay for more from a losing business.

How sad is it that the people that are providing food for you are now qualifying for food stamps.

We have bills to pay, and our employees are one of our biggest and our most important. We pay them before we pay ourselves.

We haven't paid ourselves in over a year.

Our employees want as many hours as they can get. They have families to take care of.

I fear, if we can't give them the hours that they're looking for, they will leave and we will have no employees.

Instead of living free, we would need to have them pay for those expenses.

It would end up, in the end, not a winning situation for them.

Thank you for taking time to listen to my concerns.

Our future in the dairy industry is at stake

right now. And I'll just say a little quote from one of my good friends. Assemblywoman Donna Lupardo said, "Any plan put forward needs to be balanced." And we just ask for someone to listen. Thank you. SENATOR METZGER: Thank you so much. Appreciate your testimony. SENATOR MAY: And, Karin, ready? KARIN REEVES: Good morning. SENATOR MAY: Hi.

KARIN REEVES: Thank you, Senators, for holding these hearings.

My name is Karin Reeves, and I'm one of the owners of Reeves Farms in Baldwinsville.

We grow around 350 acres of vegetables and berries, and we hire about 70 seasonal workers each year, with the majority of them coming through the H2A program.

And, my primary concerns with the farm labor bill are related to overtime and potential for work stoppages, as you've heard from other farmers today.

Many other pieces of the bill, we're already doing today, so we don't really have concerns about

those pieces.

But we simply can't afford to pay overtime when we have our workers working anywhere from 50 to 70 hours per week.

As you've heard, you know, we don't set our prices. We have to compete in a global marketplace.

And even today I'm constantly having conversations about why we can't meet the prices from other states and countries.

So forcing farms in New York to pay overtime puts us on an uneven playing field.

And the bill also has a provision, where farms would have to pay a daily overtime, and that piece of the bill really puts an unfair burden on agriculture since, virtually, no other industry in New York has that requirement.

Overtime pay was originally introduced to encourage businesses to hire more people rather than give the same workers more hours.

But, simply hiring more people in agriculture comes with a lot of additional requirements, since we have to provide housing and pay for travel costs.

But, while these costs are significant, they're not even our biggest concern.

Our biggest concern, really, is our employees

will not be satisfied with 40 hours per week.

And, you know, they're leaving their family behind and their life behind. They come here, really, to do one thing, and -- which is make as much money as possible.

And, if we have to limit them to 40 hours a week, they're -- they've told us that they're going to look for work outside our state.

So this bill really puts us in a catch-22.

If we continue to let our employees work that 50 to 70 hours a week, we won't be able to operate a competitive business. But, if we limit them to 40 hours, we risk that they may not return to our farm.

Just a brief word about work stoppages.

A strike during our growing season would, essentially, hold us hostage, and would really be devastating for our farm.

So, for that reason, we believe that there needs to be some no-strike clause, or something addressing that, in the legislation.

And we have several of our employees here today, and I would like to let Sam speak on behalf of our workers.

(Samuel Montelongo speaking in Spanish, and

1 SAMUEL MONTELONGO: Good day. 2 3 4 week. 5 6 now 70 hours a week. 7 8 9 10 11 12 workers.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ari Mir-Pontier translating to English.)

Our boss has told us that they want to pass a new law that will only allow us to work 40 hours a

That will prejudice us because we are working

That would only give us about 10 hours in overtime, not the other additional 20.

The boss has told them, that if they pass this law, that they will bring in 30 more job --

That will affect us because that's too many people in the camp, or they'll have to add to the camp.

Because we've been working 60 to 70 hours, that money helps us maintain our families in Mexico.

We only come here six to seven months, and the rest of the time we work over there.

Our boss also told us that we could have a day off without any fear of anything happening to us.

We feel that if you do not pass this law, it would be beneficial to us.

But this will be the Senators' decision.

1 I only come here to request that. 2 SENATOR METZGER: Muchos gracias. SAMUEL MONTELONGO: De nada. You're welcome. 3 SENATOR MAY: I have a couple questions. 4 5 If you have a complaint about the conditions, 6 is there a system for bringing that complaint to 7 your employers? SAMUEL MONTELONGO: There are no complaints. 8 9 [Laughter.] 10 KARIN REEVES: We have one manager on the 11 farm that's responsible for overseeing all the 12 housing, and also making sure, you know, everyone 13 knows where they need to be that day. 14 So any complaints, you tell Andres. Right? 15 SAMUEL MONTELONGO: (Nods head.) 16 If he did have one, he would speak with the 17 boss. SENATOR MAY: And the workers understand that 18 19 this bill doesn't require that you work only 20 40 hours a week; it requires that the employer pay 21 additional overtime pay for more than 40 hours a 22 week? 23 SAMUEL MONTELONGO: He understands this, but 24 they will still bring in 30 more people to divide up 25 the jobs, and that will limit their hours to 40.

1 KARIN REEVES: I mean, you see that in a lot of other industries. 2 3 You know, I mean, the majority of businesses don't allow their employees to work significant 4 5 overtime. They hire more people. 6 And that's the approach we're going to have 7 to take in order to try keep our costs down so we can be competitive. 8 SENATOR METZGER: How many years have you 9 been working on this farm? 10 11 SAMUEL MONTELONGO: (Speaking in English.) 12 13 or 14. I can't remember. 13 SENATOR MAY: And I just have one question 14 for you, Karin. 15 The -- you said that most of your employees 16 were here on H2A visas. Are there -- are there different categories 17 of workers, and do they earn different amounts, and 18 how does that work? 19 20 KARIN REEVES: That's a good question. 21 The -- our -- like I said, the majority of 22 the workers come through the H2A visa program.

We also have delivery trucks that go out every day, and so we hire about 10 to 12 seasonal delivery drivers, and they're mostly people, like,

23

24

25

bus drivers and, you know, people that are off for the summers.

And then we have a small fruit stand, so we hire some other seasonal high school students to work that.

So there's different job classifications.

But all the -- all the field work and all the packing is done by the H2A workers.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

KARIN REEVES: Thank you.

(Ms. Reeves and Mr. Montelongo leave the witness table.)

ALFREDO MEJIA: My name is Alfredo Mejia.

I have been working on a farm for 22 years in Batavia, New York. This a vegetable farm.

We planting potatoes, beets, peas, carrots, and sweet corn, and cabbage, snap bean, and field corn.

We have a very short season to plant and our harvest on the crop.

We cannot control the weather. On a good weather day we have to do as much as possible.

Regarding the harvesting as not the same because, if you will harvest on at the same time, our crop will be spoiled, and we are risking a lost

crop in yields and dollars for all of the hard work.

I am a single father of the two boys.

One after graduation from St. John Fisher

College last year, and I was able to pay his tuition

for four years.

And now I have a son who is about to graduation from high school, and he's will be intending to college as well.

My first work was in a factory in Wilmington,
Delaware. They pay overtime; however, we never
allowed to work more than 40 hours to receive this
benefit.

In that time I was single, and I paid my rent and my transportation, and I have very little left to make my (indiscernible).

I was told by a friend, I was -- if I was to work more hours, I can get farmer job.

I chose this because I want to work, and here my -- and work as much as possible to meet my needs, and as well to be able to help my parents.

My message is, if this bill gets signed,

I will have to find a second job on the night, on
the weekends, because the farmers-owners cannot
afford to pay for 20 or 30 extra hours per week in
time-and-a-half pay, and I cannot meet my needs

working only 40 hours.

Unless a farmer can raise his prices for their crop, and (indiscernible) allowed to force the farmers to pay overtime for more than 8 hours of work in the day, or 40 hours in the week, we hear of many farms and many more peoples because they want to be able to get the work done on time and pay the bills.

Thank you for listening to me, and I pray that you guys make the right decision.

SENATOR METZGER: Thank you very much.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR METZGER: Do you work -- are you full year? Or --

ALFREDO MEJIA: Yes. Full year.

SENATOR MAY: I hope your son gets to go to the college of his choice.

ALFREDO MEJIA: Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR METZGER: Thank you very much.

SENATOR MAY: We have Stuart Mitchell.

STUART MITCHELL: I'm Stuart Mitchell, the president and CEO at Pathstone Corporation.

You've been greeted well, I won't go through that again.

I do believe, and I think it's been proven time and again today, that everyone who is testifying today is absolutely committed to protecting and advancing our New York State critically-important agriculture industry.

I think farmworkers and employers certainly embrace that.

I grew up on a small dairy farm in Middlesex,

New York. Went to Cornell. And got involved in

farmworkers -- working with farmworkers, on behalf

of farmworkers, in 1967.

In late 1968 I became a part of an organization, or a group of people, that formed what is now known as Pathstone Corporation.

I've been working with farmworkers and employers for almost 50 years.

Since 1969 we have assisted thousands of farmworkers develop the skills and resources required to obtain year-round, full-time, unsubsidized employment with benefits and with labor protections.

In addition, we have assisted hundreds of farmworkers obtain year-round full-time employment within the industry -- within the agricultural industry.

Progressive agricultural employers recognize that and value the importance of their workforce.

The Fair Labor Standards Practices Act will create a level and equitable labor practices foundation for the industry and promote employee satisfaction and retention.

In the '70s and '80s I participated as a member of the New York State Department of Labor Minimum Wage Advisory Committee.

The committee was established by the Legislature to make recommendations to the commissioner and the governor regarding labor standards for farmworkers.

It took decades for this group to negotiate and achieve legislation that required farmworkers receive the same basic minimum wage paid other workers.

During that long and arduous public and private debate, the other labor protections being proposed by the Farmworker (sic) Fair Labor Practices Act were never seriously considered by the New York State Department of Labor, the governor, or the state Legislature.

Now is the time to finally eliminate, once and for all, second-class, sharecropper-inspired

worker -- workplace conditions for farmworkers.

The advisory-council model for reaching agreement in fairness for farmworkers simply did not work.

I've had countless conversations with employers who express the same fears that many employers are expressing today.

My 50 years of experience advocating for the rights of workers has convinced me that the industry's extremely and extraordinarily resilient.

The incremental increases in workplace protections for farmworkers over the last several decades have in no way damaged the vitality of the industry.

In fact, if anything the industry is stronger than ever.

The industry has always been able to manage increased operating costs in energy, taxes, equipment, and technology, and as earlier noted, the New York State Legislature and governor has regularly provided economic incentives and subsidies to the industry.

With a level playing field for the agricultural workforce, the industry will demonstrate, once again, its resilience and ability

to adapt to new economic realities.

I guess, for example, that increasing overtime will be less expensive than creating housing for new workers coming into the farm.

Working together, we can ensure that every hungry person living and eating in New York will have equal access to high-quality, affordable, health-giving -- healthy life-giving food.

Each of us passionately believes that we must all have an inalienable right to enough food every day to enjoy and appreciate a productive and full --fulfilling life.

Enacting this legislation will create an incredible marketing opportunity to convince consumers that they should demonstrate their appreciation for the workers and owners who make it possible for us to eat healthy food every day.

I urge you to support this legislation.

Remembering the words of Dr. Martin Luther King, "How long?"

Not long, because the arc of the moral universe is long, but it bends toward justice.

Thank you.

[Applause.]

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

Let me just ask one question that came up from the previous testimony, about the overtime having been put in place to encourage employers to hire more workers rather than to have workers working long hours.

Do you believe that this -- what do you think would be the outcome -- you said it would be cheaper to just increase the overtime pay.

But, if the purpose of that is to actually decrease the number of hours people are working, is that a reasonable goal in agriculture in New York?

STUART MITCHELL: Yeah, I think the concept that crosses my mind all the time, is that we built an agricultural labor structure around the idea that we have to work 70 hours a week.

That is, in this day and age, not a life-work balance idea of what work should be.

So that the idea that the families who are at home without their mother or father, because they're working 70 hours a week in agriculture, could be dramatically changed if they were working 40 hours a week, or 45 hours a week.

I'm only pointing out that it's going take some adjustment for the system to break away from

that idea that people have to work 70 hours a week in order for the industry to survive.

That concept will change when these regulations come in place, just like the minimum wage created a change, but the industry adjusted to it.

And they will adjust to this.

They want -- you can hear this, we've got an incredibly powerful group of entrepreneurs driving this agricultural industry.

They will make this work.

We will get food, we will eat.

SENATOR METZGER: So you don't recognize differences between farming, in terms of the climate and the dependence on natural forces and other industries?

You don't see any difference?

STUART MITCHELL: There absolutely is a difference, there's no question about it.

All those factors create -- make it difficult for this industry to get their work done.

My point is, that it shouldn't be done on the backs of workers.

They've got ways that they can adjust for those issues, just like they would if a tractor

92 1 broke down, or any other number of issues that impact their business. 2 Somehow, this idea that agricultural workers 3 have to be the scapegoat for the weaknesses in the 4 industry is -- is -- it's just not fair. 5 6 It's just simply not fair. SENATOR MAY: So let me welcome 7 Senator Jessica Ramos to Morrisville. 8 9 Thank you so much. I'm glad you made it. SENATOR RAMOS: Me too. I am very glad 10 11 I made it too. 12 SENATOR MAY: Do you want to make a few 13 comments of any kind? 14 SENATOR RAMOS: Uhm, well, no. 15 I mean, I just got out of a car where I was 16 for several hours, but, nevertheless, yes, my 17 apologies for being late. 18 We got stuck behind -- we got stuck in 19 Skoufis country, actually, where a tractor-trailer 20 flipped, and we were stuck there for a good time. 21 But, nevertheless, I'm glad to be here, and 22 to hear everybody's views and voices on this issue. 23 It's one that we're hoping to remedy soon.

> So I am glad to hear everybody's testimony from every stakeholder that there is.

24

25

So thank you for having me.

OFF-CAMERA AUDIENCE MEMBER: "Thank you, Mr. Mitchell."

SENATOR MAY: Jesse Mulbury.

JESSE MULBURY: So I'd like to start by thanking Senators May and Metzger and Montgomery and Ramos for taking the time to hear what we had to say today.

And there have been plenty of speakers who are more eloquent than I am, who have spoken already.

So I think that one of the most valuable things that I can do here today is talk to you guys a little bit about my background.

I'm coming from a company called

Northern Orchard up in Clinton County, New York, in
the Champlain Valley, and we are apple-growers, and
we farm about 475 acres of apples, varieties like

Macintosh and Honeycrisp, Fuji, Gala. We're into
the new Cornell varieties, SnapDragon and RubyFrost.

I hope you get a chance to try them.

I'm entering into my eighth harvest at the farm. I'm working alongside my sister who is entering her fourth harvest. We're the third generation of farmers making a go at it at

Northern Orchard within our family.

My dad, Albert, has been farming since 1972 at the farm, and our family's involvement in Northern Orchard started in 1945 with my grandfather Marcel.

The orchard has been planted since 1906.

So we have a history of over 100 years of apple growing.

Maybe something a little unique about apple orchards, and I think I can speak for the way that a lot of other orchards in our situation operate, is our heavy, heavy reliance on the H2 program.

We've been participating in the H2A labor program since the 1970s.

I'm currently 27 years old, and we have employees who have been coming back, year to year, in the H2A program for over 30 years; so, literally, longer than I've been alive.

It's a relationship where I look to these guys, like Jasper and Lester and David, and I could go on and on, but, I look to these guys for advice and guidance in life and in farming. And I rely on our relationship to show me the correct way in going forward as a new farmer, and in addition to what I've learned growing up on the farm.

We're very concerned about some of the provisions that are being recommended in the Farmworker (sic) Fair Labor Practices Act.

And I think maybe I can talk a little bit about some numbers that we're currently facing.

In 2018 we grossed about \$4.7 million on our farm, and labor accounted for 2.3 million. So well over 40 percent of our entire expense was labor.

Every farmworker who comes onto our farm, due to our heavy reliance on and our relationship with H2, starts at \$13.25 an hour.

As an owner of the farm, I'm currently making around \$17 an hour.

I highlight that point to say, that I think there are a lot of industries out there in today, Walmarts and the Amazons and the McDonald's of the world, where there are some big discrepancies between what the job owners are doing and what their workers are doing.

And I want to implore you to consider the fact that that's not the case on these farms.

I work alongside our employees every day.

I was taught by my father and my grandfather that you cannot be a boss on a farm. If you're not capable of doing that work yourself, how can you

possibly teach somebody else how to do this hands-on work?

Our calculations show that the time and a half, 8-a-day, 40-hour-a-week provision would crease our labor -- per year, our labor costs, by 16 percent. And during our harvest it would increase the labor expense by over a third.

In the course of one season that would put us out of business.

We have a very limited window in the apple industry, 6 to 8 weeks to pick our entire apple crop on 475 acres.

That's several hundred thousand trees. It's about 21 million apples picked by hand.

I'll go ahead and yield the rest of my time to any questions, but, I just hope I've given a little bit of a sense of some of the climate that are around the farms, and I'd like to stress the importance of our relationship with our workers.

Thanks.

SENATOR MAY: So what I'm hearing is the overtime is the big problem for you in this bill.

Would other aspects of the bill be some -- all right with you, are you okay with that?

JESSE MULBURY: Sure.

I mean, some things, such as like -- that have been touched on, like workers' compensation and unemployment insurance, we already participate in fully.

I think that the Senators need to consider the implications on the smallest and the newest starting farms with regards to some of that legislation.

The other one in our case that really has me nervous and is scary to me, is the idea of collective bargaining.

I think that, on our farm, we're already dictated by the state and federal department of labor, wage-and-hour division; department of health; the EPA; the USDA.

All of these organizations are auditing us year to year, inspecting us year to year, and all of them have a say, in one or another, to make sure that we're being fair and that we are protecting our workers.

The threat of a strike I think is the scariest thing to me as a farmer.

If there was like a misguided effort to strike on the farm during our harvest, that's it for us.

We -- my father's been farming his entire life. He's brought in 48 crops.

There's not a lot of industries out there where you have 48 opportunities in a whole lifetime of working to get it right.

And the mistake made one year, or a crop loss one year, the effects of that are massive.

