1	-	E NEW YORK STATE SENATE FINANCE AND MEANS COMMITTEES
2		
3	J	OINT LEGISLATIVE HEARING
4	20	In the Matter of the 23-2024 EXECUTIVE BUDGET ON
5	20	MENTAL HYGIENE
6		
7		
8		Hearing Room B
9		Legislative Office Building Albany, New York
10		February 16, 2023 9:37 a.m.
11		9.37 a.m.
12	PRESIDING	:
13		Senator Liz Krueger Chair, Senate Finance Committee
14		
15		Assemblywoman Helene E. Weinstein Chair, Assembly Ways & Means Committee
16	PRESENT:	
17		Senator Thomas F. O'Mara Senate Finance Committee (RM)
18		
19		Assemblyman Edward P. Ra Assembly Ways & Means Committee (RM)
20		Senator Samra G. Brouk
21		Chair, Senate Committee on Mental Health
22		Assemblywoman Aileen Gunther Chair, Assembly Committee on Mental Health
23		Senator John W. Mannion
24		Chair, Senate Committee on Disabilities

2	Mental Hy 2-16-23	giene
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)
4 5		Assemblywoman Rebecca A. Seawright Chair, Assembly Committee on People with Disabilities
6 7		Senator Nathalia Fernandez Chair, Senate Committee on Alcoholism and Substance Use Disorders
8		Assemblyman Phil Steck Chair, Assembly Committee on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse
10		Assemblyman Angelo Santabarbara
11		Assemblywoman Mary Beth Walsh
12		Assemblywoman Chantel Jackson
13		Assemblyman Khaleel M. Anderson
14		Senator George M. Borrello
15		Senator Michelle Hinchey
16		Assemblywoman Anna R. Kelles
17		Assemblyman Chris Eachus
18		Assemblyman Alex Bores
19		Senator Gustavo Rivera
20		Assemblyman Brian Manktelow
21		Assemblywoman Jo Anne Simon
22		Assemblyman Brian Maher
23		Senator Lea Webb
24		Assemblyman Scott Gray

1 2023-2024 Executive Budget

1	2023-2024 Mental Hy 2-16-23	Executive B giene	udget		
		(0 + 1 1)			
3	PRESENT:	(Continued)			
4		Senator Kri	sten Gonzalez		
5		Assemblyman	Harvey Epste	in	
6		Senator Pat	ricia Canzone	ri-Fitzpatric	k
7		Assemblyman	Chris Burdic	k	
8		Senator Pet	er Oberacker		
9		Assemblyman	Jarett Gando	lfo	
10		Assemblyman	Keith P. Bro	wn	
11		Assemblyman	Edward C. Br	aunstein	
12		Senator Rob	Rolison		
13					
14					
15		LI	ST OF SPEAKER	.S	
16				STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
17		T. Sullivan	, M.D.		
18	Commission NYS Office -and	e of Mental	Health (OMH)		
19	Chinazo C	unningham, M	.D.		
20		e of Addicti			
21	-and		s (UASAS)		
22	Kerri Nei Commissio	ner			
23	NYS Office (OPWDD)	e for People	With	13	36
24					

1 2	2023-2024 Executive Budget Mental Hygiene 2-16-23		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Denise M. Miranda Executive Director		
6	NYS Justice Center for the Protection of People with		
7	Special Needs	223	228
8	Sharon Horton Executive Director		
9	National Alliance on Mental Illness of New York State		
10	(NAMI-NYS) -and-		
1	John J. Coppola Executive Director		
L2	NY Association of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Providers		
L3	-and- Glenn Liebman		
L 4 _	CEO Mental Health Association		
15	in New York State -and-		
16	Courtney L. David Executive Director		
L7	NYS Conference of Local Mental Hygiene Directors	250	263
18			
L9 20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	2023-2024 Executive Budget Mental Hygiene		
2	2-16-23		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Harvey Rosenthal Executive Director		
6	NY Association of Psychiatric Rehabilitation Services		
7	-and- Drena Fagen		
8	Cofounder & Director New York Creative Arts		
9	Therapists -and-		
10	Maria Cristalli President and CEO, Hillside		
11	Board Chair NYS Coalition for Children's		
12	Behavioral Health		
13	Alice Bufkin		
	Associate Executive Director		
14	of Policy and Advocacy		
	Citizens' Committee for		
15	Children of New York	297	312
16	Katherine L. Bajuk Mental Health Attorney		
17	Specialist		
4.0	New York County Defender		
18	Services		
1.0	-for-		
19	Treatment Not Jail Coalition -and-		
20	Allegra Schorr		
20	President		
21	Coalition of Medication-Assisted		
21	Treatment Providers & Advocates		
22	(COMPA)		
	-and-		
23	Nadia Chait		
	Senior Director of Policy		
24	& Advocacy		

CASES

337 345

1	2023-2024 Executive Budget Mental Hygiene		
2	2-16-23		
3	LIST OF SPEAKERS,	Continued	
4		STATEMENT	QUESTIONS
5	Jim Karpe NYS Resident and Parent		
6	-and- Michael Seereiter		
7	President & CEO New York Alliance for		
8	Inclusion & Innovation	355	362
9	Sebrina Barrett Executive Director		
10	Association for Community Living (ACL)		
11	-and-		
12	Sybil Newman Executive Director RISE Housing and Support		
13	Services -and-		
14	Erik Geizer CEO		
15	The Arc New York -and-		
16	Veronica Crawford Self-Advocate		
17	Care Design NY -and-		
18	Mike Alvaro President		
19	New York Disability Advocates	366	382
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Hi. If everybody
2	would like to take their seats, particularly
3	our three-agency panel, for the panel. And
4	everybody else. Thank you.
5	So on behalf of the women legislators,
6	so nice to see so many women running our
7	government agencies. That's not my official
8	remarks, though.
9	Hi. I'm Liz Krueger, the chair of the
10	Senate Finance Committee and permanent
11	fixture in this chair and this room. And I
12	am joined by Helene Weinstein, the chair of
13	the Assembly Ways and Means Committee, also a
14	permanent fixture in this hearing room.
15	We are delighted to be here with you
16	today for the ninth of 13 hearings conducted
17	by the joint fiscal committees of the
18	Legislature regarding the Governor's proposed
19	budget for state fiscal year 2023-'24.
20	These hearings are conducted pursuant
21	to the New York State Constitution and
22	Legislative Law.

23

24

Today our committees, Ways and Means

and Finance, will hear testimony concerning

the Governor's proposed budget for the Office
of Mental Health, the Office for People With
Developmental Disabilities, the Office of
Addiction Services and Supports, and the
Justice Center for the Protection of People
With Special Needs.

Following each testimony there will be some time for questions from the chairs of the related committees and other legislators on the relevant committees for this hearing today.

I will now introduce members of the Senate, and Assemblymember Helene Weinstein, chair of Assembly Ways and Means, will introduce members from the Assembly.

In addition, of course, I am joined by my colleague, the ranker for Finance in the Senate, Tom O'Mara -- who's also becoming a permanent fixture in this room, along with Assemblymember Ra -- and then he will introduce his members.

So first I'm just going to list out the members that I believe are already here.

We may of course be joined with others as the

1	day goes on. But I am joined so far by
2	Senator Mannion, Senator Brouk,
3	Senator Rivera, Senator Webb, and
4	Senator Fernandez.
5	Helene.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
7	We are joined by Assemblywoman
8	Gunther, chair of the Mental Health
9	Committee; Assemblyman Steck, chair of our
10	Alcoholism Committee; Assemblywoman
11	Seawright, chair of the Disabilities
12	Committee; Assemblyman Braunstein,
13	Assemblyman Bores, Assemblyman Burdick,
14	Assemblyman Eachus, Assemblywoman Jackson,
15	Assemblywoman Kelles.
16	Assemblyman Ra, would you like to
17	introduce your colleagues?
18	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Yes, thank you.
19	Good morning. We are been joined by
20	Assemblyman Gandolfo, our ranker on
21	Mental Health; Assemblyman Keith Brown, our
22	ranker on Alcohol and Substance Abuse; and
23	Assemblymembers Gray and Maher.
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we were just

1	joined by Senator Gonzalez as well.
2	And Senator O'Mara, did you cover
3	SENATOR O'MARA: No, I didn't yet.
4	It's going to be a long day.
5	We're joined by our ranker on
6	Mental Health, Patricia
7	Canzoneri-Fitzpatrick; our ranker on the
8	Substance Abuse Disorder and
9	Alcoholism Committee, Peter Oberacker; and
10	Senator Robert Rolison.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Great, thank you.
12	Before I start the introductions of
13	our panelists, I just want to go over some of
14	the basic rules of hearings, because it's
15	always good to have that in the beginning.
16	One, for the panels that are
17	government representatives, you each get
18	10 minutes to give your presentation. And
19	after all three of you have given your
20	presentation, then we will start questioning
21	by the legislators.
22	Nongovernmental witnesses, who will
23	come at the later panels, only get three
24	minutes to testify, and then we ask

1	
	questions.
<del>-</del>	queberono.

So for everyone, obviously you might give us 25 pages of testimony and we might appreciate it, and it's all up there on the website for everyone in the state to look at.

But try to be very concise in bullet-pointing and highlighting what you think are the most important sections of your testimony.

Otherwise, you will be shut down at page 3, thinking, I have nine more pages. And it won't work very well. So that's our recommendation from experience.

For the legislators, chairs of the relevant committees get 10 minutes to ask questions, and then they get a second round of three minutes. Rankers get five minutes. And all other members get three minutes.

Please note that if you wish to ask questions -- this is for the legislators -- let Helene or myself know so that we put you on a list that we call out to make sure that you have an opportunity to ask questions.

And again, for nongovernmental witnesses later, they get three minutes and

the legislators, including the chairs and the
rankers, also only get three minutes to
follow up.

And then, perhaps most importantly, when you ask a question, legislators, and the clock is showing three minutes, that's both for your questions and for the answers.

So -- this is our pet peeve -- when you have 14 seconds left on the clock, please don't start a new question, because it's unfair to the panelists; they can't possibly answer.

In addition, if we know that there's not going to be enough time to answer great questions, which is often the case, we're going to ask the panelists whether they can please get back to us in writing, regardless of who asked the question, to send it to Helene and myself, and we'll make sure all members of the committees will get the information in writing afterwards. So that's also helpful.

And then last but not least, we urge no PowerPoint presentations, no placards or signs permitted in the hearing room -- that

1	includes the guests in the audience, the
2	panelists, and legislators themselves.
3	Okay, I think we've covered the rules
4	of the road. I just want to just highlight
5	that our first panel includes Dr. Ann Marie
6	Sullivan, commissioner of the Office of
7	Mental Health; Dr. Chinazo Cunningham,
8	commissioner of the New York State Office of
9	Addiction Services and Supports;
10	Kerri Neifeld, MSW, acting commissioner,
11	New York State Office for People With
12	Developmental Disabilities.
13	And then the next panel will be
14	Denise Miranda, executive director, New York
15	State Justice Center for the Protection of
16	People With Special Needs, which has a very
17	unique oversight role for government.
18	And I'm going to start in the order
19	that I just called people out, so to speak.
20	So Commissioner Sullivan.
21	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Good
22	morning. I'm Dr. Ann Sullivan, commissioner
23	of the New York State Office of
24	Mental Health. Chairs Krueger, Weinstein,

Brouk, Gunther, and members of the respective committees, I want to thank you for the invitation to address the OMH proposed 2023-'24 budget.

This is a historic budget, aiming for the first time to support the implementation of a truly comprehensive mental health system with an investment of more than \$1 billion.

Over the past three years, New York State has experienced an increased demand for mental health treatment and services across all ages and geographic regions. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, we have been expanding ambulatory services and strengthening crisis services. And while this vital work continues, I'm so pleased to present today a budget that will now transform the mental health system to provide a full continuum of care for all New Yorkers.

Improving mental health care in

New York State requires that each component

of our continuum of care is strengthened and

the ability to move between levels of care is

supported to improve outcomes and recovery.

1	The budget addresses the entire continuum,
2	from services for individuals with serious
3	mental illness who require intensive services
4	and supports, to providing timely access for
5	all New Yorkers who need ambulatory care, to
6	supporting practices that interrupt a
7	progression to mental illness and provide for
8	wellness and recovery.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Finally, strengthening the system requires that insurers pay for treatment recommended by mental health professionals.

