1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE			
2	STANDING COMMITTEE ON HOUSING, CONSTRUCTION, AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT			
3	DUDI TO HEADING.			
4	PUBLIC HEARING:			
5	RENT REGULATION AND TENANT PROTECTION LEGISLATION			
6	Danforth Middle School			
7	309 West Brighton Ave Syracuse, New York			
8	Date: May 9, 2019			
9	Time: 4:00 p.m.			
10	PRESIDING:			
11	Senator Brian Kavanagh			
12	Chair			
13				
14	PRESENT:			
15	Senator Robert E. Antonacci			
16	Senator Rachel May			
17	Senator Julia Salazar			
18	Assemblywoman Pamela J. Hunter			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

			2
1	SPEAKERS:	PAGE	QUESTIONS
2	77. ] 77. ]	1.0	1 7
3	Helen Hudson Common Council Member Joe Driscoll	13	17
4	Common Council Member City of Syracuse		
5	Sharon Sherman	36	44
6	Executive Director	30	77
7	Greater Syracuse Tenant Network		
8	Bob Capenos Executive Director	49	56
O	New York Housing Association		
9	Twiggy Billue	65	72
10	President	0.5	7 2
11	Syracuse National Action Network		
<b>T T</b>	Latoya Allen	72	77
12	Council Member Syracuse Common Council		
13	Sylacuse Common Council		
14	Sally Santangelo Executive Director	79	86
	CNY Fair Housing		
15	Jamie Howley	93	110
16	Co-Chair, Housing Task Force Palmer Harvey	93	110
17	Co-Chair, Housing Task Force Tomorrow's Neighborhoods Today Southside	!	
18	Maurice Brown	115	118
19	Homeowner	113	110
20	Phil Prehn Systems Change Advocate	121	
21	ARISE		
22	Robert Rubinstein Senior Attorney	130	133
23	Hiscock Legal Aid Society		
24			
25			

SENATOR KAVANAGH: So without further ado, we are waiting for one additional senator and a few of our witnesses that we're expecting, but, you know, with respect -- in due respect to the folks who are here, more or less on time, I think we're going to get started.

And we'll -- you know, again, we appreciate everybody's patience, and also your presence here at this hearing.

So, to open up, this is a hearing of the State Senate Committee on Housing, Construction, and Community Development.

I am Senator Brian Kavanagh. I am the Chair of this committee.

We are joined today by Senator Rachel May of Syracuse, and Senator Robert Antonacci of Syracuse also. And we also have the great honor of being joined by a member of the other House, Assembly Member Pam Hunter.

And there will be a couple of other elected officials joining us on this side of the table today.

We're also honored to have several local elected officials who will testify, and we'll introduce them as we go.

So this is the first of a series of hearings that the New York State Senate is holding on what has been widely recognized to be a critical issue:

The lack of affordability in our communities;

The lack of stability in our housing markets
in our communities;

And, in many communities, great concerns about the quality of our housing; the liveability, the safety concerns, of the housing stock as it is.

We also hear, in many parts of New York, concerns about very rapid mobility; people frequently moving from one place to another, you know, not necessarily voluntarily.

The State Legislature this year has put forth a number of bills.

There are actually 55 bills currently pending in the New York State Senate that amend the rent-regulation laws in some way.

And there are many other bills, including a bill that I think we'll hear about quite a bit today, that would alter the way evictions can be performed in the state.

There are -- there's been a very active effort on the part of tenant advocates around the state to push a particular package of bills, that

there's been a lot of talk about. And we're going to hear a great deal about that today.

This, again, is the first hearing.

We expect to act on the rent laws which currently apply in New York City, plus three counties near New York City. And we know there's a proposal that would apply those laws and permit certain localities to opt in to the rest of the state.

But because those laws expire on June 15th, we do expect to act on housing and on tenant -- on rent regulation and on tenant protections in the next -- by no later than June 15th, and perhaps sooner.

So it's a very -- we're very grateful to people who have come here to share their perspective today.

We are here to learn and listen, and on that note, I will keep my opening remarks brief so we can get to the witnesses.

But let me begin, first of all, by recognizing Senator Rachel May, to see if you have any opening remarks.

SENATOR MAY: I do.

Thank you.

Thank you, everyone, for being here, for being here in my district.

It's especially exciting when senators come from far away and come to my district, but I'm also happy to have Senator Antonacci who didn't have to come too far.

And this area I share with

Assemblywoman Pam Hunter, so we -- we -- we both represent this area.

I'm very proud to be carrying in the Senate one of Assemblywoman Hunter's bills on sanctions, that she may talk about. And if she doesn't, I will. But also a co-sponsor in the Senate of her excellent bill on good-cause eviction.

I also have a bill in the Senate on providing recourse for manufactured homeowners. So, people who live in trailer parks and face high hikes in rent, and what they can do about it. And I think we'll hear some about that today too.

So -- but, mostly, I'm excited to hear from all of you.

I do want to recognize some of the elected officials and their representatives who are here who aren't planning to speak.

We have County Legislator Peggy Chase in the

room.

We have Sherry Owens representing Comptroller DiNapoli here.

And, anyone I'm missing?

We have Joe Driscoll from the common council, and Common Council President Pam Hunter -- I mean, Helen Hudson, who will be speaking in a little bit.

So welcome, everybody, and I am very excited to hear what you have to say.

And excited, but grateful to Senator Kavanagh for holding a hearing here in our district.

I know I've said this before.

There were hearings about the Climate and Community Protection Act. And when it was announced that there were going to be hearings all across the state, and then they said, one in Albany, one in New York City, and one on Long Island, I felt, like, sorry, that's not all across the state.

So I'm very appreciative when my fellow senators recognize that this is a big state, and we need to be heard. And people here really do need their voices heard.

So this is so important that you're here, and I want to thank you for coming here.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: And the Senator -- and the

Senator has done a terrific job of emphasizing that.

And, you know, we -- we join her in recognizing that

this is a big state, and this is a very important

part of it, and we will be back.

But, we're very, very happy to be here today.

Next up, Senator Antonacci.

SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you, Senator Kavanagh.

Syracuse, New York.

Thank you for bringing this hearing to

And I also want to thank Senator May. I know she's an advocate for getting these type of hearings in our hometown.

On behalf of Senator Pam Helming, who I share part of Cayuga County with, I want to welcome all of you.

Pam is on this committee, and she could not be here today, but she sends her best, and did have come conflicts.

Just a quick background on me, so as you see or hear my questions, you may understand where I'm coming from.

I'm a lawyer and a certified public accountant. Through the years I have represented both developers, landlords, and tenants.

One of my -- one of my topics or
representations that I'm most proud of is
representing the tenants of the Brighton Towers,
working with Sharon Sherman, who I see that just
walked in.
Sharon and I go way back, and I certainly

Sharon and I go way back, and I certainly appreciate her advocacy and her passion for her business.

Also, as the former Onondaga County comptroller, intimately involved in audits and oversight of the Syracuse/Onondaga County Land Bank, which I think is a tool that may or may not help -- I'm sorry, will help tenants and development of properties in Syracuse, New York.

So I look forward to your comments.

And as the -- Senator Cavanagh said, we are here to listen to what you have to say.

Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: And Assembly Member Hunter.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: Good afternoon.

Good afternoon.

Thank you, Senators, for holding this hearing here in Syracuse.

We actually held a hearing for the Assembly

today in Albany as well, relative to these housing packages.

I'm proud to be the sponsor of the good-cause eviction. I just feel deeply passionate about this issue when, you know, we have a housing crisis in Syracuse.

And for those that don't agree, I would ask them to simply travel through every side of the city and visit housing court, quite frankly, and they will know, relative to the thousands of evictions that happen in Syracuse alone.

But in order, obviously, to solve this problem, you got to tell the truth and be honest and say, We do have a problem.

And so I'm looking forward to hearing testimony from people who have come forth today to say, you know, we have a problem.

I know that there was a committee meeting earlier this week.

I know that there was discussion relative to, we don't have those kind housing problems here in Syracuse, and those are New York City issues.

And I ask you to go to Ashe Division, Pond.

Lafayette Street. Please, drive down this street and you will see where we have very high

rental properties, people living in squalor.

There are landlords who do not live here in this area, who don't, quite frankly, care about the people who live here.

So, again, I say, you have to be honest, you got tell the truth, you have to accept the fact that we have housing issues, and peel back the onion.

Because it looks pretty in the middle of the city, doesn't necessarily mean everything surrounding it is golden.

Thank you for being here today.

[Applause.]

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay, for the hometown legislator, we'll accept some applause.

We are going to ask people, during the hearing, though, to please -- you're going to hear some things you love, you might hear a few things you do not love.

We're going to ask people to kind of refrain from responding.

But, you know, thank you for a warm start to our hearing today.

So we're going start with a couple of our local elected officials.

We're going to have Helen Hudson, the

president of the Syracuse Common Council, and,

Joe Driscoll, if you could come up together, and

I'll leave it to you to decide who speaks first.

HELEN HUDSON: Hello, and thank you for being here, and thank you for doing this.

And I am going to speak with two hats, because I was one of the those renters.

When you have, as Assemblywoman Hunter said, right where you sit now, this area is more than 50 percent renters. And the folks that own the property are from New York City, so they don't know the conditions. They do the drive-bys and they collect their rent.

And the conditions that a lot of my constituents live in is deplorable.

We have houses full of lead.

We have houses in this particular area that the basements flood on a regular basis.

Mine did.

And we're stuck, trying to figure out, if we call codes, will there be retaliation to where I will become evicted?

And then once I'm evicted, let's say:

That's on the low level, \$600, which is not even realistic, that's not what they're charging.

But I'm going to stay on the low end.

I'm evicted.

I have to pay the \$600 rent to move in, \$600 security, \$600 for the back rent.

That's up -- we're up to \$1800.

You're talking about people that don't even make \$30,000 a year. You're talking about people that have children.

And the biggest portion of what they're spending is on rent and substandard housing.

They have to make a choice: Do I pay my rent or do I feed my child?

And that's not acceptable.

It's not okay for people to have to make a choice, when everybody should be able to have housing stability and still be able to take care of their families.

And I say that because I was one of those people, and I know what it feels like to not be able to pay my rent, and have to stress through the month because I don't know what I'm going to do and where I'm going to take my child.

So I'm just hoping that you will hear everybody in this room, and we will do the good-cause eviction, because folks here need to have

some stability, especially when you talk about

Syracuse having the highest concentrated poverty

throughout the country.

And don't make a mistake, it's in the Black and Brown communities, that's where our poverty lies. And that's where the biggest hit comes when we have landlords that overprice substandard housing.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.

So we'll -- I think we'll hold questions until both of you have had a chance to speak, and then we'll have some questions.

JOE DRISCOLL: Thank you, Madame President.

I just want to say, always great to hear Madame President speak.

So, you know, I've been focused on housing issues as well since my time. I've only been on the council for a short while.

But, you know, just some brief statistics.

In the city of Syracuse, 57.4 percent of renters don't have affordable housing.

So to the Assemblywoman's point, we see that Syracuse is a city struggling with poverty. We see that houses are sold for very cheap.

But when people pay rent, they still pay six,

seven, eight hundred, nine hundred dollars.

The quality of the apartment doesn't necessarily match the price of the rent.

So we still have people paying well more than a third of their income towards rent for housing that is absolutely substandard.

In the city of Syracuse, 11 percent of our children have elevated blood levels, lead poisoning.

In certain census tracts, it's 20 to 25 percent of our children have elevated blood levels.

And those numbers may well be under what the actual science says because the most vulnerable people are the ones who are least likely to get tested.

So we don't know concretely if those numbers are accurate. They may be -- they may be higher.

So, to that point, when it comes to

Assemblywoman Hunter's bill with the good-cause,
people aren't calling codes because, often what we
hear is, it's code citation, not code enforcement,
because we haven't put the teeth into the codes
department to be able to, when someone gets cited,
we see repercussions that match the call.

Good-cause would be a great, you know,

1 effort, to make sure that people know that when they call, they're not going to get evicted the next day. 2 So, 90 percent of the housing stock in 3 Syracuse is built before 1978. That means 4 5 90 percent of our housing are potential candidates for lead. 6 7 So, this is the kind of reality of what we're working with here in Syracuse. 8 9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I would just illustrate, you know, a lot of people have said, you know, some of the laws regarding housing don't apply to upstate because it's not New York City where the rents are extremely high.

Compared to the medium (sic) income, the rents are exorbitantly high.

We have low-earners throughout most of these census tracts.

So when you're charging seven, eight hundred dollars for rent, sure, by New York City standards, and some other standards, where people are making, you know, higher income.

But we really do need these measures in Upstate New York as much as we need them downstate.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Go ahead.

SENATOR MAY: Just one.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay.

So, I'm actually going to -- as the Chairman, I'm just going to defer to our local folks first, and I'm going to first call on Senator May.

SENATOR MAY: So this isn't really a question, but in response to something that you said, Helen, the -- about absentee landlords.

One of the bills that we have put forward sounds really simple, and maybe insignificant, but it is a bill to require that landlords disclose who they are to their tenants.

So when you rent, you actually get a name and an address of a human being that you're renting from, and not an LLC, or not, you know, something that's going to give you the runaround. But an actual human being that you can contact.

So that's one, it feels like, potentially, you know, could make a difference.

In terms of the lead, I just want to -I just want to thank, Joe, for the amazing work that
you have done on the common council, and with
organizing people to really discuss this issue and
try to find real solutions to it.

So, both of you are heros in this regard.

Thank you.

JOE DRISCOLL: I would say -- I'd just like to say that that LLC law is in no way small or insignificant. That would be massive.

When we talk to home headquarters and codes, they say, because it's an LLC, it takes half their time figuring out who they're ticketing and what they're ticketing.

So I think that's a huge one.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thanks.

(Indicating) Senator?

SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you, Senator.

This might be more of a comment.

Well, first of all, thank you both for your service. I know how passionate you both are.

I've worked with both of you, and keep up your good work.

This may be more of a comment or a question for counsel.

When we talk about rent regulations or rent control, I generally understand that to be where there's an emergency situation that is defined by vacancy rates.

Isn't an emergency situation a relationship between the amount of rent and the disposable income or the income within that community?

And I don't know if that's a question we want to answer today, or, you know, you can get back to me on that, but I don't believe that we have a vacancy problem.

I believe there's units, whether they're habitable or perfect, I get.

But, you're more concerned about the relationship of the income to the tenant and their take-home pay?

JOE DRISCOLL: Yeah, personally, I think the ETPA one has a stipulation, that if it's 5 percent or greater vacancy in a city, then it doesn't apply to that city. And that would definitely leave Syracuse -- we have more than 5 percent vacancy.

So I do -- I do concur with you on that one.

For me, I'm more looking forward to adopting the good-cause stuff. Some of those would really be relevant here in Syracuse.

I think some of the -- some of the, you know -- to your point, the vacancy issue is -- is -- we have -- we do have a different market than New York City, and I think that's very relevant to comment on.

But I do think some of the other ones, like good-cause, would really have a huge impact here.

SENATOR ANTONACCI: Okay. Thank you.

I just wanted to add to -- before I turn it back to the Chair, I also represent a portion of the city of Syracuse.

Rachel obviously has -- Senator May has most of it, but I do represent the north side.

I also grew up on the north side, on the corner of Alvord and Butternut, right near White Branch Library.

So, thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: And, Assembly Member Hunter.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: Thank you.

Councilor, just so you had made mention, referenced, code enforcement.

And there are many of my upstate colleagues in the Assembly who would say, We don't have a housing issue.

And I would tell them, You need to drive around your neighborhoods.

And many of them would say, It's not good-cause, you know, that we need. It's more code enforcement that we need. We need, you know, more people in code enforcement.

So I will simply ask you, since referenced

code enforcement, and how you said they give
citations and not violations:

The level of code enforcement that would be required to crack down on the huge amount of violations and blight in our community is so high, does the City of Syracuse have funding to be able to pay for the significant task that, you know, most of my colleagues are referencing could easily be done by code enforcement?

JOE DRISCOLL: My humble opinion would be no.

I've tried to dig down on that with a number of members of code enforcement. And I don't know why their reluctancy to admit the short-staffness (sic) that we do suffer from.

But recently we had -- you know, the City of Syracuse was cited because we were only inspecting our "three units and above" every five years, whereas the State mandated that we check them every three years.

So we were having capacity problems with -- at that time.

Since then we've added the interior rental registry, which includes -- adds 8,000 units to their workload, inspecting the interiors as well, which is much more time-intensive.

We've adopt -- we're adopting a lead

ordinance, similar to Rochester, in the coming year,

which will add 20 minutes to each housing-code

inspector's time frame. So, going from being able

to do five to six units a day, to being able to do

two or three, thereby having the capacity of the

code enforcement.

So my resounding answer all around would be,
I would love to see more money, more resources, go
to code enforcement because, whoever says that we do
have enough, as you said, should just drive around
the city of Syracuse and see the state of some of
the housing. And look at the data of, as I said,
the numbers with lead poisoning.

With a lot of these houses, they're all supposed to have been inspected and done.

And if they were, we wouldn't have 25 percent of children in certain census tracts with lead poisoning.

So, my resounding answer would be, to tell your down -- the downstate constit -- colleagues that we certainly need more capacity up here.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: A few -- a few -- I just have one note, since we've been talking about code

enforcement.

Separately, there is -- the Housing

Committee, along with the Committee on

Investigations and Government Operations, is having
a hearing on code enforcement in smaller localities
in the coming weeks.

It's on May 23rd. It's in the Hudson Valley, it's in Newburgh.

And we're going to focus -- we're going to focus on a sample of a few cities.

So it's not -- it won't directly be looking at your code-enforcement situation, but we think it will be instructive in terms of what we might able to do as a state to address those issues.

Just a couple of questions.

Would you -- you talk about a lot of -- you know, a lot of buildings that are abandoned, a lot of buildings with deteriorating conditions.

Would you say that those problems have gotten worse in recent years?

Would you say that, kind of, is there sort of a steady state, where you're trying to improve some things, and, you know, some units are getting improved and others are getting, you know, worse?

Or is it sort of just a -- sort of an ongoing

situation?

HELEN HUDSON: I'm going to speak on the area that you're living in -- you're sitting in right now.

This particular area used to be homeowners, all homeowners. And once we started having job losses, they couldn't afford to keep the houses.

So a lot of folks walked away, and that's where the folks from New York City come in, and they see that they can buy property cheap.

So it's all about a dollar for them, but it's people's lives for us.

So, yes, it has gotten a lot worse.

And we have a lot of LLCs and folks from outside of our area that are the landlords to these properties. And they're the ones that does the drive-bys, and they send a manager to collect the rent, and they never know what's happening inside that house.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Got you.

And so the -- we -- what I think I'm going to try to avoid doing, you know, Q&A among the senators, because we have a lot of opportunity to talk to each other.

But, you know, my colleague brought up the

question about -- correctly stated that the

Emergency Tenant Protection Act, as currently

written, does provide that localities can opt in to

rent-regulation system if they have -- if you have a

5 percent vacant rate.

It is a vacancy rate that would apply to the subset of housing that -- with the type of housing that would be regulated.

So it's not necessarily -- like, I think we would want to take a closer look about whether there's any possibility you would meet that criterion.

But having said that, that criterion -that -- that particular criterion, as you've both
noted in your testimony, there are many -- and some
of my colleagues noted in their opening remarks,
there are many indications of a housing crisis,
other than a mere, sort of, recitation of the
vacancy rate.

So the -- and the Emergency Tenant Protection

Act is not -- people talk about it as rent

regulation because there's a lot of focus on its

effect on rise -- on, you know, keeping rents from

rising too rapidly.

Although, we've had some concerns about the

loopholes even preventing it from achieving that goal, and we're going to be possibly addressing those in the coming weeks.

But just -- the other component of it, is to give people stability in their communities, giving people -- give -- it gives people a variety of rights and benefits. Rights to a one-year lease, a two-year lease, of their choice. Right to a renewal lease at the end of their existing lease.

And some of that does overlap with the good-cause bill that we're also discussing today.