It's not -- we grow a crop for a whole year.
It's a slow payoff, you know.

From this time right now, actually, up in our region, we're just getting into what we call "green tip." The trees are breaking their dormancy, and it's time for us to go, it's time to start protecting the trees. We have to finish up our pruning and make sure everything is good to go for the fruit to grow.

And we have a very limited time to do that.

And so I just say that to highlight the fact there are very critical times of year where the threat of a strike, or whatever term you would want to use for it, would just have devastating implications on our farm.

I also would just like to say that we wouldn't be around if it wasn't for our H2 guys and the relationship that we have.

We wouldn't have made it all this time because, we have 35 full-time employees. For our harvest we go to 180, if that gives a sense of the labor input that's required to bring in an apple crop.

It's simply massive.

And if we don't have a good working relationship with our guys, if we weren't fair and transparent, and we didn't have that relationship of respect, we would be out of the industry very quickly.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you for your testimony.

Obviously, apples are very important to everybody in our state.

I just wanted -- you may have mentioned it, and I missed it.

What's the length of your season, and how many employees does it -- do you require in order to get through a season?

JESSE MULBURY: Yes, ma'am.

So our season -- basically, our growing season goes from, about, sometime here in April, it kind of varies year to year, depending on how the

winter finishes it up, but let's call it, April to about mid-November. That's our opportunity to grow a crop.

But that being said, as soon as that crop is finished and the trees go dormant, those trees were growing branches all year long. All kinds of -- they're in the business of growing bigger, as trees do. And so all winterlong we prune back the trees.

That's kind of our winter job.

So there's always times in every season of the year when there are some major labor requirements.

Due to the nature of the H2A program, our -you know, it's for a seasonal need for labor. So we
begin participating in that program around
April 1st, and we finish up around December 1st.

We rely a local crew to get as much of the pruning done as we can throughout the winter.

We -- so our crew at its smallest is about 35 people, local full-time employees.

In April we bring on our first 25 H2A employees, so that brings our crew up to about 65.

In July that number jumps to about 80.

And in September we bring in an additional 100, to bring the total to 180, to bring in the

1 crop.

And then that tapers off in November and December, back down to the 35 for the winter months.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

JESSE MULBURY: Thank you, guys.

SENATOR METZGER: Thanks very much for your testimony.

HERBERT ENGMAN: Good afternoon.

My name is Herb Engman, and I had a 35-year career at Cornell University.

SENATOR MAY: Let me interrupt just for one second.

Yusuf Abdul-Qadir, who was on the program earlier, I gather that he's here. So I'm going to ask him to go after you.

So, if you'd come up, please. Thank you. Go ahead.

HERBERT ENGMAN: During that 35-year career,
I was, for 30 years, the director of the Cornell
Migrant Program, which is a migrant farmworker
program.

And after I retired, I became the elected town supervisor of the Town of Ithaca, which is full-time.

So during those years I learned something about farmers, something about farmworkers, and something about public policy.

And what one of the main things I learned, was that the public policy of the United States and New York State is, basically, based on racism and discrimination.

You've already heard from Brian that the public policy at the national level, how that worked, and a compromise with southern legislators.

In New York State, the state constitution says that all workers have the right to bargain collectively.

Farmworkers are excluded because they're not defined as "employees."

From everything I've heard today, from farmers, from workers, they certainly sound like employees to me.

Calls for changes in New York State are not new, as Brian mentioned earlier.

In 1989 there was a major attempt.

There was a publication called "Agricultural Labor Markets in New York State, and Implications for Labor Policy."

It concluded that, quote: Farmworkers in

New York State should be granted the right to organize unions and bargain collectively, and, other statutes that set apart farmworkers and farm employees from their agricultural peers should also be reconsidered.

The point, is to eliminate agriculture's special treatment, and to ensure that equal rights protection and obligations prevail for employees and employers across all sectors of the state's economy.

Continued public-policy discrimination is a major reason why there's been so little progress for farmworkers in New York State.

Another reason has been, that farmers have argued that improvements will put them out of business.

When drinking water in the fields was required in 1996, they said it would put them out of business.

It didn't.

When access to toilets was required in 1998, they said it would put them out of business.

It didn't.

When equal minimum wage was passed in 2000, they said would it put them out of business.

It did not.

The agricultural industry will argue that the Fair Labor Practices Act will put farmers out of businesses.

It will not.

California has protected farmworkers much better than New York State, and they have a rather robust agricultural industry.

If lawmakers wish to help small farmers, they could redirect some of the 300 million-plus dollars per year in subsidies, agricultural programs, and tax breaks that the taxpayers already contribute to New York agriculture.

It is absurd and unfair that large farmers get the lion's share of taxpayer money, not small farmers, and certainly not farmworkers.

Farmworkers should not bear the burden of bad public policy.

Equality for farmworkers will be good for farmers.

It will remove the stigma of taking advantage of farmworkers.

It will improve the likelihood of recruiting local workers and retaining well-trained employees.

Above all, it will be the fair thing to do.

After all, when does the economic prosperity

105 1 of one person justify the exploitation of another? For the benefit of farmworkers, farmers, and 2 3 taxpayers, it is time to pass the Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices Act. 4 5 Thank you. 6 [Applause.] 7 SENATOR MAY: That was exactly four minutes, I'm very impressed. 8 9 SENATOR METZGER: I have a question. The \$300 million, where are you getting that 10 11 figure? 12 We just did the budget, so I didn't notice 13 nowhere close to that. HERBERT ENGMAN: The Environmental Working 14 15 Group, the -- called "EWG," lists the federal 16 subsidies on their website. 17 This was, 1917 was the latest. And New York farmers get \$92.9 million in 18 19 federal monies, which, of course, is partially paid 20 by New York State taxpayers. 21 Now, New York State subsidies are more 22 elusive. I don't know that there's any one place

But ag and markets, which is dedicated

totally to agriculture, gets about \$200 million a

23

24

25

you can find them.

year.

And there's something called the "Governor's Report on Tax Expenditures." These are tax breaks.

Farmer get \$68.64 million a year from that source.

So I couldn't find any commodity payments, like for apples and onions. But every once in a while there are some chunks of money that come from the State, but I don't know if there's been any in recent years.

But that gets to well over \$300 million a year, close to \$400 million. The taxpayers are providing for the support of agriculture in New York.

SENATOR MAY: And the breakdown you said was mostly to larger farms?

HERBERT ENGMAN: The federal subsidies particularly, yes, go directly to the large farmers.

It's based on milk volume, and that sort of thing, which is absurd.

It's the small farmers that need support; not the large ones, they're doing very well.

SENATOR METZGER: All right, just to clarify, most of New York's farms are small and midsize farms.

SENATOR MAY: Any other questions?

2 SENATOR RAMOS: I'm good.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

So for anyone who's keeping score here, we're back to Witness Number 10, Yusuf Abdul-Qadir.

Thank you for being here.

YUSUF ABDUL-QADIR: Thank you, Senator.

And, I mean, I just want to acknowledge the predecessor -- my predecessor, because I think he has really articulated some issues that need to be really thought through, and I definitely appreciate his testimony.

Good afternoon.

As Senator May said, and good afternoon,
Senators, my name is Yusuf Abdul-Qadir, and I'm the
Central New York chapter director for the New York
Civil Liberties Union.

The NYCLU, or, the ACLU of New York, is a not-for-profit, non-partisan organization, with eight offices throughout New York State, and more than 120,000 members and supporters.

Our mission is to promote and protect the fundamental rights, principles, and values embodied in the Bill of Rights of the U.S. Constitution and the New York State Constitution.

I am here today to speak in support of the Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices Act, which would have remove the exclusion of farmworkers from New York labor law protections, and thereby provide farmworkers with the basic labor rights that almost all other hourly workers in our state receive.

Agriculture is a multi-billion-dollar industry in New York.

New York ranks among the top agricultural states in the country. It is the second-largest producer of apples, snap beans, maple syrup, and is the third-largest dairy producer in the nation.

We've heard from some of those folks here today.

None of this production would be possible without the workers who harvest the crops and operate the dairies in our state.

Farmwork is grueling, it is dangerous, and can even be life-threatening.

Workers are exposed to pesticides and other chemicals, intense physical strain, extreme heat and cold, and dangerous animals and machinery.

Between 2006 and 2016, 69 farm fatalities were reported to the New York State Department of Health.

Farmworkers work long hours with no overtime pay.

A recent survey of Hispanic dairy workers in New York reveals that the average daily work shift is 11.3 hours, and the most workers, 89 percent, work six days a week.

And for female workers, work conditions often included the added harm of sexual harassment or assault.

The exclusion of farmworkers from fundamental labor protections dates back to a racist compromise made between President Roosevelt and southern segregationist legislators over FDR's "New Deal."

To win support for the newly-created federal labor law, agriculture and domestic workers, primarily Black workers at the time, were explicitly excluded from coverage.

Subsequent state labor laws, including
New York's, retained this racist exclusion.

Although 13 states have enacted substantial labor protections for farmworkers, New York has remained shamefully silent for over 80 years.

Enacting the Farmworker (sic) Fair Labor

Practices Act will finally provide farmworkers with

the equal rights that they deserve; namely, the

right to a weekly day of rest, overtime pay,
workers' compensation regardless of farm size,
regular health and safety inspections for all
farmworker housing, and collective bargaining rights
so workers can advocate for better work conditions
without fear of being fired.

We, the NYCLU, have been active on this issue, bringing it to the highest court in the state.

As you heard from others, and some of our colleagues like Crispin Hernandez, this issue is one that requires the better angels of ourselves to emerge and for our serious attention to be given to it.

We rarely ask where the food we eat comes from or whose hands have toiled.

In order to ensure we have access to it, our litigation is one of the ways we're involved in this work, but we are deploying our statewide infrastructure to raising awareness of this issue alongside allies and directly-impacted individuals, like Crispin.

In 2019, our most valuable work -- vulnerable workers should not be denied basic labor protections.

Passage of the Farmworker (sic) Fair Labor

Practices Act will not only fix this historic error,

but it will send a strong signal that New York

stands firm with all workers.

The time has come to eliminate one of the last vestiges of Jim Crowe, and for New York to make good on its promise to be one of the most progressive and pro-labor states in the nation.

This is why I strongly urge you to pass the Farmworker (sic) Fair Labor Practices Act this session.

Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

Let me ask you a question, something that came up I think before you got here.

But one thing that we heard was that, the overtime-pay provision was put in place to encourage employers to employ more workers, not to pay workers more.

Is that your understanding?

Because that is probably the most contentious aspect of this bill from the viewpoint of farmers.

And, one of the arguments is, that they will need to cut back the hours of their employees, and employ more laborers, instead of paying their

current laborers more because they just can't afford to do that.

So, I'm just wondering, is that your understanding of the history of that --

YUSUF ABDUL-QADIR: Uhm --

SENATOR MAY: -- protection?

YUSUF ABDUL-QADIR: -- I think -- I've heard a number of people say this today.

And throughout, you know, my work on this issue across Central New York and the state, it's something that's been brought up many times.

I think it's important to recognize that this is, in some respects, a false-positive, and by that I mean, we're not asking the fundamental question, as to whether or not farmworkers should be excluded like every other worker, which is really the question at hand.

The question at hand is: Should farmworkers be excluded particularly because of a racist policy?

And it's important, and I think we appreciate the challenges, where some small farms in particular could find this to be challenging for them.

And I think there are ways for the legislative process to identify, investigate, and address those issues, to the extent that those are

the more egregious ones that are brought about in this particular piece of legislation.

Unfortunately, though, what we really should be asking, is whether or not we should exclude this class of workers from any other workers?

And, should we, as a state, in 2019, continue to allow, really, a racist policy to exist irrespective of what folks would argue is a challenge for them?

And I think, you know, there was a gentleman who was here prior to me, who said that, you know, "entrepreneurs will figure it out."

We're able to identify these challenges and create solutions accordingly, and I think that's going to be an important avenue to approach.

SENATOR MAY: Do you have suggestions of what some of the fixes could be for the small farmers?

YUSUF ABDUL-QADIR: I think we can -- I'll make a note to make sure to provide that to your office, and as well, the rest of the Senators, if that's something that is requested of us.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

TRAVIS TORREY: Thank you, Senators, for taking time here today.

I really appreciate the chance to comment on

the Farmworker (sic) Fair Labor Practices Act.

My name is Travis Torrey. I'm a twelfth-generation family farmer at Torrey Farms based in Genesee County.

Our farm encompasses nine family members of the eleventh and twelfth generation, alongside

180 year-round employees, and an additional

250 seasonal employees during the peak season on the farm.

Farming is a way of life.

Mother Nature rules when and how everything happens on our farm.

Our seasonal climate limits the times of year during which we can make a crop in New York.

Farmers cannot plan and schedule when work can be done.

The 8-hour day restriction before overtime kicks in is a tremendous burden.

It is not uncommon to work full hours one day due to weather, and twelve the next, depending on the weather, especially the spring that we've been experiencing here in Western New York.

The farmworkers on our farm have tremendous pride in the work they do.

They are all part of our team, and they

understand better than anyone the control that Mother Nature has on our farming operation.

I work alongside these farmworkers, like Leandro Mateos right here, day in and day out.

They are like family.

We often will find work in odd jobs for our farmworkers to do when it is raining or too muddy to get in the fields.

This is something that will become of the past with the bill that is being passed.

We will have to cut corners any way we can to stay competitive in our industry.

Farm jobs have been given -- farm jobs have given many opportunities to farmworkers that may -- that may -- they may never have had a chance at.

We have employees who have put their children through college, have gone on to purchase their own homes, are building homes for family in Mexico, and have retirement accounts, along with many other benefits.

The seasonal workers on our farm who come under the H2A program will seek other work in other states, and will choose not to come to New York, because they want the hours and they want to make as much money as they can for the limited time they are

here working in our country.

The prices that we receive are determined by many factors out of our control, including supply and demand, and competition coming from our neighbors to the north, Canada, and other growers in neighboring states, both of which who do not have overtime provisions in place.

As has been seen in California where overtime positions have been passed, production has been moved to Mexico where farmworkers are making \$15 or less per day picking strawberries, instead of over \$15 an hour they were making in California.

Local processors will move operations to other states where products can be grown cheap enough to supply them.

The dairy cooperative that we are a member of has invested in and owns the bottling equipment that provides milk to the public schools of New York City.

Many of the businesses on the Hunts Point

Terminal Market, the largest wholesale market in the world, are huge purchasers of locally-grown produce from New York State.

Where will this affordable local produce come from?

The margins just are not there on our fresh vegetables and dairy products, and increased costs of production cannot be passed along.

We as shippers will simply be passed over for fresh vegetables and dairy products that can be grown less in neighboring states and countries.

The farming landscape in New York will change dramatically -- drastically if this bill becomes law.

Some farms will close up shop and sell out.

Others will grow less labor-intensive crops,
eliminating as many farm jobs as possible.

Those that have the resource to do so will move their operations to other states, and I know of some that have already started that process.

Our vegetables and dairy products can both be produced in other states, and, unfortunately, nothing that we grow here in New York is specific to our region.

Our neighboring states and Canada will benefit from our inability to compete.

In peak season we have over 400 employees working together, to create a crop.

SENATOR MAY: I need to interrupt you, your time is up.

TRAVIS TORREY: Oh, sorry.

SENATOR MAY: I'm sorry.

Did you want to --

LEANDRO MATEOS-GAYTAN: Yes.

I'm Leandro Mateos, and I'm a representative of my fellow co-workers at Torrey Farms who are working today to get all these year crops planted.

I have been working for Torrey Farms for 30 years. When I started working with the company, the Torrey family treated me as a family.

Every farm, we pack cucumbers, cabbage, pumpkin, green beans, zucchini, potatoes, onions, and we also pack winter squash, such as Butternut, Acorn, and Buttercup, and many pumpkins.

The Torrey company is the largest in the state of New York, with more than 15,000 acres, and with two dairies, one located in -- located in Lyndonville, New York, and the other one in Elba, New York.

In April we started to plant onions and cabbage. In May, we plant cucumbers and zucchini.

Torrey Farms has H2A workers who come with a work visa. Approximately 300 people, work, on average, of 70 to 80 hours a week in the harvesting season.

The company, Torrey Farms, helps people in need; for example, the food bank.

They make donation to the food bank every year, a total of 6 millions pounds of the products they harvest.

We (indiscernible) 125 trailers a year.

Donations are taken to different states, mostly to New York City.

The company, Torrey Farms, also give us free housing at no cost, and the houses they give us are in very good conditions. And also provide transportation.

Personally, I'm very grateful to Torrey Farms because they give me housing for my family, and benefits, such as vacations, personal days, sick days, and holidays, as well a 401(k) plan, medical and dental insurance.

Because of all this benefits, my son

Leon (ph.) Mateos realized his dream of going to

University at Rochester for four years, and then to

the SMU (indiscernible), the number-one university,

and (indiscernible). My son Leon now works for

(indiscernible), and his first game is coming out in

September, (indiscernible).

All this was possible because Torrey Farms

give me the benefits of a free house and many hours of work.

The one of the universities was very expensive.

After scholarship and school loans, there was a still balance. Thanks to all the hours I worked, I was able to pay.

I have a daughter who is in eighth grade and a high honor. She's in a dance competition too, and her dream is also to go to university.

Now, with this new law, they want to (indiscernible) -- they -- to reduce the only hours per week is going to hurt the lives of my family, and many others.

How can I put my daughter's (indiscernible) tuition, (indiscernible), and the university?

The law of reducing 40 hours per week will make (indiscernible) people H2A and migrants to start migrating to other states where they can work more than 40 hours.

New York is going to suffer the consequences because the workers of the farms and dairies will leave to look for work to other states.

The farmers only have four months to raise the harvest, and that's not counting the rainy days.