Intensive services and community supports. To increase needed access to psychiatric beds, OMH is working in collaboration with the Department of Health and community hospitals to reopen psychiatric inpatient beds that were repurposed to med-surg beds during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Governor's plan directs these community hospitals to immediately bring back 850 psychiatric beds taken offline, back into service, and includes legislation to strengthen enforcement. Additionally, there's an investment of \$15 million for the

Office of Mental Health to open 150 new state-operated beds statewide to support individuals who require an extended period of stabilization.

The components of this plan will be implemented in a manner that ensures individuals don't get lost in the system, but receive the care they need, by updating standards of care and accountability for admissions and discharges. Nearly \$14 million will be invested in 50 new Critical Time Intervention teams to provide wraparound services for high-need individuals returning to the community from the hospital and emergency rooms, which will now be included as a covered service under Medicaid.

For unsheltered homeless individuals struggling with mental illness, this year's budget also includes more than \$4 million for eight additional Safe Options Support teams, designed to assist individuals in recovery by accessing mental health treatment, housing, and support services. Enhanced hospital discharge standards and expanded

community-based services, including housing,
will further support these efforts.

Accessible housing with needed supports is critical to recovery. The budget proposes a capital investment of \$890 million and more than \$25 million in operational funding for the development of 3,500 new housing units for New Yorkers with serious mental illness, utilizing a housing-first model that includes a mix of transitional units, community units with intensive services, and permanent housing.

Every New Yorker deserves access to mental health services. The Executive budget includes more than \$85 million to expand a wide range of outpatient services to increase access, reduce wait times, and ensure individuals are able to get the help they need. All of these services will provide individuals with an opportunity for integrated mental health and substance use treatment, and will serve all ages.

Much-needed intensive services will be expanded, including comprehensive psychiatric

1	emergency rooms, 42 new ACT teams, or
2	Assertive Community Treatment teams,
3	expansion of a peer-based Intensive and
4	Sustained Engagement Treatment program, and
5	home-based crisis intervention for youth and
6	families.

In order to ensure that someone experiencing an acute mental health crisis has access to trained mental health professionals, the budget also continues full funding for 988 and the necessary crisis continuum of mobile crisis response, Crisis Stabilization Centers, and crisis residences.

In addition, to provide further access to New Yorkers, the post-pandemic budget provides for 26 new Certified Community

Behavioral Health Centers, which have been established together between OMH and OASAS, tripling the number of these CCBHCs across the state, and they will serve an additional 200,000 New Yorkers with integrated care.

Also, there will be an expansion of 20 Article 31 mental health clinics.

Prevention services for New Yorkers.

1	Increasing access to school-based clinics is
2	an effective way to help youth who were
3	impacted by the pandemic, and interrupt the
4	long-term effects of the anxiety and
5	depression they experienced. The budget
6	includes more than \$40 million in new
7	resources in children's mental health, to
8	expand prevention and access critical
9	services, including a significant investment
10	in school-based clinics and legislation
11	requiring commercial insurers to pay for
12	school-based services at a level equal to the
13	higher Medicaid-paying rate.
14	Additional youth prevention services

Additional youth prevention services include \$7 million for the expansion of Healthy Steps, a new program that integrates mental health services into primary care, and \$10 million in new resources to expand suicide prevention for high-risk youth in underserved communities.

Employment is one of the most effective strategies for the prevention of long-term disability. The budget includes \$3.3 million for the implementation of the

L	Individual Placement and Supports program,
2	which increases competitive employment for
3	individuals living with mental illness.

As I stated earlier, ensuring timely access and insurance coverage for needed mental health services is vital to the successful implementation of this plan.

Among other changes, commercial insurers will be required to provide reimbursement for crisis services, including mental health mobile crisis and crisis residential services, as well as school-based services.

The proposal will also prohibit insurance companies from denying access to medically necessary, high-need, acute and crisis mental health services for both adults and children. The insurance reforms will also address network adequacy, utilization review standards, and the creation of appointment availability and geographic accessibility standards for behavioral health services.

Finally, effective services are dependent on a robust workforce. Recruitment

1	and retention of workforce is critical, and
2	we are building on the efforts of this past
3	year, which had included a 5.4 percent COLA
4	federal funding allocated to strengthen
5	workforce, healthcare bonuses and rate
6	increases, and a two-year, \$104 million
7	investment in housing services.

Going forward, this budget includes a 2.5 percent cost-of-living adjustment for community mental health providers, as well as \$5 million for the expansion of the current mental health loan repayment program, currently for psychiatrists and psychiatric nurse practitioners, to include other clinical professions.

Also, the Qualified Mental Health
Associate credential will enable
paraprofessionals to work within the OMH
system and build capacity within our
workforce. OMH is also rolling out trainings
in youth evidence-based practices, integrated
care for individuals with substance use and
developmental disabilities, among other
opportunities to support staff in feeling

1	confident as they do this incredibly
2	important work.
3	Once again, thank you for the
4	opportunity to testify on this historic
5	budget, and I am happy to answer any
6	questions you may have.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: (Mic off.) Thank
8	you. {Inaudible.}
9	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Good
10	morning, Senator Krueger, Assemblymember
11	Weinstein, Senator Fernandez, Assemblymember
12	Steck, and other members. My name is
13	Dr. Chinazo Cunningham, and I'm the
14	commissioner of the New York State Office of
15	Addiction Services and Supports, also known
16	as OASAS.
17	Thank you for the opportunity to
18	present Governor Hochul's Executive Budget as
19	it pertains to OASAS. First, however, I'd
20	like to update you regarding some important
21	work from this past year.
22	As you are aware, the opioid
23	settlement fund advisory board was fully
24	constituted and met 10 times in 2022,

1	culminating in a report of recommendations
2	submitted on November 1st of 2022. Not only
3	did we receive input from members, but at
4	every meeting we also heard from individuals
5	in recovery, family members who are
6	supporting loved ones or who have tragically
7	lost loved ones, providers, advocates, and
8	other stakeholders.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I want to acknowledge the board for its dedicated efforts and commend everyone who took the time to share their story or experience at a meeting. Their voices are critical to the very careful consideration we are giving to settlement fund allocations and highlight why we do this important work every day.

Since receiving the board's report, OASAS has been working to make opioid settlement funds available that align with recommendations. We've started with harm-reduction initiatives, the first identified recommendation of the board and a top priority for OASAS. To date, OASAS has made funding available to support

low-threshold buprenorphine access, to expand integrated outpatient services that include methadone treatment, and to ensure that individuals and providers can access naloxone and fentanyl test strips.

The Executive Budget proposal includes appropriation of opioid settlement funds to be made available based on the board's identified priorities: Harm reduction, treatment, investments across the continuum of care, priority populations, housing, recovery, prevention, transportation, public awareness, and research.

We have made \$120 million available thus far. Sixty-four million dollars in funding is made available to municipalities, and \$56 million is in support of the opioid settlement fund board's priority initiatives. An additional \$11 million will be made available before the end of February.

Looking ahead, Governor Hochul has proposed a budget that will provide OASAS the resources needed to maintain a full continuum of prevention, treatment, harm reduction, and

1	recovery services. The proposed OASAS budget
2	appropriates more than \$1.2 billion,
3	including nearly \$175 million for state
4	operations, over \$968 million for Aid to
5	Localities, and \$92 million for capital
6	projects.

The budget also continues Opioid

Stewardship funds, which will allow OASAS to expand harm-reduction services and provide financial assistance to help ensure individuals can access treatment and medication.

Workforce remains a major issue for the OASAS system of care. Therefore, the Executive Budget includes recruitment and retention support such as the 2.5 percent cost-of-living adjustment for not-for-profit providers and the minimum wage increase for funded providers. In addition, the budget continues the healthcare and mental hygiene worker bonus program.

Close collaboration to appropriately treat individuals with co-occurring substance use and mental health conditions is a

1	priority for OASAS and the Office of Mental
2	Health. The budget also promotes these
3	critical efforts by tripling the number of
4	Certified Community Behavioral Health
5	Clinics, also known as CCBHCs, which provide
6	coordinated services to address substance use
7	and/or mental health conditions. CCBHCs have
8	an enhanced rate to provide an array of
9	comprehensive services to better serve
0	patients who may have more complex needs.

OASAS and OMH are also continuing to collaborate on the rollout of Crisis Stabilization Centers. These Crisis Stabilization Centers are designed to provide support, assistance, and urgent access to care. These centers serve individuals experiencing a crisis situation related to substance use and/or mental health conditions. They are the manifestation of "no wrong door."

Importantly, the Governor's budget will allow OASAS to address service gaps through the development and expansion of services, including nontraditional treatment

modalities. These initiatives include
funding additional mobile treatment units and
mobile medication units, the expansion of
telehealth technology, and collocation of
opioid treatment services that provide
methadone treatment within existing
outpatient programs.

In addition, OASAS is committed to expanding its reach to individuals who have not previously been engaged in services.

Street-level outreach teams, outreach and engagement services, shelter-in-reach programs, ensuring providers have access to naloxone and fentanyl test strips, and funding other harm-reduction programming are included in these efforts.

Through revenues from casinos and mobile sports betting, OASAS will be able to continue prevention efforts to inform the public about responsible gambling. This includes development of public awareness campaigns, enhancing training for clinicians, and promoting screening activities. OASAS is also developing guidance for the State

Department of Education to help educate young people about the potential risks of underage gambling.

The proposed budget also includes an appropriation related to adult-use cannabis legalization. This funding will support public awareness campaigns and collaborations with schools and community coalitions to help identify and implement effective underageurse-prevention strategies.

The OASAS continuum of care includes programming and supports to help individuals achieve and maintain their personal recovery goals. Safe, stable housing is a core component of recovery and reintegrating into the community. Therefore, the budget provides funding for supportive housing rental costs and to provide wraparound case-management services.

Lastly, the budget proposal includes ongoing support for a five-year capital plan to ensure the health and safety of individuals and proper maintenance of facilities.

1	As I have outlined today, the
2	Executive Budget will allow OASAS to continue
3	its critical work to provide access to
4	prevention; treatment, including lifesaving
5	medication; harm reduction, to keep more
6	people alive until they're ready to be
7	connected to additional services; and
8	programming to help support individuals in
9	achieving and maintaining their individual
10	recovery goals.
11	We appreciate your ongoing support for
12	this critical work, and I look forward to
13	collaborating with you to help ensure we're
14	reaching all those in need. Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Good
17	morning. Good morning, Chairs Krueger and
18	Weinstein, Disability Committee Chairs
19	Mannion and Seawright, and other
20	distinguished members of the Legislature. I
21	am Kerri Neifeld, commissioner of the
22	New York State Office for People With
23	Developmental Disabilities. Thank you for
24	the opportunity to provide testimony about

Governor Hochul's fiscal year 2024 Executive

Budget and how it benefits New Yorkers with

developmental disabilities.

First, I want to thank the Legislature for the funding in last year's budget which included a historic investment in the OPWDD system and demonstrated the state's commitment to the 131,000 people with developmental disabilities who access services through our system. Your acknowledgement of and commitment to people with developmental disabilities helps us to amplify their voices and improve their services.

In November, with the support of
Governor Hochul, OPWDD released its first
five-year strategic plan in over a decade.
Based on intensive stakeholder outreach and
dialogue, our plan represents a joint effort
between the agency and our dedicated
community, including people with
developmental disabilities, their families,
and our service providers.

Our strategic plan is truly the

1	collective work of hundreds if not thousands
2	of people from across our state. It
3	represents our shared goals and objectives
4	for moving our service system forward to
5	better meet the changing needs of people with
6	developmental disabilities, while
7	prioritizing equity and ensuring
8	sustainability. Together, we outlined
9	priorities and set a course for the coming
10	years. The three overarching goals outlined
11	within our strategic plan include
12	strengthening the workforce, transforming the
13	system through innovation and change, and
14	enhancing person-centered supports and
15	services.
16	The Governor's 2024 Executive Budget
17	aligns with our first and most critical
18	goal to strengthen the workforce by

aligns with our first and most critical
goal -- to strengthen the workforce -- by
building on the 5.4 percent cost-of-living
adjustment provided in the current fiscal
year, with the inclusion of an additional
2.5 percent COLA for OPWDD's nonprofit
providers. This is the first time a governor
has provided back-to-back COLA investments in

more than a decade.