But, to the extent that -- you know, to the extent that Syracuse were permitted to opt in to a stronger set of laws, like, if the ETPA -- if either -- if either you were to conclude that you had a -- you met the criteria of the vacancy rate, or, if the State, in its wisdom, were to give -- to set a different set of criteria to allow you to define a housing emergency differently, do you think that people in Syracuse -- and, you know, it's the local government that chooses to opt in to rent regulation, if there were some different parameters that were available, do you think that there might be interest in Syracuse to, you know, opt in to a system that is sort of defined as -- defined to meet

the needs of Syracuse?

JOE DRISCOLL: I would say, you know, while we do talk about the vacancies, we do still have units going at 2,000 -- you know, \$2,000, \$2,500, within the city of Syracuse.

So I do still think that there is use for that regulation.

Myself, one of our fellow councilors, brought up concerns about, you know, the negative impact it might have on housing.

I'm not -- you know, I know that economic experts disagree on what type of effect a legislation like this might have.

But, from my personal -- from my personal thing, yeah, I think, particularly right now, as we're looking at I-81 coming down in the city of Syracuse, that's a huge concern for everyone, but most particularly the people who live in the housing complexes directly alongside I-81.

And the basic feeling among the people who live in those housing projects, from what I know, is that the effort will be to come and put in those \$2,000-a-month apartments, those \$2,500-a-month apartments, and wash out all the -- all the affordable housing from an area that they have lived

in since the '50s and '60s, historically.

So I think that, you know, while we may be opted out, or some might think that it wouldn't be necessary to apply to Syracuse, my personal feeling would be, I'd be very interested in exploring it.

I think I would want to know the counterarguments and explore those more thoroughly, to make sure that we weren't going to have a negative impact on the housing stock in Syracuse.

But I do think there's a serious need for the type of gentrification protection, even in Syracuse, because I think we're seeing nationally, as New York City is showing us, people want to live in urban centers again.

The former suburban-flight situation is, now, people are coming back to the cities. And the biggest problem with that, no matter how struggling the city is, is those gentrification-type situations where people are suddenly forced out of their home.

So I do think there is still a need for it here in Syracuse, potentially.

HELEN HUDSON: And I can piggyback on that because, if you go around the city of Syracuse and you look at the corridor urban community, all around us we have luxury apartments. All around us we have

folks, as Joe said, paying 2,000, \$2,500 a month.

But then when you walk a block or two over, you're going to see the different areas of the city that's not thriving as -- like those areas.

So I think that we do need it.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: So good-cause eviction, as you have both mentioned, is a -- could potentially be an important tool.

And, again, for those who haven't been, you know, following this so closely, it's been described a bit, but, good-cause eviction would require that, at the end of your lease, a landlord is required to offer you a new lease, and that any increase in the rent not be unconscionable, not be an excessive rent increase, because, obviously, if you're -- if the landlord is required to give you a lease, but they can double your rent, that's not much of a right.

So the idea would be, allow people to live stably in their communities.

It's not rent regulation in the sense that an apartment -- you know, when an apartment turns from one person to the next person, the landlord can -- you know, can start all over and charge whatever they want.

Whereas, rent regulation goes to the

apartment rather than just the individual tenant.

But can you just talk a little bit more about the benefits of tenants being allowed to live in their communities and be confident that, upon their lease expiration, that they -- you know, that they can -- they can get a renewal lease and they can continue to live in the community?

HELEN HUDSON: These communities are family, because I grew up in an area where everybody knew everybody. And when my kid would go down the block, my kid knew who all of the neighbors were.

If my kid did something, I knew it before he came home.

And we talk about not having -- you know, we talk about our children, and having to discipline and can balance over them.

That's the way we can actually have structure, because you have everybody in a community that knows the community.

That's what happened when Councilor Driscoll spoke about 81.

That was a thriving community, that was totally ripped apart, that was totally segregated.

I mean, and how do you expect it to grow that way?

You can't grow if you don't have family 1 2 within a community structure. 3 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Right, that was very eloquent. 4 I have no further -- unless -- I have no 5 further questions. 6 7 Thank you so much, both of you, for your testimony. 8 9 SENATOR ANTONACCI: May I just --SENATOR KAVANAGH: Oh, sorry, forgive me. 10 SENATOR ANTONACCI: -- thank you. 11 12 I just wanted, one closing comment. 13 Thank you for your clarification. 14 I just merely wanted to point out that -- to 15 make sure that we were on with understanding what 16 the laws potentially could be. 17 That's why I wanted to kind of make a mental 18 note with counsel. 19 But I just wanted to make sure that most of 20 these laws, and I know the first one regarding rent 21 control, would be options of the city government. 22 They would have, pretty much, an option on just 23 about all of the proposed statutes -- or, bills that

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Yeah, just for clar --

24

25

are out there.

SENATOR MAY: (Indiscernible) the vacancy rate was low enough --

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay, yeah, so clarity -SENATOR MAY: -- (indiscernible) Syracuse.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: All right, so for clarity, there are two -- there are two kinds of proposals that -- you know, actually, there are several bills that have been mentioned here today, including Senator May's bill about, you know, the identities of landlords.

And this hearing is open-ended.

And any -- this is about tenant protection and rent regulation, so anything that does either of those things is fair game today, especially through legislation.

But the typical way rent regulation works now is that, the State authorizes localities in certain counties, and that is currently limited to New York City and three other counties that are near New York City.

But there is a proposal to expand that geographic distribution to the whole state.

And when a county is included in that, the locality can choose to opt in if it can demonstrate that it meets certain criteria.

So it is not -- it is never, under the current law, imposed on a locality by the State.

The good-cause eviction bill does not have that local opt-in.

The good-cause bill is proposed to be a new set of rights for tenants everywhere, but, again, it is not -- it is not as restrictive of rents over the long term on an apartment.

It requires that, somebody lives in an apartment now, can get a renewal lease at a reasonable -- with a reasonable increase.

I think maybe Assembly Member Hunter has some comment or question to close.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: I just wanted to just make mention, simply, we -- just to reiterate, there are two separate bills.

There's many bills, many tenant bills.

I have six of them myself, and -- which include disability and water payments and bedbugs and the good-cause and the phone number.

And so I have several bills myself.

But here, today, there's two different bills that are being talked about:

The Emergency Tenant Protection Act, which while may not be necessary today, could,

essentially, be necessary in the future.

The good-cause eviction bill is a statewide policy mirroring what's happening in New York City right now, which would protect those people outside of the New York City area, where, in addition to the extension of their lease, if you called code enforcement tomorrow and say, "My sink is stopped up," here's your eviction notice tomorrow, that doesn't have anything to do with your, you know, month-to-month lease or your extension of your year lease.

It has something to do with the fact that, in three days you will be out of your apartment, and so after they go to housing court.

So I just want to make clear again, because
I think there's a little confusion, jumbling the two
bills together, that there are two separate bills
we're talking about here.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Great.

Thank you.

And thank you both.

JOE DRISCOLL: Thanks as well for coming, and thanks for having us.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Appreciate it.

We'll stay in touch.

1 Next up, we're going to have Sharon Sherman, 2 the executive director of the Greater Syracuse Tenant Network. 3 SHARON SHERMAN: I brought my 10 copies. 4 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Terrific.

> SHARON SHERMAN: Where do they go? SENATOR KAVANAGH: You want to get those.

Thanks.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Somebody will come down and retrieve those and bring them up to our very high stage here.

SHARON SHERMAN: Thank you very much for having this hearing, and particularly thank Senator Kavanagh for joining us Syracuse folks here.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: I'm thrilled to be here.

SHARON SHERMAN: I just had three days to do this, so there might be typos, et cetera, in it.

My name is Sharon Sherman. I'm executive director of the Greater Syracuse Tenants Network founded in 1988.

We actually were founded as a chapter of New York State Tenants and Neighbors, which you're very familiar with now.

The mission of the Tenants Network is to organize, inform, and empower primarily low-income tenants to improve the quality of life in their

communities and to preserve affordable housing.

Much of the work is organizing and sustaining tenant associations and State-subsidized housing, such as Mitchell-Lama, low-income tax credit, development, or in federally-subsidized housing.

However, we provide information, education, referrals, to tenants from anywhere in New York -- Central New York.

These tenants are referred to us through 211, the Attorney General's Office, elected officials, social-service agencies, and, increasingly, people we have helped in the past.

We get as many as 20 calls a week, all of which have been answered by me for all these years.

In the past few years we have provided a one-day training for landlords with presentations by city agencies, the health department, and fair housing.

The training for landlords is because we think that, according to HUD, the majority of housing in many communities is owned by landlords who own less than 10 units.

And we feel that many of those people in this community don't have the information they need.

They don't sit in front of a computer all day. They

may not own housing as a primary business.

And we really want to provide them support for the -- you know, responsible ones. Although they have to pay \$50 to come, so they have to be responsible.

Although there are many agent organizations in the New York City area representing and working with tenants, the Greater Syracuse Tenants Network, United Tenants of Albany, and United Tenants of Mount Vernon are the only staffed organizations dedicated to tenants in Upstate New York.

And we do interact quite a bit with those agencies, as well as organizations, such as Tenants and Neighbors, CSS, IMPACCT, quite a few New York City agencies.

We are supportive of the expansion of ETPA.

But as a show of solidarity for tenants in other communities outside of Central and Western

New York which might have low vacancy rates and need this law, the law should be expanded statewide even if it doesn't apply to Syracuse right now.

And I would say that, having been involved in this for so many years, the idea that these laws have to be renewed every single year, or every three years, or every five years, whatever you guys

decide, is outrageous.

I mean, it should just be done, so it's not a debate and create such a political situation.

I'm here to speak about good-cause for eviction bill, which would make a direct and significant impact on tenants in Syracuse.

The city of Syracuse had the first legislation outlawing retaliatory eviction in New York State.

The state law was modeled on the city of Syracuse law.

And, by the way, for Syracuse people, it was Tony Galati (ph.), when he was head of legal services, who wrote that law. And he also participated in writing the state law.

However, without good cause for -- without good-cause legislation, the law is useless, particularly since the majority of low-income tenants are month-to-month tenants.

Every day I speak to tenants who have serious code violations which their landlord has failed to address. These tenants are faced with the horrible choice of risking their tenancy by complaining to codes or continuing to live in bad conditions.

This stops many tenants from complaining.

They continue to live in substandard housing because they have no other option.

I'm always up front with tenants about this reality.

"Yes," I tell them, "the landlord should not retaliate, it's against the law. But they can terminate your month-to-month agreement with no reason required, and out you go."

Since I do work with landlords, I want you to understand that the vast majority of landlords are responsible.

They get a complaint from the tenant, and they do their best to respond in a timely manner and fix the problem. They care about their property, and they want to maintain their property for long-term rental income.

They do not terminate tenants without a good cause because it's not in their interests, as business people, to spend the money to re-rent the apartment.

So it's not the majority even of tenants, but, the tenants that -- it's not the majority of landlords, but, of course, like in anything, it's the worst that we have to focus upon.

Unfortunately, many of these responsible

landlords are afraid -- the responsible landlords are afraid to invest in the most blighted, low-income areas, so the tenants in those parts of the city are -- the landlords in those parts of the city are a completely different breed.

This part of the city is an example.

Most of the worst, as Councilor Hud -President Hudson said, do not reside in
Central New York, and have bought up the properties
for very little and are milking them for whatever
they can get.

Matthew Desmond, authored of -- author of "Evicted," has done some new research that shows that these landlords, because of the cost of acquisition, actually make much more profit than the owner of a middle-income property.

In some neighborhoods in Syracuse, an investor can buy a two-family house for less than \$10,000 and pay cash.

The landlord can take in almost \$20,000 a year in rents for the two-family, still charging below fair-market value.

After taxes, with minimal repairs, the landlord can make back their investment in one or two years.

These are the realities.

This is why these landlords continue to do this, because they're making more money than the person developing a property in downtown New York -- downtown Syracuse.

They're investing; acquisition, renovation.

They have a tremendous mortgage.

And even with the high rents they get, they're not making as much income as these landlords who prey on our neighborhoods.

And I'm not sure if Matthew Desmond has -- I think he's done some articles.

There hasn't been a book yet, but this data is available.

These investors do not care if a tenant moves out, or if they terminate them because of some substandard conditions, since there's always a new desperate tenant to rent from them.

The "good cause for eviction" legislation would change the equation here.

If tenants knew that they could not be evicted for complaining to codes, they would do it more often.

Government code enforcement can be more effective, but, in the city of Syracuse, our code

enforcement is complaint-driven and the procedures take time.

Lastly, I want to make mention, legislation.

I wasn't sure, Assemblyman Hunter -- Assemblywoman Hunter, that it was introduced.

I did get it.

But we need legislation about the bedbug epidemic.

New York City has a very effective local law dealing with this crisis, but the rest of the state must follow a totally inadequate state building-codes regulation.

We need a law which would make it the landlord's responsibility to exterminate the property, and, also, to notify prospective tenants concerning pest infestation.

We hope that, in the future, this very practical but essential issue will be addressed.

I appreciate you taking me, so I do have to leave at 5:30.

But I did want to actually speak after

Palmer Harvey, because she is going give you the

heart and soul of what goes on and why we really

care. You know, the real-life situations.

Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. Thank you. 1 2 And I know you have to go soon. SHARON SHERMAN: No, not until 5:30. 3 Thanks. 4 5 SENATOR KAVANAGH: All right, so we'll do no more than 23 minutes. 6 7 Do you want -- questions from colleagues? SENATOR MAY: Well, let's introduce 8 9 Senator --10 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Oh, sorry, yes. Forgive 11 me. 12 We've been joined by Senator Julia Salazar, 13 who is sponsor in the Senate of the good-cause 14 eviction bill, and several other fine pieces of 15 legislation on this topic. 16 I think -- so I think we'll complete this 17 testimony and any questions, and then we'll give 18 Senator Salazar an opportunity to do some opening 19 remarks. 20 But do you have any you questions for --21 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Yes. 22 I'm sorry. 23 Do you want questions, or --24 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Yeah, I think we'll do 25 questions.

SENATOR ANTONACCI: (Indiscernible), sir? 1 2 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Sure. 3 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Sharon, first of all, welcome. 4 5 I've worked with you, I've seen you in 6 action. 7 I appreciate your passion and your commitment to your profession, and you do a great job in 8 advocacy. 9 10 I guess my questions center around, 11 since most of your testimony was on the 12 good-cause eviction, flexibility works both ways. 13 Right? 14 So a tenant enjoying -- possibly enjoying a 15 month-to-month tenancy can come and go, leave with 16 30 days' notice. Obviously, a landlord can also ask somebody 17 to leave with 30 days' notice. 18 19 Is there a pervasive problem of tenants 20 trying to obtain year -- you know, yearly leases or 21 renewals on yearly leases? 22 SHARON SHERMAN: It's not really -- and it's 23 increasingly, increasingly, been month-to-month, and that's just the nature of the market. 24

This bill might just start changing the whole

25

market about it.

So I know, with the months-to-months, it may make it less attractive to landlords, so they may -- with this bill, they may say, oh, I might as well do a year's lease.

The reality is, 99 percent of evictions are for non-payment of rent.

There are very few -- again, with the responsible landlord, there are very few incidents where they're going want to just stop the -- terminate the tenancy.

So they're -- and this bill still allows that, if there is criminal activity, an arrest, they can still evict them.

And, importantly, for something I'm hearing about right now, if they have a written rental agreement that says no smoking in this premises, that would be good cause.

They can evict them for them violating a provision, and most month-to-months now have a rental agreement which covers these types of things.

So nothing would change about a tenant violating a rental agreement, and the landlord could send them a notice that, we're terminating you in 30 days because you violated our rental agreement

which says no smoking, or says, you know, whatever rules they have.

So that would remain as a way to terminate tenants.

SENATOR ANTONACCI: And my last question, how does this -- how is this better than the retaliatory-eviction statutory scheme?

How -- how -- what additional tools does it give you?

SHARON SHERMAN: Well, the current law, which we have it in the Syracuse city code and as a state law, says landlords may not retaliate.

However, there never was anything written.

There is no fine. There is no -- they can
retaliate, and who you gonna call?

You know, they send you a termination notice.

The City of Syracuse codes doesn't proceed to do anything.

And currently, even a judge, when somebody -- they can't force, right now, a landlord.

The landlord brings somebody to court, and they end up paying the rent. And they can't say to the landlord, you have to keep renting to this person.

That doesn't exist because there's no law

that says they have to do it. 1 So that's why it's teethless (sic). 2 It's there. 3 It's like jaywalking, you know. 4 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you. 5 6 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you very much. 7 SHARON SHERMAN: Okay. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay, so, I think, next up 8 9 we will have Bob Capenos. BOB CAPENOS: Capenos (pronounces name). 10 11 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Capenos. Sorry. 12 BOB CAPENOS: That's okay. 13 SENATOR KAVANAGH: And -- but while you're 14 getting settled, if Senator Salazar, did you want to say a few words? 15 16 SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you. 17 Thank you, Senator Kavanagh, for chairing 18 this hearing, to my colleagues, and to all of you 19 for attending. 20 This issue of housing justice and tenants' 21 rights is extremely important to me. I want to also thank Sharon for her 22 23 testimony, and, specifically, for citing the good-cause eviction bill that Assembly Member Hunter 24 25 and myself introduced.

I look forward to hearing the rest of your testimony, and working together to try to resolve these problems in this session.

Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.

Okay, so if you could introduce yourself.

BOB CAPENOS: Sure.

My name is Bob Capenos. I'm the executive director of New York Housing Association.

I'm going to kind of take the lead of Senator Antonacci and tell you a little bit about my background.

I've been in, well, the manufactured housing all my adult life.

I started as a college student, in my junior, here year here in Syracuse, working for a large community owner. And my very first job was cleaning the model homes.

I subsequently stayed on with that firm for 10 years, and we were able to provide housing for over 600 families, and many of them were first-time homebuyers, and I was very proud of that.

I continued my career working for a large insurance agency based here in Syracuse, that's represented specifically the manufactured-housing

industry for over 70 years.

So I've had the opportunity to travel the state, to meet many of the community owners and retailers and builders that provide a wonderful product for our state residents.

I think it's also important, my family's first home in 1984 was a manufactured home.

It was a very interesting experience.

It provided housing for a small family at a time when we couldn't afford much more, and I've been passionate about the industry.

I've been involved with our non-profit state association for the past 20 years, and now currently serve as the executive director.

But I wanted to provide that background to kind of give you an idea of where my passion comes from, and how important this industry is to our state.

Like I mentioned, New York -- New York

Housing Association is a non-profit trade

association of manufactured-home park owners,

operators, the retailers.

We actually have a home manufacturer in -Titan Homes down in Sangerfield, New York, which is
great to have a manufacturer representing our

industry.

And we're dedicated to manufactured-home ownership throughout the state.

Without question, manufactured housing is the most affordable option for home ownership in New York State.

Our members are in the business of providing safe, secure, and affordable living accommodations to their residents.

Despite the negative stories and stereotypes, most manufactured-home communities are "parks," as they are referred to in Section 233, provide quality living accommodations throughout the state.

Many communities offer community centers, recreational facilities, walking paths, other amenities, playgrounds, things of that nature.

Some interesting statistics, according to the division of housing and community renewal, New York State has approximately 84,956 manufactured-home households in nearly 1,118 communities throughout the state.

There's some challenges facing the industry.

We recognize that now, more than ever,
New Yorkers are in need of affordable housing.

We also recognize that there are critical

challenges facing our members and issues that threaten to tarnish the industry.

Manufactured housing has become a target for large investment companies, and the housing stock and the infrastructure is aging.

Localities are increasing taxes, making for a challenging environment for us.

It's important to note that most manufactured-housing communities in New York are not owned by investment firms.

They're owned by mom-and-pops, generational families, that have probably built these communities in the 1960s when many of them first came about, and own them till today.

And it's a pleasure to be able to work with those folks.

Furthermore, many of the corporate owners, including those owned by out-of-state investment entities, maintain excellent parks and have great relationships their tenants.

It is unfair and unfortunate that the acts of certain owners are being used to tarnish our industry.

While much has been made recently of corporate investments, most community owners are

small-business owners who have limited resources.

The association does not condone investors who engage in predatory practices and arbitrarily raise rents on tenants in order to recoup investments without regard to the financial means of the tenants.

In contrast, traditional owners often struggle to raise the necessary capital to upgrade their communities, and are reluctant to increase rents, even if necessary, to help pay for required improvements.