Sometimes it's two or three days raining, and no one 1 2 works. And that why -- and that's why, when the 3 weather is good, we take advantage to do what we 4 5 can. 6 I want to thank you, Senator Jessica Ramos, 7 thank you Jen Metzger, and Rachel May, and the rest of the board. 8 9 Thank you. SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 10 11 SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you. 12 SENATOR MAY: Any questions? 13 All right. Thank you very much. 14 SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you very much. 15 (Mr. Torrey and Mr. Mateos-Gaytan leave the 16 witness table.) 17 SENATOR MAY: We have Librada Paz? 18 Okay. 19 LIBRADA PAZ: Every year I talk to a lot of 20 workers, asking about the working conditions. So 21 many of them have their own stories. 22 Workers work 70 to 80 hours per week, even 23 more, depending on the season, just like how they 24 mentioned, from 7 a.m. until dark.

So how many hours hand laborer and no

25

overtime?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Farmers are actually benefiting for saving almost another shift from those hand laborer.

Workers get sick for excessive work because there is no time for them to care for their well-being. There's no disability insurance. There's no time for them go for a doctor for a medical checkup.

Many workers die because of malnutrition and because of the excess of work.

Some farmers care more about the animals, about the crops, than they care more about the workers.

So, when the workers get sick, they can't have a day off to go do those medical checkup.

Like I say, a lot of people die because of that.

A lot of people also get injured from all type of agriculture.

From falling on a ladder, from losing a hand by cutting a cabbage on the field, and all those type of things, and people are afraid to complain.

Cows kick on the chest, and people were not complain because they are afraid of being fire.

Worker has been so loyal to their work, so

23

24

25

many of them even give their life accidentally to protect the work duties, and, still, a lot of them will not complain because, if they complain, they afraid of being fire.

Because they are afraid of being fire, they rather just not complain.

All of the workers will do a lot in their abilities to produce a lot.

They will actually, just like what you heard, people do really want to do a lot of work, and, also, they do a lot of work and they do a lot of hours because they don't get paid enough.

So sometime White farmers do really care about the cars and the crops because they would lose a lot, of course.

But how about when you lose a human life, did you ever care about that? Did you care about what they lost?

Some people have died.

Some people lost part of their body for working there.

And a lot of them will complain.

Over 90 percent of them, or more, will not complain because of that issue, because they are afraid of speaking up.

They are afraid of -- because they don't have a right to speak up, huh, because if they speak up, they be fire.

If they are here today, and you are a farmer, you probably will fire them.

Just because of that reason, they rather be quiet and not say anything.

It is so unfair.

They are not machines.

They are human beings.

They have feelings when they get hurt. They got feelings when they go to the hospital, and not able to make a home back.

I think that's what we really have to take care of. They're human beings, they need time; they need time to care for themselves, to care for the family.

Those families who has kids home, and they grow up by themself, and nobody should care about them, because they just there by themselves because the parents are working so many hours, so long, and they have no time for them.

Also, if they complain, I'm sure that they -how do you call that? -- they been told, that if you
complain, if you're a slow worker, you probably will

be fire.

If you are fire, also -- if you complain, I'm sorry, you will simply be replaced by another

HA (sic) worker who comes in. Just like what you have heard, a lot of them hire HA (sic) workers.

Why not citizens do this work?

Because they are hard-working condition.

So we'll just keep in mind, citizen, if you haven't had experience in the work, you should try and realize how hard it is.

For all of those reasons, that's how -- why I became an advocate for the farmworkers.

Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

We've heard from a number of farmers that, if hours were reduced to 40 hours a week, that the farmers would leave and go to other states where they could work more.

Is that your experience in speaking with farmworkers?

LIBRADA PAZ: I do understand that, yes, that is a disadvantage about it.

I mean, a lot of people do want to work, like what we have said, like what they heard.

But because sometimes they don't get enough

pay. But if they would pay overtime, I'm sure that the people would also realize that, I mean, by adding the overtime to the people, why not get the benefit for that for the workers, and not just the farmer themself benefit for the hand laborer of not paying overtime.

So I think we have to really balance this off.

And, also, like I said, a lot of people working so much. And, also, some of those people, or most of those people, also want a lot less hours, because they have families, and because they have the school activities, and all those things.

So they, basically, have family who cares about the kids, and they want those day offs -- or, hours cut off.

I actually talked to one of the students -one of the -- I'm sorry, one of the gentleman
workers that comes in, some of the visa workers that
would comes in, and they said, you know, I would
like the do some other activities outside. Well, we
can't even go because we come so late at home.

I mean, you know, like, what you heard, 80 hours per week, do you have time to do other activities?

1 No, they don't. So they really want to be part of that 2 because they have a life, and they really wanted to 3 do something else. 4 5 So, for that, really help out if they reduce 6 the hours. And get a retirement, of course. 7 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I'm listening to the --8 your testimony, and I'm feeling that there is so 9 much more in common between elected officials and 10 11 the farmworkers, because some of the issues that you 12 just raised, the many, many hours; no overtime; 13 underpaid; that's all very much a part of what 14 happens to us. 15 Just so you know. 16 So, I just -- we could use some advocacy as 17 well. 18 SENATOR RAMOS: We're going unionize too, 19 Valmanette. 20 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: No unions for us either. 21 No overtime. 22 Just so you know.

We could use your help.

SENATOR RAMOS: As can our staff.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: They didn't know before

23

24

25

```
1
        they came in the business.
                I've been here a long time, so I can identify
 2
 3
        with you.
                SENATOR RAMOS: She's younger than --
 4
               SENATOR METZGER: So we're going to take just
 5
        a 10-minute break, so people can use the bathroom,
 6
 7
        get a drink.
               We'll resume.
 8
               What's the actual time?
 9
10
               Okay.
11
               Please be back in the room at a quarter of
12
        two.
                   (The hearing stands in recess.)
13
14
                   (The hearing resumes.)
15
                SENATOR MAY: Hi, everybody.
16
               Let me ask you to take your seats again.
17
               We need to get started so we can get out in a
18
        timely manner.
19
               So, please take your seats.
20
                Is Errol Percell here?
21
               Gabriela, did you want to make a presentation
22
        as well?
23
               Okay.
24
               Why don't we get started.
25
               We have Gabriela Quintanilla, is that how you
```

pronounce it?

2 Okay.

GABRIELA QUINTANILLA: Thank you so much.

Before I start, I just want to give a quick statement in Spanish.

(Witness speaking in Spanish).

My name is Gabriela Quintanilla, and I'm the proud daughter of a poultry worker.

I became involved with Rural and Migrant
Ministry during my teenaged years because I wanted
to learn more about the rights of my mother as a
poultry worker.

My mother was unable at the time to have a union because that was not something that the factory allowed.

Eventually, with lots of hard work and community organizers, such factory was able to allow for a union.

This changed my mother's lives (sic), and the life of my sisters and I.

Farmworkers in the state of New York do not have access to collecting -- collective bargaining rights.

As a community member and organizer, I can not tell you how many times I have heard:

That women are being harassed;

The farmworkers' paychecks are being stolen, because the boss decided that he didn't want to pay them;

Or the fact that they got injured on the job, but their boss did nothing to help them until it was too late.

The right to collectively bargain would allow for farmworkers to speak for themselves and join a union that protects them.

At the same time, a day of rest is needed farmworkers.

By not having a day of rest, it affects the family, their children.

Many of the students that I work with say that they feel abandoned because their parents don't have time to spend with them.

The fact that farmworkers do not have a day of rest and are constantly being exploited means that their families are suffering.

It is not just about collective bargaining rights and a day of rest, it is about human dignity.

It is about the acknowledgment that the exploitation of farmworkers has been happening for too long.

1 Farmworkers are the beginning of our food chain, and yet they continue to be devalued for 2 their work. 3 The time to change this is now. 4 And all of the Senators that are in this room 5 6 today, have the power to do that for the sake of our 7 future generation. 8 We have to do better today. 9 We cannot hide behind oppressive practices and continue the exploitation of Black and Brown 10 bodies in the state of New York. 11 12 Enough is enough. 13 Thank you. 14 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 15 SENATOR RAMOS: Gracias. 16 SENATOR MAY: Thank you very much. 17 (Ms. Paz and Ms. Quintanilla leave the witness table.) 18 19

DIANA CABA: Good afternoon.

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is Diana Caba, and I'm senior director of economic empowerment at the Hispanic Federation.

Chairs Metzger, Ramos, Senators May and Montgomery, thank you so much for allowing me the opportunity to testify on behalf of the Hispanic

Federation and our network of 100 Latino-based -- Latino community-based organizations.

The Hispanic Federation is a service-oriented membership organization that works with more than 100 Latino non-profits in the northeast and nationwide to promote the social, political, and economic well-being of the Latino community.

We do that by supporting and strengthening
Latino non-profits, conducting public policy,
research, and advocacy, and offering our New York
residents with an array of community programs in the
areas of education, immigration, health, economic
empowerment, disaster relief, and civic engagement.

With the interest of the Latino community at stake, we are here to -- today to express our strong support for passage of the New York State

Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices Act, Senate
Bill 2837.

The Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices Act
granted -- grants basic labor protections to farm
laborers; among them, collective bargaining rights,
8-hour workdays, overtime rates, 24 hours of
consecutive -- excuse me, 24 consecutive hours of
rest each week, unemployment insurance, workers'
compensation, and a sanitary code which applies to

all farm and food-processing labor camps housing migrant workers.

Farmers -- farmworkers labor under harsh conditions and engage in intensive physical activity to feed all of us, yet they're exempt from several fundamental rights and protections that are afforded to all other workers.

An estimated 80,000 to 100,000 farm laborers in New York are currently excluded from basic labor protections under state and federal law.

The Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices Act would ensure that the conditions in which farmworker -- farmworker-laborers labor are more safe, sanitary, and humane.

By passing this act, New York would reinforce the need for laws, protecting farmworkers and our workforce.

New York can pave the way for other states to pass progressive labor policies that are good for our community and our economy.

Nearly 80 years have passed since Jim Crow

Era of racial bias caused farmworkers to be excluded

from the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act, yet

New York has perpetuated the exclusion of farmworker

from labor rights, while the state continues to have

one of the nation's largest and most robust agricultural economies.

In 2017, New York farms generated over
4.8 billion in revenue, and contributed nearly
2.4 billion to our gross domestic product.

As many of our farmers see their economic situation improving, many of our farmworkers do not.

These workers, many of them immigrants, some of them undocumented, work 60 to 80 hours a week without workers' compensation, without being paid overtime, and face exploitation and oppression on a regular basis.

They are also denied the right to organize and bargain which is guaranteed to employees under the New York State Constitution.

To deny this already vulnerable population the equal access to protections and benefits in their place of work contradict our values as a state and as a country.

By protecting our farmworkers, New York can continue to position itself as one of the largest economies in the world while reaping the benefits of increased economic opportunities for its workers and their families.

This is not about putting farmworkers ahead

of farms.

It's about lifting an entire industry in our great state.

It is about basic human rights, and ensuring that farmworkers will be treated humanely with dignity and respect.

We urge our state Legislature to pass into law the Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices Act before the end of the 2019 legislative session.

We're counting on your leadership to guide

New York in the right direction, and help remove the

statutory exclusions that deny farmworkers these

rights.

The Hispanic Federation wants to thank you again for inviting us to share this testimony.

It is critically important for the Legislature to continue to lead efforts to ensure that farmworkers have access to what they need to sustain a quality of life in the great state of New York.

Let 2019 be the year that marks the end of the shameful legacy of exclusion, and allows us to say with moral certainty, that New York honors the dignity of all.

Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

Let me ask one question.

Because I -- because I represent farmworkers, and farmers, both, in my district, I'm grappling with a lot of the conflicting issues that we're hearing today.

And, so, I'm trying to figure out this -- the overtime issue, and how it impacts the farm economy.

And so if -- if we had a situation where we passed the bill as it is now, and the farmers were able to charge the workers for their housing and the other on-farm benefits that they receive, would that answer the concerns that you are raising about dignity and fairness?

DIANA CABA: Well, I'm -- at the moment I'm not prepared to respond to that, because I don't know exactly what those costs are, and what, you know, support farmers get to also provide housing for their workers.

 $$\operatorname{So}$\ I$$ can find out that information and get back to you on that.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

DIANA CABA: Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

ANTHONY EMMI: Thank you, Senators, for 1 letting us testify here today, and setting this up. 2 Good afternoon. 3 My name is Anthony Emmi. 4 My family owns and operates Emmi and Sons, 5 6 Incorporated, a fruit and vegetable farm in Baldwinsville. 7 I'm a third-generation farmer. I grew up 8 9 working on our family farm. 10 I served in the Army for seven years, 11 including a combat tour, and returned home to the 12 farm in 1992 to, hopefully, a more peaceful life. 13 25 of 70 seasonal employees are H2A workers. 14 The majority of our H2A workers have been with us 15 for 10-plus years. 16 Other farmworkers have been with us from 17 20 to over 30 years. Our employees like to work on our farm. 18 19 I have four concerns with the proposed 20 legislation: 21 Overtime. This provision will put an end to 22 the way we farm. We simply don't have the income to 23 make it happen.

Each year we absorb increases in wages,

taxes, and regulatory costs, and I have cut my labor

24

25

almost in half while trying to maintain sales.

Crop prices have been flat for years, and it is difficult to compete with countries and states with lower production costs.

With labor costs approaching 50 percent of our net farm income, we will be unable to sustain the way we farm now.

Unions, I really don't have a problem with that.

It's, just, because of our short harvest windows, I would like to see a no-strike clause because that would just devastate us.

Mandatory day of rest is my third point.

That requirement, we already tried to do that.

Some days we only get half, half a day.

But that should be left up to the employee so they have control over that, if they want to take the day or not, especially if we lose work to bad hours -- or, to bad weather if we lose work.

Unemployment benefits. Our employees, except for the H2A, do have the right to unemployment benefits if they qualify.

Federal law prohibits H2A employees from collecting UI benefits, yet New York is the only

state that forces its farmers to pay UA (sic) tax on the H2A payroll.

Our UI tax a year on our farm is \$60,000 a year, half of that from the H2A payroll.

All our workers are covered by workers' compensation and disability insurance, and all of those coverages, combined, cost just under \$100,000 a year on our farm.

Farming is dependent on weather and completing crop work on time.

It requires flexibility with labor, our hired labor and our family labor.

There are no shortcuts to produce high-quality safe food.

Increasing the high-crop production costs on our state will make us even less competitive.

Farmworkers will not want to come to New York state for limited work hours. This will worsen the labor situation.

The proposed legislation will hurt New York's agricultural industry, our rural communities, and cost farmworker jobs and the opportunities those jobs create for their families.

Thank you.

1 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. I have a couple questions. 2 3 First, thank you for your service as well. Do you have a mix of H2A workers and -- are 4 they all H2A workers? 5 6 ANTHONY EMMI: No, ma'am. 7 We have 25 to -- 25 H2A workers coming this 8 year. 9 And we have migrant workers also that have legal status. They've been with us, those are the 10 11 ones, that have been with us for over 20 years. 12 SENATOR METZGER: And are they paid -- I'm 13 just wondering if they're paid -- or whether they are differences? 14 15 ANTHONY EMMI: They're paid -- because the 16 management is so complicated on a farm, you know, 17 stuff breaks and you got to grab people, I pay everybody the H2A rate, the highest rate. 18 19 SENATOR METZGER: Okay, very well. 20 ANTHONY EMMI: So there's no questions, 21 except for our retail, which we pay the state 22 minimum wage. 23 SENATOR METZGER: Uh-huh. 24 And in terms of overtime, is there -- is 25 there a number of hours -- do you have an

1 alternative recommendation?
2 ANTHONY EMMI: Yeah, I think we could live
3 with 60 to 65 hours.

I'm just concerned because, if I got to go to 40 hours just to try to survive --

And we're survivors, we'll find a way around it, this will be different. There won't be a lot of jobs.

-- different type of farming, which I've already been trying for several years, green beans. You have to harvest it by machine, so it's just a one-man job.

Put 100 acres of that on my farm into that.

So I don't -- you know, it's just hard.

It will be hard to.

SENATOR MAY: What if it were averaged over a number of months, or something like that? Is there a --

ANTHONY EMMI: That might work, but then you're -- you're taking a chance with the weather situations, and things, you know.

So I -- I just don't know.

I mean, we simply don't bring in enough income to make it happen.

My kids went to college, and have already

left the state. My nephews are leaving. 1 There's just not a lot of opportunity here. 2 And I can't -- like my daughter, I just moved 3 her to Nashville just two weeks ago. 4 I can't afford to pay her enough money on the 5 6 farm for her to make a living. 7 You know, so... SENATOR MAY: Let me go back to your 8 testimony about the day of rest. 9 So if it were mandated that people were 10 11 entitled to that, but it was optional, the question is: How would that be enforced? 12 13 Because we certainly heard from some 14 farmworkers who did not feel that they could --15 ANTHONY EMMI: Right. 16 SENATOR MAY: -- make demands of their 17 employers. 18 So, I just have that concern. You know, there are a lot of things in this 19 20 law that are aimed at the bad actors --21 ANTHONY EMMI: That's right. 22 SENATOR MAY: -- in this industry. 23 And the question is: How do you make something like that work? 24 25 ANTHONY EMMI: It would probably just have to

be part of the inspections when the labor department 1 comes in and wants to talk to the workers without us 2 present. You know, it would have to be -- that 3 question would have to be asked. 4 5 I mean --6 SENATOR MAY: And how often does that happen 7 that they come in? ANTHONY EMMI: Oh, at least once a summer, 8 the State comes -- the state labor department comes 9 in. Occasionally the federal labor department. 10 11 Health department is there two or three times a 12 summer. 13 SENATOR RAMOS: If we've got farmers, they're 14

SENATOR RAMOS: If we've got farmers, they're a bad actor, do you really think that there won't be any retaliation against that worker for complaining that they didn't get the day off that they asked for?

ANTHONY EMMI: I can't speak for that. I don't know.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's bad actors in all industries.

There's bad actors in the military when I was in the military.

And people are scared, I understand that. I fully understand that.

But, laws don't stop those people.

I don't know how you can stop that.

I think, in our industry, you'll be out of business. I just don't think workers will stay there.