2	Combined, these investments will
3	provide more than \$700 million towards
4	increased costs, including staff wages.
5	OPWDD welcomes this critical provision and is
6	grateful for any additional increases that
7	will help improve our ability to recruit and
8	retain essential direct care and clinical
9	staff, the majority of whom are women of
0	color.

The direct care workforce strives to empower people with developmental disabilities and support them as they achieve their personal goals. Their commitment was evident during the late December storm in Buffalo. Many staff missed holiday celebrations with their families and worked multiple days in a row, ensuring that people were safely supported. This is just one example of the dedication demonstrated by direct care staff every day, in every corner of the state.

While the workforce crisis is our highest and most urgent priority, the

Governor's budget also proposes targeted investments that address critical needs and support important policy reforms. These vital investments align with priorities identified by our stakeholders in our strategic plan.

The proposed budget supports our goal to transform the system through innovation and change. It includes legislation that would allow people with developmental disabilities and their families, once approved by a nurse, to train support staff to administer medication and perform other simple nursing tasks. This will benefit many people who strive for greater independence.

To ensure that New Yorkers with developmental disabilities are supported to have full access to services, the proposed budget funds a statewide ombudsman program for people eligible to receive OPWDD services. This program will provide an independent advocate who will help people navigate the service system and resolve disputes.

1	The Executive Budget further supports
2	the goal of system transformation by
3	continuing investments in new service
4	opportunities to meet the needs of people
5	coming into our system for the first time.
6	It invests new state resources which, when
7	leveraged by federal resources, adds up to
8	\$120 million. This investment ensures that
9	we can continue our work to expand the
10	continuum of services and fully implement a
11	person-centered approach.

The proposed budget continues the annual \$15 million capital investment in community-based housing. With this additional funding, the state has invested a total of \$125 million in capital resources to develop independent housing opportunities for people with developmental disabilities since 2016. These funds are separate and distinct from resources available through the Empire State Supportive Housing Initiative, which also provides opportunities for people with developmental disabilities.

Finally, the Executive Budget supports

our third goal by enhancing services for people with complex needs. An investment of \$11.7 million in capital funding will allow us to expand our capacity to support people with complex needs through the development of additional inpatient treatment opportunities at the Finger Lakes Developmental Center campus.

A key priority also identified by stakeholders is to strengthen diversity, equity and inclusion in our service system, and to expand our stakeholder engagement to include those who have been historically underserved by OPWDD. By enhancing the capacity of community-based organizations and small providers who have expertise in serving diverse communities, we will continue to work toward a system that is overall more culturally and linguistically responsive to all New Yorkers with developmental disabilities.

Just as the people we support are not all the same, neither are the solutions that need to be in place. People with

1	developmental disabilities should be embraced
2	as vital and participating members of their
3	communities. To accomplish that, we need to
4	have a system that enables people with
5	developmental disabilities to have full and
6	appropriately supported lives within their
7	communities regardless of their age,
8	background, or level of need.
9	I understand that these are big goals,
10	but I believe they are goals that we are well
11	on our way to accomplishing with your
12	support. We look forward to an enacted
13	budget that will allow us to advance OPWDD's
14	supports and services and empower people to
15	live the lives they want to live. I look
16	forward to working with all of you as we make
17	these critical system improvements a reality.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you all
19	very much for your testimony and for giving
20	us some time back, wow.
21	Our first questioner will be
22	Samra Brouk, the chair of the Mental Health
23	Committee for the Senate.

24 SENATOR BROUK: Can you hear me? I

1 did this wrong yesterday. Is it on? Maybe?
2 Okay, great.

Hi, good morning. My questions are for Commissioner Sullivan.

First of all, I just want to thank you so much for your leadership of OMH. You know, for so many years I think we talked about the fact that we were just begging for money, begging for attention for the mental health crisis that I know you saw coming before everyone else did, especially after COVID. And now we're in a position where we get to have substantive conversations around, Okay, right, we've got a Governor who believes in funding our mental health priorities, and now we really need to make sure those funds are going towards the right, most-effective programs.

And so I look forward to talking today and continuing to work with you. As we all know, we have to support our workforce and figuring out how to retain and recruit, how to diversify our workforce so we can increase cultural competency. Of course our youth

we've talked about, having dealt with so much over COVID and needing more support. And of course the reform of our mental health crisis response. So looking forward to getting into some of these questions today.

My first question I wanted to bring up is around workforce and how we're supporting that workforce, specifically through our cost-of-living adjustments or our COLAs. So I've shared with some folks that before I was a Senator, obviously I worked in the private sector, and every year you got your COLA and you looked forward to it every January. And frankly, if I didn't get it, I was probably looking for another job, because I needed to keep paying rent, I had to keep buying groceries, and now pay for daycare and childcare as well.

And so I think it's important that we think about what we've done over the last 10 years, right? So we had one year, in 2021, my first year in this position, a little over 1 percent. After that we had this big 5.4 percent increase. So it was

1	somewhat disappointing to see going back kind
2	of backwards this year, the 2.5 percent
3	especially when we see inflation, I think
4	they finally made the call for the past year
5	was at about 6.4 percent, although it was
6	higher throughout the year last year.

So my question to you is, what do you think this is actually going to do to help us retain this workforce, knowing that it's really not competing with the cost of eggs, the cost of, you know, the daily things -- mortgages, rents -- that this workforce needs to pay for?

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: First of all, thank you. Thank you so much.

I think that the two things to consider, one is it is two back-to-back COLAs. I know that 2.5 percent is not the same as last year, but it is 2.5 percent. But in addition, there's been significant increases that have come into the system over the past year through rates. And when you increase rates, you also increase dollars to providers, and that has an impact on salaries

1	as well.
2	So there's been, for example, a
3	10 percent increase in clinic rates. There's
4	been a 27.9 percent increase in inpatient
5	hospital bed rates. There's been \$104
6	million
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Commissioner,
8	we're all having a little trouble hearing,
9	so
10	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Oh, sorry
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Sorry. If you
12	can bring it closer.
13	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Sorry
14	again. Is that better?
15	(Inaudible exchange.)
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Also, some mics
17	are better than others, so okay.
18	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Okay?
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: That's working.
20	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: That's
21	okay? Okay. Thank you.
22	I think there's the COLA is a
23	back-to-back COLA, 2.5 percent. But in
24	addition, there's been other investments in

1	the system, through rate increases, that
2	ultimately make our providers more viable in
3	the ability to work with their staff and to
4	increase salaries.
5	So as I said, 10 percent, clinic rates
6	have gone up all across the mental health
7	system. Most of our programs PROS,
8	et cetera have had similar increases.
9	Hospital beds, 27 percent. RTFs, 25 percent.
10	And in addition, basically a huge increase in
11	dollars for the housing system that has
12	impacted salaries.
13	So that's added in. And in addition
14	to that, we are also working on a pipeline to
15	recruit people, with universities I won't
16	go into the details of all that, but we're
17	working on that and then also
18	SENATOR BROUK: Commissioner
19	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: we're
20	doing special programs to educate.
21	SENATOR BROUK: Wonderful. There's a
22	lot to get to. I appreciate that answer.
23	You know, as we're talking about this,
24	I'm curious what you think. How would it

help retention if folks knew that every year they would have salaries that would continue to compete with increasing costs every year?

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: You know,

I think that, you know, obviously salaries

are important. But I think that whether or

not you put that into every year or not I

think is something that needs to be thought

about carefully. But yes, obviously salaries

are important for people.

SENATOR BROUK: I agree. Which is why
I think we should all support my bill that
would index the workforce's salary and COLAs
to inflation.

So the next question I wanted to bring up is still about workforce somewhat, but about the use of peer services. So we've all seen the effectiveness of using peer services for mental health and substance use, whether in clinics or in response teams.

And I'm curious if there's a reason why you think we don't see more use of peers in this Executive proposal. Obviously we're excited about the INSET program and that

1	work. But is there a place for more peers
2	throughout these programs?
3	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: It's
4	actually embedded throughout the programs.
5	All the programs will be having peers
6	involved. And last year we were able to bill
7	for peer services throughout our clinic
8	system. So there's an extensive use. It's
9	not in the testimony, but it's all very
10	extensively used throughout the system.
11	They're incredibly effective.
12	SENATOR BROUK: Wonderful, thank you.
13	My next set of questions are around
14	the emergency response for mental health. So
15	I think it's tremendous and I really thank
16	you for your leadership. I'm so proud of
17	what you all have done with 988. And we get
18	to go around and tell folks that New York is
19	doing it right. New York really is a shining
20	example for how we deal with 988. Excited to
21	see more funding this year.
22	I think it's clear that we all
23	understand there needs to be reform to our
24	crisis response system. And there's one

1	thing that I don't know, and I'm hoping you
2	know, which is there's a lot of different
3	types of teams out there across the state in
4	terms of first responders for mental health
5	crisis. Do you know how many different types
6	of teams exist, whether on the county level
7	or the municipal level, throughout the state
8	right now?

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yeah, we do have -- we work very closely with the counties, so we know what's there. At this point in time we know there are mobile crisis teams in all except, I believe, two counties, and we are funding those. We have RFPs out for those.

There are also other kinds of intensive teams, but we know who they are, where they are. We work very closely with the counties and their function in the counties.

SENATOR BROUK: Do you think that there's value to any sort of standardization so that no matter where you are in New York you know at least a bare minimum of who might

1		_			1 7 . 1	
show	uр	ior	а	mental	nealth	crisis?

I think of it as like firefighters or police, right. If you're in one county and they're bringing you a bucket of water and in other county you get an entire hose and you have actual fire hydrants, that really seems unequal.

Do you think that there's room in this proposal to really look at some sort of standardization of these types of responses?

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yeah, what we have been working on is -- the mobile crisis teams do have standards, and they are licensed and they are regulated, these specific teams, mobile crisis teams, by the Office of Mental Health.

The issue has been making that they're as available as they need to be. And in parts of the state they are really quite available, with rapid -- pretty rapid response times of sometimes 15 minutes, 20 minutes. In other parts, they have not been, sometimes it's longer.

So we're trying to standardize across

1	the state on ability for the mobile crisis
2	teams to have a uniform response time and
3	staffing. But what they do is uniform, and
4	their response to calls is uniform. That's
5	the clinical standards that have been
6	established.

SENATOR BROUK: I appreciate that.

Again, there's a bill. Obviously

Daniel's Law, in the name of Daniel Prude,

where there wasn't a response for him. And

since then, so many folks have come to us

with tragic stories of there not being mental

health response units.

So I would love for us to consider that as a proposal, as potentially one of the answers to making sure there's some level of uniformity. Of course it will change based on region, based on rural, urban, suburban and what's possible. But I think we all agree that more needs to be done. So I look forward to working with you on that.

And in my last two minutes -- although

I'm reclaiming 15 seconds for the mic

issue --

1 ,	T - 110	hter.	١
Τ (	шаис	HILLET.	,

SENATOR BROUK: My last question is
around these Qualified Mental Health
Associates. So this has intrigued many of us
around a new profession, essentially, in the
mental health workforce. So I'm curious,
what is the credentialing for someone who
would be a Qualified Mental Health Associate?

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: You know, we've learned this all from our colleagues over at OASAS, where you have something which is kind of comparable, which is the CASAC, which is the certified addiction counselor.

Basically this will be a qualification for individuals to serve as providing support and assistance to individuals who -- they will work with a licensed professional, under that licensed professional's supervision, to provide support. Kind of like health coaching, is the idea. Although also they might help someone go to appointments, they might help them exercise. If they're depressed, they might help them follow up on their treatment plan from their provider.

1	So they are really there to be an
2	adjunct to work with the individual under the
3	supervision of a licensed professional.
4	SENATOR BROUK: Okay. So no
5	diagnosing or
6	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN:
7	Absolutely. No diagnosing, no assessing, no
8	treatment planning, no.
9	SENATOR BROUK: Okay. So I guess my
10	question is and this will be my last
11	one is I hear from a lot of providers that
12	it's basically like we're kind of taking from
13	one organization and giving to another. I
14	mean, right now we're just like moving people
15	around. Especially like in the Rochester
16	area, there's just not enough people.
17	So my thought would be for something
18	like this, do we really think it's going to
19	attract new people into this profession? Or
20	are we really giving people just another
21	title to take on who are already providers?
22	Like who do you anticipate taking this title?
23	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: This will
24	be new individuals coming into the

1	profession. We're working a lot with our
2	community colleges, and we would also work
3	with individuals graduating from high school.
4	We're going to be recruiting people to
5	come in, get this training, and then have
6	this as a certificate that enables them to
7	work in our system under professional
8	supervision. So it will be an increase to
9	the workforce.
10	People who are already in the
11	workforce who are not professional could also
12	get the credential, but the goal is an
13	increase.
14	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you,
15	Commissioner.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	Before I hand it to the Assembly,
18	we've been joined also by Senator Hinchey and
19	Senator Borrello.
20	Thank you. Assembly.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And we've been
22	joined by Assemblywoman Simon.
23	And we go to the chair of
24	Mental Health, Assemblywoman Gunther.