In fact, most park owners do not arbitrarily raise rents.

The truth is, in many New York State
manufactured-housing communities, the market simply
does not support substantial increases in rent.

This is demonstrated by the vacancy rate in communities around the state.

According to statistics contained in HCR's 2017 report of manufactured-home registrations, the vacancy rate in New York State manufactured-home communities range from a low of 8 percent in Rockland County, to a high of 35 percent here in Onondaga County.

In fact, the statewide average vacancy rate

is 21.8 percent.

Our community owners are doing their best to keep their residents. They're not raising the rent and making it challenging for the residents.

Another challenge for the industry in

New York, and, frankly, throughout the country, is
the aging of the manufactured-home communities in

New York State.

Many communities require upgrades to their water and sewer systems, roads, and other major infrastructure.

They're like -- they're like little -- you know, little communities all of their own.

You know, they're the -- the roads aren't deeded to the Town, typically, and, you know, they're trying to maintain everything as a nice, you know, place for people to live.

We commend HCR for its bold and innovative new programs that they've been working on, and offer assistance to the community owners who require support and for infrastructure improvements.

Unfortunately, the need is far greater than the resources available.

Rent reforms must take these factors into account.

Moreover, due to the state's real property tax cap, recently made permanent, many localities are looking for alternative sources of revenue.

As a result, localities are planning to conduct revaluations of the assessed values of properties in the locality.

And during the last major wave of revaluations, manufactured-home communities throughout the state saw substantial increases in property taxes.

This is -- this is the potential to put even more significant financial constraints on both park owners and residents.

The New York State Housing Association, we stand ready to work with the Legislature to develop sensible and reasonable reforms that protect the needs of tenants, but also provide owners with the necessary tools to ensure quality communities now and in the future.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to give my testimony.

I'm very passionate about the industry. It's a very important part of New York housing.

And I'm happy to answer any questions that you folks may have.

1 SENATOR KAVANAGH: So in the interest of time, I think I'll go to my colleagues, and I may 2 3 come back, but, first, Senator May. SENATOR MAY: Okay, thank you, and I'll be 4 brief. 5 So just trying to understand, in a 6 7 manufactured-home situation, people own the homes, but they rent the land --8 9 BOB CAPENOS: That's correct. 10 SENATOR MAY: -- that it sits on? 11 And we may call them "mobile homes," but 12 they're actually not readily mobile, typically, is 13 my understanding. BOB CAPENOS: Very few of them move after 14 15 they're positioned. 16 SENATOR MAY: So if you had to -- if you 17 couldn't afford the rent anymore, it would be very 18 expensive to somehow move your home to another site; 19 correct? 20 BOB CAPENOS: Right, and that typically would 21 not happen. They would sell the home or -- you 22 know, it would be a resale, much like it would 23 happen in a residential neighborhood.

SENATOR MAY: Right.

24

25

But, in any case, when a -- if the rent does

go up significantly, it's a major problem for the 1 people -- for people who couldn't afford it. 2 They'd either -- they'd have to sell their 3 home. 4 BOB CAPENOS: No doubt. 5 6 SENATOR MAY: So I -- we don't have to go 7 through all the details, because I know you want to come in and talk to me about this bill. 8 9 BOB CAPENOS: Sure. SENATOR MAY: And I'm looking forward to 10 11 that. 12 But, I also want to recognize, this is a 13 particular issue in the rental market that's got its 14 own deep concerns about how to protect people from, 15 possibly, the rare owners of the property who are 16 predatory, or want to raise the rents, in ways that really push people out of their homes. 17 18 BOB CAPENOS: And we want to help in that 19 effort. 20 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 21 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Any other questions 22 (indiscernible)? 23 Okay, we're good? 24 I think Assembly Member Hunter.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: Thank you for your

25

testimony.

Senator May asked a few of the questions that I had wanted to ask.

So, specifically, there is a modular-home park in my district, in the town of DeWitt, Midler Meadows.

I don't know if you're aware with this.

BOB CAPENOS: I'm somewhat familiar with the community.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: And I was aware of Midler Meadows because of the hundreds of police calls that are at this park.

I've received calls from the school district about bedbugs at the park, and had numerous conversations with the Town of DeWitt Code Enforcement relative to the issues at Midler Meadows.

So I'm just trying to get a structural understanding of how the flow works relative to people who live in this community, who live in squalor, and I would ask you to please visit.

And is it their responsibility for the home?

And you had made mention that some of the folks couldn't afford, you know, to do the repairs.

I don't know if it's because the rent of the

lot is too high, but it's an issue.

And I know Sharon Sherman had made reference of Matthew Desmond's book, and I don't know if you read the book "Evicted."

And they had made mentions about, you know, some of the issues that there are with lot rents, and turning them up, and about, you know, some, potentially, predatory, in some cases, buyers that go from place to place.

And it's my understanding this current park is looking to find a new owner because there have been many issues.

So, I'm just trying to structurally try to get to, how does this community of owners of a building, but not the land, turn it around, so that they're not having hundreds of police calls, and schools aren't calling relative to concerns about the home?

BOB CAPENOS: You know, I think a lot of that is -- that's a true challenge, because that situation exists in many of the communities in the state, where the homes have aged, along with the infrastructure has aged.

And -- and -- and you may have a mix in that community of homeowners that are responsible for

their home, and, yes, they're paying lot rent to be there.

And then there may also be situations where people are renting the homes from the community owner.

So there's two different dynamics that are occurring there.

I might -- mentioned earlier that HCR has made some great strides in putting a program together to provide manufactured-home replacement directly to the residents.

And I'm not sure if you're familiar with the project they've worked on in Plattsburgh, New York, where they're replacing 47 homes in a community that had -- Government Cuomo was up there after some flood damage three years, and -- and made the commitment that we're gonna -- we're gonna make this work.

That program is great.

We would love to have more of that available to our community residents, because that does provide them the opportunity to upgrade from what could be substandard housing.

And manufactured homes, as they age, they're are no different than other house. They're still

repairable. The furnace can be replaced. The water heater can be replaced.

And, you know, access to funds and availability to do that is very important.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: I just have one last question.

You had made mentioned about some of the places that you -- your association, you know, represents, has walking paths, you had made mention, and communities centers.

And I would just ask, as I represent the 128th Assembly District that has, I would say, no less than six modular-home parks in its area, that I'm not aware that they have either one of those things.

How does one community get the benefits of these community centers and walking paths?

BOB CAPENOS: Well, a lot of that occurred during the initial development of the community, and the intention.

We have one here in Onondaga County that I'm very familiar with. I believe it's called Madison Village now, in the town of Clay. It's a 1,000-space community.

And it's -- in its infancy in the 1960s, when

it was first developed, that community had an indoor Olympic pool, tennis courts, a 9-hole golf course, a marina on the Seneca River, walking paths, and -- and all types of things for 1,000 families to -- to enjoy.

Over the years, many of those things have transformed to either a required sewer plant that they had to put in, you know, other upgrades that occurred to the community.

But I think a lot of that is really dependent on what is available at that property.

That's one example.

Conversely, the example of a smaller community, they may not have had the opportunity or the resources to put in those recreational-type facilities.

But I think -- I think all owners want a safe and good place for people to live.

And, you know, I would love to be able to tell you that every one of them had those opportunities.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: I'm just going to ask one question, following up on some things.

You said that your association does not

condone situations where people -- where there's predatory capital, where people are coming in and buying these things, and then rapidly raising the rent beyond what the current residents can pay.

I have to say, coming from New York City, that sounds like a very familiar dynamic, with especially in communities where they have become suddenly desirable and you see people are being pushed out very rapidly.

You -- so you think that is a subject for legitimate -- putting aside that there's a particular bill that I understand you're going to speak to the sponsor about, do you think that is subject for -- that is a legitimate subject for concern, if we have people looking at these manufactured home -- homes as an opportunity to speculate on their ability to rapidly increase the rent?

BOB CAPENOS: I think, with an average vacancy rate of 21 percent, it's a challenge.

I mean, I -- I -- I know in the marketplace today, if you were to -- I'm going to go back to Madison Village, the 967-space community that -- which is currently 40 percent vacant, there's a huge challenge there to get residents in that community.

Their rents are in the 500 range a month for the site.

It's -- it's -- it would take -- it's going to take them a number of years to fill those sites.

So I -- you know, I'm not so sure that -- that --

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Just -- let me ask you, because I'm not going to ask you to pass judgment on any particular member, or perhaps nonmember, of your association.

But we certainly have heard stories, and we -- you know, we were pursuing this.

But -- and by the way, we also all very, you know, happily added money to that program that you mentioned earlier.

BOB CAPENOS: Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Another round this year.

We're interested in addressing this, and we're interested in working with responsible owners.

But, we have heard stories, I assume you have heard stories, of people looking at these things and, like, looking at them as investments, buying, and then very substan -- and then announcing very substantial rent increases upon acquisition of these things.

1 Is that -- I mean --2 BOB CAPENOS: That's a problem. 3 SENATOR KAVANAGH: -- right. 4 BOB CAPENOS: That's a problem. SENATOR KAVANAGH: I'll take that as a 5 problem that perhaps we should be addressing --6 7 SENATOR ANTONACCI: And we would like to work with the Legislature in addressing that problem. 8 9 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Yep, okay. We appreciate your testimony. 10 11 BOB CAPENOS: Thank you. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Next up, with apologies, 12 13 folks, we're going -- we've had some people that 14 made -- you know, you were -- each person was asked 15 if they had a specific time constraint. 16 So we're juggling the list a little bit to 17 accommodate some requests we had. 18 -- we're going to have Twiggy Billue, I'm not 19 sure I'm saying that properly, come up. 20 And then next up we'll have Council Member --21 Syracuse Common Council Member Latoya Allen to 22 follow up on some of her colleagues that were here 23 earlier. 24 So, thank you, Ms. Billue, I'm told. 25 TWIGGY BILLUE: Thank you.

1 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Did I get it right the second time? 2 TWIGGY BILLUE: Yes, you did. Thank you. 3 I wasn't going to complain about the first 4 5 time. You were close enough. 6 Good evening, everyone. 7 Thank you for having me, and thank you for doing this in the city of Syracuse. 8 9 I am Twiggy Billue. I am the president of the Syracuse chapter of the National Action Network. 10 11 And I want to just bring to you some concerns 12 from our senior population. 13 And as we get started, one of the things that 14 the National Action Network does, is that we believe 15 in documentation research. 16 But Reverend Al Sharpton has told us, "when 17 it is our young people and our elderly people, we 18 must step in." 19 So that's why I'm here tonight, because we 20 feel that we must step in. 21 We have a lot of members, and their members' 22 parents are elderly or live in senior buildings. 23 And some of them live in buildings that are

controlled by private entities.

And although they're on a fixed income, their

24

25

rental prices keep going up without any affordation to them, without any letters going out, preparing them for it.

I mean, it's even bad when they can't cross the street from Seneca Turnpike and Brighton Ave to get to Kinny Drugstore, or whatnot.

That's one thing.

But when you can't get your current landlord to listen, right, when your rent is being assessed, just as SU students' rents are being assessed.

My mother lives at Nob Hill. My mother is 72 years old.

They changed management companies and went up tremendously.

Well, what we are used to hearing is "Nob Hill is high."

My mother came from Washington, D.C.

There's no rent higher in the country,

I believe, than Washington, D.C.

The reason that my mother moved to Suitland, Maryland, before we brought her here, there was no rent control in Washington, D.C.

So, literally, she was moved out of a place that she had lived for 30 years, up, because there was no rent control. It went up to about \$2500 a

month in an area that was Anacostia in D.C.

So this is similar to an 81 coming through -- a highway, being Main Street, coming through your town, and rent control being so bad, that you now have to leave your city and move out to Maryland, where she lived at until she moved here.

Her friends I call the "old-lady gang."

They are a group of women that sit in the lobby of Nob Hill, and they talk about the things that are going on in their building, about transportation to and from grocery stores, and other things.

But I would say, since Sinatra Management

Company has taken over, every conversation has been
to get me in the room to talk to me about the
increases in the rent.

The increases for people that have service dogs, that was never an increase because it's a service dog, are now getting an upcharge of \$200 on their rent, or, \$20 extra a month on their rent, when this dog was prescribed by a doctor.

So, if we're not sure of who is buying these buildings or these complexes that our elderly and that our youth are going to be living in, that they can make it such a hardship on a person that's

already lived there for over 8 to 10 years, to want to move, and then find, the only place that they can afford being outside of the city limits, being outside of all the services that they can make.

So, yes, I can move my mother up by OCC, but she is not going to be able to get around on her own anymore because the buses aren't the same in that area as they are.

So when you look at rent control as just what it does to a person's pocket, I think we limit ourselves, because we're not looking at the other hardships that it places when they have to move one set of money over to another, or when they have to travel now leaps and bounds of ways.

I was telling a councilor the other day, that if you looked at my mother's growing prescription cost, and her friends' prescription cost, in addition to the increase in rent, and some of the other things that are increasing, and a lack of adjustment in her retirement pay, what is she supposed to do in 20 years?

Well, of course I can move her in with me, but she has to want to move in with me.

Right?

She started out living with me, and then

wanted to be on her own.

And while I have a mother that's 70-some years old and still able to get around on her own, I don't want to take any of that quality of life away from her.

All of you all there have mothers, that have some way and somehow have rented, tried to buy a home.

And the only true way, if we're not going to have rent control, is that we do some rent stabilization.

There has to be programs around, right, that can -- that can say, well, we don't -- we can control rent because we're offering homes.

Right?

There has to be some inclusionary zoning that allows those apartment buildings that are being built to include us, at fair market rate, and those that wouldn't be normally qualified to get into them, the ability to get into them, and not have to pay three times the amount that anyone would have to pay to live.

So I come and I appeal to you, when you look at rent control, don't look at it as, me, the person that might be able to go out and get another job.

1 Look at my mom.

Think about your mother.

Think about the mothers and the fathers of the people that are sitting in here, that are on fixed incomes, that are doing everything they can to stay in the city, that are doing everything they can to stay where they are in the suburbs. But rent is going up so high, that they're having to make decisions about where they live, what they eat, what they buy, and it's not fair.

It may be fair to me because I'm more mobile.

But when we look at inclusionary zoning, when we look at rent control, when we look at stabilization, we must look at our elderly and our youth, especially those youth that are receiving free lunch, that have been transient, that have moved from apartment to apartment, because the landlords refuse to take care of their apartments.

That's a whole nother part of this that

I think we sometimes miss, because some of the

dilapidated housing that's there today is there by

design, is there because no landlord was made to

take care of his buildings.

We have a lead-paint crisis in this city.

And the house that was just shown on the news

1 has been a house in my neighborhood for a long time. Only now is it a problem? 2 It has to be a problem when you know it goes 3 against the policy that New York State has put in 4 place to protect all New Yorkers. 5 6 All I'm asking you to do is protect all 7 New Yorkers. Thank you. 8 9 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. 10 Questions from colleagues? 11 Okay. We tremendously appreciate your 12 testimony, and your patience. 13 Thank you so much. 14 TWIGGY BILLUE: Thank you. 15 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Next up we were going to 16 have, again, a member of the common council, 17 Latoya Allen. And we will follow Ms. Allen with 18 19 Sally Santangelo of the Central New York Fair 20 Housing. 21 SENATOR MAY: And we can't see the timer down 22 there, but we're going to try to keep it to 5 minutes. 23 LATOYA ALLEN: 5 minutes? 24 25 Okay, that's fine. I have to run out

anyways.

First I want to say, thank you for coming here, and thank you for hosting this public hearing, and thanks to everyone that has spoken before me.

I first want to speak just as a resident and not as a city councilor.

I live here on the south side. I'm a renter as of now.

Hopefully, within the next few months, I'll be able to move and own a home.

But, as for now, I'm a renter, and I'm also a parent with two boys.

I'm on the city council, so you will all know that we do not get paid that much, and this is my one job.

With my salary of \$21,000, I can't afford a rent increase at all.

A rent increase, a car breakdown, something goes wrong with my kids, that puts me in a huge bind.

And for something that wouldn't be an issue for other people would definitely be an issue for me.

We have to look at this, the lack of affordable housing in Syracuse, and the lack of

affordable quality housing in Syracuse, is directly related to so many other issues that we have here in the city.

So, everyone, they run around and they talk about this word "poverty." Right?

Let's talk about poverty.

Our education system may not be the best here.

All of these things are tied directly to housing.

As I don't have a comfortable house to go into at the end of the day and lay my head down and take care of my kids, my kids cannot go to school and focus the way they need to focus to get a good education.

If I don't have a quality home to go to every night, that's going to be hard for me to get up every day and go to work, work all day, but still come home to something that is less than what I deserve.

This past Monday I put forth a -- it was a resolution to support the costs by our wonderful Assemblywoman Pam Hunter.

 $\label{eq:And I was almost disgusted that I had to hold} % \begin{center} \begi$ 

And the reason why I held it was because it did not have the votes to pass by our councilors that, we in this room have elected, to make sure that we in this room have everything that we need to be successful, to be able to stay in Syracuse; live here, work here, and raise our families here.

And I knew that it wouldn't have enough votes to pass.

And some of it I believe was done in a selfish manner. But then, also, I think it's just -- it's a huge disconnect.

And, for me, that becomes a problem, when we have elected officials in a place where they're supposed to be able to connect to us so, that way, they can pass legislation and pass laws that protect us.

And in this moment, it seems like it failed, and I feel like we failed.

We felt there was many people that live in substandard housing.

We felt there was many people that are forced to move because they can't afford their rent when their landlord wants to hike the price.

We need something here, especially in Syracuse, that will stabilize rent in this area.

Right now, when I calculate my expenses, if my rent goes up \$50, I can't afford it anymore.

So that means now I have -- I'm in -- I'm put in a position to where I have to move.

And I know there are so many other people that deal with this on a daily basis.

And, for me, it's unfair.

And we're at this time, right now, where we can put something in place that would prevent this.

So I'm urging you and your colleagues to please allow this bill to pass.

And I'm urging, and calling -- I only see two of my colleagues here right now, but the other ones that were against it, I'm urging on them to support this legislation, even if you're in a position to where you go home and you live in a comfortable neighborhood and you live in a comfortable house, and you're not worried how you're going to pay your rent and how you're going to pay your bills.

There are people that are worried about that every single day.

And I feel like we have to do something now to make sure that that worry can go away.

So I'm not going to take up too much of your time 'cause I have to go get my children.

1 But, I do want to say, thank you, and I appreciate you guys for coming out here. 2 And I thank everybody for coming and speaking 3 up, even if it's not for you, just speaking up for 4 your neighbors and for the people that you care 5 about here in the city of Syracuse. 6 7 Thank you. SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. 8 9 Any -- do you have a couple of questions? 10 SENATOR MAY: I just want to apologize. 11 It was supposed to be 10 minutes. 12 The other hearings we've had, we had to keep 13 them to five. 14 LATOYA ALLEN: It's okay, I totally 15 understand. 16 SENATOR MAY: I'm sorry.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: All right.

Senator Salazar.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you for your testimony.

I just wanted to ask, regarding the resolution that you brought to the common council in support of good-cause, did you have conversations with your colleagues?

And could you explain maybe concerns that

they expressed or reasons that they cited for not 1 supporting the resolution? 2 LATOYA ALLEN: Yes. 3 We actually had a committee meeting, so we 4 had a committee meeting that lasted about an hour. 5 6 The main concern was that it was going to 7 hinder landlords from wanting to rent out properties, supposedly. 8 9 So, basically, it was, they chose the landlords over the tenants, pretty much. 10 11 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 Councilor, thank you for your service. 13 I believe we -- I know who you are, 14 obviously, but I believe we met at the Syracuse 15 (indiscernible) football game. Is that correct? 16 LATOYA ALLEN: Yes, yes, (indiscernible). 17 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Yes, and it was a great season for the (indiscernible), by the way. 18 19 So congratulations. 20 LATOYA ALLEN: Thank you. 21 SENATOR ANTONACCI: I read the article 22 primarily because it was written by the great 23 Chris Baker, who is also here with us today. I know the result wasn't what you wanted. 24 It did look like there was some pretty good 25

debate.

Would it be your preference that the State pass uniform law that is, you know, I don't want to say no choice, but that it would be across the state without any buy-in?