I mean, there's a shortage of labor the way it is now.

We chose the H2A program.

I always tell people, the H2A program is the worst business decision I ever made, but the last chance I had at putting together a labor force, because of the cost of it.

I would rather hire a domestic labor force, it would be cheaper. And maybe we could be more competitive.

SENATOR RAMOS: You know what? You said earlier, and it's something that I have heard quite often as I've been touring farms in Wayne County and Genesee County, and as I continue to do so, where many farmers assert that farmworkers will leave if they don't like their employer.

And that's much more true for H2 (sic) visa workers; right?

ANTHONY EMMI: Uh-huh.

SENATOR RAMOS: But you have to accept that there are people who are so poor and so desperate

for work that they'll work for anyone. 1 So wouldn't it be better to ensure that there 2 is a law on the books that outlines exactly what the 3 rules are so there's no confusion? 4 ANTHONY EMMI: There's a lot of laws on the 5 books, Senators, that don't stop bad people. 6 SENATOR RAMOS: Sure. 7 ANTHONY EMMI: So you can make the law, but 8 I don't think it will stop them. 9 10 SENATOR RAMOS: So we shouldn't have the law 11 at all? 12 ANTHONY EMMI: But I don't think that --13 I think that's an exception with our industry. 14 SENATOR RAMOS: Huh. 15 ANTHONY EMMI: The margins aren't there. 16 And if you're caught doing that kind of 17 stuff, or the other employees see that, I don't think you're going to be in business very long. 18 I really don't. 19 20 There's too much of a labor shortage to do 21 this kind of work. 22 So... 23 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 24 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you very much.

JOSE VEGA: Good afternoon. 1 SENATOR RAMOS: Good afternoon. 2 3 JOSE VEGA: My name is Jose Vega, and I am farmworker at Emmi and Son, Incorporated. 4 I have worked for the Emmi family for 5 32 years. 6 I am fortunate to have the opportunity to 7 work as many hours I want to while I'm here during 8 9 the season. The reason why I am here today is because 10 11 I do not agree with the farmworker bill that is 12 being proposed. 13 I am also speaking for all of our employees: This bill will have a bad effect. 14 15 Our wage are good, that's not a problem. 16 Farming require a lot of work hours, and we 17 want the hours. If the bill is passed, the farm will not be 18 able to afford the increased costs, and will be 19 20 forced to limit us to 40 hours a week. 21 The Emmi have to do this with the retail 22 employees and their two farm stands to remain open. I cannot make the sacrifice of missing family 23 24 and family activity and supporting my family in

Puerto Rico to make less money.

Our employees are also not willing to make the sacrifice.

Every year we fight to make more money on the produce we grow.

Part of my job in the morning is to take care of the customer and serve our crop.

We lost sales because people can often find cheaper produce that come in from other state.

The price is the farm having the same for very long time, and the cost to grow the crops continues to increase each year.

This bill would not be fair to us.

He would be one affect by having less hours of work or losing our job.

I see the farm income and expenses, and I know they will not be able to afford this.

Farming's dependent of weather. Time s needed to plant and harvest it when we came in our short season.

The farmworker bill would cost us good wages and ability to work as many hours as we want.

SENATOR RAMOS: I have a question.

In your testimony, Mr. Vega, you explained that you are speaking on -- for all of your employees?

There are about 70. 1 2 How many of them voted for you as their representative? 3 JOSE VEGA: Well, for our employees in the 4 farm --5 SENATOR RAMOS: Right. 6 7 JOSE VEGA: -- yeah, because they're not here right now. 8 9 They really want to be here, but they 10 still --11 SENATOR RAMOS: No, I understand that. 12 But my question is a little different. 13 JOSE VEGA: Okay. 14 SENATOR RAMOS: What I'm trying to ask you 15 is, how it is that you're speaking on behalf of them 16 all? 17 JOSE VEGA: Okay. One of the things is, this guy, we explaining 18 19 to them about the farmworker bill, and they just said not coming here for 40 hours. 20 21 SENATOR RAMOS: But you were not elected as 22 their representative. Does that make sense? 23 (Senator Ramos and Mr. Vega begin a conversation with one another in Spanish.) 24 25 SENATOR RAMOS: Okay. Gracias.

```
1
               SENATOR METZGER: Would you please translate
 2
        for the group?
               SENATOR RAMOS: Your answer.
 3
               Can you say your answer in English, if you're
 4
        able to.
 5
 6
               JOSE VEGA: Okay. We were talking to the --
 7
        at least the six guys that's coming soon, the guys,
        the most older people in the farm, and I were
 8
 9
        talking to them, and they told me that I can talk
        for them.
10
11
               ANTHONY EMMI: Pablo couldn't be here today.
12
        They're still traveling.
13
               JOSE VEGA: They still traveling.
14
               SENATOR RAMOS: Okay.
15
               SENATOR MAY: All right, thank you very much.
16
               SENATOR METZGER: Thank you.
17
               SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you.
18
                  (Mr. Emmi and Mr. Vega leave the witness
19
          table.)
20
               SENATOR MAY: Are you Jason?
21
               JOSE CHAPA: Jose.
22
               SENATOR MAY: Oh, Jose.
23
               JOSE CHAPA: Yes.
24
               SENATOR MAY: If Jason is here and could come
25
        up, that would be great.
```

JOSE CHAPA: Hi, good afternoon.

Thank you for having me, Senators.

My name is Jose Chapa. I'm the legislative coordinator for the Justice for Farmworker campaign in New York. This coalition includes several organizations across the state.

I wanted to start off by stating why I'm doing this kind of work.

I was born in Mexico, but I moved to the United States at the age of 4 with my family.

During my childhood, in the summertime, my family would travel to Iowa and Minnesota in order to work in the corn fields of these states.

I would stay behind and be taken care of by a family member, or I would sometimes go to the fields and wait for them, along with my cousins and other children, in the buses that took my parents to work.

During my teenaged year, I traveled to the Panhandle of Texas with my family, and there

I worked in the corn fields and the cotton fields.

I remember the first time I ever stepped foot in a corn field. After a couple of hours of work, I passed out from the extreme heat and I was carried out by my father.

At that time, I was working simply because,

that is what I knew, and that is what we needed to do as a family, in order to have money for the rest of the year.

Like most other migrant farmworkers, my family never questioned the practices that were used and implemented by the supervisors and the farm owners.

This is still the case with a workforce that has been conditioned not to question authority, and abide by the rules that have been set forth by generations of oppression.

I did not fully understand the systematic disadvantages farmworkers faced in the workplace until after I left college.

I learned about the lack of basic rights and protections farmworkers faced compared to other workers in the country due to the long history of discrimination, based on racism.

As the coordinator of this campaign, I have traveled across the state, and I have talked to a multitude of workers, allies, and farmers who indeed see a problem with the state of affairs farmworkers are subjected to.

Farmworkers in New York are not only migrant farmworkers, New Yorkers, they're New Yorkers. They

are residents that have made New York their home.

I have talked to farmworkers who are not able to take a day off in order to take their children to the doctor.

I have talked to farmworkers who have been injured at the job and have not been properly compensated for their injury or taken to the doctor in time to get their injury checked out.

I have talked to workers who work and are not paid overtime.

I have talked to female workers who have been sexually assaulted in the fields, and are not comfortable nor capable of speaking out against their supervisors or other co-workers for fear of retaliation, because they're not able to have a negotiated contract with their employers which would protect them.

On the flip side, we do know, and we are aware, that there are farmers that do provide some of these protections.

But why shouldn't these protections extend to all employers and make this a law in order to protect all farmworkers across the state?

If this law is implemented, farmworkers will finally see a transition in which they will finally

be able to work with the dignity and the respect every other worker in the state is allotted and deserve, and contribute to their local economies even more so than they already do.

Farmworkers deserve the dignity and respect to have the day of rest, be able to organize and negotiate a contract with their employer, get proper compensation for the amount of work they produce in order to feed New Yorkers, and all other Americans.

Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

So we heard from the previous witness that, you pass these laws and the bad actors aren't going to observe them anyway.

What's your response to that?

JOSE CHAPA: Yeah, exactly, there are going to be bad actors, and why can't there be a law that says, let's punish these bad actors in case they go out of line?

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR RAMOS: Are you saying the point of law is so that there are consequences for bad actors?

JOSE CHAPA: Yes.

SENATOR RAMOS: Hmm. Interesting.

1 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 2 JOSE CHAPA: Thank you. SENATOR MAY: We're going to change the rules 3 a little bit. So each... 4 You're all from the same farm? 5 6 We need to just give four minutes to each 7 farm, so, all of you together will get four minutes. Okay? 8 9 JASON TUREK: Thank you, Senators. My name is Jason Turek, and I'm a 10 11 fourth-generation vegetable farmer from 12 Cayuga County. 13 With me today is Leonardo Resendiz Perez; his 14 father, Jose; and Mayolo Rivera. 15 Our employees are like families to us, and 16 the farm's greatest resource. We have a core group 17 of around 10 team members, 5 have been with the farm for over 20 years. 18 Benefits include health insurance, paid 19 20 vacation and sick days, paid holidays, and year-end 21 bonuses. 22 Seasonally, we hire up to another 23 130 employees through the H2A program. 24 Starting hourly rate is 13.25, with the

opportunity to make in the low 20s on piece rate.

Housing, utilities, and transportation are provided for free.

The program is inspected and administered by the federal and state department of labor and the health department.

Unlike our southern and western colleagues, we get one crop, three, or up to four months, of harvest to pay our bills for the rest of the year.

We are not at the liberty of increasing prices to compensate for increasing costs.

The customers tell us what they will pay based on supply and demand.

Walk the Hunts Point or Brooklyn produce market in August and you'll find them glutted with vegetables from 10 neighboring states and Canada.

There are weeks where we pick our crops at a financial loss, simply to keep the guys working.

Yes, sometimes we would be better off financially, let the crops rot in the field.

Personally, our farm hasn't made a positive gain in three years.

And if this bill passes, as presented, we'll be forced to limit hours, switch to less labor-intensive crops, or move vegetable production to another state.

The bill will have a crippling domino effect 1 2 on our upstate economy. Thank you, and I'll turn it over to Leo. 3 LEONARDO RESENDIZ PEREZ: Hello, my name is 4 Leonardo Resendiz. These are my father's words: 5 I worked 19 years at this farm. 6 7 I have been in the United States since 1986, and has worked in Texas, California, Florida, 8 Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, 9 West Virginia, Tennessee, Pennsylvania, Connecticut, 10 Michigan, New Jersey, and Delaware. 11 12 I have a lot of experience working with other 13 people. 14 All of these states have the same crops they 15 grow in New York, but none of them gave me the 16 opportunity that I had here in Turek Farms to be 17 with my family. I started working with Turek Farms since 18 2000, and now I call this "home." 19 20 These are the things that I would like about 21 New York: Every week having a paycheck. Respected. 22 Peaceful. Steady work. Kindness.

In Florida, it was three or four days a week.

Since 2000, cousins, nephews, and brothers,

Every week I'm here to work.

1 and friends have been coming under the H2A program. They come to work from July to December, and have 2 gone back to Mexico to buy or build their houses, go 3 on vacation with their families, buy transportation, 4 move place to place. 5 In 2002 I bought a house in Florida. 6 If it wasn't for this job up in New York, 7 I wouldn't be able to buy the house. 8 9 This job also put my older son through 10 Barry University of Miami, Florida. In conclusion: 11 12 I understand the bill doesn't restrict 13 40 hours, but, in the end, we know it's gonna 14 happen. 15 We will be very sad to leave this job. 16 These are my friends, Mayolo (indicating). 17 (Now reading on behalf of Mayolo Rivera.) Hello, my name is Mayolo Rivera. 18 19 I have six years working with Turek Farms 20 with H2A. 21 I'm agreeing all of the things my friend Jose 22 said. 23 I'm used to working 240 hours per month. 24 This bill would cut me to 160 hours per month, plus

maybe 20 overtime hours, which equals 30.

1 190 hours. I'm 50 hours short. 2 How will I make that up? 3 Maybe I have to leave the state. 4 Thank you very much. 5 6 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. Gracias. 7 JASON TUREK: Thank you. 8 (The previous four speakers leave the witness table.) 9 10 SENATOR MAY: Is Bruce Krupke here? And Phil Hall, will be on deck. 11 Is Phil Hall here? 12 13 PHIL HALL: Yes, I am. 14 SENATOR MAY: Okay, great. 15 Go ahead. 16 BRUCE W. KRUPKE: Good afternoon, 17 Senators May, Metzger, Senator Montgomery, 18 Senator Ramos. 19 My name is Bruce Krupke. I am the executive 20 vice president for the Northeast Dairy Foods 21 Association which is based in North Syracuse, 22 New York. 23 We represent 328 dairy-product processors, 24 manufacturers, distributors, and suppliers since 25 1928 in New York and the northeast United States.

Thank you very much for holding this hearing, and allowing me to provide some brief comments regarding proposed legislation to change farmworker labor laws in New York State.

Our association is opposed to amending the current laws, which would mandate unnecessary changes for farmworkers in New York State.

Simply put: The current farm labor laws and regulations are more than sufficient. They are working just fine, and do not need changing.

Our association members are the customers of dairy farmers. Members of our association purchase raw milk from dairy farms, process and manufacture finished dairy products, like fluid milk, yogurt, cheese, ice cream, cottage cheese, and milk powders.

These products are widely distributed and sold here in New York State, across the country, and in recent years, increasingly, around the world.

The dairy segment of all New York State agriculture accounts for more than 50 percent total gross value.

I started working for the dairy industry in 1980, and I was told then an old saying that I have observed and witnessed over the years to be very true:

"The dairy industry is like a three-legged milk stool, representing the dairy farmer, processor-manufacturer, and a retailer.

"When one leg is either shorter or longer than the others, it is out of balance and does not work well."

The proposed farmworker-rights legislation will make the "dairy farmer" leg very unbalanced in a way which will be damaging to both the farmers, but also to the member companies our association represents.

The legislation will greatly impede the dairy's farmer ability to operate.

It will increase their labor costs, their profitability, and their ability in basic survival.

This is our greatest concern.

The reason there are so many dairy-processing and manufacturing and distribution companies in our state is because we have adequate access to the raw milk from the farms.

Our northeast region is one of three major milk-producing areas of the country, of which New York State is the third largest.

The proposed legislation will hurt the dairy farmers' ability to stay in business.

Currently, we have a relative milk-supply equilibrium which is supported by basic supply-and-demand factors.

Our members will continue to operate and do business in New York State so long as there are adequate milk supplies and business-friendly policies which do not make us less competitive.

Our members sell dairy products across the country.

If our finished dairy products cost more because of increased dairy farm charges, we will not be competitive with other manufacturers, ultimately, losing business, and jobs.

Should we become less competitive because there is a shortage of milk supply, these companies have the ability to move to other regions of the country.

I have personally witnessed this scenario happen in other states.

New York is fortunate to have a strong, competitive agricultural industry.

In New York State, the dairy sector alone accounts for more than 180,000 jobs.

We have many advantages over other regions of the country, and the world for that matter. 1 Our three-legged milk stool is working.

We do have struggles, but have also had the opportunity to work hard and innovate an already tough state to do business.

The truth is, forcing farmers to comply with new excessive, unnecessary, restrictive, and business-choking labor-laws mandates will have a devastating effect for them and those that sell their products too.

It will also affect consumer prices that they pay for food, agriculture goods and products.

Good-paying jobs will be at risk.

We encourage you to oppose the proposed farmworker-rights legislation, and protect our agriculture industry.

Continue to allow the dairy-processing and manufacturing industry to be competitive, and to keep the milk stool to be balanced and strong in the marketplace.

Thank you very much for allowing me to testify today.

I'd be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR METZGER: I have just one question.

Have you examined the impact on prices that 1 2 this -- that the overtime pay or provisions would 3 have? BRUCE W. KRUPKE: No, I'm not aware of any 4 studies that would indicate that. 5 In general, if the cost of the product that 6 7 we have to purchase from farmers goes up because their costs are going up, they do have the ability, 8 through voluntary premiums, to ask for more money 9 from our members. 10 11 12 13

Those voluntary premiums vary, and are based on a lot of different factors. But voluntary premiums are supplied to dairy farmers, not only in New York State, but throughout the rest of the country, and they're competitive.

And should the voluntary premiums requested from the producers in New York State be higher, then our costs will be higher.

Yeah.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

BRUCE W. KRUPKE: Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Phil Hall.

PHIL HALL: Go ahead.

IRVIN TEMICH: Good afternoon.

My name is Irvin Temich.

I have worked with Schum-Acres for 14 years.

Everyone that works there does a lot of good, hard work.

The Schum-Acre family has always treated me and everyone else fairly and respectfully. It is, honestly, more like a family than just a bunch of workers.

This law is not going to be good for the worker, nor the owners.

I'm happy with my job here.

If things change because of this law, and the owners are forced to make changes to afford the workers, then many of us just will leave.

We don't want to leave, but we will go somewhere else to find a different place to work.

I am speaking not only for myself; for the other workers, and for the owners who work besides us.

Thank you.

PHIL HALL: Farmers in New York face a number of continuing challenges, including unpredictable weather and long working hours.

For dairy farms that make up the largest proportion of the state's overall agricultural production, declining milk prices have cut revenues

sharply, in some cases, threatening family business -- businesses.

Tariffs, including those imposed recently on agricultural products by the nation's trading partners in response to those imposed by the federal government, have increased financial uncertainty for many farmers in New York, and nationwide.

Federal policies relating to visas for migrant workers and other immigration programs have increased restrictions on such workers who play an important role in the state's agricultural workforce.

Such steps may add to the challenge of planting and harvesting on a timely basis.

In addition, potential changes to federal farm-aid policies and other agricultural programs may affect farmers in unpredictable ways.

Still, despite these and other challenges,
New York farms remain an essential part of the
state, contributing to all levels of the economy
through the jobs they support and the income they
generate.

Those are direct quotes from the agriculture and New York State report from the comptroller's office.