1	Also I believe Assemblyman
2	Santabarbara is joining us.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Good morning.
4	The Executive Budget is proposing to
5	tie the minimum wage to inflation and giving
6	the hospitals a 5 percent rate increase. And
7	I'm beginning to hear bigger numbers than
8	that being floated around.
9	Do you agree that there should be a
10	rate parity between rate increases for
11	hospitals and the human service sector?
12	Also, if we are considering tying the minimum
13	wage increase to inflation, why are we not
14	using the Consumer Price Index to develop the
15	COLA every year?
16	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Well, just
17	on the rate issue, that's those rates are
18	tied to cost when you deal with Medicaid
19	rates. So I don't know that you can always
20	just have a uniform rate increase across all
21	services. You have to really look at them
22	closely.
23	But there have been, as I said before,
24	those significant increases.

1	I believe that the technology that has
2	to do with how COLAs are determined is
3	intricate, and I think that this year
4	again, I just have to emphasize that this is
5	a back-to-back COLA for the first time in a
6	long time that we are seeing an additional
7	2.5 percent.
8	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: We want
9	8.5 percent. That's what we're that's our
10	mantra.
1	For the expansion of loan forgiveness
12	programs, which mental health professionals
13	will be eligible? We consistently hear that
4	the workforce lacks individuals which reflect
15	the communities they serve, and we need to
16	emphasize cultural and linguistic competency.
17	Will there be any consideration to help
18	address these concerns under the loan
19	forgiveness?
20	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN:
21	Absolutely. I mean, loan forgiveness is open
22	to all. We're actually out recruiting,

Absolutely. I mean, loan forgiveness is open to all. We're actually out recruiting, trying to get individuals who will be working in certain areas, especially in underserved

1 communities.

The additional loan forgiveness will be for other titles. So at this point in time we're anticipating it can be utilized for social workers, psychologists, others who maybe need loan forgiveness, to get people into the field and work in the public sector.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: As you noted in your testimony, the state is planning to bring back online 1,000 beds -- why they took them offline, I don't know -- including 850 psychiatric beds and 150 state-operated ones.

Regarding the negotiations with the hospitals to bring these beds back online, can you provide us with an update on those negotiations, including the reason why the hospitals are hesitant to bring these beds back online. And where are the beds located? What is the backup plan if they never can bring them back? And can you tell me what percentage of these beds are designated to provide children's mental health services?

And I know that, having worked in the mental health field as well as you have, that

L	if we send our children from Sullivan County
2	to Rockland County, a lot of people that are
3	low-income don't have cars, we don't have
1	buses, so they really can't engage with the
5	therapists, et cetera. So we need these beds
5	close to home.

And we know that there's an increase in children's behavioral health, and we need more beds. You know, we send our kids from Monticello, New York, to Four Winds in Westchester. People don't have transportation. They also work.

So we also have a lack of children's psychiatrists. Their waiting list in Sullivan County can be up to five months even when a child is in crisis.

So I think that -- as we go forward, I think social workers are important, getting more people in the field. I think that these crisis intervention beds are very, very important. We don't want to see children being picked up by an ambulance; that only causes more harm to the child.

And I know that we're beginning to

1	invest. First of all, we need that 8.5 for
2	the people to be working in the field
3	mostly women, a lot of people of color. And
4	I think at this point in time we have to
5	realize that we have to pay some attention to
6	this mental health crisis in New York State
7	as well as around the country.

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Thank you.

Relative to the 850 beds, we have been in touch with all the hospitals that have had offline beds. A letter went out requesting them to give us plans as to when they were thinking they would be able to reopen those beds. And we're in the process now of looking at that and discussing it with the hospitals. The hospitals have been working with us.

If we will be -- there are various reasons why those beds were closed. Some of them were COVID, some of them have been for construction, some of them are updating inpatient psychiatric beds.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Lower reimbursement, don't forget that.

	OMH	COMMISSIONER	SULLIVAN:	Yes.
--	-----	--------------	-----------	------

Well, this year there was a 27.9 percent increase in the rate of reimbursement on Medicaid for inpatient psychiatric beds that the Governor put in place. And that's a huge increase that enables many of these hospitals to think differently about their psych beds, because basically there's now a better reimbursement rate.

A year ago, two years ago there was a 25 percent increase for youth beds. And that is in addition to now another 5 percent which is on top. So there has been a significant increase in the rates for psychiatric beds. And that's what we've been talking with the hospitals about, so that they can look at their financial plans and understand that.

The other is to just work with them over the next month or so to get those plans aligned. And then as long as we approve those plans with the Governor's office, then we will go forward. If some hospitals don't do what we think we need, then there can be fines and some enforcement to make sure that

1	they don't close beds that we think should be
2	kept open.
3	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: It really
4	truly has to be sooner than later, because we
5	get telephone calls I'm sure everybody
6	here does about children in need of
7	inpatient care. And then, after the
8	inpatient in a hospital, that they need
9	someplace to go.
10	And we've really closed all these beds
11	because it was all about cash and profit, it
12	wasn't about people. And we know that.
13	So and even with hospitals, all the aids
14	we give to they really we had 29 beds
15	in Sullivan County. We had some in
16	Orange County. And at this point, you know,
17	we have parents sitting with their children
18	24 hours a day with no place to go. This is
19	the United States of America. This is not
20	what we do.
21	So and I know you're doing your
22	best, but I'm hoping the Governor will hear

me that we need more done.

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Just to

23

24

1	emphasize that the wraparound services that
2	are in this budget are extraordinary for
3	kids, and I think that will have an impact.
4	It's beds, wraparound services, home-based
5	services that enable the youth not to get
6	stuck in those EDs and to really have the
7	services they need when they need them. So
8	that's what we're going to be adding across
9	the state.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: When you say
11	wraparound services, not every county has
12	those wraparound services.
13	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I know.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So if you're
15	from a wealthier county, you may have it.
16	Remember, Sullivan County, all of the a
17	lot of counties don't have it. It's a good,
18	sexy sound, but we don't really have it.
19	It's not mandated, and it's not done in every
20	county.
21	And every child and every adult in
22	mental health crisis deserves the same level
23	of care.

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN:

1	Absolutely. Agreed.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: (Mic off.) Thank
3	you. I'm sorry, are you done?
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: (Inaudible.)
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, thank you.
6	I didn't want to jump.
7	Next we have Senator Mannion, the
8	chair of the I'm sorry the off not
9	the office, he's the chair of the committee
10	for people with developmental disabilities.
11	SENATOR MANNION: Senator Krueger,
12	thank you. You should not set me up with a
13	potential comment to make after that. So I
14	appreciate that.
15	And with all due respect,
16	congratulations, Commissioner Neifeld, on
17	apparently maintaining your position as
18	commissioner.
19	(Laughter.)
20	SENATOR MANNION: Good morning, and
21	thank you to Madam Chairs, and welcome to all
22	the witnesses in particular, right now,
23	our commissioners in front of us. I thank
24	you for being here today. This is not easy

1	work. It's challenging work. We are up
2	against great challenges in this state as it
3	relates to the delivery of these necessary
4	services. And I commend all of you for your
5	work in trying to make sure that New York is
6	a more inclusive place and we address the
7	serious needs that are present.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I appreciate the partnerships in working and advocating for people with disabilities, and particularly with you, Commissioner Neifeld. I believe we all agree that the many issues that are facing the I/DD community are great and that the pandemic disproportionately impacted individuals in this community. That the delivery of necessary services, services that enable people to live with dignity and respect, are currently under serious duress.

We are in the midst of multiple crises. The greatest of these is the workforce crisis. And that crisis is not only long term and short term, it is immediate. We must address this crisis.

Commissioner Neifeld used certain

words in her testimony which I really
appreciate, which were that we want to make
sure that individuals with disabilities are
vital and participating members of our
community, and that we all couldn't agree
more. But the pandemic has placed us in a
more challenging position. And many of the
things that allow for a vital and enriched
and participatory life have been taken away,
unfortunately.

So it is my opinion that we need to do more in this budget. I believe that everybody in this room knows that. And it is my hope that we can build on the significant progress that we have already made in the past couple of years.

And with that, Commissioner Neifeld,
I'm going to start with today and then I'm
going to go back a little bit. So my first
question is the Executive Budget proposes a
2.5 percent cost-of-living adjustment. And
as was articulated by Assemblymember Gunther,
you know, we believe, I think collectively,
that tying it to the Consumer Price Index

1	would place us in a much greater a better
2	place. So, you know, the previous year, the
3	past year, that metric was 8.5 percent, and
4	that's why many members are going to be
5	calling for that.

So do you believe that 2.5 percent is sufficient, considering the current situation related to an ever-competitive workforce environment and just the greater costs that are present in all of our systems?

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Thank you. You know, thank you for what you said, and thank you for the question.

I think -- you know, as I said in my testimony and as Dr. Sullivan also mentioned, right, in her responses, you know, the 2.5 percent COLA in the proposed budget is building on the current year's 5.4 percent. And for the opioid OPWDD system, for our not-for-profit system, that's an investment of over \$700 million over the course of two years, which is a significant amount of money that providers have been given for the flexibility with which to invest.

1	And certainly, you know, given that
2	workforce is the largest expense that our
3	providers face every day as part of their
4	budgeting for their operations, we would
5	expect, you know, a commensurate investment
6	in workforce, you know, using those
7	investments.
8	So I do believe that that \$700 million
9	over the course of the two years is a
10	significant amount of money.
11	SENATOR MANNION: Great. Is there any
12	good news? Because I think we have heard
13	snippets of good news out there related to
14	the workforce since, let's say, a year ago.
15	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: So for
16	state operations, which is the system that,
17	you know, OPWDD runs and monitors closely, we
18	are seeing, you know, based on investments in
19	our workforce, we are beginning to see
20	retention you know, increased retention of
21	our workforce.
22	We don't have, you know we don't

have, you know, realtime data on the

workforce for the voluntary sector, but in

23

24

1	discussions with the voluntary sector what
2	I'm hearing is similar, you know, slow
3	subsidization of retention efforts within the
4	voluntary sector as well.
5	SENATOR MANNION: And this is
6	challenging work which leads to a high
7	turnover rate, and I believe in our
8	workforce-related hearing that we had some
9	time ago, a little over a year ago, I
10	believe, my memory was that that number was
11	something like a 35 percent turnover.
12	Are we still seeing, if you have the
13	data, numbers like that, either in the state
14	system or the voluntary system, if you know
15	that that data exists?
16	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: I don't
17	have the turnover data off the top of my head
18	or with me, but it's certainly something that
19	we can follow up with you on.
20	But like I said, retention we know
21	that retention efforts are increasing, we
22	know that retention is increasing. And we
23	have, you know, an enormous amount of

recruitment efforts underway which I could go

into, you know, an exhaustive list of what

we're doing to work on recruitment, both for

the state-operated and the voluntary sector.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

You know, we're partnering with the National Association for Direct Support Professionals, which, you know, as you know, is a nationally, you know, renowned organization that supports DSPs. We're working with SUNY, we're on the precipice of really launching with the new chancellor on an opportunity for our DSPs to become micro-credentialed. In addition to that, you know, working with SUNY Empire, we're offering opportunities for our DSPs to receive college credits that, you know, that are for the training that they receive to be DSPs, to be able to get college credits for that that they can put towards a degree, you know, if they're pursuing, you know, additional studies.

We're working with BOCES across the state. We have multiple BOCES lined up that we're working to develop curriculum on to help build that recruitment pipeline for

students who are coming out of high school and who are interested in joining the workforce.

We're working, you know, very closely with -- in a partnership with Georgetown
University. As I mentioned in my testimony, our workforce is predominantly women of color -- and working to really begin to be able to understand and support the cultural and linguistic needs of our workforce, you know, better so that we are a more welcoming workforce environment. Not to say that we're not already, but increasing that ability to be welcoming.