Or, is the City, in your opinion, or you as a councilor, looking for options on these laws, or do you want them all to be, you know, without a choice?

LATOYA ALLEN: I mean, I'm okay with -- I'm okay with choices, and I'm okay with options, because I understand that every city is different.

So some things that may apply in other cities doesn't apply in Syracuse, and that was one of the arguments.

So I'm okay with that.

But for it to just get dismissed totally, I'm not okay with that.

SENATOR ANTONACCI: Okay. Thank you.

LATOYA ALLEN: You're welcome.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.

LATOYA ALLEN: All right.

Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: So, Sally Santangelo.

Welcome.

And we are just -- so we have many witnesses,

we appreciate your patience.

We are going to -- I think, my colleagues and I, we will ask questions as they come up.

I think we are going to try to sort of have a less-spirited dialogue with -- you know, maybe fewer questions.

But, obviously, to the extent members have questions, they should continue to ask them.

But, thank you for your time.

SALLY SANTANGELO: Thank you for your time. We certainly appreciate you coming here and listening to our voices on these important housing protections.

 $\label{eq:continuous_section} \mbox{I'm the executive director of CNY Fair} \\ \mbox{Housing.}$ 

We are a nonprofit fair-housing services organization that serves nine counties of central and northern New York.

We cover from Binghamton to Massena, and from Auburn to Utica, and in that, we serve a lot of different areas, not only the city of Syracuse and our suburban communities, but we serve a lot of the small cities, including Oswego, Auburn, Rome, Utica, Binghamton, Watertown, as well a lot of the rural areas, of course, all of the rural areas in between.

And what's remarkable, I think, to us, is how often we see the same issues throughout the areas we serve.

So our organization works to eliminate housing discrimination.

We investigate complaints and provide counseling and referrals -- or, counseling and advocacy to victims of housing discrimination.

We have attorneys on staff who provide legal representation to victims as well.

And, we educate about 1500 to 2,000 people a year on housing rights and responsibilities.

We also provide technical assistance to municipalities, to improve housing choice and opportunities.

And a lot of the calls we receive are related to landlord-tenant issues, and not just fair-housing and housing-discrimination complaints, and we provide these tenants with counseling as well on their housing rights.

And I want to comment specifically on support of the good-cause eviction bill.

I think one of the biggest problems we see, whether it's in the city of Syracuse or in Oswego County or the other areas we serve, is the

quality of housing.

And we need to do more to empower tenants to hold their housing providers responsible, and I think that this bill would go a long ways in doing that.

We advise tenants about what their rights are related to their housing. We advise them to call code enforcement. We advise them on how to talk to their landlord and request repairs from their landlord. And we always advise them to be prepared to have to leave the apartment because their landlord may not renew their lease if they complain.

And, especially with a month-to-month tenant, we let them know, yes, you should call codes; yes, you should request the maintenance of this landlord -- from the landlord; and you should also start looking for other housing, because that's the reality.

And it's sometimes even worse in rural areas where the landlord -- where we have almost no code enforcement, where the landlords are friends with the code-enforcement officer, or where we have judges who have told our clients that, things like, a home is habitable even when they don't have working toilets.

We even see concerns -- we advise people, of course, that there is, you know, protections against retaliation, but that it is very difficult to prove that.

And we -- even in our fair-housing cases, even in cases we are actually filing federal complaints, or even state complaints, we see retaliation for those individuals for enforcing their fair-housing rights.

We have several cases pending right now in which tenants who have sought reasonable accommodations did not have their leases renewed.

For example, we have one case, where our staff had called the landlord to ask about a reasonable accommodation for a tenant, and the landlord said, Well, if she's just -- if she's not happy here, I'll just not renew her lease.

And we explained, no, she is happy here. You know, she just needs this one thing in order to be able to remain in her housing.

So not only are these tenants/these clients struggling with not what -- not getting what they need to accommodate their disability, but now they're struggling with the stress of not knowing if they can even remain in the home.

These cases often take two to three years to resolve, and so this tenant is living for two to three years without knowing what the outcome of their housing case is going to be.

So as I said, we need to do more to empower tenants.

And we need to make sure that they have -are able to enforce the rights that we've given them
when it comes to habitability and the right to a
decent and safe home.

We also work on a lot of cases related to domestic-violence survivors who are evicted for domestic-violence activity in their homes.

I think the just-cause eviction/good-cause eviction, bill would go a long way in helping protect those individuals as well.

Often they are -- the eviction maybe comes a couple of months later, so it isn't obvious that it's because of the police activity. But we do see cases where women are being evicted, and being told it's because of the police activity in their home.

Regarding some of the other proposed legislation, I will say the "LLC," I think, bill would be great.

We know in our fair-housing cases it can take

months of discovery to identify even who the parties are in a case that we need to name.

And regarding with the rent-control bill,

I think you need to think about how we can define

"vacancy rate" in our community.

Our vacancy rate should apply to our habitable housing.

If a house is not habitable, we shouldn't be calling that "a home that is vacant."

It's a structure, and not suitable housing.

And so we need to think about that.

Perhaps looking at properties that are approved and inspected through our rental registry as a trigger for the vacancy-rate provision would be better than just the number of structures that we might have, I think would be -- go a long way.

I'd also like just to take a moment, we've been fighting for "source of income" legislation through -- for many years.

And I just want to take a moment to thank you for your support in passing statewide "source of income" protection.

We are excited about this. We can't wait to start enforcing it.

I really -- like, we really can't wait to

start enforcing it, and filing some cases to expand 1 2 housing choice for voucher holders throughout the 3 state. I'd be happy to take questions. 4 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Great. Thank you. 5 6 And I think I'll defer to my colleagues. 7 Senator May. SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 8 Thank you, Sally, for the work you do. 9 10 I have a question about what leverage tenants 11 can possibly have. It seems like, in a lot of ways, that the 12 13 landlords have all the leverage, and -- and even 14 incentive, to evict tenants. 15 Can -- can tenants withhold rent in escrow in 16 order to -- you know, if they have a complaint? 17 Or, is there any leverage like that, or should there be? 18 19 SALLY SANTANGELO: I mean, certainly, tenants 20 can hold, you know, rent in escrow. 21 I think the reality is, is when they go to 22 court, judges usually find that the amount a 23 particular issue is worth is significantly less than

And so -- and those tenants, you know, it's a

perhaps what it should be.

24

25

very risky thing to hold rent in escrow.

Emergencies come up, and so it can be very risky for a tenant to do that.

You know, it's a -- it's a tough way to have to enforce your rights, is to, basically, bring yourself to the brink of eviction in order to get your landlord to make repairs on your property.

That's a pretty risky thing for people to have to do.

So I don't think that's the best way that we need to pursue those things.

I wanted to mention one other thing that we see in some of the communities we serve, is we see blacklists of tenants, particularly in some of the smaller cities.

We know these exist in places like Massena and Ogdensburg, where there are -- the communities are so small that everyone is known.

And so there are tenants who may call code enforcement, may try to enforce their rights.

Maybe they complain about sexual harassment of a landlord.

And that landlord puts them on a blacklist that's then shared among other landlords, and those tenants can't find housing again.

And these things exist, we know they exist, we've seen some of them in some of these communities. And people just have very few options in those places as well, in particular.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: I have one other.

Any other senator, questions?

Okay.

And did you have a question?

Okay, great.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: And, Sally, thank you so much for coming. I always appreciate you putting forth testimony.

And, simply, and this does to the mean to be a sarcastic question, but, you know, simply, because this is being live-feed, and I made mention earlier that there are people who are my colleagues who have said that we don't have a housing problem, you know, here. That this kind of problem, relative to evictions, isn't something that happens outside of New York City.

And I would just ask you, simply, what would you say to all of those legislative members who feel that evictions for people calling code enforcement, you know, where rents are being, you know,

increased, where people are blacklisted in places where you made mention are very rural?

What would you say to them to say, it is happening in your neighborhood?

How would you get them to the place to say, this protects the people who you represent?

SALLY SANTANGELO: You know, I welcome anyone to field calls in my office any day. You know, these are issues we hear about every day.

This week alone we're helping a family who had, the children were being picked on at school because they had cockroaches coming out of their backpack. And they had to have their -- take their child to the hospital to remove a cockroach from their ear.

This is in the city of Syracuse, and the landlord has done nothing about it.

They also have sewage in their basement. The children have lead poisoning now.

And the system hasn't been working for them either beyond the individual landlord.

It's -- you know, we're happy to share our data with anyone who questions the stories.

We're happy to introduce them to our clients, to talk about their experiences, and hear from them

firsthand on what they are going through.

You know, as you said, all you have do is look around and you can see these problems.

You can look around the city of Syracuse, you can look around rural Oswego County or rural Cayuga County, and see these issues.

They do exist, and we have to be honest about it.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN HUNTER: Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: I just want to follow up on the comment.

So the -- the blacklist issue, as I have experienced it, has come from people culling court records, are getting data directly, and sort of, effectively, making a list based on prior court appearances. And, you know, the notion that if you're a landlord and your tenant has been in court, you should, you know, think twice about renting them an apartment.

And we -- actually, a number of us tried to get the office of court administration to address that directly.

And I think we are -- some of us are discussing legislative solutions.

But this idea that there's sort of an

informal list that's just sort of passed around is new to me.

Has -- do you -- I mean, as someone who's seen that before and thought about it, is there some suggestion about how we might address that?

Do you think that is something we could restrict or ban through legislative -- legislation?

SALLY SANTANGELO: I don't know how you -it's a -- you know, it's a -- these are done a lot
of times by private landlord associations and
informal landlord associations. So it is -- I think
that makes it challenging.

I -- it would be something I would have to think about, how you could structure legislation to address it.

I think -- you know, I think blocking court records, particularly for people who have -- you know, the eviction -- the eviction issue and withholding rent, you know, if someone withholds rent, and then they face eviction for it, now they have a record of having gone to eviction court, even if they were in the right in doing so.

So blocking that, I think, goes a long ways in terms of helping people recover from their past rent -- you know, rental issues.

I'm not sure, in terms of the -- you know, how you would address the -- these kind of private blacklists, but it's something I'm certainly willing to put some thought behind.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Yeah, I would ask you, I mean, to the extent we might be thinking about (indiscernible) we might.

And I just -- you know, there are circum -there are obviously circumstances where we -- where
collect -- where, you know, an individual can choose
to not do something. But you do it collectively, it
becomes a policy problem. And, you know, boy -boycotts are illegal in certain cases and
(indiscernible).

So I would -- it is -- again, it's a new one for me, but I think it is something we would like to explore.

You also mentioned, you know, there's data that you would be willing to share with (indiscernible).

If there is, you know -- your testimony's been terrific and very helpful.

But if you have additional data that you think would be useful for the Committee to consider, or our colleagues to consider, and you want to

1 supplement that with your testimony, we would 2 appreciate it. SALLY SANTANGELO: Okay. 3 Thank you. 4 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. 5 6 Next up we're going to have -- we have a 7 couple of folks from TNT Southside, Palmer Harvey --Palmer Harvey and Jamie Howley. 8 I think we'll have them come up together. 9 10 And if there's anyone else who's planning to 11 testify from TNT Southside, if you want to come on 12 up together, that would be great. 13 Do you guys want to join the table, or do you 14 want to -- you okay there? 15 You can sit wherever you want. 16 Great. 17 So if each of you could introduce yourself for the record, and then, you know, we'll proceed --18 19 JAMIE HOWLEY: My name is Jamie Howley, and 20 I'm a retired social worker. 21 I have lived on the south side for going 22 on --23 What? (Discussion between witnesses.) 24 25 JAMIE HOWLEY: Oh.

PALMER HARVEY: My name is Palmer Harvey.

Go ahead.

JAMIE HOWLEY: I'm Jamie Howley. I'm a retired social worker, and I've lived on the south side going on 15 years.

Palmer Harvey and I are the co-chairs of the housing task force with Tomorrow's Neighborhoods

Today Southside.

We have been working with Mary Traynor, a lawyer at Legal Services of CNY.

We are just beginning to educate and organize tenants in Syracuse.

Currently, tenants have almost no voice and are not well organized.

The unlucky ones suffer and struggle in their own private housing hell.

So having this hearing held, just a few days did not allow us a lot of time to collect actual tenants to come and speak about their situations with you, and the need to prepare written statements with 10 printed pages was difficult. I don't have a printer that works.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: We're happy that you're here to give testimony. That's good enough for us.

JAMIE HOWLEY: Okay.

95 Nonetheless, my written testimony follows. 1 2 My neighborhood has some of the highest concentration of poverty in the nation for 3 African-Americans and Spanish-speakers. 4 Just today in "The Post Standard," they 5 listed Syracuse as having the tenth-highest 6 7 concentration of poverty in the nation for cities. Over 90 percent of our housing was built 8 before 1978 and contains lead paint on the inside 9 and outside. 10 11 Over 60 percent of the population are 12 renters. 13 The total population of Syracuse is a hundred 14 and forty three. 15 So doing the math, over 85,000 are renters. 16 But I'm not going to focus on the good 17 landlords or the decent rental properties. 18 I want to talk about that 25 percent who move at least once a year. Oftentimes, when you're 19 20 evicted, you move more than once. 21 Matthew Desmond explained that eviction is 22 intimately tied with poverty.

> People lose their possessions as well as their home.

23

24

25

And in Syracuse, 25 percent of that rental

population translates into more than 21,000 people.

They're constantly -- are constantly churning population, frequently separating families by sending members to friends or family members elsewhere in the city.

Children, of course, have their educations disrupted, and their connections with people who are important in their lives are also disrupted, and this is a con -- represents a continuous crisis for them.

This population lives in constant fear of homelessness, stemming from eviction.

The recently released FBI report -Rebecca, what does "FBI" stand for?
REBECCA: (Inaudible.)

JAMIE HOWLEY: Yes.

-- indicated that over 50 percent of people in Syracuse are paying more than 30 percent of their income for housing.

These people will tolerate the lead poisoning of their children which threatens their success in life.

They also have infestations of roaches, bedbugs, and rodents, and they have a higher percentage of asthma because of this.

Tenants may also have issues with sewage backups, poor insulation, high National Grid bills, and entry doors that may not have proper locks, mold and water damage, and so on.

The list goes on and on.

Eviction leads to a downward snowball effect; it leads to more problems.

A high a number of these properties are actually owned by out-of-county landlords. Many are actually from New York City. And they are expanding their properties here in Central New York because we do not have the same laws as New York City.

They hire -- and they also have limited liability corporations (LLCs) that help to hide their ownership.

They hire local property managers as intermediaries who may or may not be responsive to tenants.

Tenants are afraid to call codes to report even health and safety violations.

Section 8 housing in Syracuse has been closed for 10 years, and there are still 3,000 people on the list.

Syracuse, like many other places across the nation, is in a rental housing crisis.

In Syracuse, this will soon become still worse because of the I-81 project which will evict people from public housing.

They are being given Section 8 vouchers and told that they can move anywhere in the United States, not in Syracuse, because we don't have enough Section 8 housing for them.

This is a devastating thing to say, but this displacement is going to actually replicate what happened when 81 first went up.

The 15th Ward that was demolished with the rise of 81 destroyed a vibrant area with African-American and Jewish businesses and residents.

Furthermore, the bridge paint on elevated sections of I-81 contains a high level of lead. And when they take it down, they will have to apply EPA guidelines to prevent contamination of the city.

The housing situation in Syracuse is even more dire because of this.

We are still unsure if the plans will actually build additional affordable housing before all this happens.

As a social worker, I am concerned with the housing conditions in the neighborhoods around me.

The poorest of the poor have real housing problems, but that is not their only problem.

Moving once a year is expensive, and many of them are also witnesses to violence in the city.

What I'm saying is, that they have multiple issues that lead to an extremely high level of stress.

It's not surprising that they suffer from high levels of depression and anxiety, and even PTSD.

In addition, many tenants actually do not understand that, if they stop paying their rent, they are increasing the chances that they will be evicted.

Some of them also make the repairs themselves and deduct the cost of the repairs from their rent.

That also is maybe a prescription for eviction.

It's not uncommon for tenants to be placed on month-to-month leases after the initial yearlong lease lapses.

Landlords can also evict them for no reason, and give them perhaps 30 days to leave -- vacate.

They feel continuous anxiety about keeping the roof over their heads.

These families are usually headed by a woman

with several children.

Moving suddenly is a crisis situation, but they are trapped in this situation.

Still, somehow, they find the energy to raise their children, send them to school, feed them, clothe them, and somehow to carry on.

Housing instability has been identified by our mayor, Ben Walsh, as a problem that flows into the rest of the city, and must be solved.

City schools are not performing well, and those schools that are substandard are at risk of being taken over by the State.

Violence in the city involves drugs, guns, and knives, and not just in this neighborhood, but across the city.

In the past, there was a gang battle in the next block -- in the next block up from where I live and a woman was shot inside her house.

There was also a daylight shooting at the convenience store on the corner less than two blocks away. It happened at 2:30 in the afternoon, with school buses unloading children at the Southwest Community Center.

Fortunately, no one was injured.

Good-cause eviction will be a great start to

protect good tenants, good landlords, and the homeowners that live amongst them.

Bad landlords must be controlled or forced out of business.

The City -- the City is working on this too, but expanding rental law to the entire state is an important part of the solution.

New York State would be the second state in the nation to extend rental legislation.

Oregon was the first.

Everyone deserves to live in a safe -- in safe, healthy, and decent housing that they can afford.

Thank you for your consideration.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.

PALMER HARVEY: Syracuse has over 60 percent renters, and yet we the majority get no respect.

We as tenants in Syracuse are subject to (indiscernible) and mental torture by the hands of vile, money-hungry, dumpster-fire of people called "slumlords."

Tenants deal with such vile people often will have to put up with multitude of disgusting, hazardous, health-declining, pest-infested, electrical fire possible, crappy housing.

Few consumers in the world would continue to pay for anything that was consistently broken or never fixed, but a tenant must continue to pay rent even though their landlord has done the bare, bare minimum to rectify the maintenance issue that the tenant's having.

At this point, I'm asking myself, why does

New York City get all the tough rental regulations

and the rest of the state is treated like a burden?

Tenants in Syracuse are strong because we put up with a lot to live like this.

I want to know, why is it okay for terrible landlords to pillage their tenants?

Our rental-regulation laws are not tough enough. In fact, these current housing laws contribute to the delinquency of a bad landlord.

I would like to know, why is it okay for the government to sanction torture of its own people?

No one seems to care when a mother has to consistently take their son or daughter to the doctors because their apartment that they are living in is slowly taking the very breath from a child because of pest infestation, even though the tenant has made repeated pleas to the landlord to do something about the poor conditions in their

apartment.

The only weapon that a tenant has against a poor-quality landlord is to withhold rent. But, when a tenant does that in Syracuse, it triggers an eviction.

I have witnessed for-rent signs on apartments with open code violations for bedbugs, mice, and roaches.

I have seen tenants live in conditions that should have had a wrecking ball taken to it long ago.

(Indiscernible) evictions of predatory landlords are a massive business here.

If -- I find the poor (indiscernible) that most of the worst landlords, with the most code violations, are based in the New York City area.

To -- I have known many people over the years that moved from New York City to Syracuse because the cost of living is lower.

Like, little do they know that they are giving up stricter housing rights for a cost-effective life.

It's time to say "no more" of this passive mindset for upstate folks.

We are here, we want things to change now.

1 Thank you.

But I also want to add to the list, it's gotten so bad, that I ask for a "top-five bad landlord" list.

And I just want to read you some -- how many one person has of LLCs here.

This person's name is Mindy Colinski (ph.), and they have several -- three different tax addresses locally in Brooklyn, New York, and 14 LLCs located in the city of Syracuse.

That's one of the top five.

And that -- that landlord has 138 current open code violations off of one.

One.

And the list goes on and on.

Lawrence, New York, all over, three.

And another one in Brooklyn -- and what's the name here? -- Bernard Iceland.

And another one, 16.

It's ridiculous.

At some point, we, as Syracusans, have to give -- someone has to do something about this, because in this current state, at this current level, it cannot continue.

It's gonna be a riot in the streets pretty

soon.

You're worried about people paying rent.