Senator Ramos refers to a Jim Crow Era law that denies human beings parity with nearly every other worker in the state.

The problem with her racially inflammatory statement is that it is simply uninformed and an ignorant opinion.

Agriculture, particularly the agriculture involving livestock, always has been, and always will be, different from every other industry.

That is precisely the reason that agriculture has operated under a unique set of rules.

Agriculture provides the workforce of New York State with opportunities that other industries cannot provide.

If a worker enters into agriculture and decides that the opportunities it provides will not satisfy their goals, then that worker has the freedom to choose another career opportunity.

Government intervention is neither required nor desired.

The Governor recently stated that the budget we put forward is not supported by the revenues, himself acknowledging the fact that one should have enough money to pay -- or, before one plans to pay for something.

Well, unfortunately, many agricultural employees may have to find jobs in another state because, quite frankly, Senators, the budget which you planned to legislate on to us is, as our Governor said, simply not supported by revenues.

Farms will be forced to make drastic changes in response to this proposed drastic change.

From the language that Senator Ramos has used in promoting Bill S2837, I stand here as a farmer accused of racism and inhumane and unjust treatment of my employees, an accusation with which I disagree.

While I also stand here as a New Yorker, and I accuse you, Senators, of flippant, irresponsible, and dishonest use of New York's financial resources.

According to Forbes.com "Financial State of the States," New York is among 40 states unable to pay their bills.

New York ranked 42nd, with an F grade, and the report stated that much of the State's overall debt comes from constitutionally-protected pension benefits and retiree health-care costs.

The report goes on the say, that New York's financial condition is not only alarming, but also misleading, as government officials have failed to

disclose significant amounts of retirement debt on the State's balance sheet.

Residents and taxpayers have been presented with an unreliable and inaccurate accounting of the state's government's finances.

I do not know, and will not pretend to understand, the entire scope of the state of

New York's finances, but the information available to me is alarming enough.

And I can honestly say that it would be impossible to run a farm, or any business, for that matter, the way that our state has been run financially.

Contrary to Mr. Engman's testimony,

Senators, the dairy farms of your state of all size

are suffering.

An economist --

OFF-CAMERA SENATOR: Thank you.

PHIL HALL: -- one said --

Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Yeah, your time is up. Sorry.

Thank you.

Any questions?

SENATOR RAMOS: Nope.

I'm just glad he got it out.

SENATOR MAY: And let me just make sure 1 people understand, the pronunciation of my 2 colleague's name, it's Senator Ramos. 3 SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you. 4 5 PHIL HALL: I'm sorry. 6 SENATOR RAMOS: That's all right. 7 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. PHIL HALL: Thank you. 8 9 (Mr. Krupke, Mr. Temich, and Mr. Hall leave the witness table.) 10 11 SENATOR MAY: Paul Baker, is the name? PAUL BAKER: Yes. 12 13 Thank you for the opportunity to address the 14 Senators. 15 I'd like to maybe change the tone a little 16 bit here, and, first, let me give you a little quick 17 background. 18 I'm fifth-generation farming. I was from Niagara County. My family originated in 19 20 Long Island, and, for whatever reason, we got to 21 wanderlust and kept heading west. 22 Most of my relatives wound up in Colorado, 23 actually, they we want that far. 24 My point of my story is, my family chose to 25 be in agriculture.

1 I don't know, some people say that if you're in agriculture, it's a curse of your father's. 2 kind of inherit the bug, and you keep going --3 (Inaudible comment from the audience.) 4 PAUL BAKER: Okay. 5 6 -- you kind of keep going with it. 7 And I think it's important that we recognize that it's a choice. 8 There's many jobs in this society that 9 I would not want to do. I wouldn't want to be an 10 11 ironworker putting up a high-rise in New York City, 12 but yet we need to do it. 13 We need to be producing food in this country, 14 and in this state. 15 I think it's perfectly fine, and it's your 16 duty as Senators, to investigate the conditions on the farm. 17 I think we should change the tone, though. 18 19 I'm not opposed to you having the farmworker 20 bill. 21 I'm opposed to not having the discussion so that we can find a workable solution so that all 22 23 sides can be better off at the end of the day.

For example, I think most farmers, when given

the opportunity, do not oppose unionization.

24

They recognize that their workers have a right to collectively talk and work among themselves.

I think what they're very much opposed, in this particular bill, if I understand the legislation, is we do not have protection against a strike.

That, I would like to see put in, and I think you wouldn't have any opposition.

As it's already has been mentioned by many of my colleagues already, many of the things that you're asking for, we're already doing as a community, with workmens' (sic) comp and such.

Or even paying, in my opinion, unfair unemployment insurance for our H2A workers, when they have no way of ever collecting it.

And as one person said, it's -- depending on your farm, it's a sizable amount of money.

So I think that needs to be looked at as we look toward a compromise.

The other thing is, I think is -- we need to talk about the farmers here.

There's a huge decline in the number of farms in New York State.

How long can we continue to do that and still

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

have an economic-viable second?

And people can do statistics any way they want.

But, farming is one of the largest economic drivers in the upstate economy.

We need to continue to do support that.

It's very important for not only the farmers, but the support industries around.

As far as the question of being an entrepreneur, I think that's maybe one of the biggest curses that farmers have.

We have always been met with a challenge.

Go back to the cotton. We came up with the ways to mechanize it.

We'll continue to try to do our entrepreneurial duty and find ways to make things more efficient.

But, we reach a point where we're -- and

I think we're there right now, technology really
isn't, in my case, like with apples, we do not have
a new way to harvest apples efficiently. So we have
to -- we are dependent on having a huge amount of
both people come in to pick 30 million bushels of
apples in a short period of time.

We've been trying, unsuccessfully,

unfortunately, to go to the federal government to 1 2 try to get better legislation so that there's equity 3 amongst us all. I think most farmers in New York would feel, 4 if we wanted to have overtime, fine. Let's do it on 5 a national basis. 6 7 Because, our marketplace, as much as we love to be in New York, is very much a national and a 8 9 global marketplace. I see my time is up, so I'll be here for 10 11 questions. SENATOR MAY: Thank you very much. 12 13 And, just in response to the -- your very 14 first thing you said, the reason we're holding a 15 hearing here is to make sure that this conversation 16 can happen, and that voices, all of the voices, can 17 be heard. 18 PAUL BAKER: That's -- it's absolutely 19 essential. 20 Okay? 21 SENATOR MAY: I guess, yes. 22 Thank you very much. 23 SENATOR RAMOS: Thank you.

KIM SKELLIE: Thank you for having us.

My name is Kim Skellie. I'm from El-Vi Farms

24

in Newark, New York, which is in the Finger Lakes region.

We have 2,000 cows and about 3400 acres we crop.

We have about 30 full-time employees and 20 part-time, and about 50 percent are American and 50 percent are foreign-born.

They work approximately 40 to 70 hours a week, and that really depends on family needs, their interests, and some farm needs.

Everyone gets one to two days off a week, whether it be -- except, occasionally, during harvest season.

And like many of the other farms, we do provide workers' comp.

We have bonuses every month in addition to their regular paychecks.

And I think, in our area, especially, but
I think it's very widespread amongst a lot of the
farms in the state, the labor market is short.

We have learned to, through better communication, teamwork, and camaraderie, build workforces that are quite stable and long-term.

And so the idea of fear and intimidation, and people feeling like they can't leave, I think that's

a small slice of this pie.

We are -- actually, we work to keep our employees with us, because they know there's other jobs out there. It's easy to find another job, and there's other good businesses in our area where they could go to work if we didn't treat them with respect and pay them well.

That being said, this act, the collective bargaining, I agree with what Paul said.

A lot of it we'd be okay with.

The strike, whether it be for crops or animals, there's animal health risks if cows don't get milked, fed, cleaned, and taken care of on a very timely, daily basis.

As far as the overtime goes, that's the biggest thing we struggle with.

Our labor costs per employee have gone up

17 to 18 percent in the last three years anyway,
with minimum wage rising, and we have two more years
of that.

If we changed -- if we have to go with overtime without changing anything, it would increase another 17 to 18 percent, which, for us, is \$230,000.

So, any business has to learn to control

costs, and you would do what you can to control costs.

And that's why we go the route of saying, we've got to curb people back to 40 hours a week.

And then I think of, retail industries and food-service industries, where people are only working 35 to 40 hours a week. Many of them go get second jobs elsewhere because they are not allowed to work more hours than that.

And I feel that's what we would put our employees in position for.

I guess the last thing I'd like to say is, if we make that change, our people would probably be reduced in pay about 25 to 33 percent, depending on how much we're able to hire more people.

MATT WUNDER: I'm Matt Wunder. I'm also with El-Vi Farms. I'm the assistant crop-production manager.

I've been with the farm for 15 years, and
I've done many things there over that time that I've
really enjoyed. Building new barns for the animals.
In my current role, repairing equipment for cropping
seasons.

I grew up on a small family farm, and I've seen what happens when a farm can't make it in the

economy.

So I understand what it takes, from the farming side, to get the work done and get a job done in a timely manner.

As a team member on the farm, we take pride in getting our work done, and getting it done well. And we -- sometimes we work a lot to do that.

And having that ability to work, when it's there, has helped me and my family in many ways.

Currently, my family budget requires -- is set up so that I need to work 40 to 45 hours a week.

I choose to work 55 to 60 hours a week so that my kids can have extra, things that I didn't have.

If the farm was in a situation that they had to reduce hours, I would have to look elsewhere for employment in a field I wasn't passionate about, and --

SENATOR MAY: Thank you very much. We have to end there.

But I thank you very much.

I did have a couple of questions, if that's okay?

SENATOR RAMOS: Yes, go ahead.

SENATOR MAY: Mr. Skellie, I wanted to ask

you, you mentioned bonuses. 1 What are those based on? 2 KIM SKELLIE: At our farm, milk-quality 3 bonuses. So it's the quality of the milk, which is 4 5 measured by the co-op. 6 We get a bonus as a farm, and then we share 7 it with the employees. SENATOR MAY: I see. Okay. 8 9 KIM SKELLIE: So -- and it ranges. You know, for the most part, it's been in the range of \$150 to 10 11 \$300 a month, per employee. 12 SENATOR METZGER: Could you -- I know dairy 13 farming is very different from, you know, growing 14 vegetables, in terms of the labor needs, the labor 15 demands. 16 And could you just describe those differences 17 with seasonal labor? 18 Like, I mean, I know you've said that -- you 19 know, the hours range from 40 to 70. 20 But that's -- you know, you've got, what's an 21 average week in the wintertime versus -- do you grow 22 your own feed, your own hay? 23 So --24 KIM SKELLIE: We grow --

SENATOR METZGER: -- if you could talk about,

just characterize the hours.

KIM SKELLIE: -- right.

So the people who work in the barn with the animals have a pretty structured week that doesn't change a whole lot throughout the year. And those people range from 50 to 70 hours week. And it depends on whether they have one or two days off each week.

The people working the crop side, they range from 40 to 60. And, of course -- and it may be more than 60 at times. Matt will sometimes put in an 80-hour week when you're in the heart of planting or harvest season. So their weeks will be up and down, May, June, September, October, would be the heaviest months.

Does that answer your question?

SENATOR METZGER: Yes, it does. Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: I just have to say, you guys are like an advertisement for handsome plaid shirts.

[Laughter.]

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

KIM SKELLIE: Thank you.

(Mr. Skellie and Mr. Wunder leave the witness table.)

SENATOR MAY: Mr. Brown.

JEREMY BROWN: Good afternoon, Senators, and thank you for your travels to Upstate New York, and for the opportunity for me to share my story, and testify in regard to the Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices Act.

Forgive me if I'm generalizing, but, farmers as a whole are very process-oriented and committed to our work, so we often forget to tell our story.

And I feel that part of the push for a bill like this is a perception battle between what happens in some instances, and what happens as a rule.

I am the dairy manager at Twin Birch Dairy in Skaneateles, New York.

At the farm we have 3400 acres that we utilize to feed our heard of 1500 adult cattle.

We support 25 families.

And I am a non-family partner with the legacy family and another non-family partner that's one of my peers.

And, I started my career in the dairy industry as a farmworker.

So, about Twin Birch Dairy.

We have a written mission statement which

reads, "Our goal is to be an asset to our community by being stewards of our land, providing well-being for our heard, and ensuring the livelihood for employees and operators."

We are an asset to our community by providing over a million dollars in payroll to people who live within five miles of the farm.

We support diverse off-farm jobs, ranging from trucking jobs to get feed, fuel, milk away from the farm; sales people; professionals, such as lawyers, dairy-cow nutritionists, veterinarians, and processors.

At Twin Birch we're also members of the Cayuga Marketing Group. We're a group of 29 member farms in Central New York.

In 2013 we began construction on a dairy-processing plant in which we make powdered ingredients for export around the world.

There, we employ 75 people, and we have another 25 people that we employ through our milk trucking company.

We continue to be asset in our community because we're able to maintain population in a rural area, and provide a tax base.

We're stewards of the land.

We have adopted best-management practices voluntarily before there is any push from state or local organizations to do so, and we help to contribute to the esthetics of Upstate New York.

Care for the animals.

Cows being -- or, cows well-being is contingent on consistent round-the-clock care and high-quality feed.

That's where providing a livelihood for co-workers and employees comes into play.

It's our goal to attract the best people we can get.

I spend more time with my co-workers than I do with my own family.

We've been able to achieve low turnover on the dairy, and, when we do have turnover, people are asking their friends, family, neighbors from home, to come and work with them at our farm.

We also promote people from within so they can help to climb the ladder, and start with labor-type jobs and move into management.

We offer competitive pay packages with health care, housing, utilities, paid vacation, meals, transportation, uniforms.

The cost of living is much different in

1 Upstate New York than it is in other areas of the country, and this results in a huge amount of 2 disposable income. 3 And that is what my employees have told me 4 5 time and time again, that their number-one goal is, 6 is to have cash in hand to support their families. Some of the harsh realities of this proposal 7 is that we exist in a global market. Milk is not 8 9 priced solely for New York State. 10 I believe, as the minimum wage changes, it's 11 kind of self-correcting. We can ride that out, but 12 everybody needs to be on the same playing field. 13 And when we couple that with overtime, it 14 makes that go exponential. 15 Thank you for your time. 16 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 17 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you so much. 18 DAVID RANDALL: Good afternoon, Senators. 19 Thank you for coming today to hear our 20 concerns. 21 My name is David Randall. I'm from 22 Co-Vale Holsteins, a fourth-generation family dairy 23 farm.

A little bit about our farm.

We have nine employees on our farm.

24

25

The average time our employees have been with us is for eight years, with two of them for being over twenty-five.

Today I am here not to debate whether farmworkers should get overtime as much as I am concerned where the money is going to come from to pay for this.

Just last January the minimum wage went up.

We buy lunch every day at our local store for our employees. The same day the minimum wage went up, the price of lunch went up over a dollar on everything.

We can't do that, we can't raise our prices to offset the costs of labor.

The dairy industry is in a horrible financial state.

In 2018 the United States lost 2700 dairy farms.

The last three years we have been running in the red.

We've borrowed against assets in hopes that, in the future, the price of milk will come up.

In the dairy industry, we are mandated by state legislation, such as the one you're proposing, but the federal government sets the price of our

product.

I don't know of any other industry in the United States that is getting the same price of their product today as they did in the 1970s.

The farmer has no more to give.

At the present time, if we had a farm in Pennsylvania, which is an hour south of us, where the minimum wage is 7.25, our payroll would have been at \$120,000 less last year.

With the proposed legislation, it would add 80,000 to last year's payroll; in other words, it would cost our farm approximately 200,000 more to operate in New York State than it would in Pennsylvania, and that's not including the increase of nearly a dollar an hour starting this January.

So as a businessman, how can we justify staying in New York State?

Other neighboring states during the last few years have substantially subsidized the price of milk during these times to protect the family farms.

Proposing legislation of this financial burden is preying on farmers' love of their land and their roots.

If the purpose of this legislation is in the care of the Latino people, why hasn't there been a

program to get them here legally to work on our dairy farms, and a program to get to their licenses?

As far as Latino people not being treated properly, there is such a shortage of labor on dairy farms, that any farm that doesn't take the best possible care of their employees would lose them, they'd go to a neighbor's.

We've never had an employee say they worked too hard or too many hours.

The reason there is such a labor shortage is because we have such a broken welfare program in New York State.

If someone doesn't want to work, they don't have to.

But we're not here to talk about that.

I'm 27 years old, and I love farming in all aspects of it.

All I've ever wanted to be is a dairy farmer, and that's, my dreams have come true.

But, with proposed legislation like this, my dream may come to an end.

I will have -- or, at least it will come to an end in New York State, because I will keep farming, and I'll have to keep continuing to provide for my family, even if that means moving, leaving

187 1 the state. 2 I want to finish by making one last 3 statement. Over the last few years everyone asked, 4 "Where have all the small farms gone? 5 Well, proposed legislation like this is what 6 puts small farms out of business forever. 7 This legislation would be devastating to all 8 9 farms. 10 Thank you. 11 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you very much. 12 BRUCE GIBSON: Good afternoon, Senators. 13 Thank you very much for hearing us today. 14 My name is Bruce Gibson. I'm a partner in 15 Locust Hill Dairy, LLC. We're a dairy farm in 16 Oswego and Jefferson counties. 17 We have 50 employees. We crop -- we have really two distinct 18 19 businesses, two teams. 20 We crop about 6,000 acres, and we grow feed, 21 primarily corn and hay, to feed our cows. We have 22 about 3500 cows.

So I guess I'm that "big farmer" that an

And maybe that's true, I don't know, I don't

earlier speaker said would be just fine.

23

24

25

know the answer to that.

But I thought it was important that I come and at least tell you my story, in terms of how I think this legislation would affect my team, because my team is everything.

Those 50 guys and girls are critical to our success, our mutual success. We're joined together. They count on me as I count on them to help me help my cows. We're caretakers as farmers.

And I think, I've heard some stories today that I hope just simply aren't true.