So we have a ton of effort out there. We're also launching a multi-million-dollar marketing campaign shortly that will benefit both the voluntary and the state-operated sectors, really trying to tell the incredible story of what it means to be a DSP and what it means to be a professional in the OPWDD system.

SENATOR MANNION: Thank you. And I look forward to continuing to partner with

1	you on many of those initiatives, which are
2	exciting and I believe necessary, especially
3	as it relates to credentialing.

The Legislature has supported with flexibility and, you know, dollars, those systems. And certainly what has been proposed in the Executive Budget related to potentially taking on greater tasks, I think that's the kind of thing that we can credential and then properly compensate people for. I know we'll continue to talk.

I have about 2.5 more minutes; I'm going to jump ahead.

The ombudsman program. The Executive Budget proposed \$2 million. It didn't have, you know, statutory language there. So I will ask quickly if, you know, can you describe how it would implement the program and how that program would be guided?

We're still -- you know, we're still reviewing whether we will implement that program with state staff or we will use, you know, a procurement vehicle to contract with

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Sure.

1		external.
	40	externat

You know, but I think the important
thing is that, you know, we're committed to
creating an ombudsman program that is
independent, that can support the needs of
people with developmental disabilities and be
an independent advocate for those who need
that.

SENATOR MANNION: With about a minute and a half left, moving on to managed care.

You know, I read the five-point plan and what was proposed and saw what -- you know, regarding the extension in the Executive Budget.

The program study is going to be released sometime in the spring of 2024, and this is the third study. So can you speak to what might be different about this study compared to past studies and if there's -- you know, how recommendations might change as we approach the larger issue of the future of managed care in this state.

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Sure. I think that we're in a very different position

now under Governor Hochul than we have been in a long time with regard to contemplating managed care.

You know, managed care was a directive that came from the previous administration.

Governor Hochul has provided OPWDD with a real opportunity to explore whether managed care is the right, you know, payment model for our system. Right? And we're doing that through the lens of our strategic plan, thinking about the goals we have for our system, the goals we have for people with developmental disabilities, and trying to understand best if managed care is the right vehicle to support those goals.

So I think the -- you know, the study that we're conducting is really important. It intends to look at managed care products in the state currently, managed care products in other states, how those have worked. stakeholder engagement is a huge piece of what's planned for the study, really hearing from stakeholders what are they looking for from our system and whether or not managed

1	care is a vehicle that can support that.
2	SENATOR MANNION: Do you think the
3	full five years is necessary for an
4	extension?
5	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: I think
6	we have a lot to study, and I think, you
7	know, providing us with the continued
8	opportunity to have that, you know, study and
9	to have the those extended laws in place
10	is important.
11	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	Assembly.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We've been
15	joined by Assemblywoman Walsh.
16	And we go to Assemblyman Steck, chair
17	of our Alcoholism Committee, 10 minutes.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Why is so much
19	funding from previous budgets reappropriated,
20	including all of the funding from last year
21	that came into the opioid settlement?
22	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Thank
23	you for that question.
24	So there are two large areas that are

reappropriated for this year, including the
Opioid Settlement funds and the Opioid
Stewardship funds. So in last year's budget,
the Opioid Stewardship funds, \$200 million
was appropriated. However, the intent was to
spend that over a five-year period. So there
will actually be more money spent this year
from that Opioid Stewardship Fund than the
previous year. And that's to ensure that
that the harm reduction services, that
really improving access to treatment and
medication is sustainable over time.

In terms of the Opioid Settlement funds, as you know, Assemblymember Steck, the Opioid Settlement Fund Advisory Board felt very strongly that they did not want funds made available until the recommendations were received. We received their recommendations on November 1st of 2022, and they then had to be reviewed by the Legislature and the executive branch.

And so since then we have made available \$120 million for municipalities and for the top priorities that the board had

L	recommended. But given that the report was
2	received on November 1st, we were not able to
3	spend all of the money in this year, and so
1	that will be made available in the subsequen-
5	Executive Budget year.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: The Opioid

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: The Opioid

Settlement Advisory Panel may not have agreed with what we did in last year's budget, but there were appropriations that were made last year before the panel met. That is legislation, and those things should have been carried out. I don't really understand the delay.

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Those appropriations are being carried out. But again, the Opioid Settlement Advisory Board that was required by law to meet was constituted in June, met 10 times, and the report was received only on November 1st.

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: What -- and so it would be your position that lack of staffing in OASAS is not a reason for funds not being distributed?

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: No, I

would say that we wanted to make sure that we
received the recommendations from the Opioid
Settlement Fund Advisory Board and that we
took that into account as we made funds
available.

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: What are OASAS and OMH doing to provide care for co-occurring disorders in state-run addiction treatment centers?

Now that people have had increases in their mental health symptoms in the past few years with the pandemic, and we know that there's also certainly an overlap of people with substance use disorders and mental health disorders. And so this is an important area that we are fully committed to being able to treat those with co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders.

So in our system when people are enrolled in treatment, all people are screened for mental health symptoms. Then we work either internally or externally to make sure that individuals are assessed and that

L	treatment	is	made	available	while	in	the
2	system.						

So we continue to work -- we know that we need to expand our efforts to address co-occurring disorders, and for that reason, you know, there's a tripling in this year's proposed budget around CCBHCs, which will be very important for people who have co-occurring disorders, so to go from 13 to 39, and in addition the investments that have been made for the Crisis Stabilization Centers as well.

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: So just to mention those Crisis Stabilization Centers, those are 23 hour and 59 minutes, correct?

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Okay. And getting back to the previous issue, if we understand that you do screening for mental health in the OASAS program but there's a doctrine of primary diagnosis, as I understand it, and if the primary diagnosis is mental health, then people do not stay in the OASAS programs, they are sent out, in essence, to the mental

1 health system, is that correct?
-----------------------------------

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Well, what I would say is that the majority of people who have co-occurring disorders have depression or anxiety, which are certainly, you know, more easily treatable in the system.

Less than 5 percent of people who present to our system have severe mental illness. And those are individuals that, you know, we absolutely need to provide patient-centered care to make sure that their needs are met in the appropriate system. So, you know, that is a small percent, and certainly that is a percent that we continue to work with in OMH to really, you know, provide the best services possible.

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: And I'm not suggesting that OASAS is equipped to treat those people who are primary-diagnosed with mental health {sic}. That's not my suggestion. It was just a factual question. Those people have to go out into the mental health system, in essence, correct?

1	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: I
2	think we really try and address the specific
3	needs of the individual, and where their
4	needs are best met.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: So in the OASAS
6	system, my understanding and then the word
7	CASACs came up earlier. But you can it's
8	my understanding that you can run treatment
9	programs licensed by OASAS with providers
10	that are even less qualified than CASACs
11	providing the counseling to those involved;
12	is that correct?
13	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Well,
14	the treatment is certainly under licensed
15	professionals. And then under their care can
16	be a variety of individuals and titles
17	providing support. But it's all directed by
18	licensed professionals.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: But not all are
20	CASACs who are providing that support,
21	correct?
22	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Well,
23	so there's certainly a variety of services
24	that are available. For example, peers are a

1	very important part of the team
2	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: So the answer
3	would be no, in the common parlance?
4	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: I
5	would say there's a team of individuals that
6	includes the CASACs, that includes peers and
7	other licensed professionals.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: The peers are not
9	CASACs, correct?
10	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM:
11	Correct. They're certified recovery
12	advocates.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Thank you.
14	So do you believe that staff COLAs
15	will reach every direct-care employee in your
16	programs?
17	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: The
18	COLAs?
19	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Yes.
20	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So,
21	you know, I think the COLAs, as we've heard,
22	build on last year's COLAs, which are
23	important. We know the workforce and
24	supporting the workforce is absolutely

1	important	tο	Ollr	system
⊥	TINDOT Carre		Our	2 4 2 C C III •

other investments. We've had increases in rates, and those rates, you know, ultimately go back to also improve the salaries. And, you know, knowing that the workforce -- supporting the workforce is so important, one of the first things we did with our supplemental block-grant funding was to invest in the workforce with \$19 million last year. So there are a variety of initiatives that we are supporting the workforce.

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: So in terms of those Community Behavioral Health Centers, that is outpatient care, correct?

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Let's see if we can go back to the rates for a minute. The providers say that the rates are woefully inadequate, and one of the issues I was interested in was whether there could be a rate enhancement for programs that are providing care for co-occurring disorders, because the type of expertise you need to do

1	that is a little bit greater.
2	What are your thoughts on that
3	suggestion?
4	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: We're
5	definitely working to increase rates across
6	our system. And I think, you know, certainly
7	exploring specific ways to increase those
8	rates is something we can do.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Do you have a
10	proposal in the budget for rate increases?
11	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So
12	rate increases have come through the
13	MLRBHET
14	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: I'm terrible with
15	acronyms. You'll have to tell me what that
16	is.
17	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So
18	that's part of the expectation from the
19	change from fee-for-service to managed
20	Medicaid, where we're able to basically have
21	savings, and those dollars are then
22	reinvested back into the OASAS system. And
23	that's through rate increases.
24	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: So you're saying

1	the	rate-making	process	is	not	in	the	budget,
2	is t	that correct	?					

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: There are dollars, \$37 million there through these savings that will then get reinvested that have and will continue to be reinvested in rates, in increasing rates.

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: So one final question is: There was a policy that closed OASAS programs because someone in the program had COVID-19. Is this still going on? And is there a legal authority for closing such programs, and have regulations been developed as to what the conditions would be to close such programs if that is still occurring?

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: And you'll have to -- you'll have to send that -- the response to that question to Senator Krueger and myself. Any questions that there aren't -- isn't sufficient time to answer, please forward to us and we'll make sure all of the committee receives it.

And we've been joined by Assemblyman Anderson.

1	And we go to the Senate.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
3	much.
4	And our next up is Senator Fernandez,
5	a new Senator and our new chair of the
6	Committee on Alcoholism and Substance Abuse.
7	And it's not that name anymore, I apologize.
8	Remind me of the new name?
9	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Office
10	of Addiction Services and Supports.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
12	Senator Fernandez.
13	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you so much.
14	Thank you, commissioners, for being
15	here today. I am the chair of the new
16	committee. We did change the name to
17	Alcohol and Substance Use Disorders, to help
18	destigmatize the conversation when it comes
19	to what the addiction crisis. Which we
20	know has skyrocketed. We know that overdoses
21	have gone up very high. So this conversation
22	and the work that we do this year is very
23	critical.
24	The Executive Budget proposes an

1	all-funds appropriation of \$1.2 billion with
2	a decrease of 240 million. Why is there a
3	decrease in the Opioid Stewardship Fund?
4	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So I
5	think that this decrease of 240 million is a
6	little deceptive, and there's really two
7	reasons for that.
8	So the first is that in last year's
9	budget \$200 million was appropriated from the

So the first is that in last year's budget \$200 million was appropriated from the Opioid Stewardship Fund. But that appropriation was actually meant for the services to be supported over a five-year period. So in fact there will be additional dollars from the Opioid Stewardship funds for this fiscal year as compared to last fiscal year. And those funds will be spent until, you know, they're exhausted.

The second is that the Opioid

Settlement Fund appropriation was

\$208 million last year. And with the

Opioid Settlement Fund Advisory Board, we

heard very clearly from them that they did

not want money made available from these

funds until their recommendations were

1	received. The report of the recommendations
2	was received on November 1st of 2022. And
3	after that report was received, it had to be
4	reviewed by the Legislature and the executive
5	branch.
6	So, you know, we're in February.
7	Obviously that's not much time since the
8	receipt of the report. And we've moved
9	quickly, we've made \$120 million of those
10	funds available, but the appropriation was
11	for \$200 million. So those funds will still
12	be made available in this year's budget, in
13	addition to the 123 million that's
14	appropriated in this year's budget.
15	So although it appears as though, you
16	know, there's a decrease, in fact for
17	programs on the ground in the communities
18	they will actually see an increase in funds.
19	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: How much money do
20	we have this year from the Opioid Settlement
21	Fund?
22	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: This
23	year's appropriation is 123 million.
24	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: A hundred

1	twenty-three or 128? I recall in a
2	presentation seeing 128, and I know that our
3	proposal says the Governor's proposal says
4	123.6 million.
5	Does the agency anticipate, in
6	conjunction with the AG's office, any further
7	settlement monies coming to the fund due to
8	the settlements in this fiscal year?
9	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So the
10	amount appropriated for this year is
11	123 million.
12	And, you know, I'm not really able to
13	speak in terms of what the Attorney General's
14	office is doing in terms of additional
15	settlements.
16	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
17	I want to move over to the Article 7
18	proposals in the Executive Budget. The
19	Executive proposes expanding the definition
20	for what and how substance is an imitation
21	of I'm sorry, I'm reading the wrong
22	sentence.
23	Basically the Article 7 wants to add
24	new chemicals or additional drugs to the

L	Schedule I list. How many of these proposed
2	substances are permanently scheduled by the
3	US DEA, versus temporarily?