And I will just say also, to add, I'm also disappointed in my local common council for not passing the resolution when they know the dire state that Syracuse is in.

I pass the same houses every single day, I see what they see.

JAMIE HOWLEY: I want to speak.

Palmer and I are very interactive because we work together.

I want to talk about what Palmer is doing that she hasn't shared, because she's very modest.

Palmer is working at the Maxwell School on eviction.

She goes to eviction court regularly.

She follows up and goes to the people's homes.

If she were to sit here and talk to you about the conditions in the houses that she has visited, you would feel sick.

PALMER HARVEY: I think I often don't talk about that thing because it takes me, literally, when I -- after I visit some of these people, at least two hours to get the depression off of me,

because it's so -- the amount of disgust that these people are allowed to live in.

And, to me, the landlord had the nerve to evict somebody from this?

They allow somebody to even give them money for it, let alone living there.

And as I see it, I get the most frustration with my lawmakers because, okay, if they see what I'm seeing, what is the problem?

What is the problem?

And I understand that you -- you want -- or you say, you guys say, you want to do the best (indiscernible).

If some people are working very hard (indiscernible), but it's not enough.

It is not enough.

We depend on you; we elect you to do right by us. And that's not happening.

It makes me physically ill to leave someone's house. Or, you know, go to these people's house, and I'm interviewing someone, and, you know, people say, Well, this person owns the whole block. All --most of the apartments are like this.

I have people chasing me down, saying, Look at my apartment.

1 You see those pictures there? JAMIE HOWLEY: (Indiscernible) T-shirt. 2 PALMER HARVEY: Those pictures are of 3 apartments I've been in from the interviews. 4 Why is there a hole there in the apartment? 5 6 This is not people -- why -- you know, living 7 there for, like, years and years and years. 8 That's one year. 9 One year. 10 Being in the apartment was like that when 11 they moved in. 12 So what level are we -- what bar are we going to set here for these landlords? 13 14 What are you allowing to happen? 15 That's why I had to reiterate, also (indiscernible) -- when I look in the New York 16 17 State's rental-rights book, the first caveat they give is for New York City. 18 19 That's ridiculous. 20 Only these laws for New York City? 21 And we are, literally, having to deal with so 22 many medical issues from the abhorrent state of 23 these apartments. 24 People are really having things you wouldn't 25 imagine, not to mention the sidebar symptoms of

depression, no hope, "be prepared to move." I mean, this is the answers I'm giving tenants: Like, okay, if you call codes, be prepared to pack your bags. Be prepared to fight in court. Make sure you have everything ready. I should not be preparing people to go into battle. 

This is ridiculous.

JAMIE HOWLEY: Palmer, Mary, and I have been holding monthly tenants' teach-ins at Beecham Library, and it is not infrequent that we have to tell people to document what they are doing.

Take pictures with your cellphones. Record every contact you have with the landlord or code enforcement. You need to back up your case.

And if Mary were here, because she's a lawyer, she would tell you that there are no cases of tenants suing landlords.

It is all about landlords suing tenants.

Tenants need protection.

Do something.

PALMER HARVEY: And (indiscernible) -- so -- the landlord has no rights, retaliatory, to evict someone.

It happens every single day.

We just sit in eviction court as people -the first thing one -- the first thing they say,
Because I called codes on him, this is why I'm here.

Oftentimes, the rent is paid by a multitude of agencies. It has nothing to do with them not paying rent.

It is, literally, because they called codes.

And I have seen people with five kids walking into the courtroom, you know, trying to rectify a situation.

And I say, Well, why are you here if you can pay your rent?

Well, because I called codes, and I'm arguing with the landlord.

It's so many different reasons, it's ridiculous. And none of it -- it all boils up to a pettiness.

I can just get somebody else in here and you can go. Why do I need to deal with this headache?

Let me go try and get some other person who's not going to push as hard for me to actually do anything for the crap I've created.

I mean, it's utter sadness for me to walk into these apartments and just, you know, try to

```
give somebody hope when I know there's no hope.
 1
 2
               Thank you.
               SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.
 3
               Thank you for your testimony.
 4
               Questions from colleagues?
 5
               Well, you said, you know, you want us to do
 6
 7
        something?
               We're here -- oh, did you have a --
 8
               CHARLIE PIERCE ALLEN (ph.): Yes.
 9
               My name is Charlie Pierce Allen (ph.).
10
11
               I'm a native Syracusan. I was born and
12
        raised here 70-something years ago.
13
               Chairman, I appreciate you coming down here
14
        because, in Syracuse, New York, which is upstate
15
        from you, because you're one of the few chairpersons
16
        of a committee that's been visiting in this
17
        community in a long, long time.
18
               And I applaud the senators, Senator May,
        Senator Salasa (ph.) (sic) --
19
20
               PALMER HARVEY: Salazar.
21
               CHARLIE PIERCE ALLEN (ph.): -- and also
        Robert Antonacci, Jr., which I worked with his
22
23
        father.
24
               And, of course, my Assembly Person,
25
        Pam Hunter.
```

So I appreciate you guys coming down here to give us and get input from us.

My concern, basically -- and I haven't read your bill completely, but I'm going to study it because I'm an avid reader, and I applaud what you're doing, and trying to do.

But I'm most impressive -- the most impressive thing about you, is you're here to listen to what we have to say.

And as a homeowner, I retired from Chrysler in 2002, and I have seen a lot of slum landlords in our community.

I have seen my community before it got -re-gentrification came here to Syracuse with I-81
coming down in the first place.

I was about 13 years old when that took place.

I'm a community activist. I do a lot of things in our community.

But my main concern with what's going on,
I think you guys are looking for solutions, and
I think some of the issues, as far as with these
landlords, and stuff.

And I'm also chair person of a homeowners' association. And what we do as homeowners, we

invite tenants to our meeting, and we protect them from their landlord.

So what I mean by that, if a tenant has a problem, I tell them to address the issue with us, because we're land own -- we own the homes, so we will advocate for you.

We'll be in there advocating for you against this slum landlord, whatever, because we got a bunch of them here in Syracuse, from out of state, like these ladies says and previous guests has stated, about the landlord situation here.

And we also, in the city of Syracuse, we have more -- we have more property that is non-taxable than we have taxable homeowners.

And that's the problem that we have to straighten out as city government, but also I think State should mandate some of that stuff that's going on, because Syracuse is just a model city.

And if it's happening in Syracuse, it's happening in a lot of those small cities, that their taxes -- their tax base are more tax-exempt properties is going on in these cities, such as Syracuse.

And churches play a big role in that too, as far as universities, and as far as hospitals, and

stuff.

I don't know what the rules is about hospitals and areas not paying taxes.

But I think, after I worked for 30 years, I got to pay taxes.

And here I got President Trump don't pay no taxes. So I got a big issue with that.

But still and all, as legislators, I just hope that you guys look in those directions where things are -- things can be balanced out strictly, especially for people that are in modest -- that live below the poverty line, which we know we have in Syracuse and other small cities in the state of New York.

Because, we got 64 counties, and I don't know why, out of 64 counties, that New York State is behind in a lot of stuff, where, you know, we can do a lot better than what we're doing if we listen to the people.

Thank you for your time.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.

JAMIE HOWLEY: I also remembered a fact that I wanted to get across, and that is, that in the city of Syracuse, there are between 1600 and 1800 abandoned houses.

1 And that's a big problem because they aren't 2 paying taxes. They're deteriorating, they're shedding lead into the environment. 3 It's a very big problem. 4 5 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. 6 Okay. 7 We appreciate the panel, and we're going to get to the next witness. 8 But thank you for your testimony. 9 SENATOR ANTONACCI: (Inaudible.) 10 11 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Oh, we have a -- okay. While we're getting the next -- I'll call up 12 13 the next witness (indiscernible). 14 So next up we're going have Maurice Brown, 15 who is identified on my sheet as a homeowner. 16 Go ahead. 17 SENATOR ANTONACCI: (Inaudible) bringing the hearing to Syracuse. 18 19 Thank you, Senator Salazar, for coming. 20 I do have to go out to another commitment, as 21 I said earlier. 22 But if anyone needs to get a hold of me at my 23 office, I'm, literally, right next door to Senator May. You can look up our phone number. 24 25 But, please, I represent a portion of the

city of Syracuse. 1 Feel free to call our office or stop by. 2 I will be stepping out shortly, and I just 3 wanted to say, thank you, and good-bye. 4 5 SENATOR KAVANAGH: And we appreciate your hospitality, and your joining us for the hearing. 6 7 SENATOR MAY: And I need to say something too, which is, congratulations to Moe (ph.) Brown 8 who is graduating from Syracuse University this 9 weekend. 10 11 [Applause.] MAURICE BROWN: Thank you, Senator. 12 13 I appreciate that. 14 SENATOR KAVANAGH: With that introduction, 15 the floor is yours. 16 MAURICE BROWN: Senators, thank you --(Inaudible comment from the audience.) 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Am I the only one? 18 MAURICE BROWN: A few other people. 19 20 Thank you, guys, for holding this hearing. 21 Senator Antonacci, Senator Salazar, 22 Senator May, Senator Kavanagh, thank you guys for 23 holding this hearing. 24 Pam just stepped out, but thank you to Pam

for sponsoring this legislation.

25

I would like to start by acknowledging the
Onondaga Nation, the Haudenosaunee, whose land we

3 are currently on.

I think about this legislation, looking back, looking at how it is now, and how it's going to be forward. So I look at it in three parts; specifically, Assembly Bill 0503A, which is the same as Senate Bill 02892-A, which prohibits eviction without good cause.

For far too long, landlords have taken advantage of tenants by forcing them to live in improper living conditions, and making it so, that if a tenant wants to suggest improvements that the apartment needs, they are forced to either live with the -- live with the dysfunction or risk having to move.

This fear, over time, has created a situation where our properties in Syracuse are so worn, so very desperately in need of repair and renovation, that they're almost, in some cases, unliveable.

This brings me with an eye to the future.

With the EIS's release, it looks like we are finally going to have a resolution to the I-81 (indiscernible) project here in Syracuse.

While I'm happy that it looks like we are

trended towards a very much-needed community grid option, I am worried about what that could mean to residents and renters living near the project.

As our city becomes more vibrant, and our downtown becomes more exciting and interesting to live in, we need to be fearful of possible gentrification, where the market makes it so that new people who are financially more able and more well-off are interested to move in, but, in the process, we get rid of anyone who will stand in their way.

What happens in the past, when a property becomes more valuable than the current tenant is paying in rent, the landlord will raise the rent and, therefore, price-out the tenant.

This can very well happen in -- here in Syracuse as we become a more liveable, a more walkable, and a more bikable city.

I am urging for the passing of the bills involving expanding the ETPA, as well as implementing good-cause eviction protections.

Our city needs this, our region needs this, and our state needs this.

Thank you.

1 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 2 Any questions? MAURICE BROWN: If you have any questions? 3 Do you have any questions? 4 SENATOR ANTONACCI: Congratulations. 5 MAURICE BROWN: Thank you. I appreciate 6 7 that. SENATOR MAY: Actually, I have a question for 8 you, Moe, because you also have lived in New York 9 10 City. 11 MAURICE BROWN: I have. 12 SENATOR MAY: And we've heard about how the 13 laws are different there. Are you -- is this -- do you see a difference 14 15 in Syracuse, given that we have less-stringent laws 16 about rental property? 17 MAURICE BROWN: Uhm, yes, in that, I come from a unique situation, where, just the timing, 18 while I lived in New York City, I wasn't doing as 19 20 well as in life as I am now here in Syracuse. 21 So when I lived in New York City, my family, 22 we were priced out. 23 We actually lived downtown Brooklyn, near 24 where the Barclay Center project happened. And the

stadium came in, and we were priced out.

25

Once the stadium came, gentrification hit my neighborhood really hard.

I lived in the Prospect Heights section, and my family was forced to move.

So I've seen the effects of gentrification.

It really hurt my family. It destroyed my mother. We had to move from Prospect Heights. We moved back to Brownsville.

And, it hurts, it hurts.

Living one place one month, the rent is \$900. And then, three months later, the landlord is asking for \$1500.

It's painful. You can't deal with that.

And we were priced out.

And I'm very fearful of it happening here.

I see a lot of the same things happening:

That excitement around the new project that's going to bring jobs to the region. And those jobs come with people who can afford, you know, a higher cost of living.

And while it's good, we definitely want to be encouraging, you know, improve property, and we want to encourage people to move to our downtown, we can't do it at the cost where we price out everyone who currently lives there.

And, yes, I think this bill does a -- it 1 won't be like an end-all, be-all, solution, but 2 I think this is a good step towards not letting that 3 happen in Syracuse, as well as the rest of New York 4 5 City. 6 SENATOR MAY: Thank you. 7 MAURICE BROWN: Thank you, guys. SENATOR MAY: Rebecca Gerard. 8 9 REBECCCA GERARD: (Not speaking into a microphone.) 10 11 So I had just (inaudible). 12 If there are other residents that are waiting 13 to testify, I'm happy, because I work in Albany, to 14 testify at a different hearing. 15 Unless I'm last on the list, then I'm happy 16 to sit down. 17 But -- but if there are other people waiting, 18 since I work in Albany, I'm more than happy to, you 19 know, cede my spot. 20 SENATOR MAY: Okay, well, thank you. 21 If you're willing to wait, well, let's go to 22 a few others. And then if we have time --23 REBECCCA GERARD: Yeah, I have to present at a WOP meeting here in Syracuse. 24

SENATOR MAY: Oh, okay.

25

REBECCCA GERARD: But I think -- I think it's 1 2 more important that the people --SENATOR MAY: That the local people --3 REBECCCA GERARD: -- who are here from this 4 5 area get a chance to speak. 6 And I will have a chance to speak at one of 7 the future events. SENATOR MAY: Okay. 8 Well, thank you very much. 9 In that case, Phil Prehn, followed by 10 11 Robert Rubenstein. 12 Is that you? 13 We'll have Phil first, and then just giving 14 you a heads-up, you'll be next. 15 PHIL PREHN: Hello. 16 Hello? 17 SENATOR MAY: Go ahead. 18 PHIL PREHN: Okay. 19 Thank you very much, Senators May and 20 Salazar, for having this hearing, and I was 21 particularly pleased to see it happen here at 22 Danforth School. 23 For 20 years, starting in 1994, I was the 24 south-side organizer for Syracuse United Neighbors, 25 and I walked these streets, door to door, knocking

on people's doors and asking them questions about what was going on in their neighborhoods, and helping put together coalitions of people that worked on issues, and most of them surrounded housing.

And I'd like to echo the comments that

Common Council President Hudson, you know, uttered
earlier, that it's widely different today than it
was, you know, 20 years ago, even 10 years ago.

You know, we dealt mostly with homeowner issues -- low-income homeowner issues.

And -- but now it's mostly tenant issues, and tenants are under attack by a very new breed of predatory landlords.

And we're very happy to see some of the legislation that's being proposed here today.

Currently, I work as the systems change advocate for ARISE.

ARISE is an independent living center, one of thirty-two across the state. We operate in five counties.

I work here out of Syracuse. I'm the systems change advocate.

We work to help change policy, and to improve the lives of people with disabilities, and help

people with disabilities live independently in the community.

Obviously, one of the major things that people need, as a person with a disability to live independently, is a place to live. And it's become increasingly hard for people with disabilities to find a place to live, largely for two reasons:

First of all, to find a place that's affordable, and second of all, to find a place that's accessible.

In the city of Syracuse, affordability is the key issue for people with disabilities.

People with disabilities are amongst the poorest group of people in the city of Syracuse.

The city of Syracuse has the ninth-highest-rated rate of poverty in the nation.

People with disabilities exceed that level of 32 percent.

40 percent of people with disabilities in the city of Syracuse live under the poverty level.

A person with disability, for instance, living on social -- supplemental security income (SSI) benefits receives a little over \$850 a month.

An affordable house is something that takes 30 percent or less of your income to pay for,

traditionally.

That would be \$255.

HUD's fair-market rate estimate for a one-bedroom apartment in Syracuse is \$688.

So it would take 81 percent of an SSI benefit, for a person of disability in Syracuse relying on that kind of benefit, to afford their home.

And, of course, the other big, critical challenge faced by tenants with disabilities is a need for accessible housing units.

Basic accessibility is a home with either a no-step entrance or a ramp, a 36-inch wide doorway, living space on the first floor that's wide enough, and, hopefully, with a bathroom. It has a 5-foot turning radius in the kitchen and other areas.

The housing stock in the city of Syracuse, mostly older one- and two-family structures, were not built with accessibility in mind.

95 percent of the housing in Syracuse was built prior to 1990.

That's when the ADA was passed and the Fair Housing Act was amended to require construction for four more units to be accessible.

And that's the other big issue: The

requirements for accessibility under the Fair

Housing Act really focuses on multi-unit properties,
and those don't exist within the city of Syracuse.

They largely exist in Onondaga County out in the suburbs.

And people who -- people with disabilities, on limited income and looking for accessible housing, often find it very difficult to access those kind of apartments even if they're accessible, largely because they have higher rents and they're not always served by adequate public transit.

So as a result, people with disabilities are facing, in the city of Syracuse, wait lists of at least a year or more.

We've heard of people waiting for up to four years for an accessible and affordable place to live.

The alternative is:

Paying up to 50 to 75 percent of your income for your housing;

To just give up and live in housing that's not accessible at all, and have people drag your wheelchair up the stairs, or, you know, be in a place that's hard to occupy safely.

The worst-case scenario, of course, is being

forced out of your home into a nursing home or another institutionalized set.

So there are several things that we're looking for the New York State Legislature to do to help tenants with disabilities find this kind of affordable and accessible housing.

We -- ARISE adds its voice to the people today, asking, you know, for some of the legislation that we've been proposing.

You know, and one of the ones that wasn't mentioned, that I'd like to focus on, is -- it's Senate Bill 2375, sponsored by Senator Krueger, and it's in the Assembly, it's 1620, by Assembly Member Hevesi: Housing Stability Supports.

This would create a new statewide rent supplement for families and individuals eligible for public assistance and are facing eviction or homelessness or loss of housing due to domestic violence.

HSS would replace all current rent supplements.

So, currently, the stipend for a person on public assistance for -- in Onondaga County is something like \$375.

Housing Stability Support proposes to pay

80 percent of this -- of HUD fair-market rate of \$800.

And the county has the option to bring that up to 100 percent of HUD fair-market value.

The alternative is paying for people to be in, you know, homeless shelters, and it's a serious problem here as well.

It's not as huge a problem maybe as perhaps downstate, but it's still a problem here.

One of the interesting things that we find is that a lot of -- an unintended consequence is that a lot of, you know, housing shelters, homeless shelters, are not accessible, and people with disabilities have time -- a hard time accessing them.

And one of the perverse things about that is that, in order to get any of the services that flow with the federal government's money for homelessness, the continuum of care, you have to be in that shelter before all the services kick in and people look at you and start talking about your situation.

And so we find a lot of people who are out-of-doors and other places like that are not eligible.

We've had situations where, a mother who is a caregiver for her son, they both arrived at the shelter, and that shelter says, "We don't allow male and females to be in the same room."

They broke them apart.

And because the son was -- had so many difficulties as a person with a disability, they shipped him off to a nursing home in Cortland 30 miles away.

So, you know, it's -- it's -- people with disabilities face a lot of issues.

I know Sally Santangelo mentioned that, you know, asking for a reasonable accommodation oftentimes results in, you know, an eviction notice from landlords.

Ironically, people with disabilities, requesting to make your apartment more accessible, it's just a request to be allowed to pay for it yourself.

You know, that's what they're asking.

And oftentimes, even just that, saying,
"I want to, you know, put in a ramp. I'll pay for
it. I want, you know, grab bars in the bathroom,"
result in that.

Oftentimes, you know, we've seen instances

with just pure, you know, ignorance of what a person with disability goes through, that landlords are scared they're going to be liable for problems.

We had a woman who got a -- one of the very rare Section 8 vouchers in our town, because the Section 8 list is closed, has been closed for several years.

She finally made it to the top of the list.

And she was -- she took the whole 120 days to try to find a place to use her voucher, and could not.

So, I guess my time is up.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Yeah, I'm (indiscernible) just because we have a limited amount of time here.

But we really -- we tremendously appreciate your testimony.