Let me move forward, though.

As a big farm we have a bigger payroll, so it's sort of the same thing. Right?

Our payroll is roughly 2 1/2 million dollars, that that goes into the local economy. And we have relationships with other businesses in the area that are really important small businesses, where we're their biggest customer.

The dairy economy has been very challenging, even for big farms like ours.

We kind of crept backwards in 2015 and 2016, 2017. And 2018 we took a big, big step backwards. The price of milk reached historic lows.

And the point I'm driving at is how

time-sensitive farming is, because, despite our crop team's best efforts, in 2017, when we were growing our feed, that we feed in 2018, essentially, we couldn't get on the fields.

We couldn't get the corn planted in time, and we couldn't get it off the fields when it was at its best.

So the result was a lower-quality feed product, that drove our milk production down pretty heftily, at a time when milk was historically low.

We're digging our way out of that hole. It will probably take me two years, minimum, to just recover from last year, and probably four years, if it can even happen, to get sort of back to where we were.

But, this is really the farmworkers' labor bill. It's not the farm owner's sob-story session. So, let me talk about my team.

The crop guys work probably 60 hours, on average, and, basically, year-round, because, in the winter, they're tearing apart machines, putting them together.

And in the spring and summer, like now they're working 80 hours, right, to get that corn in the ground in a timely fashion.

And if we don't get it in that window, and we suffer, you know, next year in production, you know, it's just -- we just can't do that.

On the barn side, where I spend all of my time, it is a little more structured. Right?

And so, as an earlier speaker suggested, it's a 50- to 70-hour workweek, and those extra hours are actually really important to those workers.

It's really the first question anyone asks me when they come to talk about working on our farm, whether it's the crop team or the barn team: How many hours am I going to work?

We've been able to lure workers from other industries.

We have a John Deere-certified mechanic that worked for an equipment dealer.

He can go back there tomorrow.

The reason he works for us is because, he's able to work those extra hours, and raise his family, pay his mortgage, et cetera.

We have a gentleman that worked at a car dealership, he was a mechanic. He's now a mechanic on a dairy farm.

Big change for him, really, the same reason. He was able to actually earn more money.

And when it comes to the barn crew, the same is true. We're very -- they're very income-sensitive employees.

I think that, if this bill existed today, and I was having to pay time and a half within the schedule that we keep, that would raise my payroll about 40,000 a month, and I can tell you that I just can't bear that cost right now. Honestly, that just would not work.

Would I try to do something else to work around that?

Absolutely; and maybe it will work, maybe it won't.

I couldn't promise you that everyone will go work on another farm. That may or may not be true.

In terms of collective bargaining, though, I think that's great.

If my farm employees feel that they have a need to organize, then I have failed as a farmer.

If they don't take a day off, I make them take a day off. I think that's critical.

But, the overtime legislation I think could put things at risk.

I'd like to tell a story about a farmworker, if I could just have 30 more seconds.

I have a farmworker that works for me, her 1 2 name is Gladys, and she works alongside her three brothers. 3 And, together, their income is, 4 5 approximately, oh, probably somewhere north of \$160,000, combined, as a family. 6 7 And this is in a county where the individual average is about \$24,000. 8 9 They pay their costs to live, and they send money home. 10 11 They hail from San Marcos, Guatemala, where 12 their family is actually building a farm of their 13 own. 14 On the work that they're doing in our 15 operation, they're building a coffee operation that 16 now extends into the hundreds of acres, hopefully, 17 changing the dynamic of their family for future generations. 18 That's all I have today. 19 20

Thank you very much.

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR METZGER: Thank you very much.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

MATT IGOE: Hi. My name is Matt Igoe, and I work for a large poultry farm.

My wife owns a vegetable and fruit farm.

And I'm also on the board of directors for a local growers' association.

So I have different hats.

I've submitted testimony, I'll just read from that.

So the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 created protections for a majority of American workers; however, in a historic wrong, farmworkers were excluded.

That exclusion by the FLSA needs to be corrected. All workers should be treated equally.

The question is, is how do we get there?

In the 80 years since its signing, a trillion-dollar food economy has grown up around the rules and regulations set forth in the FLSA.

Every American alive today makes food choices and has expectations about price that are built upon that law, and it's not just products made in the USA. Countries who export to America build their own food-production systems based upon market realities in America.

You know, I'm from the Hudson Valley.

I couldn't make it next week, so that's why I'm here, but I'll tell you about where we are.

Where I live and work and grow food, I can

speak to the devastating impact the Farmworker (sic)
Fair Labor Practices Act would have if it passed in
its current form.

In a region where 40 percent of the farms are unprofitable, the sudden increase in wages would force farmers to cut worker hours, take on more workload themselves, discourage continuity in farming by forcing families to sell farms and exit agriculture economy entirely, increase the pace of mechanization, and negatively impact climate change by decreasing the number of small, diversified farms.

The only winners here would be the big giant corporations who can absorb labor costs that small, midsize, farmers cannot.

We're talking about billion-dollar farms out there. There's about a dozen of them.

They would limit the -- further cause -- I'm sorry -- causing further consolidation in our food production, and limiting healthy local food choices for New Yorkers.

While states like California have three growing seasons to make a profit, New York just has a single growing season which, in effect, triples the risk of farming.

One late frost or an overly rainy summer is enough to ruin a crop and, with it, that year's earnings.

It's also important to remember that small and midsize farmers must sell their products to local consumers and businesses, but those same local consumers and businesses can buy from anywhere with lower labor costs, which include neighboring states like Pennsylvania, but also countries like Mexico, Thailand, and China.

We would, literally, be priced out of the market -- of the New York markets where we were instrumental in creating.

The best way to address the issue is a comprehensive bill at the federal level, a bill that addresses both inequalities of the past and the viability of small and midsize farms in the futures.

If New York decides against that route and, instead, pushes ahead with the state bill that would New York farmers at a disadvantage, selling against producers with lower labor costs, the only just implementation, and its direct financial support for New York farmers, is a long-term phase-in to give employers time to absorb the costs of labor increases so that New York farms and farm jobs may

1 be preserved. 2 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 3 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. SENATOR MAY: Can you suggest details of what 4 that phase-in would look like? 5 6 MATT IGOE: Seven to ten years would be good. I think California did seven -- five, six, 7 seven years on theirs. 8 9 SENATOR MAY: I think they delayed implementation for several years, and then there's a 10 11 phase-in after that. 12 MATT IGOE: Yeah, exactly, something like 13 that. 14 And, also, you know, the costs are going to 15 be a heavy burden on farmers, as we've heard here 16 today. And if there was a form of credit that we 17 could look at for farmers, that would be helpful 18 19 too. 20 SENATOR MAY: For example, a credit for 21 housing -- providing housing and --22 MATT IGOE: Providing housing, but also 23 dollar-for-dollar offsets on the cost of the raising 24 of the labor costs, at least in the beginning of the

program, to offset the costs.

25

1 SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you. 2 MATT IGOE: Sure. Thanks. MEGHAN HAUSER: Hello. I'm Megan Hauser. 3 I'm a co-owner of Table Rock Farm. 4 Table Rock is a dairy in Western New York, 5 6 and we've been in operation since 1915. Our farm is successful because of the 7 talented, thoughtful, highly-skilled employees we 8 have. 9 Our 35 employees range in service -- they 10 11 come from a 45-minute radius of our farm, and they 12 range in service from 1 year to 45 years of 13 employment. 14 Each of our team members works hard to make 15 our farm a success, and I believe it's important to 16 reward this dedication with appropriate wages and 17 benefits. 18 Every new Table Rock employee begins with an 19 hourly wage well above New York State's minimum 20 wage. 21 Our job benefits include paid training, paid 22 personal time off. 23 Our farm overtime rate, which happens after

Our farm overtime rate, which happens after 55 hours worked weekly, this is a benefit we've offered since the 1970s.

24

25

Our typical workweek is 50 to 55 hours, but when a crop must be planted or harvested, overtime is required to do the job right.

We pay double time on six major holidays.

We recognize that employees are missing important family time to keep Table Rock going.

We pay time and a half when someone gets called in with less than 24 hours notice.

We have a retirement plan.

We have long-term disability coverage, monthly payments towards health insurance, weekly time off from work, paid continuing education, scholarships for the children of our employees.

We have (indiscernible) raised on the farm.

We have monthly gas cards and pizza parties for meeting our goals.

And we have key decision-making roles in monthly and annual meetings for our employees.

People in their first job at our farm receive a mentor, a formal training program, and understanding of what a "job" is, and what's expected in a job, and that feeling of camaraderie and self-worth that you get from working with a talented team.

We offer these benefits because it's

important to reward good work, and to be an employer of choice, and to attract the right people.

I understand and agree with your desire to ensure employees receive fair wages and benefits and have safe working conditions.

The farmer colleagues that I know agree with that too.

However, I am concerned that the proposed well-intentioned changes are a threat to the viability of farming in New York State.

New York employers already face hurdles that employers in other states do not.

Our minimum wage is higher than our neighboring states, and ranks in the top five nationally. This hourly wage, in turn, affects our employment taxes and our workers' compensation expenses.

New York State's environmental standards are higher than in many other states.

We're in favor of strong environmental regulations, but this is a competitive disadvantage for farmers in New York.

And we have a labor shortage.

I think many of the concerns raised in this proposed legislation are going to take care of

themselves as talented employees become scarcer and scarcer.

As you've heard, we're in the middle of a multi-year downturn for our farm's profitability, and for farms throughout New York State.

We've given raises to our team members in each of the previous years, not because we can afford to, but because they've earned it.

Costs continue to rise to run a farm, but the cost to have a home and to maintain a family have also increased.

I've not paid myself this year so we can continue to give our teams the raises and the benefits they deserve to earn.

Should changes, like overtime after 40 hours, become mandatory, I calculate my labor costs would increase about 15 percent just to cover the over-term -- overtime wages.

With current farming conditions, I would have to strongly evaluate whether I can continue to dairy, and many of my farming colleagues would have to do the same.

There are 35 families that count on jobs at Table Rock.

Their earnings impact our local communities,

both economically, when an employee saves up to buy a home and/or a vehicle, and, socially, when they coach our Little League teams or volunteer to serve at our cooperative extension.

Employment on farms gives young, talented people a reason to settle and to stay in our rural communities.

In summary:

I have an exceptional team, and I want to be an exceptional employer.

These proposed changes, such as overtime after 40 hours, and the existing minimum wage, will make New York State exceptional, but in a way that harms New York State employers and discourages farming in rural communities in New York State.

Thank you.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR METZGER: Thank you very much.

SENATOR MAY: Let me just ask one question, because we've heard from you and a number of other farmers who take pride in the way you treat your employees.

And I'm just wondering, wouldn't it be advantageous to you if all the farmers were required to treat their employees that same way?

1 I'm just feeling, like, are you at a competitive disadvantage by virtue of trying to do 2 the right thing? 3 MEGHAN HAUSER: I don't feel we're at a 4 5 disadvantage. I feel the only way to employ the kind of 6 7 people we want to employ with those kind of talents and abilities is to be an employer of choice. 8 And there's such -- I think there's such a 9 10 labor shortage in New York State, we have to do 11 those things in order to make people say, Table Rock is where I want to be. 12 13 SENATOR METZGER: How big is your herd? 14 I'm just trying to get a sense of the size of 15 your farm. 16 MEGHAN HAUSER: We're milking about 17 1150 cows. 18 SENATOR METZGER: Okay, so you're big, a big 19 operation. 20 MEGHAN HAUSER: It's all relative. 21 SENATOR METZGER: Yeah, true, for New York. 22 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: (Inaudible.) 23 MEGHAN HAUSER: Okay, sure. 24 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: One of the other 25 witnesses talked about dry cows.

1	MEGHAN HAUSER: Uh-huh.
2	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: What does that mean?
3	MEGHAN HAUSER: Oh, sure.
4	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I'm sorry, I don't
5	MEGHAN HAUSER: That's I'm glad you asked
6	the question.
7	So there's a time when cows aren't milking,
8	just before they give birth, and that's what we call
9	"dry cows."
10	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I see.
11	MEGHAN HAUSER: There's many farmeries' words
12	that we use, so it's good to ask.
13	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Oh, okay.
14	So everybody else is being milked, except
15	those?
16	MEGHAN HAUSER: Yes, yeah.
17	SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay. Thank you.
18	MEGHAN HAUSER: Okay.
19	Anything else?
20	Okay.
21	Thanks.
22	SENATOR METZGER: Thank you.
23	SAMANTHA DeRISO: I'll try to keep it brief.
24	Thank you, Senator May, Senator Metzger,
25	Senator Montgomery, and Senator Ramos, for shedding

light on the plight of workers in New York State, and for the opportunity to present testimony in support of passage and enactment of the Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices Act.

My name is Samantha DeRiso. I am the president of the Central New York Labor Council, and the Central New York Labor Council represents 17,000 members in Central New York, and it's the affiliate of the New York State AFL-CIO.

I am a 30-year member of the United Food and Commercial Workers Union in Oriskany, New York, and I am also a native of Upstate New York.

The Central New York Labor Council and the New York State AFL-CIO have advocated on behalf of farmworkers for decades.

We have proudly supported the Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices Act since its introduction in 1999.

In 20 years since, there has been no meaningful, substantive improvements for farmworkers in the state.

Now it is the time to pass the bill of Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices Act.

Many New Yorkers would be astounded to learn that we already know farmworkers do not enjoy the

same rights as other workers.

Farmworkers do not have the right to overtime pay or the day of rest.

They do not have the same rights as other workers when it comes to workers' compensation, temporary disability insurance, and minimum wage.

Further, farmworkers do not have the right to form a union.

Farmworkers are uniquely susceptible to exploitation.

Agricultural workers in New York State are often immigrants. Many do not speak English, and many live on farms where they work, receiving room and board from their employers.

These farms are located in secluded rural areas, and the fact that many farmworkers do not have driver's license or access to cars only exaggerates -- exacerbates the level of isolation.

Farmworkers with temporary work visas are particularly vulnerable because their visas are revoked when they stop working.

All of these factors give employers great leverage over farmworkers.

Because of this leverage, and out of fear of retaliation, farmworkers often do not exercise the

meager rights they currently have.

Enacting the Farmworkers Fair Labor Practices

Act will be -- will help to correct the power

imbalance, and ensure that the farmworkers are

treated like other workers in the state.

I would like to just take a moment to talk about Patrice, a farmworker from Western New York.

Patrice could not be here today, but I have been asked to read a short note from her.

"My name is Patrice, and I am an agricultural worker.

"I work year-round in all seasons, cold weather, sun, and snow.

"It is very hard for that" -- "very hard work that requires a lot of strength.

"Who said that had a woman could not do it?

"Of course we can.

"I could -- "I would like the law to pass so that we have the benefits that we are excluded from.

"The law would help all the workers in the field, and help them not to experience so much injustice."

I know I have one minute.

"For example, the wage-theft, many workers are afraid to speak and suffer reprisal.

1 "It is necessary to have a day of rest, and 2 collective bargaining rights, because we are human 3 beings, and it is necessary in order to perform more work in the workplace. 4 "Many workers have to work in the rain and 5 6 the cold. 7 "There are farmer" -- "farm owners who give farmworkers a home at their place, and are 8 mistreated because of it. 9 10 "Thank God for the farmer that I work for 11 He gives us a day of rest. He gives us 12 permission to leave work when we do need, due to 13 emergencies. He even has paid us a week's vacation. "I would like all farmers to be like this. 14 15 "I would like all the laws that protect us. 16 "I want farmers to look at us as human 17 beings." I'll yield my time. 18 19 Thank you. 20 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 21 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. 22 BRET J. BOSSARD: Good afternoon, Senators. 23 My name is Brett Bossard. 24 I'm here today as a proud fourth-generation

dairy farmer from Fabius, New York, just a half an

25

hour from here.

SENATOR MAY: Ms. DeRiso, can we make sure to get your written testimony?

SAMANTHA DeRISO: Yes, I will make sure (inaudible).

SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you.

Sorry.

BRET J. BOSSARD: Again, my name is

Brett Bossard, and I'm a proud fourth-generation

dairy farmer from Fabius, New York, just a half an
hour from here.

I am one of three owners, and along with 40 additional employees, we operate Barbland Dairy, LLC.

Personally, I am lucky to be able to say that I truly love what I do each and every day, and I hope that my employees can say the same.

I'm going to skip around a bit here, just to get some of the key points brought up, and to have time for questions.

But, I'm here today, not as a way of saying that your basis for fair standards for farmworkers is not without merit, but, hopefully, as a way to demonstrate that the agricultural community is much better positioned when it comes to worker needs than

you may have previously thought.

And, more importantly, I'm here today to help ensure that my two young girls will have the chance to be fifth-generation dairy farmers.

I feel that the overtime is the greatest challenge in your bill.

For my personal farm, our weekly payroll hovers consistently, around the years, at \$30,000.

So doing the math on last year's hours worked, it would, mandatory time and a half be on 40 hours, calculates to be \$894,000.

This is a 61 percent increase in our labor costs.

I would be more than I'm willing to share the details of how I got to those numbers, but, with workmen's comp, and everything else that goes into it, is where the numbers that I came up with.

This is absolutely unsustainable for our business and will force us into some very difficult decisions as the future of our business.

Already part of our strategic 10-year plan on the farm is looking at locations out of state for these very reasons.

The average hourly cash wage on our farm currently of our 40 employees is \$15.09.

That does not include the week's paid vacation for all employees that have been there over a year, and along with the other perks and benefits that we provide to our employees as well.

If working conditions were so terrible that we have heard by many earlier today, then I would ask you: How are several dairy farmers, and I feel, the majority, not the minority, of dairy farmers, are able to possess such a tenure by their farmworkers?

I really feel that we are blessed to have 18 percent of our staff that have been with us for over 15 years.

80 percent of our staff has been with us for over 5 years.

And it is, the Spanish-speaking workers that we have makes up approximately 50 percent of our workforce, and that continues to grow as our business has doubled in size over the last seven years.