That was a weird question, I'm sorry. How many are currently scheduled on the US  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DEA?}}$ 

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: I can certainly get back to you with that specific number.

I think, you know, the important point here is around fentanyl and how fentanyl is driving the overdose death rates. And there are many things that we are doing in the budget supports to address this. So certainly naloxone, you know, that reverses overdose deaths, will be made available and expanded. We also have fentanyl test strips that we're expanding so that programs and individuals can easily access them through an online site.

We are investing in the drug-checking machines. And this is really important for your question because it's not just fentanyl, but it's the newer drugs, adulterants, that

1	we don't know yet that we will be able to
2	test with more sophisticated testing. And so
3	we're working with those programs who are
4	doing really street outreach and community
5	outreach to make sure that they have the
6	technology that they need with drug-checking
7	machines so that people then can know what
8	they're using, what's in the drug supply, and
9	then can

SENATOR FERNANDEZ: But if we schedule these drugs now to give penalties for possession, for selling, and we're also encouraging people to go get your drugs tested, doesn't that put them in a particular position now, that they are breaking the law should this pass?

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So OASAS is not an enforcement agency, so I really can't comment on enforcement.

But what we do know is that people who do use drugs, we want to make sure that they remain alive and that they can know what's in the drug supply so they can change their behaviors accordingly.

1	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: All right. Well,
2	you kind of answered my last question, how
3	does this proposal align with OASAS's main
4	goal of addressing the overdose crisis
5	through evidence-based policies.

## OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM:

Absolutely. So a top priority is certainly harm reduction, which has decades of evidence behind that. This is something that the Opioid Settlement Fund Advisory Board, it was their top priority. It's a top priority at OASAS and with the Governor.

And so harm reduction is a practical set of strategies and an approach that really focuses on reducing harms of substance use.

And so there are many initiatives that really are harm reduction focused. Those include expanding naloxone or Narcan to, you know, address an overdose. Expanding fentanyl test strips so that people know what's in their substances. Drug-checking machines.

Meeting people where they are, reducing barriers to services. So that includes street outreach, that includes

1	mobile medication units bringing methadone
2	treatment to people in communities that don't
3	have brick-and-mortar sites.
4	So, you know, low-threshold
5	buprenorphine, lowering the barriers so
6	people can get same-day treatment. So
7	there's really a whole host of initiatives
8	that are supported that really focus on
9	keeping people alive and addressing, you
10	know, this worsening epidemic.
11	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm in the
12	middle of getting a bill number, but I have
13	legislation proposed to protect individuals
14	should they go get their drugs tested, see
15	that it is on the schedule list, to prevent
16	from further penalties. Because one thing
17	that we don't want to do is continuing the
18	war on drugs and putting users in a position
19	that they are being criminalized for the
20	disease that they're suffering from.
21	So I hope that we can explore that
22	after.

I yield the rest of my time. Thank

23

24

you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay, thank you
2	very much.
3	Next, Assembly.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
5	Assemblywoman Seawright, chair of our
6	Committee on People with Disabilities.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: Thank you,
8	Chairs Weinstein and Krueger.
9	Good morning, commissioners. Thank
10	you, Commissioner Neifeld, for your
11	testimony, and to you and your staff for your
12	hard work and dedication to the people with
13	intellectual and developmental disabilities
14	across our state.
15	I'm concerned that the 2.5 percent
16	COLA recommended in the Executive Budget is
17	far from addressing the rate of inflation
18	over the past year. To offset rising
19	inflationary costs would necessitate an
20	8.5 percent increase. I'm also skeptical
21	that it is possible to address the increasing
22	costs of maintaining benefits, maintenance
23	utilities, food, supplies, transportation and
24	insurance, given the parameters recommended.

1	I'm deeply worrled, as I am sure you
2	are, that direct-support positions remain at
3	a nearly 20 percent vacancy statewide. Since
4	pre-pandemic levels, the vacancy rates are up
5	by 42.5 percent. The annual turnover rate
6	for such staffing at agencies statewide is at
7	30 percent. I'm interested in knowing how it
8	is possible or even practical to operate
9	effectively given these circumstances.

As you know, the workforce is largely comprised of women of color who deserve to be compensated fairly. We have all heard reports that workers are fleeing for better-paying and less demanding jobs in retail and fast food. I'm very interested in knowing what is being done to stem what seems like a massive jobs hemorrhage. I'm sure you will agree with me that people with disabilities need the dignity of their independence and workers need the dignity of a fair wage for their skills and care.

So, Commissioner, I'd like to start by asking a few questions dealing with the workforce.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Excuse me a
2	second. The time clock should have been
3	10 minutes, so can you
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: You had seven, I
5	guess or six.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yeah. Add
7	8 minutes, actually.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, sorry. See,
9	I can't do math. That's scary.
10	(Laughter.)
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Set it for
12	8 minutes, please. Thank you.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: OPWDD
14	recently released a five-year strategic plan
15	which highlights that the first goal is to
16	strengthen the workforce. And it says that
17	it will advance the services systems
18	infrastructure by investing in the workforce.
19	What specific investments does the
20	Executive Budget include to address this,
21	beyond the funding through ARPA and in our
22	last year's budget?
23	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Sure.
24	You know, as I talked about in my testimony

1	and in my responses to Senator Mannion, we
2	have the 2.5 percent COLA proposed in the
3	upcoming budget, and that builds on the
4	current fiscal year's 5.4 percent. As I
5	said, that's a \$700 million investment in the
6	OPWDD alone not-for-profit system over the
7	course of the two years, which we're
8	expecting to see at least a portion of that
9	invested into the workforce.

We have our attestation form out now and waiting to hear back from our providers exactly how they're investing those dollars.

But we're hearing at least early reports that those dollars are being invested in our workforce.

Additionally, this year's budget carries over the healthcare worker bonus from last year, so not-for-profit providers continue to have the ability to use the healthcare worker bonus as a recruitment tool.

And then I won't go into it again, but you heard the list of, you know, extensive recruitment activities that we're

1	undertaking partnerships with BOCES,
2	partnerships with SUNY, partnerships with the
3	National Association for Direct Support
4	Professionals, with Georgetown University,
5	you know, to increase our cultural and
6	linguistic competence. And that will also
7	impact our staff. And a \$10 million
8	marketing campaign to help highlight the
9	importance and the significance of this job.
10	All of these efforts really to attract
11	people to this field, to help professionalize
12	the field, and to continue to support our
13	workforce. And I agree, right, our workforce
14	is incredibly vital and they do an incredible
15	job every day.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: You
17	mentioned partnerships with SUNY and
18	Georgetown. Do you have a partnership with
19	CUNY, the City University of New York?
20	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: We have
21	been exploring partnerships with CUNY. And I
22	can get you an update certainly on where we
23	are with that, you know, after this.
24	But certainly, you know, we are

L	exploring partnerships with CUNY that would
2	look very similar to what we're doing with
3	SUNY, recognizing the students in the City
1	University system should also benefit from
5	the microcredentialing capabilities.
_	

And again, the SUNY Empire program, right -- SUNY Empire is the SUNY Without Walls, so certainly available to all students. And that would allow for, you know, training as a DSP to translate into college credits.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: The \$10 million campaign that you reference, is that being done in-house or are you using an MWBE PR firm or --

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: We have a procurement out on the street now that was released in February. We're expecting to have that back in the next month or so to recruit for an independent PR firm to come in.

And certainly the MWBE requirements for all procurements apply to that opportunity as well. So there are minimum

1	requirements there.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: With the
3	investments that were made in the budget last
4	year, has OPWDD seen any improvement in the
5	workforce metrics?
6	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Yes. As
7	I said, we have been seeing certainly
8	stabilization in terms of retention. We're
9	seeing our ability to retain workforce
10	improving. And we are looking to increase
11	the recruitment opportunities through, you
12	know, all the things that I just sort of
13	listed for you and for the Senator around our
14	recruitment activities.
15	But we are seeing a stabilization over
16	the last several months in our workforce for
17	the first time.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: I'd like to
19	switch to residential. How many certified
20	residential vacancies are there currently in
21	the system, and what's the breakdown of the
22	vacancies between OPWDD and the nonprofit

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: So these

23

24

providers?

1	numbers are dynamic. The numbers that I have
2	for this morning for the voluntary system is,
3	you know, around 980 vacancies, and in the
4	state-operated system around 370. And these
5	are the actual available vacancies. You
6	know, they remove opportunities that can't be
7	staffed or are not available because of
8	physical plant concerns or other issues. So
9	those are the actual available vacancies in
10	our system.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: What is the
12	average length these vacancies remain open?
13	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: That's
14	not a number that I have with me today, but
15	we can certainly follow up with you on that,
16	average length of how long a vacancy remains
17	open.
18	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: How many
19	individuals are currently approved for
20	certified residential placement but have not
21	been placed?
22	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: So we
23	categorize our certified residential
24	opportunities list based on emergency need,

substantial need, and current need. And so that's a way of, you know, providing an opportunity, you know, to provide access to our system, you know, based on need.

Many people who come to us, you know, looking for a residential opportunity are people who are already being served in our residential system, are looking for a new opportunity, are looking to move. You know, so I think the number that you're probably looking for is the emergency need, and that we have about 1200 people --

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: That was my next question, is how many are currently considered emergency need for residential placement, and what's the average length of time that someone is on the emergency need list?

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Currently about 1200 people on the emergency need list, and those are people who -- you know, who have the most sort of, you know, immediate need for a residential opportunity.

I can get you -- again, in the

1	follow-up, we can get you the average length
2	of stay or the average length of time that
3	somebody spends on the emergency need list.
4	But it varies because the needs of the
5	individuals on that list vary.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: So based on
7	the number of OPWDD state-operated
8	residential vacancies, why does OPWDD not
9	place them in your own residential vacancies?
10	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: So I
11	think it's important, right, to acknowledge
12	that the services that OPWDD provides are
13	voluntary, right, and we don't have a
14	placement system. Our opportunities are made
15	available to people. We have a
16	person-centered planning process, so what we
17	do is try to understand the needs of the
18	individual, whether it's clinical, medical,
19	their support needs, do they have a job,
20	where are their community where are their
21	family, and make opportunities available that
22	are going to meet those needs.
23	And then those individuals and their
24	family have the opportunity to choose whether

1	or not they would like to pursue that
2	opportunity, move into that home. We like to
3	try to place people, you know, with roommates
4	or housemates that will be you know, that
5	will work for them.

So it's not as simple as just saying we have an opening here in this program and we're going to place this person there. We have a very, like I said, person-centered planning process that does take time and is based, you know, very specifically on the needs of the individual.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: So I just have one minute left. I'm going to try to get in two quick questions, one about the internships referenced in the Executive Budget. What investment is being made as part of the Executive Budget to advance that proposal? And what is OPWDD doing to increase employment for people with disabilities?

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: So I'll answer in reverse order, because that's the question that I know the answer to.

1	We have you know, employment is a
2	huge piece of our strategic plan and we are
3	doing a lot to support employment
4	opportunities for people with disabilities.
5	We have a procurement out for career and
6	technical training right now that will
7	actually be making awards today. We'll have
8	new providers in at least every region of th
9	state.
10	We're working on providing

certification and a toolkit for employers to promote inclusive workplace environments.

We're working on regulatory and administrative changes to ease the burdens for our providers so that they can more easily provide employment opportunities. And we're having conversations and trainings with our care managers, really emphasizing the importance of providing employment opportunities.