Do we have any questions from my colleagues?

PHIL PREHN: And very quickly, I'd like to
say, thank -- I'd like to support, also,

Senator Hunter -- uh, Assemblyman (sic) Hunter and
Senator May's bill to, you know, require, before
imposing sanctions with DSS, to ask about
accommodations for disabilities.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Good.

Again, we appreciate all of your testimony,

and, you know, thank you.

Next up we have -- I think we're going to bring these folks up together, if that makes sense to you, Paul Ciavarri of Legal Services of Central New York, and Robert Rubinstein of Hiscock Legal Aid Society.

Am I saying that properly?

Which one are you?

ROBERT RUBENSTEIN: Robert Rubenstein.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay, great. Thank you.

Welcome.

So if you could just say your name into the mic for the record, and then we'll start.

ROBERT RUBENSTEIN: Robert Rubenstein.

I apologize, Senators. I wasn't aware that
I would be giving any testimony, so I don't have any
prepared remarks that were sent to the Committee.

I guess really all I can provide to the Committee with regards to this hearing is my own personal knowledge as to what happens at court.

Myself, alongside with many of the attorneys who fearlessly defend tenants in eviction court, day in and day out, we are constantly seeing what the effects of 30-day notices are having, the effects of habitability issues within the properties that are

not being called into code enforcement, and resulting in these evictions.

Code enforcement can only do so much.

There have been many other people who have testified as to what is being done, what is not being done.

And, again, all I can tell the Committee is what I see at court.

And a complaint gets made to code enforcement. A report gets made. Little to nothing gets done.

And, yes, there is retaliation by landlords.

I have made those arguments myself in court.

I have had to make the case. In some instances I succeed. In others I don't, because there is a rebuttable presumption.

The fact that many of these tenants are scared to call code enforcement results in deplorable conditions that many of our city's tenants have to live in.

There are unknown numbers of tenants who are probably being forced to move because they would rather not deal with the court system, or they're being intimidated or harassed by their landlords.

I'm not trying to paint all landlords with a

broad brush.

There are some who do listen to their tenants and do what needs to be done.

But there are, especially those that we deal with in court, not -- they're not doing what they should be doing.

The issue of a 30-day notice is devastating on a lot of tenants, because a lot of them will say, "I have paid my rent. I don't know why this is happening. Why is my landlord kicking me out?"

You know, strengthening that protection, you know, making it so that a 30-day notice has to have very specific reasons as to why it can be served, will provide tenants that security with contacting code enforcement.

The Committee's heard about tenants using their only weapon, which is rent, against the landlords being extremely risky.

The withholding of rent will almost always bring the tenant into court, and it will almost always require either a payment of that rent, either in full or abated because the Court has ordered it so, or the tenant has ultimately spent the money because a lot of our tenants are just one emergency away from an eviction.

I think what this Committee ought to understand is that, where habitability concerns arise, and if the Committee wants to provide teeth to the various code-enforcement agencies around the state, would be to require and strengthen the ability of our courts to order repairs to be made by the landlords.

That is generally what tenants want done: they want the repairs made.

You know, you've heard from the tenants that people are living in these conditions, and they're putting up with it. But they just want to live in a decent, habitable home.

And we have legislation which requires these landlords to maintain these properties in a safe -- at a safe -- at a safe standard, and yet it's not being done.

There's no teeth behind it.

And I think that that's what this Committee really ought to consider, in addition to good-cause eviction, and, as well, any other proposals that are before it or the rest of the Legislature.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.

And on the -- I just -- I don't know if you were here before, but this Committee also will be --

we will be doing a hearing specifically on code-enforcement issues, where we're having it in Newburgh in the Hudson Valley, and focusing on a few specific localities, so we can go into some depth.

We think it will shed light on what we can do in various places around the state.

Just on the last issue you raised, the question of giving courts the ability to order repairs, are there models of that that you're familiar with?

Like, I think it happens different -- I mean, in a New York City context (indiscernible) somewhat differently, because it often involves the housing agency itself, sort of, threatening to do the repairs, and then -- on an emergency basis, and then bill the landlord.

And I think there's usually more than an administrative agency involved in those cases.

But is there -- are there -- are there models of laws that permit judges to just order it directly that you're familiar with?

ROBERT RUBENSTEIN: So, at present, in terms of legislation, there is both the Uniform City Courts Act, which is modeled off of the New York City Civil Courts Act; specifically, Article 2 of

both of those acts, which do grant the courts the ability to do this; however, it's an equitable power of the courts.

It's one which the courts can use only in a discretionary manner, and it's not a mandatory power that the courts can use.

I think strengthening that power of the courts would, in fact, give more teeth behind complaints to code enforcement about housing violations, habitability issues that are being raised, and providing an alternative, especially for those tenants who just want the repairs made and have the money there with them, and say, Your Honor, I have the rent money. I just want them to make the repairs.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: So we, obviously, can follow up with that.

But if you have further -- you know, if -- further thoughts on how to do that, or -- we would be -- I think we would be interested in having that conversation.

Other questions?

SENATOR MAY: Yeah.

Thank you for your testimony.

I guess one of the things that I'm struggling

with is how, in the absence of really enforced codes, if the City doesn't have the money, for example, to really do code enforcement, how do you see the relationship between the legal side of things and that kind of, just, enforcement side of things?

ROBERT RUBENSTEIN: I think something that could potentially assist the enforcement of the various code provisions would be something in the form of the statewide rental registry, building into that a possibility, that if a property is not on the local municipality's rental registry, that there is no allowed rent to be collected.

The majority of cases we see at court are non-payment of rent, for various reasons.

If a property were to be found not on the rental registry, then it would provide greater protections to a tenant. It provides a complete defense, and it's an issue that we can raise.

Obviously, being fair-minded, there would need to be some provisions to allow landlords some kind of -- I appreciate that things have to be balanced.

It would necessarily have to have some balance, I think, in order for the Legislature to

probably consider passing anything.

But that kind of a protection, in terms of a rental registry, would, I think, provide more strength to code enforcement, to make sure that the properties are up to habitable standards, by requiring these periodic inspections, as Councilman Driscoll had testified to earlier.

SENATOR MAY: Thank you.

SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you so much for your testimony.

I wanted to ask, just for clarification on what you were proposing with regard to the Civil Courts Act, is that -- that's not -- or, is it currently existing legislation, or a proposal to strengthen CCA 10 -- or, 110?

Sorry.

ROBERT RUBENSTEIN: The legislation

I referred to, the -- both the Uniform City Courts

Act and the New York City Civil Courts Act, are

existing pieces of legislation.

What I would suggest is the Committee or the Legislature, in full, consider strengthening those provisions, to change it from a discretionary power of the courts to a mandatory power of the courts.

SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Great.

Thank you again for your testimony, appreciate it.

ROBERT RUBENSTEIN: Thank you, Senators.

Thank you for having the Committee come.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Next --

SENATOR MAY: (Inaudible) I apologize, but I need to leave.

But I want to thank again my colleagues for coming up here and listening to the issues of Central New York.

And I look forward to bringing these -- what we found, back, and being able to talk to people about how we are going to act on some of these issues.

So, thank you so much.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: And I'd like to thank

Senator May for her -- her and her staff's

assistance in putting this hearing together, and for
her extraordinary advocacy for this community, and
for emphasizing just how important it was for us to
be here today.

And we're very happy to accommodate.

SENATOR MAY: And let me also thank the central staff who have come here -- come so far.

1 These guys have been here multiple times now 2 to help us out. 3 They do a great job. Thank you all. 4 5 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. Like I said, next up on my list here, we have 6 7 Mark Spatafore (ph.)? Is Mark here? 8 9 Okay. I don't see mark. 10 Okay. Darlene Medley? 11 Sorry? 12 Sorry, Darlene Medley is next. 13 And, yeah, right that after that we'll have a 14 panel, including Missy Ross. 15 But, Darlene, come on up. 16 DARLENE MEDLEY: Hello. 17 My name is Darlene Medley. In November of 2017, my home at 255 Rockland 18 19 Avenue was deemed unfit for human habitat due to the 20 foundation of the house completely slipping. 21 I received help from Catholic Charities' 22 relocation program. They connected me to my current 23 landlord, MRT Properties. 24 I've been at MRT Properties now for a little 25 bit over a year.

Back in January of 2019 was the last time

I was taken to court by MRT Properties.

While in the courtroom, there were 25 other different cases. All 25 these cases were for MRT Properties, every single last one of us.

We all came from different walks of life, different sides of town, but we all had the same common theme: Our landlord does not fix anything. He just wants our money.

So upon that, my landlord was requesting that I needed to pay 1350, which was more than my average monthly rent amount.

My landlord would wait until the rent would build up past the monthly rent amount, and then try and come collect the money.

Never once would the landlord leave me with a copy of my lease, with the proper address to be able to even get a money order to mail it to him.

So this was a continuing pattern.

Then, back in November, I had to take my twins, who are 3 years old, to WIC. This was November 15th.

November 30th I learned that my twins had high lead levels.

One over 22, and one of 12.

They are premature twins.

The Onondaga County Department of Health contacted me and came out with a public-health nurse to teach me how to properly clean up the lead.

I was also given ideas on different foods to help them get the lead levels down.

I worked really hard.

My twins' lead levels are now 8 and a 9.

My landlord was informed that he needed to remediate the lead.

Upon me finding out, I called code enforcement, and I gave my information.

I was told, and I quote: Have you spoken to your landlord? Because this is going to cause problems for you and your family.

I immediately hung up out of fear.

My landlord began to remediate the lead by sending one man, with one paintbrush and one paint can.

Never once was I asked for a cloth, a spray bottle, or a bucket.

Nothing.

My landlord was allowed to pass -- to fail, excuse me, this inspection on four different occasions.

And so finally the department of health put a notice my door, which was very embarrassing.

The whole time, though, my landlord was allowed to collect his \$1100.

Finally, in March, the apartment supposedly passed the lead inspection by simply just painting over it.

Upon doing my own research, I learned that my landlord owned over 100 rental properties, along with a very wealthy business.

I would have been more understanding if he only owed -- owned, excuse me, four, or maybe even five properties.

But then on top of that, he's also one of the top ten CNY realtors in Central New York.

I lost my job behind this.

I even had a mental breakdown behind this.

But, I never stopped. I didn't give up.

I just knew I had to do something to help create change.

I never thought about being retaliated on, so

I began to speak my story, first to "SU News," then

to News Channel 9, and even going to a legislative

session and speaking there, and to "The Post

Standard," which was just this Tuesday, on the

7th.

As I was down there and I told my story,

I began to feel -- and start feeling like, you know,
things were moving in a positive direction, and,
maybe, working with my local elected officials in my
community, that we could really create change.

On this day, a few of my children had started to get sick, so I went to the store to get some chicken noodle soup because I didn't have any in the cabinets. I hit the corner of Park and Pine, going down Pine -- going down Pine toward Butternut.

I saw my landlord, who made a left, going down Pine, like he was heading to the highway, he was going away from my house. So I kind of shrugged it off, I didn't think anything of it.

So when I left the store and I got home,
I got in my driveway, and, literally, as soon as my
feet hit the driveway, my landlord was right behind
me.

He was so close I could feel his headlights on the back of my legs.

He called my name numerous times.

I didn't have anything to say to my landlord, because never once did he even knock on the door to apologize for my twins contacting lead from his

property.

So I figured, you know what? Let me give him the benefit of the doubt. Maybe that's what he's here for.

So I went to go listen to what he had to say, and he began this rant of:

Why are you going around lying on me?

Why are you telling all these stories on me?

Why do you have newspaper people hassling me?

I have friends downtown too. They called me and told me you were there.

So I felt real little, and I tried to tell him: I'm not lying. The lead did come from your home. Yes, I did tell you I thought it was going come from Rockland, because that home was deemed unfit for human habitat. But when they tested them, and they tested your home, we found it to be here.

He therefore looked at me and told me, Do you know I can have you and your family out of here in 1.5 seconds?

 $\label{eq:children} \mbox{I'm a single mother of nine children, eight} \\ \mbox{whom are boys.}$ 

If I go to a shelter, my boys that are 14 and up cannot come to the shelter with me.

We would be separated, which is very, very

unfair.

I'm not a parent that just allows their children to do what they want.

My children did put two holes in this man's wall.

I went out, I bought the Sheetrock, I bought the plaster, I bought the spatulas, I even bought paint.

All I needed him to do was to send somebody in my home to properly fix it.

He wouldn't even do that.

He did not fix the wall until lead came and told him that he had to fix it, and they gave him that grant.

And the whole time, though, that this man was failing these inspections, he was allowed to collect his \$1100, where me and my children are now back on DSS.

We were 30 days away from telling the system that we did not need them anymore.

I was down to the point where DSS was paying \$282 of my rent. I was paying all the rest of the rent by myself.

I was doing very well before this whole lead situation happened.

And then this man just kind of came and ripped us apart, basically, by being able to just, basically, do whatever he wanted to do, because that's really what happened.

So, I'm asking you to really please think about pushing this, really.

Like, we have to do something.

There's no protection, and it's not even about the adult tenants.

It's more along the lines for me about the babies, because, as a mom, I feel like I failed my children, because now I'm watching my oldest one, who you could meet when -- before the lead issue happened, you could sit down with him, and he's 3, and you could have a full-blown conversation and understand everything that comes out of his mouth.

Now he stutters.

I've been going to the same daycare provider for eight years. The daycare provider has seen a change in him.

They don't -- he doesn't even talk, and I really believe it's because he's starting to fumble over his words.

My younger twin, he's becoming very violent and aggressive now. He's always fighting.

Their appetites are diminishing. They were good eaters.

I'm talking about, the older one, he loves vegetables. They're not doing that no more.

They were eating fruits. They would go crazy for bananas and strawberries. (Shakes head.)

So now I'm forced to give them whatever I can get them to eat, meaning, if it's a popsicle; meaning, if it's a piece of candy, which is something I don't even allow in my house.

But just for them to get something on their stomach, I'll do what I have to do.

And it's very unfair because there was no protection for me.

And now the only thing that I have to show for is it a Section 8 voucher.

I don't want that Section 8 voucher, in all honesty. It makes it harder for me now.

It makes it really hard for me because a lot of landlords, first of all, they know how many kids I got. I'm automatically put in a bubble.

Then they hear "Section 8." Again, I'm automatically put in a bubble.

But they don't know that I've worked so much of my life, that within five years I'll have enough

credits to the point where, if I wanted to sit down 1 and collect, I could. 2 That's not the kind of parent I am. 3 I'm really trying to teach my kids to go 4 5 above and beyond, to always keep pushing and to be 6 better. 7 So I ask you, when you go back to Albany and you talk to your co-workers, remember me, remember 8 9 my two twins. Tell my story, and help create the change 10 11 that I'm trying to create. 12 Thank you. 13 [Applause.] 14 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. 15 Thank you. 16 We certainly -- we certainly will remember. 17 Next up we have -- we're going to have --I don't know which of these folks are here. 18 19 -- Missy Ross and Ahmad Raheem and 20 Mary Cuna (ph.). 21 I have you listed all as a group, but it looks like, perhaps, we just have Ms. Ross. 22 23 Okay. 24 Great. 25 MISSY ROSS: Good evening.

I'm glad you had the opportunity to hear 1 Darlene's story before mine. 2 Darlene is one of my friends. 3 I want you to make note that Darlene is not 4 alone in the situation that she is facing. 5 6 I talk to people every day. 7 I live on the south side. I talk to people every day that are in her situation. 8 9 I don't know how we're going to make landlords fix any of these things if they can just 10 11 kick out, and we have such a lack of affordable 12 housing. Our houses in Syracuse are so cheap. 13 14 I'm a homeowner. 15 My mortgage, including my escrow insurance, 16 all that, is \$506 a month for a 4-bedroom house. 17 Okay? 18 There's no reason. 19 I know people in my same area who are paying 20 \$1100 for, basically, places that are falling apart, 21 are uninhabitable, and they're in my neighborhoods 22 as well. 23 This lead, it's not just on the inside of those houses. It's on the outside.

When they're not fixing that, that's

24

1 affecting our whole community. It's getting in our soil, it's getting in our 2 air, and it's impacting our kids. 3 It's impacting violence in our community. 4 It's impacting our graduation rates. 5 It's impacting everything. 6 7 And I don't see how, if you can just -- we have such a large group of people who are desperate 8 9 for housing that they are willing to live in these. 10 One of my best friends just moved into an 11 apartment. It has no working stove. 12 No oven, no stove. 13 Catholic Charities placed her there. 14 She's desperate. 15 She was homeless, sleeping couch to couch, so she is willing to take it. But it's very much not 16 17 inhabitable, in my opinion. And that's why we have to start making 18 19 landlords accountable. We have to force them to fix 20 it. 21 You can't just kick people out for 22 complaining. 23 I mean, it doesn't help our community at 24 large.

It's impacting my neighborhood terribly.

I mean, we have people who are also, like Twiggy said earlier, that are leaving the area entirely because they can't find affordable, adequate housing.

They're going to live with family and friends in other parts of the country.

And, I mean, someone else mentioned our tax base.

We've got about 58 percent of our taxable land is exempt right now.

We can't keep hemorrhaging people.

We have to start correcting what we've got going on, and we have to start making sure that these kids' health and safety is a priority.

It has got to be a priority.

I mean, we have school buildings that have lead and asbestos in them.

We have to start taking these kids seriously, and their health seriously, because it impacts them for the rest of their lives, and it costs us money in the long run.

The houses are cheap enough in Syracuse that these landlords, for the rent that they are charging, can afford to fix these properties.

There's no reason they can't.

I'm able to keep up my property. I am poor as well.

It's -- there's no reason why they can't; not people who are owning lucrative businesses, people that are owning 100-plus properties.

People need that security, that they're not going to be thrown out when they call codes.

And that's, basically, just all I want to impress upon you, is that it doesn't just hurt the tenants.

It hurts them, it hurts our entire community.

And this community needs a lot of help to rebuild, and we need a lot of investment in our children and in protecting them, because they fall into the wayside for too long.

Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Can you actually talk a little bit about of your -- you are -- you're -- you're managing -- you (indiscernible) talk (indiscernible) about your property, are you referring to your home? Do you -- do you -- are you --

MISSY ROSS: Do I own my home?

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Yeah.

MISSY ROSS: Yes, I've owned my home for

1 13 years.

2 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay.

MISSY ROSS: I bought my house, basically, because I was tired of slumlords.

I used to live on Lodi and Butternut Street. There's a red house there, a lot of people know what it's like, they've seen it. It's there for a long time.

My sink fell off the wall in the bathroom.

I had all kinds of chipping paint.

The fan was hanging by wires.

I mean, and, again, you call and ask for help.

I did end up turning that landlord in to, actually, it was National Grid, because there was a gas leak. I was pregnant at the time.

So this was 21 years ago.

There was a gas leak, and they came and red-tagged it because that's what the power company does when there's a gas leak in an oven.

So they came and red-tagged it.

Let me tell you, that my landlord was so mad, that he picked that oven up, ancient thing that it was, and threw it down the stairs.

And I looked at him, and I was, like, those

are your stairs, not mine. 1 And I moved. 2 And I've had a series of bad landlords. 3 And I had opportunity that not everyone has, 4 and I was able to purchase my own home. 5 6 I mean, there was a lot of things going on 7 in, like, 2006, when I bought my house. There was a lot of programs to help, like, 8 first-time homebuyers. 9 I got almost \$10,000 worth of grants to buy 10 11 my first house. 12 But those things aren't available to people 13 today. 14 So, I mean, if there's any way to bring those 15 things back, that would be great. 16 Something else I know, Pam Hunter mentioned, a bedbug bill. 17 I've not heard anything about that. 18 19 I'm very interested. 20 We have a serious problem here in Syracuse 21 with the bedbugs. They're being spread through our 22 schools, is what's happening. 23 I have had the bedbugs, and I will tell you, as somebody living in poverty, it is \$3,000 for a 24

small house. I had to borrow from everyone I know.

And I have read articles that having bug infestations, especially the bedbug infestations, can cause people to have mental breakdowns because they start to have a lot of lack of sleep, the insomnia, and that goes on.

So I'd love to hear more about that bill, and I am glad that somebody is talking about that issue as well.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.

Yeah, and it's been very recently introduced.