One of the great parts of my job is the ability to see people grow.

And I'm here today with one of our great assets on the farm, Lupa, which I will give a few minutes to speak as well.

He is in charge of the care of our cows every day.

SENATOR MAY: Just one minute left, so -- BRET J. BOSSARD: Yep, certainly.

I will turn it over to him at this time.

LUPAREO PEREZ-CARBAJAL: Hi.

My name is Lupareo Perez-Carbajal. I'm grateful to work in Barbland Farm for 22 years.

I was -- I just want to let something -- let you guys know, without disrespecting anybody, but I think all the dairy farmers, they never make anybody work more than what they want to.

I know, I have a lot of friends, and I don't know if it's a good or bad thing, but every time they call me, if I know of a job somewhere else, they all, the first thing they ask is, "How many hours?"

And the reason why we want to work so many hours is because we make promise back home, that we're going to come back with money, and give our kids what we can't give them over there, because our -- our pay over there, it's \$7 a day for 12 hours of work.

So when we come here, you know, it's something that we don't want to just waste our time

just doing things that we're not supposed to. 1 2 So when we come here, we try to get the best 3 that we can. Thank you. 4 5 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 6 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you very much. 7 [Applause.] (Mr. Bossard and Mr. Perez-Carbajal leave 8 the witness table.) 9 10 SENATOR MAY: Paul. 11 PAUL FOUTS: Thank you for the opportunity to 12 come. 13 I'm going to read an abridged version of what 14 my written testimony was, and, hopefully, I can 15 answer some questions after. 16 My name is Paul Fouts. 17 I'm the third generation to operate my family's dairy farm located in the northeast corner 18 of Tompkins County. 19 20 Our farm has seven full-time and two 21 part-time employees, half of which are domestic, 22 half are foreign-born, and I work alongside them 23 each and every day, and I have the hands to prove

There's no job that I ask them to do that

24

25

it.

I have not done or am not willing to do myself.

I value their contributions to our farm in many respects. They are like family to me.

I am taking the time on this beautiful sunny day during the busiest part of a farmer's year to testify about the proposed legislation because the impact of its enactment, combined with the ongoing increase in minimum wage, terrifies me.

I am terrified that I will lose my labor force because I can no longer afford them, and will be stripped of the flexibility to pursue arrangements that are favorable for both of us.

I am terrified that my smaller neighbor farm will not be a able to afford the unemployment, disability, insurance that will be required for the small amount labor he has.

I am terrified that having mom, dad, son, or daughter become an employee will no longer be an option in the generational transfer process.

I am terrified that our support businesses will not be able to cope with the impacts that will come to them, both directly and indirectly.

I am terrified that our communities that we have called "home" for generations, and whose economic backbone is agriculture, will become ghost

towns when the equipment dealers, feed mills, processing plants, and a plethora of other agricultural businesses shutter their doors.

I am terrified that colleges, such as CALS at Cornell, SUNY Morrisville, SUNY Cobleskill, SUNY Alfred, will be irrelevant because agriculture will no longer be a viable business in New York, as evidenced by the report released by Farm Credit.

I have heard labor practices in agriculture described by some as draconian.

To the outsider who is used to an employer-employee relation being adversarial,

I suppose it would be easier to have this -- be easy to have this misguided viewpoint.

But our relationship with our employees is better described as being cooperative in nature.

We make every attempt to have our employees schedule a method of remuneration compatible with their individual needs while staying within the realities of our business.

Since many of our employees have been at our farm for multiple years, I am confident our labor practices meet their satisfaction.

I am confident enough, that I invited Assemblywoman Barbara Lifton to meet with our workers, without my presence, to discuss their opinions of the proposed legislation.

To her credit, she accepted the invitation, and on April 18th she met with our employees while I milked cows.

I implore each of you to ask her about the conversation because, the deal was, what was said in the room stays in the room, so I don't know what they said.

The most sustainable way to provide an abundant supply of safe, affordable nutrition to our population is to grow it and produce it locally.

The scope of impact of the Farmworker (sic)

Fair Labor Practices Act extends far beyond large

farms. It touches every corner of the agricultural

community in New York, and by ignoring natural and

economic realities of agriculture threatens its

existence.

How can you assure the residents of New York, your constituents, the food and fiber they need while simultaneously strangling the very industry that provides it?

And if I have some more time, I'd like to clarify some things that were said earlier.

One is that, there is a program called

FARM program, that David Fisher touched on. And they are coming out with a module on that, where we have to meet certain standards for labor practices.

And that is driven by the market, which is where it should be driven from, because then maybe we can see some returns for that.

And I've heard several times that this -- that New York is number-three dairy state.

We are number four, because we are losing farms.

We didn't get overrun by another state. We lost it.

And don't -- just because we have agriculture here today, do not assume it will be here tomorrow.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

[Applause.]

SENATOR MAY: Let me ask a question, because you framed this in the things that you're afraid of.

I've visited a number of dairy farms, and, frankly, the machinery that people use for milking the cows terrified me.

And I just found myself wondering, if you had been working for 13 hours in a day, doesn't the likelihood of accidents and, you know, danger, and fear, enter in as you work -- get more and more

tired?

I just am curious about how that -- obviously, you care about the welfare of your workers.

How do you factor that?

PAUL FOUTS: We have -- and I explained some of the evolution in my written testimony.

We work 12-hours shifts.

My employees work 12-hour shifts.

And we do give them breaks throughout that, for lunch, and, you know, to try to give them a rest from that.

We give them the 12-hour shifts because that's what they wanted. Both domestic and foreign labor wanted the 12-hour shifts, and I explained the reasons for that.

So our domestic people work, it's a 50-hours -- 48-hour workweek, really. And our foreign-born are 60. We do pay overtime above that.

And, as a comparison, I work over 100.

So, I see all the shifts.

And so I know that you can work 100 hours and not drop dead. You can work over 100 hours and not get hurt.

You have to be talented and focused on what

1 you do. 2 SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you. 3 (Discussion among the Senators.) SENATOR MAY: Thank you very much. 4 5 JEREMY MAPSTONE: Good afternoon, Senators, 6 and thank you again for giving us all this 7 opportunity to discuss the Farmworker (sic) Fair Labor Practices Act. 8 My name is Jeremy Mapstone, and I'm a 9 third-generation farmer from Manlius, New York. 10 11 We have a 300-cow organic dairy farm that was 12 started in 1944 by my grandfather. 13 He convinced his parents to move out to the 14 country because he knew, at age 16, that he wanted 15 to be a farmer. 16 Sometimes I think back on what I knew at the 17 age of 16, and it's amazing. But he -- and then after that, he came to 18 19 SUNY Morrisville, and then he actually learned how 20 to be a farmer. 21 So, 2019 marks 75 years of us shipping milk 22 at our location. 23 So, fast-forward to today: 24 We employ six full-time workers, and,

seasonally, may add up to five or six more.

Our normal workweek is a 5 1/2-day workweek.

We provide -- as many of our other, you know, farmers have stated, we provide paid vacation, transportation, housing, you know, many benefits, that we're happy to provide.

We take care of our employees.

They take great care of us, so we feel it is our responsibility to do the same.

I recognize the difficulties in trying to take into account everybody's, you know, point of view on this issue.

And, again, very much appreciate this opportunity for people on both sides of the issue to, you know, try and, maybe, reach a comfortable middle ground, compromise of sorts.

I think my main concern would be the overtime provision.

Our current labor costs makes up 20 percent of our yearly budget.

And as the bill is -- if it were to pass as it is stated now, our labor costs would become 30 percent of our yearly budgets, and about an \$85,000 increase off of our bottom line.

Again, as some of our other farmers have previously stated, you know, we really don't have

any opportunity to make up that increase.

So that's certainly -- certainly scary.

I won't repeat many of the same things that everybody else has already said. I understand, you know, we've been here a while and we're trying to get out.

So, a couple different things.

The USDA agriculture census just came out a few weeks ago, and a couple disturbing trends.

You know, as a young farmer, I'm 25 years old, 8 percent of us are made up of farmers that are less than 35 years of age.

That, to me is a problem.

You know, I don't know what the answer is, but -- and, again, I, you know, commend you guys for, you know, trying to look out for our industry, and giving us an opportunity to share, you know, some of our stories and some of our concerns.

But, again, 8 percent, you know, of people that are young farmers, clearly, there's not young producers getting into this industry.

That's a problem.

Going along with that, I mean, people have said 2100 farms, you know, going out of business.

I heard some of the previous people say,

1 well, you know, farmers are, you know, entrepreneurs. They will figure it out. 2 3 And maybe that's true. But -- you know, and, also, in the same vein, 4 5 you know, well, they're not out of business yet. 6 You know, they claimed before they would be out of 7 business. But, you know, most of us are used to doing 8 more with less, you know, but at what point, you 9 know, does it start to go in the other direction? 10 11 You know, 2100 farm in the last five years, that seems a little disturbing to me. 12 13 So, I just worry that, you know, at some 14 point, you know, it's going to tip too far in the 15 wrong direction. 16 So, I know I'm running low on time here, so 17 I'll wrap it up there, but... Thank you again. 18 19 SENATOR METZGER: Thanks very much. 20 SENATOR MAY: Thank you very much. 21 I just want to thank everybody who has stayed 22 around. 23 We have four more witnesses on our list, so

we're closing in on the end of this.

24

1 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: I appreciate your 2 pointing out the age problem. First of all, 57 is not old, just so you 3 know. 4 5 JEREMY MAPSTONE: That's -- you're right. 6 I didn't mean to imply that. 7 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Okay. And -- but the other part of what you said 8 is, one thing I think is extremely important, is 9 that we really need to invest a lot more, even than 10 11 we already do, and we do, in the future farmers of 12 America, because -- and I think not just young people who grow up in certain areas, but who grow up 13 14 throughout the state --15 JEREMY MAPSTONE: I agree with that. 16 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: -- should be encouraged 17 to become active in terms of farming and agriculture, and understanding and viewing that as a 18 19 viable industry and career. 20 JEREMY MAPSTONE: I agree with that. 21 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you for raising 22 that. 23 JEREMY MAPSTONE: I appreciate that very 24 much. 25 SENATOR MAY: We also have a Young Farmer

Apprenticeship Program bill that we're hoping to 1 move forward too. 2 JEREMY MAPSTONE: Looking forward to seeing 3 4 that. 5 SENATOR MAY: So trying to encourage more 6 young people to go into farming. 7 JEREMY MAPSTONE: Appreciate it. Thank you. SENATOR METZGER: Thank you so much. 8 MARK RUSSELL: Good afternoon. 9 10 SENATOR METZGER: Mark? 11 MARK RUSSELL: Yes. 12 Ready? 13 Mark Russell. 14 Senator May, Senator Metzger, 15 Senator Montgomery, thank you for having this 16 meeting, and thanks for staying till the end. 17 You know, this is a very Olong meeting. 18 My name is Mark Russell. I'm an apple grower 19 in Niagara County; Appleton, in Niagara County. 20 I'm a medium-size operator, grow on 50 acres 21 with my wife in partnership. 22 And, currently working in a succession plan 23 to buy the farm with my parents. 24 It's not really going well. It's going to be 25 very expensive for us.

And so a bill like this makes me wonder if I should finish that succession plan, or not.

We -- well, we may be able to find other opportunities in other states, but we can't take the farm that I own already with my wife. Those trees have roots, they're stuck in the ground, they can't go across the state lines.

And we have roots here too as well, as do the other growers in Western New York.

I won't speak for them.

But, our harvest crews do not.

They are -- they have a choice of where to work, and they are going to work where they can maximize their hours, maximize their earning power.

A 40-hour workweek is a wonderful thing, nice work if you can get it, but, it doesn't amend itself to produce agriculture, which is what I'm in, you know, what you find on the store shelves in a grocery store.

Our work is too immediate, too acute, too intense at various times of the year, to be conscripted into a discreet 40-hour workweek.

We come up with a similar 2,000-hour work year, but we kind of turn the farming on its head, because we work a 50-hour week, as an average, over

40 weeks. And that gives you 12 weeks vacation.

Now, my guys like that 12 weeks vacation.

They also like, since they came so far up here to work, and they come from Mexico and the Caribbean, they didn't come up here to sit at home on Saturday. They came up to work, they came up to punch the clock.

And they like doing that, and I guess I do too.

So one of my guys, Martine Ariano (ph.), he's worked for me 15 years, he likes to spend his 12 weeks off going home to visit his family in Guanajuato.

Another employee of mine, Adolfo Gomar (ph.), who met Senator Ramos in Batavia, and spoke to her, he likes to go home to Manuel Doblado in Mexico and work on his 200-acre green ranch with his wife and son.

Now, Adolfo has put four kids into college.

In fact, his eldest daughter has a master's degree in engineering.

He didn't get everything he got by not hustling, or by having his hours limited by his employers.

I'm glad he found me as someone to work for.

He chose me more than I chose him, and he's 1 been great for us. Wouldn't be where we are without 2 3 him. I have lost employees to the perception that 4 I have limited their hours or their earning ability. 5 6 There was a guy named Donmigi (ph.) who used 7 to work for me a few years ago. Now, one day on a day of rest, I show up, 8 it's pouring rain. I see Donmigi's Suburban. 9 I walk out in the orchard. There he is in his 10 11 yellow raincoat, he's picking apples. 12 I said, Donmigi, what you doing? It's a day 13 of rest, you can't be here. 14 "Hey, I got a mortgage to pay," he tells me. 15 "I gotta work." 16 I said, Well, it's not safe. It's a day of 17 You know, I'm not here, you gotta go home. He said, Fine, but I'm finishing this bin, 18 19 and I'm not coming back. 20 And I never saw the guy again. 21 He took a job working for my neighbor. 22 The people who work for us know the rules.

They've been gaming the system to their advantage, deftly, for 30 years, and it's helped them earn the money that they have.

23

24

A disruption to this system may sound like a 1 2 good idea, but disruption, as we know, can have unintended consequences. 3 Uber moves in, now a Yellow Cab driver can't 4 afford to pay the loan for their medallion. 5 6 You raise the minimum wage on wait staff, now 7 people maybe stopped tipping the way they used to, and that could be a big deal. 8 9 For us, the disruption would be, well, we can't just pass the costs on to our buyers. 10 11 You know, I'm growing the things that fill 12 the produce shelves. 13 The disruption would be growers in 14 neighboring states will be glad to take those, that 15 sales space from us. 16 Thank you. 17 Sorry to go over. 18 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 19 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. 20 ZAID KURDIEH: There's a Stuart Mitchell 21 ahead of me, or are they not here? 22 ARI MIR-PONTIER: No, he was switched. 23 ZAID KURDIEH: He was switched. 24 SENATOR MAY: We have -- I have Zaid next.

MARILU AGUILAR: Are you Number 41?

1 ZAID KURDIEH: I'm Number 43.

2 | SENATOR MAY: I think you're next.

3 ZAID KURDIEH: Okay.

MARILU AGUILAR: So I'm next?

5 SENATOR MAY: Yes.

6 MARILU AGUILAR: Okay.

Good afternoon.

My name in Marilu Aguilar, and I'm a member of the Spiritus Farmworker Justice Committee of Spiritus Kristi Church in Rochester, New York.

Before I begin I want to thank everyone,
Senator Metzger and Ramos, and all of you, for this
joint hearing, and for allowing me, a concerned
citizen, and consumer, the opportunity to speak on
the Farmworker (sic) Fair Labor Practices Act, a law
that will give farmworkers basic workers' rights for
the first time ever, and rights that all of us take
for granted and have enjoyed for that long, and, the
act will also guarantee that farmworkers receive the
same rights and protections at any farm that they
work on in New York State.

And that's important, because I'm hearing a lot of good stories about farmers treating their workers right, and that's great.

But the point is, and the bottom line, and

the main point, is that they're not treated like that across the board.

You need to listen to the stories of the farmworkers, and some of them are horror stories.

So we need to be -- we need a law to be enforced, that will guarantee the same consistent, proper rights and protections for the farmworkers no matter where they work.

I urge the New York State Senate to pass the bill that will give farmworkers these long-overdue basic workers' rights and protections.

Farmworkers were deliberately, and I'll repeat this, were deliberately excluded from these rights because they were people of color, and here we are almost 100 years still operating under Jim Crow.

And I have to ask, if these farmworkers were German, Irish, or Polish, would we here?

As a person of faith, I see this debate as a moral issue. It's not about nickels and dimes.

I also see it as a black-and-white issue because, when you get right down to it, nothing justifies making a profit off the backs of human beings, not even saving a farm or jobs.

To do so is immoral and inhumane.

We are talking about people, not chattel, who work long, hard hours in all kinds of weather.

We can't let economics continue dominating this debate.

Workers are suffering or struggling, not on all farms, but all workers are not paid overtime or given a day of rest, and many can't complain about mistreatment, poor pay, or no pay, sexual harassment, discrimination, et cetera, for fear of being fired or experiencing other forms of retaliation, including being deported.

So, in essence, the farmer has all the power and control; they call the shots.

We need to give farmworkers an opportunity to speak for themselves. We need to give them a voice through collective bargaining.

What worker in America fears these things in their workplace?

Why do we think it's okay to exclude farmworkers and dairy workers from these protections and rights?

New York's agribusiness is a multi-billion industry.

The cost of equality and justice for farmworkers who help create these profits is more

than justified and way past due.

All of us have enjoyed these labor rights, as I said, no matter where we work.

Farmworkers do not.

We need to pass this farmworker bill to ensure all farmworkers, no matter where they work, are treated fairly across the board, no exceptions, and to be consistent.

It is incomprehensible to me why employers would want to -- wouldn't want to support a law that would give their employees the same basic workers' rights and protections that our children get working in the pizza parlor.

Our children will never have to fight for water and toilets in their workplace the way farmworkers did just 20 years ago, and that was resisted by opponents.

Imagine, in the late 1990s, laws had to be passed to guarantee farmworkers drinking water and toilets.

The inhumanity of this to me is minds-boggling.