We also have -- the Governor appointed last year Kim Hill, the Chief Disability

Officer, and employment is a big, you know, piece of her work, and we partner very

1	closely with her.
2	And we can follow up with you on the
3	other answer.
4	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: Yes, please
5	follow up. Thank you, Commissioner.
6	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
7	To the Senate.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	To the ranker, Senator
10	Canzoneri-Fitzpatrick, and she is the ranker
11	for Mental Health.
12	SENATOR CANZONERI-FITZPATRICK: {Mic
13	off.} Thank you, Chair. Thank you to the
14	panelists for being here today {inaudible}.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Some of the mics
16	up here don't work as well as others. A
17	little switching.
18	SENATOR CANZONERI-FITZPATRICK:
19	Hopefully this one will work now. Thank you
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, better.
21	better.
22	SENATOR CANZONERI-FITZPATRICK: Thank
23	you, Chairwoman, Madam Chairman, and thank
24	you to the panelists for being here.

1	I would like to just state at the
2	outset that our leader, Robert Ortt, and
3	Senator Ashby had written a letter to the
4	Governor requesting support for the Dwyer
5	veteran program, and I fully support the
6	continued support of our veterans because of
7	the mental health issues that they face.
8	I wanted to mention that I have had
9	the opportunity to meet with members from
10	Mount Sinai South Nassau Hospital, which is
11	in my district, and that they have
12	psychiatric beds there as well as I've also
13	met with several members from 4201 schools.
14	I fully support and agree with the statements
15	that have been made that the workforce is a
16	critical piece that we must continue to
17	support.
18	So that brings me to my first
19	question. The 5.4 percent COLA appropriated
20	last year, has that been fully rolled out to
21	all of the providers?
22	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I believe
23	it has, the COLA from last year.
24	SENATOR CANZONERI-FITZPATRICK: Okay.

1	And how my understanding is that the
2	direct-care pay increase only applies to
3	state employees. And I wonder if there is a
4	comment from you as to whether or not the
5	workers in the nonprofit sectors that are
6	funded by your agencies should also get pay
7	increases.
8	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I'm not
9	sure when we increase salaries in the
10	state, it's done on a different system
11	relative to working with civil service and a
12	whole host in the budget.
13	The COLA goes to the community-based
14	providers. So I'm not exactly sure your
15	question they don't kind of overlap.
16	SENATOR CANZONERI-FITZPATRICK: So is
17	there increased salaries to the agencies that
18	are nonprofit agencies?
19	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Through
20	the COLA. In this budget, the 2.5 percent
21	COLA will bring that.
22	SENATOR CANZONERI-FITZPATRICK: Okay.
23	A study that I read indicated that there has
24	been a survey of college students and that

1	last year, in 2021, 41 percent of college
2	students tested positive for depression. And
3	I wonder if that that, to me, sounds
4	alarming. I have college-age kids, and I
5	don't doubt that that's accurate.
6	But now we've got funding in this
7	budget for children's mental health programs.
8	Specifically, we've got 7 million for the
9	Healthy Steps program and we've got
10	10 million to develop school-based clinics.
11	And I'd like to know, do you have a plan for
12	where those school-based clinics will be
13	located? Have you considered telehealth?
14	Have you considered overall education? And
15	how many new programs do you anticipate
16	implementing for children's mental health?
17	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: We have
18	about a thousand school-based clinics at this
19	point across the state, and we are in the
20	process of increasing that by several hundred
21	each year.
22	We would love to have one in every
23	school across the state. And there's

really -- that's our plan, to ultimately work

with the school districts. In the budget, by increasing the Medicaid reimbursement and by requiring commercial payers to pay for school-based services, we're optimistic that we're going to be able to spread that to every school across the state.

The school-based clinics have been highly effective in working with youth, with families. And the good thing about them is they connect back to a whole provider system so if the family or youth need further services than what could be provided on-site in the school, that provider who's doing that clinic work connects with those families. So it's a very effective program, and we will be increasing it by the hundreds each year. And we're working now with all the school districts.

SENATOR CANZONERI-FITZPATRICK: Okay.

And I know I only have a minute left, but the 4201 schools have told me that they are not permitted to access the mental health funding in the education budget, and that they have also had a \$2 million cut in their budget by

1	the Governor's proposal.
2	And I wonder if you have any comment
3	about whether or not the 4201 schools can
4	access the mental health funding that is in
5	the budget.
6	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I'll have
7	to get back to you on that. I'm not sure
8	about the technical piece there. I don't
9	know. We'll get back to you about that.
10	SENATOR CANZONERI-FITZPATRICK: Okay.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	Assembly.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
15	Assemblyman Gandolfo, ranker, five minutes.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN GANDOLFO: All right, is
17	this thing on? Good.
18	Thank you, Commissioner Sullivan, for
19	being here today. I'm also going to have a
20	few questions related to children's and
21	teens' mental health.
22	The CDC released a report on Monday
23	that really showed some drastic increase in
24	feelings of hopelessness and suicidal

L	thoughts among teens in school. So it's a
2	little alarming the way it has jumped over
3	the last decade.

Now, I saw in the budget there is a \$5 million increase for recruitment of psychiatric professionals. Is there any certain amount that will be set aside for specialization in children and teens, of the people we're trying to attract here?

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. I think in almost all the things in the budget, at least 40 percent of what we're doing will be with kids.

Now, with those professionals, if there are more that apply, we will probably give some extra credit for people working with youth. But absolutely, those loans will work just as well.

ASSEMBLYMAN GANDOLFO: Okay. Because I know I've been hearing, it's been reported that the waitlist for outside help outside of the schools can be as long as months, so parents are having a really hard time finding that help for their children. So I'm glad to

1	hear that there will be some focus on getting
2	specialized help for them.
3	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN GANDOLFO: All right,
5	great.
6	Now, with the 988 hotline funding, I
7	think there was an increase of about
8	25 million, is that correct?
9	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Mm-hmm.
10	Yes.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN GANDOLFO: How is that
12	functionally going to improve the service or
13	expand the service?
14	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The
15	25 million is to supplement the increased
16	call volume which we are continuing to get on
17	988, and also to establish two call centers
18	in areas where we are still those call
19	centers are still pushing some of those calls
20	to the national line. So the dollars will
21	help us have a hundred percent in-service
22	within New York State.
23	988 serves as both a counseling line
24	and a referral line, so it's really very

1	critical that we have it available across the
2	state. It is right now. Those dollars will
3	help us with the increased volume which we
4	are expecting to get. And we have been.
5	It's been growing ever since it was
6	established in July of '22.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN GANDOLFO: Is that level
8	funding expected to be recurring each
9	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. Yes.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN GANDOLFO: Okay. And in
11	terms of the 35,000 new residential units for
12	individuals with mental illness, where in the
13	state will these be concentrated? Spread
14	throughout? Are there certain zones that
15	will see more of these units?
16	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: It's 3500.
17	I wish it were 35,000.
18	(Laughter.)
19	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: But it's
20	3500 units.
21	But we're in the process of doing
22	looking at the data of where they will be
23	needed. And we're also going to be having
24	stakeholder meetings across the state over

1	the next three to four weeks. So we're in
2	the process of planning where they are needed
3	between the counties, between input from the
4	communities, where those beds will go. So we
5	want to be very careful that we make sure we
6	get them into the most needy neighborhoods,
7	most needy centers across the state.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN GANDOLFO: All right,
9	great. Thank you.
10	And what's the time frame we expect
11	the 3500 to be ready?
12	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Some will
13	come up sooner than others in there. It's
14	included supported apartments. Supported
15	apartments are usually easier, so we expect
16	the RFPs and then also getting that, that
17	might be six to nine months.
18	Some of it is capital for
19	construction. That can take anywhere from
20	one to two years to get them up and get them
21	running. Maybe a little longer. So it's
22	variable. But we're hopeful that a good

percentage, possibly at least half of those,

can be up by the -- within the year and a

23

1 half.

ASSEMBLIMAN GANDOLFO: Okay. And
going back to teens and children, is there
any way that OMH can work with the Department
of Education to get to a point where children
don't need beds or inpatient services to
address the root cause of why they're feeling
these, you know, suicidal thoughts and
feelings of hopelessness?

Because it just seems like we can keep throwing money at it and address and trying to react to it. But is there anything that, you know, OMH has been working with education to address why these thoughts are occurring and why it has grown over the last decade? I know the pandemic obviously has exacerbated that. But is there anything that OMH has seen or heard from SED as to why this is happening?

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I think it's a -- why it's happening is a complex issue that I think includes what happens in the community, what happened post-pandemic. I mean, we're linking some of this increase

1 to the pandemic and the isolation that the
2 youth have had.

We're working with the Department of Education to really work within the schools for a culture of support and connection among youth. One of the big issues was that youth were disconnected during those two years of the pandemic. So there's been a cooperative effort with us and SED and also some grant funds that have gone out last year and coming out this year with SED that will work with schools to make — help build a culture of support and connection among the youth in the schools, working with their families who have been under stress since the pandemic. And then putting these school-based clinics in those schools supports all of that.

ASSEMBLYMAN GANDOLFO: All right. Thank you very much.

CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: To the Senate.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

Senator Oberacker, ranker on --

sorry -- I guess Substance Abuse, et cetera.

24 SENATOR OBERACKER: Thank you,

1	Madam	Chair.
L	riadam	CHALL.

And good morning, Commissioners. It's great to see everyone in person and not be a Zoom.

Firstly I'm going to start off with more of a statement than a question, and this is for -- again, as my colleague in the Senate and ranker previously said, the Dwyer and the FarmNet programs I'm extremely supportive of. You know, under the Dwyer program -- we're losing 21 veterans per day for suicide, another 30 per day for substance use. One in three are suffering from PTSD. I can't think of a better return on our investment than the monies that we are putting there.

I'm also really supportive of the children's health programs, especially the school-based clinics. And we were just learning our -- you know, we have now a new name for our committee. And I was talking to some of my school superintendents, being on the Education Committee, there's a stigma with using the word "mental health." And I

1	was, maybe we ought to look at also changing
2	the name, potentially, for these clinics to a
3	"mental wellness" clinic. I think it really
4	would start to see a larger effect, if you
5	will, in the school systems. Because I know
6	what that stigma is like. So that's just a
7	recommendation. And again, I thank you all
8	for your work there.

When I now move to Dr. Cunningham -good to see you. We've had some previous
discussions. Again and again I would like to
just give out a quick shout-out to our new
chair, Senator Fernandez, who's doing a great
job stepping in for Senator Harckham as far
as that goes.

I'm wondering about -- we talked about some of the metrics of the monies getting out to what I call the Main Street level. I'd like to talk a little bit more about that off-campus, because it really does need more than just two minutes and 59 seconds to discuss.

One of the questions I have is we were looking at \$2 million for the medical

1	cannabis and marijuana treatment services.
2	And in your testimony you said you wanted to
3	help identify and implement effective usage
4	and use-prevention strategies. Could you
5	maybe expand a little bit and give me some
6	detail as to what that plan is?
7	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Yes.
8	So I want to clarify. So there are
9	there's \$1.9 million available from medical
10	cannabis, and then there's additional
11	\$5.8 million related to the adult-use
12	cannabis legalization.
13	SENATOR OBERACKER: Thank you.
14	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So per
15	statute, we are planning to use this money to
16	develop and implement youth prevention and
17	education programs to also have a public
18	awareness campaign to provide evidence-based
19	treatment for youth and for adults.
20	And, you know, given that these
21	dollars are in this year's appropriation,
22	we're in the process right now of developing
23	these initiatives.
24	SENATOR OBERACKER: Very good.

1	You know, one of the other areas that
2	I think kind of gets glossed over because of
3	the epidemic we've seen, of course, with
4	substance use disorder, is the gambling side
5	of it. And we just opened up, you know, the
6	online gambling. Are we starting to see
7	higher levels, if you will, of issues coming
8	in from that?
9	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So we
10	are very much closely monitoring what's
11	happening in terms of gambling. We're
12	monitoring the calls to the help line, we're
13	monitoring the number of people requesting
14	information or referrals for treatment and
15	then the number of people receiving
16	treatment.
17	When we look at pre-pandemic numbers,
18	we actually do not see an increase in the
19	number of calls or in the number of people
20	who are seeking treatment.
21	SENATOR OBERACKER: Thank you for
22	that.
23	Lastly, we were talking about of
24	course we all know housing is a huge issue,

L	transportation in my very rural district.
2	Two points I'd like to bring up. One is more
3	mobile potential service in my rural area
1	would be a huge benefit. I like the idea;
5	I'd like to get more details on how we could
ō	try to implement that in the seven counties
7	that I represent.

And when it comes to housing, you know, we have two facilities in my district.