And I have spoken with the Assembly Member about

some -- about the possibility of Senate sponsorship

as well.

I will say that, in 2002, I was working for a city council member in New York, and she proposed that there needed to be a "bedbug task force" to start looking at this in New York.

And New York has made a lot of progress.

But I remember, at the time, people said, you know, that was -- that seemed like some off-the-wall idea.

But I think people understand that that -- in many, many of our cities, that's -- it's become a huge problem.

And so, you know, it is something that we --

you know, we're also glad that we're seeing a 1 2 legislative proposal, and we will be taking a look 3 it. MISSY ROSS: Thank you. 4 5 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you so much for your 6 testimony. Next up we have Geneva Hudson. 7 OFF-CAMERA SPEAKER: She left. 8 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Okay. She was here for 9 10 quite a while. So apolo -- tell -- thank her for 11 her patience, and tell her we're sorry we didn't get 12 to her. 13 Next up I have, I believe it's Kay -- this is 14 handwritten -- Kayla --15 KAYLA KELECHAIN: (Inaudible.) 16 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Yes, that's you. 17 Thank you for saying that better than I could 18 say it. 19 If you could -- yeah, if you could say your 20 name for the record, and --21 KAYLA KELECHAIN: Okay. 22 So my name is Kayla, it's actually, 23 Kelechain, we say it in Spanish. 24 I actually heard about this a day ago, so 25 I didn't bring anybody with me.

I'm an organizer for the Workers Center of Central New York.

I am really interested in coming here today because, if you needed stories of bad housing, the discrimination that goes along with the gentrification of the city, and, also, those who are undocumented. Right?

And, I don't know if this would relate farmworkers as well, who -- their -- the properties that they live on aren't even registered as rental units.

We won one case in Auburn, and we had to do a lot of work to even get code enforcement involved.

I do also want to mention, and thank you for being sponsors of Green Light NY. So we work on that here too.

Worker Center of Central New York, we serve Central New York in general. That's a lot of rural areas.

I myself organize in the city, but I think, most importantly, I'm coming here today to talk about the experiences I've had.

I -- it was interesting when

Assemblywoman Pam Hunter was talking about, the streets. So it was, what, Ashe Street,

Division Street.

decomposed.

I've lived on all those streets.

I've lived on Park Street.

When I first moved here, the first house -I came with nothing. And the first house that
I moved into had a dead mouse in the light. Right?
It was fried because of the light and it was

In that same house I woke up to a dead mouse in my bed. It must have suffocated when I slept.

I mean, these were the -- this is the housing conditions that are prevalent, not only on the north side of the city, but I'm pretty sure in different parts of the city.

We also had lead in our homes.

My daughter would break out into allergic reactions. When I got testing for her, they said she was allergic to mice.

So you could see how the infestation we had.

When we called the landlord, who is out in California, who has an office here, one of those LLCs, there's nothing that they could do.

I also worked a lot with the refugee community, and a lot of the people had problems getting help, right, anything that happened.

If it was infestation of bedbugs, they were made to feel like it's their fault.

If there's rodents, it's their fault.

But the housing, I mean, there's holes everywhere, windows are broken, paint is chipping, doors don't close, and the landlords tell them, "It's your fault."

And, of course, there's that "lack of rights" piece. Right?

There's no real organizing, or, back then, when I came in 2008, I would say that would be from 2008 to 2010 when I lived on the north side, there wasn't a lot of help or rights or enforcement.

It was just unheard of.

And I would say that a lot of the times people would just move, because they didn't know what to do. Right? Immigrant communities, they don't know what to do, and they're scared to say anything.

That's just one -- one part of my life here in Syracuse.

As I moved up, not only academically, but socioeconomically, right, it was -- I was -- back then I thought, you know, I need to move out of the area to look for something else.

You have to have three time -- you have to make three times the amount of your rent to even be able to rent in a better area.

Right?

And I would to go places that weren't even that much better, maybe probably around the university, because I thought, oh, students, safer, you have access to like better restaurants, better busing system, right, all these issues are connected.

And, I'm not a student. I'm not a young professional.

Even though I had a management position at that time, it seemed like I was barred from renting in that area. Right? They just want students.

I think it's a citywide problem, and I think it goes beyond legislation.

And I'm a little sad to say that I think our City has to do more, but I think there has to be more enforcement statewide as well.

I -- yeah, I would say so, and I think a lot
of people would agree with me.

I moved up a little bit more with pay scale, so I was able to afford the three times my rent, because the last time that I looked for something

around the university area, which there's a lot of students who have problems, but they're transient, right, so nothing really -- there's real no -- there's no organizing that's really going on.

There's probably like one group, and I don't see them here today.

But, as I moved up in the pay scale and I could afford it, and, on paper I looked great.

Right? So they meet me, they give me an apartment, and I'm, like, I'm one of the lucky ones. Right?

I'm one of the lucky ones that can move out of the neighborhood.

And the neighborhood I live in is a lot better, but it's not that great, and these problems are still felt widely.

I would say the bedbug problem is a huge, huge part of my life, where I possibly had a mental breakdown at that time because I couldn't sleep. My kids couldn't sleep.

And it is like \$3,000 just for a small apartment. It's ridiculous.

And the landlords, again, it's your fault. Right?

We're not allowed access to a better quality of life. It's our fault.

And I think, with this whole ID-1 (ph.) thing, we have to be really -- I don't know what the word is, I've been in meetings all day -- but, we have to be -- we have to push, because I feel like the City, especially the City, especially the development agencies, Syracuse industrial development agencies, is in bed with the developers.

Let's just be honest, right, exempt so many taxes.

And they're -- they're -- I sat in a meeting that was, like, a developer came in, so I think the developers have a huge part in this as well.

They want to attract the best and the brightest to Syracuse, without -- and the City just completely like, oh, yeah, let's give you a tax break.

You know what I mean?

Without realizing that we have residents here, who just because we don't fit the socioeconomic standards that they want the city to reflect, that we don't deserve the quality of life.

And this whole ID-1 thing, they really want to move people out. They want to displace people, move them outside, concentrate the poverty. Put more Family Dollars, Dollar Trees.

Those rents are affordable, but they're
really not. They're still like in the \$700 range
for like a two-bedroom, one-bedroom, horrible
housing.

There's also the issue -- I mean, all these

There's also the issue -- I mean, all these issues connect as we know, but they want to take people out. They're forcing people out. Right?

When one tenant moves, they fix up the apartments and they raise up the rent super high, and there's more development going on in the city, and so now they're just barring all these people.

Right?

They're making it higher, people are forced to move out.

And it's happening more and more, and it's going to keep happening.

And things -- I think one important part also is deposits.

I don't -- I haven't read the bill, I haven't read the proposals, anything like that, so I'm not as prepared, but, deposits are a huge part.

If -- if I -- I know so many people who have moved, and can't move, or can't move fast enough.

Right?

Because nobody depends on code enforcement,

I think, unless they have access, or they're able to have a -- I really think it takes like a support network for you to be able to get like the help that you need in this city.

But if you don't have that, you're just going to move and find another place.

And, a landlord will use any reason to keep your deposit.

Right?

So you pay your first month's rent and your deposit which is the same.

I mean, that's like \$2,000, just to move to -- I was going to say a "bad" word -- to a basic apartment that's not in a very safe area.

And then when you do move into an area, or are allowed to rent in an area, that's better, has a better quality of life, it's almost like you don't see any more of your kind, you know what I mean, any more of your people, let's just put it that way.

Yeah, and then -- I have a minute left.

So, farmworkers, I don't know if this goes to farmworkers as well.

We recently had a case, you could look on "The Auburn Pub," I believe that's the publication, there was horrible living conditions, and code enforcement didn't really do anything. And these
people, of course, a lot of them are undocumented,
and they don't feel comfortable.

And the landlord, even this place isn't even
registered as a living unit, right, they still have

registered as a living unit, right, they still have the audacity to be, like, you've got to, you know, pack up and move, or we're going to call the police, and they harass people.

I mean, there's just no protections.

I think it goes beyond the city.

I think the city and the rural areas are -- are -- there's nothing special about any of these places that we're talking about.

I mean, it's all going on in the same place.

Syracuse isn't more special than any other place.

I think it's sad to say that, right, that, oh, this is just this one isolated case, it's one city.

And it's not.

We see it everywhere, discrimination, and lack of protection, in general.

Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.

Questions?

1 Thank you, I appreciate it. All right, so we're getting down to the --2 3 and we appreciate the patience of those who are still waiting to testify. 4 I have three people left on my list. 5 6 I'm going to read them now. Just -- and if 7 you don't hear your name right now and you're expecting to testify, speak up. My staff will get 8 9 your name now. But we have, Jai Subedi. 10 11 And then I've got Ayman Moussa. 12 And I've got Carlotta Brown. 13 Okay, and those are the three. 14 Anybody else? 15 I see a few other folks here. 16 Anybody else expecting to testify who hasn't 17 testified? 18 Okay, great. 19 So, please, begin. 20 JAI SUBEDI: Thank you, Senators. 21 My name is Jai Subedi, and I'm a former 22 refugee from Bhutan.

And my story -- I'd like to high -- most of the things Kayla mentioned, what we have the north side, she covered it, most of the things that we are

23

24

having.

But my story, being as a renter, I have to move five times in two years.

So because of the quality of the housing, the lead issue, how court violation, the landlord is being very disrespectful.

And, finally, we put down a little bit of money together, and we own the home and we moved in three years.

So that is a good part of the story, that finally owned the house in three years and move in our own house.

But I'd like to highlight a couple things.

I work in the refugee resettlement program as a housing coordinator for a good chunk of time.

Almost -- I was a case manager for seven years, and two years for the housing coordinator.

So -- but in my prior in case-manager time,
I was -- most of the time I was dealing with the
landlords.

And I worked with many landlords. We formed a landlord association in the city.

I worked with the home headquarters, I worked with city government, to work together in a collaboration.

But most of the time we found, and, the quite surprising thing that I found, I had interns from the LeMoyne College, and I used him most of the time to do a little bit more research on the housing side.

So he made a good effort reaching out to the different sections of the city, the suburb area, where we can have new immigrants, or new-arriving refugee families, can be placed in good areas of the city or around the cities.

So in areas where we found, is some of the landlords, they don't want to take the public-assistance money.

Some of the areas, they said they don't like refugees.

Some of the areas, they said they don't take immigrants in their whole area.

Some of the areas, they said the housing is not affordable for them, because they have to rely on the public-assistance money, which is not affordable for the small-size families, and they don't take a lot of size families in those neighborhood.

So, end of the day, we have to stick in the city where there we can find the houses, but it is

always end-of-the day stories, not quality, the lead issue.

And always we have to, as Kayla said, one after another, one family lives. Then the landlord comes for a day, he fixed some, he clean up, and then the next family goes in there tomorrow.

There's no time.

And, always, the landlord there always after the money, you know.

 $$\operatorname{So}\mbox{ }\text{--}\mbox{ but there are also good landlords}\mbox{ I can}$$  say in the city.

So I like to highlight some of the good landlords that has made good progress in the city.

They own the homes from the land bank or from the foreclosure property. They fix it, and they rent it to the new immigrants and new refugees families.

So -- and those are former new -- former refugees or immigrants itself.

So what I see is difference, is most of my previous speaker will say, the investor -- I got a call from many, many investor during that time.

Some from Washington, D.C., some from New York.

Okay, I would like to invest \$5 million. Can you help me to find the houses?

So those kind of calls I got, so I have that experience.

So -- but my -- if we work with the local landlords, working together, for the quality housing, the better housing, the tax-revenue side for the City.

And the more homeowners increase program,

I think that will really change the dynamic of the housing in the city and in the state.

The lease, that I like to mention is, the lease were brought to families, and they don't know.

They are not written in their own language and nobody interpreted in their language.

So somebody just made a plus.

So if the house is \$1,000, they don't know how much the rent is it, you know, and for five-years contract.

So they cannot move out for five years, and they cannot afford \$1,000 for staying in the house.

So those would be in the, I think, new policies should come up, should be interpreted or have in their language explanation.

LLC loopholes would be closed.

I know there are many LLCs in the town and in the city that are taking good advantage of those

immigrants and refugees families and low-income families in the city area.

That's where the housing quality we see going down.

And -- and the PM money, you know, they should be taking the public-assistance money no matter what.

I think they still should come up with some sort of rules.

I don't know if there is any already, no discrimination.

But we see there are many landlords that refuse to take the public-assistance money, and they're going after the cash. Maybe they are hiding their taxes.

So this is pretty much I would like to highlight, and my experience.

And the good news from yesterday is, one of the new refugee family was from Somalia. Moved into the Kenyan refugee camp for a long time. And came to Syracuse, and he became a new homeowner in the city of Syracuse on the north side.

So we had a good coverage on the Syracuse dot-com today.

Thank you.

Thank you for your time, Senator. 1 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you, and thank you 2 3 for your work. JAI SUBEDI: Any question? 4 SENATOR KAVANAGH: I think we're good. 5 6 But we appreciate your testimony. 7 Next up, Ayman Moussa. AYMAN MOUSSA: Yes. 8 9 I have accent too, but I didn't know about anything until yesterday. 10 11 I heard the State can regulates rent, and all 12 that. 13 I moved to the United States about 10 years 14 ago, in New Jersey. I didn't know how to speak 15 English. I still don't know to speak English 16 correctly. 17 I rent basement for \$500, I lived there. I work in (indiscernible). I work 99-Cent 18 Store. I work so hard, until I find better job. 19 20 And I then move, my job move me, to Syracuse. 21 And when I came here, it was a dream for me, 22 because houses is so cheap here, you know. 23 And, luckily, someone from my job tell me, 24 Don't buy anything in the city of Syracuse.

is -- anything you buy, you don't know, the value

1 gonna go down. And I meet one in my church. He purchase his 2 house 30 years ago for \$60,000. 3 This is was -- I have been in Syracuse for 4 5 15 year -- or, 14 year. He tried to sells the house for \$60,000 after 6 7 10 years. He have a hard time selling it. Okay? 8 Anyway, I start -- I want to buy my own 9 10 house. 11 I -- they tells me, Stay away from the city. 12 I -- with my job, I was making \$1400 --13 \$14 per hour for my job, and this was really great 14 for me, and I found apartment for \$650. 15 It's a great, it's a nice, apartment. 16 I rented, and I decided to buy a house. 17 I figured out, it is better for me to buy two-family house because my wife doesn't work. 18 I end up buys two-family house nearby, and 19 20 I become a landlord. 21 Okay? 22 And I start to buys the house next door from 23 me, and I start to buy several houses. 24 When I heard the government controls the 25 rent, I came from Egypt.

1 Egypt.

Until 1952 was an university Egyptian guy, or Egyptian people, moved before 1960, because our economy was so good. Italian people and Greek used to come to Egypt to find job.

Now it is the opposite. We are all over the place because, what happens, the government want to protect the poor people. 1952, it becomes a revolution, and they start to regulate the rent, and regulate all that.

And what happened, once they start to regulate the rent, people stop investment in real estate. And people will struggle to find a place to live for 30 years.

Okay?

What I'm try to say is, the mores the government going involved between the landlord and the tenant, it gonna hurt everybody.

The landlord, it's not fun as is right now to be a landlord.

If you gonna make it more difficult for the landlord, make it a free market.

I hear a lot of people, I'm a foreigner too, if you don't like this house, why you have to stay there?

1 Go try to find another house, better house. The problem is not -- the problem --2 Syracuse, in one point, used to hold almost 3 250,000 people. 4 Now there is 140,000 people live in Syracuse. 5 6 And, luckily, we didn't lose 10,000 people, because there is refugees, 10,000 refugees, move to 7 Syracuse. 8 9 Can you imagine the problem, we have too many vacant houses. 10 11 Okay? 12 You talk -- you are asking the landlord --13 the lead issue. Okay, this is stop 19 -- what, 1970, the lead 14 15 issue. 16 I mean, anyone -- landlord, he didn't put 17 this lead. And it costs a lot of money to removes the lead. Okay? 18 19 If you try to pass that to individual as a 20 landlord, it's not going to be -- it's not going to 21 be doable. 22 Okay? 23 By the way, I don't own a lot of old houses. 24 I own about 16 houses right now. 25 Okay?

I'm doing very well. 1 I'm -- I'm -- I actually -- the only time 2 3 I evict, one time, one tenant. Okay? Before, it was okay to say in the ad, No 4 Section 8 and no public assistance. 5 6 Okay? 7 Now you cannot say that. Okay? 8 9 I'm willing to accept Section 8 and public assistance. 10 11 But people in Section 8, it has to be limit 12 for how many year to be in Section 8. 13 How many people -- I'm -- I consider myself 14 so lucky, because, when I moved to the 15 United States, nobody show me the freebie stuff. 16 Okay? 17 If I didn't have to work hard, I would be still relying on Section 8. Or, I never collect 18 welfare or anything since I moved to the state, 19 20 because I didn't know anything about it. 21 I considered myself so lucky not to know 22 anything, because, if you keep giving someone

anything, because, if you keep giving someone something free, he is not going to treat it right, in my opinion.

23

24

25

What I'm asking, you're talking -- I gonna

1 give you one example. Now I become smarter, I try to buys houses 2 3 cheap, because, you buy a house here in Syracuse, you don't make any money equity. 4 The government shift the equity every year 5 out of the house in Syracuse. 6 7 Okay? I bought a house for \$60,000 in town of 8 9 Salina, okay, two-family house. 10 The house assessed for \$130,000. 11 Okay, I tried to grieve the tax. They tell 12 me, You get a good deal. 13 Yes, I did, I got a good deal. How much is the tax? 14 15 It's almost \$7,000. \$7,000 for the tax. 16 I'm still make -- my mortgage is, like, 1500, 17 I'm collecting 2100. I'm still doing okay. 18 Okay? 19 But what I say, the houses doesn't go up in 20 value because the tax is so high. 21 The tax is so high. 22 And I look -- I go buy houses from the tax 23 auction. I look at it, and now when people working

If we shrink the government a little bit,

at the tax auction.

24

probably half of these houses not going to go foreclosure. People will be able to afford these houses.

Most of the people cannot afford the houses here, not because of the price of the house, but because of property tax.

I heard about, they not going to increase the property tax more than 2 percent, or something like that.

But they could increase the assessment value.

This year I received, like, six houses, each one, the assessment increased seven, eight thousand dollars.

Okay.

I keep all my houses in perfect condition.

Any house I rent, it's a beautiful house.

I don't mind, I love to live in this house.

I treat any -- every -- I treat that my rental belongs to my own house because this is how I survive, this is how I live.

My (indiscernible) -- I still have full-time job, plus my renter. But the majority of my income, I move to bigger house and better neighborhood, and all this stuff.

This is because my rental and my investment.

And I -- when I heard that, I'm planning to 1 2 keep these houses forever. 3 If the government is going to start to involve into that, it is gonna to be -- it's not 4 5 going to be fun, and the landlords are going to 6 start to move out. 7 It's already, New York State been losing population. 8 9 I don't think -- so, this area, it's a beautiful area to live. 10 11 I don't care, whatever who say, it's because 12 of school, the people move. 13 Mr. Cuomo, he say, because it's cold, the 14 people are moving out, is not true. 15 People moving out because they are tired from 16 paying so much tax. 17 The middle-class hurts the most here, and 18 there is too many jobs here. They couldn't find qualified people because 19 20 most of the people start to moving out. 21 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you for your 22 testimony. 23 I -- a few of the topics you have raised today, although very important, are not -- are sort 24

of beyond the scope of what we're here to talk about

today.

You know, and we might have, in some other context, be able to have a conversation about the -- you know, the purpose of public assistance and those things.

But besides -- and we -- we have not had a lot of landlords here, and a lot of landlord perspectives here.

And we understand that what we're talking about is a set of regulations about (indiscernible).

So we do -- we really very much appreciate your coming here and sharing your perspective.

I just want to -- I want to ask you a couple of questions (indiscernible).

AYMAN MOUSSA: Sure.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: So you've been here for a while.

AYMAN MOUSSA: Yes.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: And you've heard people testify; you heard lawyers who were in court, you've heard tenants that have experienced this.