As a consumer, I have stop purchasing apples grown in New York to protest the New York farming industry's opposition to the farmworker bill, and

I will continue to boycott these apples, not

purchase them, until this bill is passed.

It appears that only the buck costs to some.

For the past 16 years, through the

association with the Justice for Farmworkers

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

association with the Justice for Farmworkers

Campaign, my church has initiated actions to help

educate the public about the bill, to thank and

celebrate farmworkers, and to bring them out of the

shadows.

We have protested in front of Wegman's --

SENATOR MAY: I need to interrupt you.

MARILU AGUILAR: -- public market --

SENATOR MAY: Marilu?

JESSE MULBURY: -- and gone on -- all right.

Thank you for the opportunity.

But I just want to end with this:

Do it at the state level, not the national, because they -- we need to do this now, and we don't know what we'll get at the national level.

And, finally --

SENATOR MAY: Okay, no --

MARILU AGUILAR: -- agriculture feared water, toilets, the minimum (indiscernible), because they feared they would go under.

They survived.

They will survive over time. 1 2 Thank you. 3 SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you. ZAID KURDIEH: (Indiscernible) Zaid, am 4 I next? 5 SENATOR METZGER: Yes. Thank you. 6 7 ZAID KURDIEH: Thank you, Senators, for allowing me to testify. 8 9 I'd like to give you a little bit of background. 10 11 My name is Zaid Kurdieh, which is probably a 12 name you never heard. 13 I am of Palestinian origin, and I am Muslim. 14 So in terms of the talk about people not 15 being fair to people, I've seen more of that than 16 most people in this room, but I continue to be an 17 American, and a proud American. I do hire, and have English and Italian and 18 19 Germans working on my farm, as well as Egyptians and 20 Guatemalans, and others. So I do get offended when I hear this because 21 22 a lot of these stories are blips. They're not the 23 reality of what actually happens on farms. I am a former ag economist for USDA. 24 25 I've worked for Cornell Cooperative

Extension.

2 I've farmed for 20 years.

And I've worked with thousands of farms across the United States.

So I've seen it all.

So what I need the Senators to promise me here, is that they're not just doing this as an exercise in futility, because you really need to understand the farming industry.

We are price-takers no matter where we sell.

I sell at the Union Square Farmers Market,
I do relatively well.

But if you pass this overtime, there is a very good chance that I would either have to fold or cut my business or change my practices.

Okay?

There's no way.

I gave you my tax returns.

I gave you numbers calculated.

\$198,000, increased, would be what I would have to pay -- would have had to pay in 2018.

The law that was passed in New York City raising the minimum wage for the restaurant workers to \$15 caused the majority of my customers, 65 percent of my customers are New York City

restaurants, to come to me and say, Zaid, I need you 1 to -- I need you to cut your prices to me. 2 3 I can't -- that's the pressure that I am under as a farmer. 4 5 I do fairly well, and I can negotiate very 6 well. 7 However, that's not the case for 99.99 percent of the farmers in the United States 8 9 who are selling commodities. If you have not noticed, suicide rates among 10 11 farmers is at an all-time high. 12 You think people are killing themselves because they can make changes as easily as is 13 14 proposed in this legislation? 15 I can go and work for a Fortune 500 company, 16 making three, four times what I make, but I love 17 farming. 18 But this type of legislation could be what 19 spells my last year of farming. 20 And all of the people who are dependent on my 21 farm, they will have to find work elsewhere. 22 And there's not much happening in 23 Chenango County, if you care to visit it. 24 Most farms are up for sale now.

Dairy farms are up for sale.

1 Okay?

This is not -- I don't -- I can't take this lightly at all.

I've visited farmers in Puerto Rico to try to help them. They have a hell of a time.

I've visited farms in Florida. They have a hell of a time as well.

Okay?

They're competing in a global world; we're all competing in a global market.

In Mexico, where the average worker makes \$15 an hour versus my average of over \$150 per day, how am I going to compete when you can truck in tomatoes from Mexico to New York City?

So, please, you need to really look at the facts, because there's some facts here, there's some bad actors, but that is not the state of the agricultural industry that I'm proud of.

My guys go home to Egypt with ten to thirty thousand dollars in their pockets.

Okay?

So my English and American workers have been able to buy houses in Chenango County, even though we don't pay -- I can't pay \$20 an hour, but I get close.

But with this overtime, you're guaranteeing 1 that I either -- like I said, there's no way I can 2 3 continue operating the way I am under this circumstance. 4 5 Now, is there ways around it? 6 There may be. 7 Thank you. SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 8 9 SENATOR MAY: Let me just ask one question. Are there aspects of the bill that you are 10 11 supportive of? 12 ZAID KURDIEH: The problem with the bill is 13 that most of that stuff we already do anyway. 14 Unemployment insurance we pay. 15 Between unemployment and workers' --16 workmens' (sic) comp, I pay over \$60,000. 17 That's more than the income of maybe 18 20 percent of the farms in New York, as the way the statistics are. 19 20 I mean, the overtime bill, the only way it 21 was going to work for America, because, remember, 22 I'm in the Union Square next to a farm from 23 New Jersey, if they don't have the same burden,

SENATOR METZGER: Is most of your -- do you

I can't compete with them.

24

do mainly direct marketing? 1 ZAID KURDIEH: Yes, I do direct marketing. 2 3 SENATOR METZGER: Okay. ZAID KURDIEH: Absolutely. 4 5 Did I answer your question, Senator? 6 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 7 ZAID KURDIEH: You're welcome. SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. 8 BILL BANKER: My name is Bill Banker, and 9 this is my wife, Corinne. 10 11 Along with my brother, we milk 250 cows right 12 here in Morrisville three times a day. 13 We thoroughly enjoy what we're doing, and 14 most days we're having fun. 15 Being darn near the end of this whole 16 process, we realize that you've already heard most 17 of the facts and figures, and so we'll just tell you personally what this means to us. 18 We employ three full-time workers and 19 20 five very part-time workers. 21 Our three full-time workers, on the average, 22 work between 60 and 65 hours a week. And we've had 23 them leave if they get less hours than that, as you

have heard today.

Our budget is barebones.

24

We do not pull a regular family draw from the farm. We, literally, get what is left.

For our three full-time employees, we provide housing, cable, Internet, heat, electricity.

We pay them on a weekly basis more than our draw is for ourselves.

If we are required to move to the forced overtime, we could not handle the one-and-a-half-time hourly rate, so we would have to lower them to 40 hours a week, and that would push our workforce up to 4.5 full-times instead of the three.

And this -- as you have heard many times today, they are demanding the hours, so we would be forced to look for more employees.

In this environment in the state, that is not a very easy task.

New York State needs to stop regulating every aspect of our business.

We treat our employees with respect.

We offer paid time off.

We provide yearly bonuses, as well as dinners out or pizzas on a regular basis when the work for that week has been particularly rough.

To us, they are extended family.

We do care for our cows. We admire our cows. 1 2 They are amazing animals. But we do respect and care for our employees. 3 We work beside them every day. 4 On a regular basis, we ask them what they 5 need from us; what the job -- what we need to do to 6 7 make a better job for them. We appreciate your interest in fair 8 9 compensation, but we don't agree with the route that you are planning to take to achieve it. 10 11 We believe that through the additional 12 benefits, that we give them fair compensation. 13 Agriculture is a different industry. 14 We do not work by the clock. 15 Even we, the owners, we do not stop working 16 until the job is done. 17 If we aren't taking good care of our 18 employees, they do not stay. 19 They know, and appreciate, what they are 20 worth in our industry, and they're not afraid to 21 move to seek better time. 22 Thank you. 23 Are there any questions? 24 SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

I have a question.

If the overtime requirement were offset by more credit, for example, for what you spend on housing and other benefits for the employees, would that -- could that work?

BILL BANKER: I suppose it could work.

CORRINE BANKER: Are you going to pay us what we're going to be paying our employees?

BILL BANKER: They know what their opportunities are at other places.

CORRINE BANKER: We have so many hours a week that we need help for, and so that's a given. We know exactly how many hours a week we require labor for.

And I know what my -- I know what my budget is.

And so I know that, if I can't hire, and
I can't pay my labor force time and a half on the
three full-time workers that I have, that's going to
cost me an extra \$75,000 a year.

I'm going to have to hire another one-and-a-half workers to make up for it.

The three full-time people that we have right now will leave. We already know that. They're not going to stay at 40 hours a week. I can't afford to pay them time and a half.

So something is going to have to give there. 1 2 And, unless New York State is going to pay me 3 to pay them, I don't see that happening with New York State's budget crisis the way that it is. 4 5 SENATOR METZGER: May I ask how long your 6 workers have been with you, your full-time? 7 CORRINE BANKER: The longest one, we just hired our first full-time employee in 2006. And we 8 had that one. 9 We had one full-time employee for five years. 10 11 And then the group that we have right now, we 12 have had since 2008, 2013, and 2014. 13 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you. 14 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 15 BILL BANKER: Thank you. 16 JON GREENWOOD: Good afternoon, and thank you 17 for holding this hearing. It's been a long day, especially for you, I'm sure. 18 19 My name is Jon Greenwood. Along with my wife 20 and son, I own and operate Greenwood Dairy in 21

Canton, New York.

I also serve as chair of the Northeast Dairy Producers Association.

22

23

24

25

I come from a little different perspective than many, in that I didn't grow up on a path, but chose this career path.

I started out working on the farm I now own while in college.

I worked by the hour, working up to 80 hours a week.

After college I was offered a full-time job.

They could not afford to pay me by the hour, so

I worked for salary with room and board.

After several years as an employee, the farm owner sold me the farm; so I went from employee to owner.

We currently have 23 employees, both local and Latino.

We pay everyone by the hour, and weekly.

Some of our employees get housing with all utilities, satellite TV, trash service, Internet, and more.

We also provide all the services required by law, such as workers' comp, unemployment insurance.

On our farm, as an added benefit, we offer disability insurance and family leave, paid vacation.

We are flexible with additional time off.

We offer a retirement plan and bonuses.

And everyone is scheduled for at least one

day per week off.

However, during a busy week or if someone is sick, someone may cover by working their scheduled day off.

The proposed legislation is especially onerous in the 8-hour-per-day requirement.

Other businesses subject to overtime do not have this restriction.

This would make it even more difficult for us to finish the job with bad weather coming.

I would ask that you understand that we operate our farms in a very competitive environment.

We compete with neighboring farms and businesses for employees, and at the same time, we compete with other states and internationally for markets for our milk.

We are already at a competitive disadvantage because of the high cost of doing business in New York and a higher minimum wage.

Our work, by its very nature, is seasonable and weather-dependent.

So, for example, with spring planting, we go like crazy with specialized equipment, and then it's over.

If we could only have our employees work

8 hours a day and 40 hours a week before overtime, the increased costs would be enormous, and the ability to find and train additional employees for a short period of time would be very difficult, if not impossible.

At least in the dairy business, the busiest periods come in spurts, so when they're over, or the weather doesn't cooperate, you have downtime.

Many of our employees chose farm work because, like other places of work, they are not restricted to 40 hours or less to avoid overtime.

I just hired an employee who took a pay cut to work on our farm because he could be close to home, and not limited to 40 hours a week and then spend the rest of the time in a motel.

Several of our part-time employees come from jobs that are 40 hours a week, and some of them actually take time off from their regular job so they can work long hours for a short period of time to make the extra money.

I would stress that no one is being forced to work. We try to set hours to fit the employee.

Labor is my second-largest cost after feed.

And I have employees who -- as has been said before, who tell me they want hours, and they will

leave if I don't give them at least 60 hours a week.

I have employees that worked in construction where they could get higher pay, but less hours, and more seasonal than, say, milking, and they like the farm dairy work because it is steady and you can more hours, equaling more pay.

I know our employees look forward to the busy periods, getting a crop in or harvested, and then getting a bigger paycheck.

I would love to pay more, to pay overtime, but the realities we face do not allow it.

As I said before, we are competing with other states and internationally for our markets.

In closing:

A law that requires a mandatory day of rest and overtime after 8 hours a day, 40 hours a week, is simply not workable on a farm.

The proposed legislation would hurt the very people you want to help.

The labor market is such that a good worker has no trouble finding a job and they are in constant demand.

We as employers must treat our employees with dignity and respect, or they will be gone.

Now, we'd just like to add, I've heard of

several alleged abuses here of employees today. 1 And I don't think anybody in this room 2 3 tolerates any of them. But I fail to see how this legislation would 4 address any of those issues. 5 6 There's already laws in place to prevent 7 They just need to be enforced. that. But nobody endorses abusing an employee in 8 9 any way. And as I said, the labor market is so 10 11 competitive, that people just -- they leave. 12 If you don't treat them right, they leave. 13 SENATOR MAY: Okay. Thank you very much. 14 SENATOR METZGER: Thank you so much. 15 So I just -- I want to thank, first of all, 16 everyone who stayed. 17 This is a long afternoon. 18

But, I want to express, especially on behalf of my colleagues, our gratitude to the farmers, as well as the farmworkers, that came out today to provide testimony directly.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we know how hard to it is to get away from the farm at this time of year.

And, you know, I called for these hearings on this bill because we need to understand, and the

Senate -- the Legislature needs to understand, what 1 2 farming is in New York, and the humans that are behind it. 3 And, New York is very unique in its 4 agriculture, and we have a lot that we want to keep. 5 We keep our small farms which make up most 6 7 of -- we've heard from a mix of large -- larger and smaller farms. 8 9 Even our large farms in New York are small compared to many farms in other parts of the 10 11 country. 12 We want to keep a diverse agricultural 13 economy. 14 We want our farm laborers to be treated well. 15 We want our farmers to lead a good life. 16 So we have to make sure any legislation, you 17 know, gives a really careful consideration to the reality of farming, and, you know, strikes a balance 18 that makes sense. 19 20 So, I want to thank you again. 21 And I don't know if you want to say a few 22 words? 23 SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Yes, I would. First of all, I want to thank you, 24

Senators Metzger and Senator May for inviting me.

And this is a very exciting opportunity for me to hear from the people who actually are responsible for growing our food in the state of New York.

I appreciate that.

And I just want to say that this is very important, and I want to caution us from this -- the whole notion that this is a Jim Crow moment.

I'm from the south, and I know Jim Crow, and this ain't Jim Crow.

Jim Crow is very different.

[Applause.]

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: So I just want to make sure we don't go too far down that path.

The other thing is, of course, farming is a major economy industry in our state, and I'm concerned that we want to do whatever we need to do to make sure that that part of our economy is supported in the same way that we try to support other parts of the economy.

And I know that we've talked a lot -- I'm from the city, quote/unquote, and we've done quite a bit of subsidizing people that we want to do, for housing, making housing affordable; for education, making sure our young people can be able to go

attend college; and so forth and so on.

So we in our state have tried to look at what is important to our people, and to subsidize that by helping those economies.

And I just want to make sure we're doing all that we can to help the farming industry.

And I didn't hear that today, but I think we can be talking about that a lot more since you're there.

Now that you're onboard in our conference, we all depend on that happening a lot more.

SENATOR METZGER: She means that I'm a new Senator.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: She's a new Senator, and we've been waiting for her for many years, so we're so happy that you're there, as well as Senator May.

And so this really, for me, is an important moment for us to look at the farming industry in ways that we have not been necessarily able to, and to actually do something about it, because we have a new majority in the Senate, and we want that to mean something to every part of our state.

And so I think we, certainly I do, and I know that the new Senators here also, do speak for the new leadership and the State Senate, that we want to

do more to be helpful, to be supportive, and by -definitely, by no means, do we want to hurt the
farming communities and industry in our state.

So we thank you for taking this time, it's very important to us.

And we will all do our homework, and we will do the right thing.

[Applause.]

SENATOR METZGER: I just want to mention that Senator Montgomery has been in the Senate for a long time, and she has been a longtime champion of agriculture, on the Agriculture Committee, and for food security, and for getting food to schools, to New York -- our -- the food we grow in New York into those markets in the city.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Absolutely.

SENATOR METZGER: So I want to thank her for her work.

SENATOR MONTGOMERY: Thank you.

Thanks, everybody.

I can't say have a good day, but I'm having a great day.

SENATOR MAY: Good.

Well, I just want to reiterate my thanks to everybody who came, who has stayed through this long

afternoon, who has testified.

From my perspective, we've heard very powerful testimony about the basic human rights that are embodied in this bill.

And I think, as New Yorkers, all of us want to affirm those rights.

And we also have an obligation to our farmers and our farm economy to make sure that whatever comes out of this bill is balanced and fair to everyone.

And that's my commitment here.

The bill has enough sponsors to pass as it is, but we are holding these hearings so that we can make sure that all viewpoints are heard, and that we can embody in the bill what -- what makes sense, exactly.

So I just deeply appreciate all, the many, perspectives that we have heard here today.

And I hope everybody here heard something that challenges maybe the way you've been thinking.

I know I have.

And, that we all come away with a richer sense of the whole -- the whole panoply of issues that are embodied in this bill, and in the kinds of decisions that we are trying to make wisely and well

in the Senate now.

So, thank you very much for being part of this process, and we look forward to hearing from you in the future as well.

Thank you.

[Applause.]

SENATOR MAY: Oh, yes.

And I $\operatorname{\mathsf{I}}$ -- we need to thank the people from the Senate who came here to record this.

This will be available online for anybody to watch any part of this proceeding.

And I need to thank my staff who worked incredibly hard to make this run smoothly; who put together the program, who made sure that we all had the testimony that we needed, who secured this place, who made everybody welcome.

And I would love it if we could give them a hand because they have put in a lot of hours for this.

[Applause.]

SENATOR METZGER: Yes, I would give a shout-out as well to my staff who has been organizing all three hearings, working on all three hearings.

	231
1	And, Ari Mir-Pontier for providing
2	translation services when we need it.
3	So thank you so much.
4	SENATOR MAY: Thank you, all.
5	
6	(Whereupon, at approximately 3:34 p.m., the
7	joint committee public hearing concluded, and
8	adjourned.)
9	00
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	