If a tenant is in a building that's not as well maintained as you suggest -- as you have -- that I'm -- I have no doubt yours -- your buildings are, and there's a problem, and they ask the

landlord to fix it, and the landlord doesn't fix it, and they then decide to complain to the relevant authorities, the code enforcement, about that condition, do you think that the landlord ought to be able to just say, Well, I don't like people who complain about my building, so I think you should go elsewhere?

AYMAN MOUSSA: No.

They could complain. But, if I'm the tenant, and I don't like this place, there is plenty of houses I could find in Syracuse.

Syracuse is not like New York City.

There is -- the apartment I was rented for \$650 in Syracuse, I have a swimming pool. This is in Franklin Park Apartment.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: We -- I will stip that

we -- some -- those of us from New York City

sometimes marvel at what, you know -- what you can

buy in some places, in some neighborhoods, with -
with -- given the rents in New York City, and we

understand that.

But just -- do -- can you see something to the argument that, if there's a code-enforcement agency that, if you legitimately complain about a legitimate problem, there shouldn't be a landlord's

ability to retaliate by just saying, you know, your lease is over and you need to pack up your bags and go somewhere else, because you complained when there was no heat in the winter, or because you complained when, you know, the conditions violated the --

AYMAN MOUSSA: I understand there is a lot of slumlord. I understand it.

But, when you start go betweens the tenant and the landlord, okay, the same time, in Syracuse, I see the home development, or they start to build the brand-new houses in bad neighborhood or rundown neighborhood, this is not going to fixes the problem.

The only problem we have in Syracuse, think about it.

You have, before, in one point, it was 250,000 -- almost 250,000 people living in this city.

Today it is 140,000 people, mean, this city could handle another 100,000 people to live in the city.

The problem, we have too many people move out.

If you have a car was \$100, and it need \$5,000 to fix it, are you going to put the \$5,000 to

fix this car?

I'm asking you here.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: And I -- they -- I --

AYMAN MOUSSA: You not going to fix it.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: -- I think we understand that people won't spend money unless there is some -- unless it makes sense for them to do so.

And that -- and I think that, you know -- you know, to the extent, we've had many people say today, that we stipulate, that many landlords are good, decent people, trying to provide housing to people.

This is not about, you know, suggesting that every person -- that every -- that we're not suggesting that, you know, no landlord should be able to do their business and do it reasonably and charge rent.

I'm just -- for your comfort, given the business you're in, we're propose -- there are two distinct things that are proposed.

One is -- I mean, there -- actually, there are any number of bills we might consider.

But the big things that people are focusing that would constitute rent regulation, and one of them an expansion of the Emergency Tenant Protection

Act, which should allow localities to opt in to this system under certain circumstances.

I mean, New York City and in the current law, it only applies to buildings with six or more units.

So it would not apply to the, sort of, oneand two-family homes that you're talking about. And there are other conditions to get into that system.

The bill -- the other bill that's been talked about a lot today is good-cause eviction, does -- is intended to address situations where people -- where someone is willing to pay the rent, they're willing to pay a reasonable rent. But, for reasons that don't seem justified, the landlord wants to push them out of the -- wants to evict them.

And so that is indeed -- you know, it may not surprise you that, given that we're in government up on this panel, we believe in the government getting -- involving itself in these problems.

But it is -- it's not -- there are other states that have some of these laws on the books, and have not found it at onerous as you suggest.

But we do appreciate your perspective on that today.

AYMAN MOUSSA: Thank you.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Do you want to --

SENATOR SALAZAR: Yeah, I -- first of all, as Senator Kavanagh said, I really appreciate your perspective as a property owner, since most of the testimony today came from tenants.

But I was wondering if you had been present for the testimony of the tenants, and --

AYMAN MOUSSA: Yes.

SENATOR SALAZAR: -- listened to it.

AYMAN MOUSSA: Yes.

SENATOR SALAZAR: Because you said in your testimony that, if someone doesn't like it, that they should move.

But, did you hear, as I did, from the tenants who relayed their experiences about how they would not be able to move?

They wouldn't be able to afford to move, even as people who are working, or, certainly, as people who are perhaps on a fixed income, living with a disability, aging.

AYMAN MOUSSA: I'm 100 percent help the disability.

Okay, I'm came here, anyone talk today, sitting 10 years ago, he was 1,000 times better than me because at least he know how to speak English.

And when I came here, I didn't know how to

speak English. I didn't rely on the government. 1 I work hard to get where I am today. 2 3 Okay? If I am in their situation, and I have a bad 4 5 landlord, I gonna work hard to get myself out of this situation. 6 7 I'm not (indiscernible). I used to live in a basement. 8 I'm not in a basement anymore. 9 People have to take responsibility to improve 10 11 their life. 12 Okay, if I'm going rely on the government to 13 take care of me, okay, I -- this is how it is. 14 I understand, fair -- fair is great. 15 Okay? 16 But if you take from the hard-working guy to 17 give someone to try to bring in to better equal, 18 okay, why I work so hard? Why I work so hard? 19 20 If I sees a person not working hard as much 21 as me, he has the same standard of living as me. 22 Do you follow me? 23 SENATOR SALAZAR: Yeah, I follow you. 24 But --25 AYMAN MOUSSA: I'm not a rich guy. I'm not a

1 Trump. I'm not, anything. I'm just -- I have three kids. My wife is at 2 And I work hard to provide for my own family. 3 Okay? 4 And I teach my kids how to rely on themself. 5 6 Not nobody. 7 When I came to United -- you -- I hear about discrimination. 8 9 This is a joke to me. I came from real discrimination. 10 11 Discrimination to me, I'm Catholic, I'm 12 Christian, live in Egypt. I'm a minority in Egypt. As a minority in Egypt, you go in Egypt, you 13 14 know, we are educated. We are -- but, we get killed 15 for being Christian. 16 This is to me what discrimination. 17 If someone here doesn't like my skin, who 18 cares? It doesn't hurt me. It doesn't bother me. 19 20 It's a joke. 21 Whatever -- the people so sensitive about 22 discrimination. 23 I don't care if you don't like me because I'm Brown or Black, or whatever. 24 25 Okay, but, end of the day, there is law here

1 to protect me, again, if someone discriminate 2 against me. I have -- I could get a job. 3 I could buy a house. 4 I could -- who care? 5 Whatever inside your heart, I don't care 6 7 about. 8 SENATOR SALAZAR: Yeah. 9 Okay. 10 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Again, we very much 11 appreciate your patience, and your shared 12 perspective. 13 I'm not sure we have come to a consensus 14 here. 15 But we -- and, also, we really do appreciate 16 you joining us. 17 AYMAN MOUSSA: Thank you. 18 SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you. 19 And our very last, and very patient, witness, 20 Carlotta Brown. 21 CHARLOTTA BROWN: I also only found out about 22 this yesterday. 23 My name is Carlotta Brown. I am the 24 president of the Onondaga County Real Estate 25 Investors Club.

We have, currently, 80 members who pay to 1 belong. They own all kinds of properties, whether 2 it's, you know, one family house, or hundreds of 3 houses, or multi-family houses. 4 I'm in as a member of our club. 5 6 I've been to court many times, and I've seen 7 these attorneys. And I have been here in Syracuse since 2006. 8 I am originally from New York City. 9 I am aware of the rules and rent laws in 10 11 New York City, because I was a landlord there also. I'm a real estate broker. 12 And in New York City I was a mortgage-loan 13 14 officer for 18 years. 15 So I know a lot about real estate. 16 I moved here, why -- you say, well, why would 17 I move here? 18 Because the houses were inexpensive, and I could make a living from renting properties. 19

I'm going to address first what you just talked about, because I really was -- didn't know what the two factors that you were actually interested in talking about, which you just outlined. Right?

20

21

22

23

24

25

The rent regulation. The Emergency Tenant

Protection Act was one. And the other one, the good-cause eviction.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Right, and just to be clear, the -- you know, the -- the topic of the hearing is open-ended, and we've had testimony on a variety of bills.

But we're particularly focused on things that protect tenants, and things that regulate rent, and those are the two of the very big topics that people have discussed here today.

CHARLOTTA BROWN: Okay.

So I personally own about 25 units, and I manage about 25 units.

So, in 15 years, you can imagine how many tenants I have had.

And I'm not a slum landlord, and I don't represent slum landlords.

If I find I have a slum landlord, I quit them, because I just can't deal with the situation.

But, on the other side of it, you know,

I hear, and I've had tenants who had complaints of
what some of the tenants talked about here.

But a lot of the tenants cause the problems themselves.

I have properties that I have renovated,

totally, 10 times in 15 years.

Even today, I had a tenant call me and say, Well, Ms. Brown, why did you evict me the other day?

I said, Oh, really, why did I -- why did you just break the window when you left?

And he wanted to come and collect his furnishings that was still left in the apartment.

I said, Well, we have to fix the door that you broke the window out, and I have to find out how much it is.

He says, Oh, I know exactly how much it is. It's \$37, because I've broken it three times before.

My point is that, the tenants need to have

He only lived there eight months.

some accountability of their own.

Kind of what he's saying, that, you know, we're -- I know sometimes I feel like I'm an extension of the social service department, because people in the government feel that, oh, well you own the house, so it's okay you lose \$2,000 on this, and \$1,000 on that.

I had another time, when I had a code-enforcement violation against me. I couldn't pass my rent regu -- my five-year certificate-of-compliance inspection because of one

apartment that a tenant lived in, who was a refugee, because of the condition of the apartment.

Now when he moved in, it was inspected, it was in great condition.

And it had roaches, mice, food everywhere, beer containers. He had two little children.

And I could not pass my inspection because of that (indiscernible) family.

So I called social services, and I made a complaint about the condition of the apartment.

And you know what they told me? They said it wasn't bad enough.

So now I'm left, that I can't pass inspection. I had to pay another fee because I went past the time that I was allowed to get that.

And then, when they did finally move out, then I had to renovate that apartment again.

So there's two sides of it.

You do have people who -- I own -- and this is in the north side of Syracuse, I feel that there should be more education for the tenants of what is expected for someone to live in an apartment.

You come from the -- you know, the jungles of Bhutan, you have no -- you don't care that there's roaches or anything running around.

This is what I have learned: They don't care 1 about those situations. 2 They don't care about washing the wall or 3 washing the floor, or anything. It's just really, 4 5 really dirty. They need tenant education. 6 You also need financial education. 7 The young lady that talked earlier, she 8 learned how to buy her own house. 9 When we have people here who own more of the 10 11 houses here, then they're going to take care of them 12 because, they bought that door, they bought that 13 doorknob, they bought that sink. 14 I have people that break sinks and say, oh, 15 we have an emergency, come over. 16 What happened? 17 Oh, I got in a fight with my boyfriend. sink is off the wall. Please come over and fix it 18 19 immediately or I'm gonna call code enforcement. 20 I also find that tenants use code enforcement 21 as a weapon. 22 They'll do things to the property, call code 23 enforcement to try to get the house condemned.

And there really wasn't much wrong with it.

I've had that happen many times.

24

25

But they -- and then I don't tell the tenant that I'm no longer condemned.

You think I'm in this condemned situation, and then later on they'll say, well, you know, I had your house condemned.

I said, well, the house isn't condemned. I fixed whatever the problem was.

So there's really two sides of the story of that.

Good-cause.

And then there's a lot of times you have apartments that are in -- what I told you was renovated. The tenant broke, whatever, broke a lot of things. A landlord doesn't want to fix that.

Why are they going to fix it, and they're going to go back there again and fix it?

And if I go in, I put in my lease, that, you know, you have to keep the appliances clean.

I go in the apartment, there's piles of food on the stove.

I have to fix -- if I look back over my records over time, I kept going to that one apartment and fixing the stove because there's food all over it. And they don't -- they say, well, I paid my rent. I can do whatever I want in the

apartment.

That's what I've had told to me.

So I understand the emergency, you know, and there should be protection against -- for tenants who have problems with their landlord.

I do feel, though, that tenants have no -- very little accountability.

And there's no way here -- this is something else.

When we talk to code enforcement, and code enforcement has come many times to our organization to speak, there is no way that they can give any kind of violation to a tenant, even if they know the tenant did it.

It only goes on the landlord.

Another thing that's here, we need as landlords, is more help fixing the properties.

As Ayman said, why would -- you know, there only gonna be a certain limit of money that -- as a businessperson, that they're going to put into a property, knowing that it's not going to be worth it.

So, in a lot of cases, especially on the south side where the houses aren't worth more than thirty-five, forty thousand, for a one-family, they

can't some spend, ten, fifteen, twenty thousand dollars for lead remediation.

I currently, right now, am working with one of my landlords who has a lead case, where the tenant actually lived in an apartment that had been renovated.

So the only things that was found to have lead was the windows.

And the tenant told me, I'm going have this place shut down. I'm going to call every agency I can find, just to get back at you.

The house is an older house.

We currently are working on estimates right now. The work that's going to be done is around \$60,000 for a three-family house, for lead remediation and upgrading.

So there needs to be more programs to help -- grants, or whatever, to help the landlords abate some of these problems that's beyond their control.

The houses were built -- the average house here is built in 1920. So, at this moment, the average house here in Syracuse is 100 years old.

And, also, more education for the tenants who live in properties.

I had a tenant who had a child that had

lead-paint poisoning.

And, come to find out, when I went there, she said, oh, yes, my child was chewing on the window sill. But I didn't know it was going to give him lead-paint poisoning. You should have told me.

I said, When you moved in, you signed that you received my lead-paint disclosure, and we talked about it. But you let your child chew on the wall.

So you are responsible to look out after your children, to keep it clean. Not to let kids, you know, go and pick on the walls and throw toys against the wall, or jump on their beds and break holes in the wall.

This is -- I found an average situation.

But I own my own house since 2005 here in Syracuse. I haven't had to fix one hole in my wall, because I'm not destroying it, because I bought it, I took care of it, and I wanted to have it. And I know that I'm not moving somewhere else. I'm going to stay there permanently.

The taxes that we talked about are incredible here.

I have property -- you have many properties here, especially, I'm mostly on the north side, you have houses that are maybe worth \$60,000, that the

taxes is \$4,800 a year. More than, you know, 10 percent of the value.

This is an incredible amount of taxes.

And as they discussed earlier, 60 percent of the taxes here -- properties here are non-taxed.

So the landlords are carrying the other balance of the taxes, in general, because you have very low home ownership.

I guess that's all of the notes I had had, really.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: Thank you.

You're right on time.

Just -- can you -- and, again, we -- as it was noted with the prior witness, this is a perspective that has not been as, you know, well represented here today, and we do appreciate it.

And, you know, these hearings were put together on relatively short notice, so you're not alone in kind of hearing about it late in the process.

But we really do appreciate you taking the time, and your patience to be here still at this hour.

Just, when you talk about -- you said at one point that you do acknowledge that tenants --

CHARLOTTA BROWN: I, what?

SENATOR KAVANAGH: -- you said at one point that you do acknowledge that tenants need protections in some circumstances from landlords.

And something -- both of you used the term "slum landlord" to refer to other folks.

And when you say you don't work -- you, as a manager of property, you don't work with someone (indiscernible). You sort of remove yourself from that relationship.

What -- do you have thoughts on how we should distinguish landlords who are willing to do the right thing in -- as -- as you tell -- you know, that you have testified you try to do?

And what kinds of restrictions ought we to put on landlords who are, you know act -- you know, like, sort of, their intent is to be slum landlords, as you described them?

CHARLOTTA BROWN: Well, okay.

In Mount Vernon, New York, I have a -- I'm from New York City. So I have a friend of mine who is a code-enforcement inspector.

And I hate to volunteer, you know, work that we don't have here, but, in Mount Vernon, they inspect an apartment before a tenant moves in, and

1 they inspect the apartment when the tenant moves 2 out. That is not done here, so then it's my word 3 against them about what happened. 4 SENATOR KAVANAGH: And they inspect every 5 6 apartment upon --7 CHARLOTTA BROWN: Yes, they do. SENATOR KAVANAGH: -- upon -- at each -- at 8 9 exit --10 CHARLOTTA BROWN: Turnover. 11 SENATOR KAVANAGH: -- and -- at turnover? 12 CHARLOTTA BROWN: Yes. 13 SENATOR KAVANAGH: That's helpful. 14 Thank you. 15 SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you. 16 I wanted to ask, sort of for clarification, 17 about your use of the term "slumlord." What do you think, as far as behavior, and 18 the way that a landlord treats tenants, is actually 19 20 the difference? 21 Like, what -- what -- what makes someone a 22 slumlord, in your opinion? 23 Is it --24 CHARLOTTA BROWN: You know, I've been called 25 a slum landlord, but a lot of times it came from the

1 way my property was treated. 2 Okay? SENATOR SALAZAR: So --3 CHARLOTTA BROWN: You know, it started out in 4 5 one good situation, and then, you know, multiple 6 people did a lot of damage to it. 7 Okay? But to answer your question -- so, I mean, 8 I have been called -- our tenants call me that 9 10 sometimes too. 11 But there's -- you know, there is a line 12 there. 13 So what you're asking about is, I have found that they're only -- and I don't think it's most 14 15 landlords. 16 I really don't think it's most landlords. 17 And even in code enforcement here, when they come to our -- my organization, you know, they say 18 they spend, you know, 80 percent of their time on 19 20 10 percent of the landlords. It's not everybody. 21 22 Okay? 23

So you have the ones that we're probably referring to mostly, are the ones that don't care about any part of repairing it.

24

25

They don't care that, you know, there's --1 2 I mean, because I have seen it, where -- I'm, like, 3 you want me to rent this, and there's an actual hole in the floor, you know, that I can see into the 4 basement? 5 6 Or, you know, the water is -- you know, pipes 7 are broken in the basement and the water is still running, and I should rent it? 8

Or, I'm here in a house and there's mouse poop all over it, like rice on the floor, and I should still rent it?

You know, that kind of thing.

Not that, you know, you broke it/the tenant broke something, and you felt I didn't get there immediately.

You broke it, and now you want to snap my finger -- your finger, that I should come there right now and fix it.

No.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I still have to plan to take care of it.

But the properties that are never fixed.

SENATOR SALAZAR: So -- thank you.

And I think -- so I certainly agree that there are good landlords, and there are landlords who are neglecting the property, and who probably --

who we would probably say are bad landlords. 1 2 I think that we both agree with that 3 assessment. But, would you agree that, as legislators, we 4 should ensure that there are protections for tenants 5 against the bad landlords? 6 7 CHARLOTTA BROWN: Like I said, it's a thin line. 8 9 And then if you went to this other, where you 10 11

had more protection for both of us, I guess, because I'm a victim too.

I'm a victim too, if I take all of my money, and I'm continually -- and this is the truth -- I'm continually fixing these properties.

I'm not like Ayman. He only buys in the suburbs, and they've got to have three times the rent, and he's has got the granite countertops.

I don't have that.

I just have average apartments that's going to be 700 to 1,000 dollars, and just keeping it status quo.

All right?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So where's my protection against the tenants that are constantly breaking my stuff?

So it can work both ways.

1 What -- really.

So you're are asking me, I don't have an answer to that, kind of.

I really think only that inspect -- these inspections, that I know that a lot of landlords don't like to have.

But if you keep it -- you know, if you keep it in good condition, the inspection shouldn't bother you that much.

SENATOR SALAZAR: Okay.

CHARLOTTA BROWN: It all boils down to inspections, I think.

SENATOR KAVANAGH: So -- and we very much appreciate your testimony.

It is 8 p.m., which is our closing time.

And so we're going to wrap up today.

Again, this is the first of at least four hearings we will be having on these topics around the state.

We greatly appreciate everybody who testified today, and, my Senate colleagues as well, especially Senator Salazar who stuck it out to the end.

I also just with a want to acknowledge that, you know, the Committee staff worked very hard on this.

You know, we thanked Senator May's staff earlier, but, Committee Clerk Cleveland Stair, and, Nick Rangel, our counsel.

And I do want to thank the A/V folks, who I'm not -- I don't have the fortune of knowing their names.

So we tremendously appreciate their work to document this, so that people will be able to review this, which perhaps some of you are right now, at some future time at home.

And also Alex Lewis is here, also from central staff; we appreciate it.

Thank you very much, everybody who put this together.

(Whereupon, at approximately 7:38 p.m., the public hearing held before the New York State Senate Standing Committee on Housing, Construction, and Community Development concluded, and adjourned.)