One is an old Department of Corrections facility in -- it was called Camp Summit in Summit, New York. And we also have the Allen Center, which was in South Kortright, which is in Delaware County. These are two facilities ready to go. We're talking beds, we're talking housing, we're talking potential for those issues. They're ready to go, and I think it would be money well spent and also a focus well spent to see if we can repurpose those.

Right on time. Thank you very much for the opportunity to question you. Thank you.

24 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We

1	go to Assemblyman Brown, the ranker on the
2	Alcoholism Committee. Five minutes.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Thank you,
4	Madam Chairwoman. Can you hear me? Is this
5	working? Okay. So thank you all for being
6	here.
7	Thank you, Dr. Cunningham, for all
8	your work. I just want to start out with
9	some of the good policy changes I saw in the
10	budget. The insurance reforms, certainly the
1	joint licensure is a huge step, and COLA for
12	OASAS workers. I just want to make sure that
13	that money gets down to the staff levels.
4	And does that include both state workers and
15	not-for-profits?
16	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So we
17	have had some change in terms of the titles
18	and salaries at the state level. But the
19	COLAs definitely will get to the nonprofits.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Great.
21	And then just taking off from my
22	colleague Assemblyman Steck, so are there any
23	additional funds from the budget being

appropriated this year as a result of the new

1	Opioid Settlement Fund money to deal with
2	this crisis? Or are the numbers staying
3	flat?
4	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So
5	there are \$123 million that are appropriated
6	for Opioid Settlement funds for this year.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: I'm saying
8	aside from that, putting that aside. Are the
9	budget numbers staying flat if there was no
10	Opioid Settlement money?
1	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So if
12	there were no Opioid Settlement Fund dollars?
13	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Right.
14	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: There
15	would be a little bit of a decrease. And
16	that has to do with the Opioid Stewardship
17	funds.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Okay.
19	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: But
20	again, those dollars are made available in
21	this fiscal year.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Okay. So I
23	want to thank you both, Dr. Sullivan also,
24	for agreeing to come down to Long Island on

April 21st for that co-occurring disorder conference. I think it's going to be tremendous. The fact that both of you have agreed to speak together is great.

Just with regard to the CHAMP study, wonderful to see that there -- under

"Recommendations, Co-occurring Conditions,"

it says, and I quote: "Systems of care,

including reporting requirements, funding and

staffing levels, are separate and distinct in

New York, with little integration between

systems to ensure the right care at the right

time across all systems," and then it goes

on. In "Recommendations," it talks about

screening, and it says by treating the whole

person, integrated care leads to improved

outcomes and increased quality of life.

Now, I appreciate very much the work that was put into that report. It seems to do a great job of identifying the problem, but it's a little weak on recommendations. And that's really what I want to kind of get into the weeds, and that's part of why we're doing that conference, to create policies and

1	regulations how to help people in crisis
2	in treatment, and in long-term recovery so
3	that they can get better, while also
4	preventing teens from going down a road of
5	substance abuse.

So I want to work with you on a comprehensive plan, not a Band-Aid -- because that just seems like what we're doing. We have a public health crisis of national proportion. You know, so I think we really need to truly establish, to quote your words, a no-wrong-door approach with multi-agency input -- not only OMH and OASAS, but include the court systems, the Drug Courts, the Family Courts, Corrections, the Department of Education, as my colleague indicated.

So I want to turn towards the youth initiatives that you're talking about. Is there any money in the budget and can we establish vape diversion programs with the Department of Ed and/or pot diversion programs with the Department of Ed?

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So in terms of tobacco and vaping, that's really

1	under	the	autho	ority	of	the	Department	of
2	Health	n and	l not	OASAS	5.			

In terms of cannabis, yes, absolutely. So, you know, we have \$5.8 million appropriated for the adult-use cannabis legalization, and we are focusing on working with schools and communities on prevention strategies in addition to public campaign awareness and treatment.

ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: The reason why I brought up the Department of Ed, one of my school districts, Half Hollow Hills School District, they started a vape diversion program with pilot money from the Department of Ed. It was a grant. And it's been very effective in helping kids not go down the road.

Because as we've seen with teenagers, they start vaping at 14, vaping pot by 16, doing pills by 18, and they're dead from an opioid overdose by 20. So I would -- I really would like to work in conjunction with you on that.

A couple of my questions refer to

1	data there was a question asked about the
2	988 hotline. But the HOPE hotline, I just
3	wonder if we could get any data for the setup
4	of that. Any plans to expand the public
5	awareness campaign I'm sorry, I'm running
6	out of time so I'm going to talk fast. In
7	California and Colorado, we saw spikes in
8	teen use of marijuana both in the last
9	30 days and then before graduation. We need
10	to if we haven't already, we need to
11	collect data, I believe, on that.
12	And then, Dr. Sullivan, I just want to
13	leave you with we got pilot money to
14	establish a mentorship program in Northport
15	High School last year I have 6 seconds,
16	so we're looking to do that statewide. So
17	it would help ninth-graders, incoming
18	ninth-graders, feel some connection to the
19	school community and deal with some of the
20	issues that we're talking about.
21	Thank you so much.
22	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
24	To the Senate.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
2	much.
3	Gustavo Rivera, who is the Health
4	chair. And even though all three of your
5	agencies are really dealing with health
6	issues, he only gets three minutes at this
7	hearing.
8	SENATOR RIVERA: Thank you,
9	Madam Chair. And because I do, I will be
10	crisp.
11	There's I have four questions.
12	First, in your letter, Dr. Cunningham, in
13	your letter to the Opioid Settlement Fund
14	Advisory Board, you state that funding harm
15	reduction recommendations you state that,
16	quote, Overdose prevention centers violate
17	state and federal laws, rules and
18	regulations. There are both federal and
19	state statutes as well as case law that
20	prohibits operation of overdose prevention
21	centers, unquote.
22	So I have three questions related to
23	that. Number one and I've asked this man
24	times, so I will do so once more can you

1	tell us what are the rules and regulations
2	that relate specifically to the operation of
3	overdose prevention centers that prohibit the
4	state from making sure that that money which
5	is which the settlement board has
6	suggested, has recommended go there so they
7	can continue to save lives, what are those
8	state laws and regulations?
9	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Well,
10	the laws are related to maintaining
11	drug-involved premises, and also to
12	controlled substances. But I can certainly
13	have my team follow up with more specific
14	information.
15	SENATOR RIVERA: And very similar to
16	responses that we've gotten before. We've
17	been asking the same question, both privately
18	and now publicly many times, and we're always
19	told that we will be given more specifics. I
20	live in hope that we will get that.

Now, if there are indeed federal and state statutes that prohibit the operation of OPCs, can you answer why there are currently two that are operating in the State of

1	New York? And I will remind you these are,
2	as you well know, centers that have saved
3	over 700 people already in their brief
4	operation.
5	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So the
6	current operating sites for overdose
7	prevention centers are private entities. And
8	because the laws do not permit overdose
9	prevention centers, we do not have the
10	authority to authorize them, to regulate
11	them, or to fund them.
12	SENATOR RIVERA: They would be but
13	they would be in according to your
14	argument that the state cannot send money
15	there, they would be in violation of some
16	sort of regulation or law, so they not be
17	able to operate. And yet they do.
18	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Yes,
19	in terms of enforcement, because we're not an
20	enforcement agency, I can't really comment on
21	that.
22	SENATOR RIVERA: And since you are
23	last one on this one. Since you're rejecting

the recommendation of the OPCs under harm

1	reduction, can you share what investments you
2	plan on making under the harm reduction
3	recommendations from the OSFAB board?
4	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM:
5	Absolutely. So, you know, harm reduction is
6	a top priority for OASAS, for me personally,
7	and for the Governor. And we have many
8	initiatives where we're expanding harm
9	reduction services. We developed a harm
10	reduction division. We focus on expanding
11	naloxone, expanding fentanyl test strips. We
12	are working with several programs to make
13	drug checking machines available
14	SENATOR RIVERA: I will reclaim my
15	last 18 seconds and just say we've had
16	conversations about this before. We will
17	have them again. I will quote you just a few
18	minutes ago when you were speaking to Chair
19	Fernandez: These centers save lives, we want
20	people to be alive to get treatment.
21	OPCs work. We should fund them.
22	Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	Assembly.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We also now go
2	to members who have questions three
3	minutes, again, colleagues, for the questions
4	and answers. Assemblyman Eachus first.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Thank you. Thank
6	you, Madam Chair. And thank you,
7	Commissioners, for being here.
8	Just real quick, Dr. Sullivan, how
9	long have you been commissioner and how long
10	have you been with OMH?
1	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: I
12	think it's about nine years.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Okay. And
14	Commissioner Neifeld, how long have you been
15	affiliated with OPWDD?
16	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: It's been
17	just a little over a year. About 18 months.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Okay. Well,
19	I'm I've got you both. I've been
20	affiliated with both of these for about
21	36 years. I think Dr. Sullivan was brought
22	aware of how I became affiliated. I'm very
23	proud to say I have a beautiful, beautiful
24	daughter, 36 years old, who resides at

Rockland Psychiatric Center. But let me give
you her history. And I give this because I
believe there are thousands if not tens of
thousands of folks out there that need what
I'm going to explain or hope that you can
explain for me.

My daughter, at 13 months old, was diagnosed with developmental disabilities.

At 9 years old, she was then diagnosed with mental disabilities. We had to -- and up to this day -- had to choose one program or the other. Now, both your programs are dynamite, and they work well. But my daughter, and I believe tens of thousands of other folks, aren't going to get completely cared for.

So my question comes down to, where do you folks overlap? Where do you work together? I know that we, the government, give you your own money and we kind of silo you ourselves. But where are the overlaps where you can help folks that both have developmental disabilities as well as mental disabilities?

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: You go

first, I'll --

_				
)	$\Box$	COMMISSIONER	METEETD.	S1170

And I -- Dr. Sullivan and I have been colleagues for a long time, and I think that our two agencies have been working together for a long time. But I think over the last, you know, several years we've seen, you know, even greater collaboration between our two agencies. We're talking constantly. We're working together constantly, both on case-specific issues and systemwide issues.

And we're looking to establish programs that are, you know, maybe not certified by both but, you know, that's certainly a possibility -- but also, you know, where we're doing, you know, co-training, where we're ensuring that the staff in, you know, OMH programs versus the staff in OPWDD programs have that, you know, co-occurring training so that they can serve the whole individual. We're very interested in not siloing people, but ensuring that the whole person is served by, you know, either the OMH system or the OPWDD system and our

1	staffs are working together really daily
2	on
3	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: I thank you for
4	that. And I'm hoping that the two of you get
5	to know each other much better and I get to
6	know the two of you much, much better. So
7	thank you very much.
8	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Thank you.
9	And we are truly working together.
10	All these new services that are coming out,
11	we've already been talking about how we have
12	to make sure that they serve both
13	populations.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
17	much.
18	Senator Gonzalez.
19	SENATOR GONZALEZ: Hi, how are you?
20	Thank you so much for coming today.
21	(Exchange off the record.)
22	SENATOR GONZALEZ: Get a little
23	closer? All right, thank you. Is this good?
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes.

1	SENATOR GONZALEZ: Great.
2	Hi, everyone. I am very excited to be
3	here. I'm a member of the Disabilities
4	Committee, so I'm looking forward to working
5	with all of you.
6	I'm also the chair of the Internet and
7	Technology Committee. And as a young person,
8	I wanted to ask if you've considered the
9	effect of telehealth or online therapy,
10	digital mental health companies that are, you
11	know, targeting young people through
12	influencers and if there's, through your
13	own advocacy campaigns or working with the
14	DOE, something that you can do or the state
15	can do to protect them?
16	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I think

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I think that, you know, everyone is looking into this impact, and I think that we still don't know exactly the full impact that this is having on our youth.

We are certainly working with families and, in all the clinical services, kind of talking with families and youth about what these risks are. In our state system we do a

1	lot of educating of our consumers about
2	really why you have to be careful about some
3	of these things that are online, et cetera.

I think that the actual enforcement of what's there or not is not really in our place, but our place is to help people understand the risks and then help them if they should get caught in some of these issues.

But yeah, we're very well aware of it, especially with youth. And I think in our school-based clinics, et cetera, these are issues they deal with all the time.

SENATOR GONZALEZ: Yeah, absolutely.

And I think it's important to work with the

DOE to make sure that there's awareness about

the risks of using these companies, and also

the quality of the service that you get,

especially when you're talking about young

people, children and teens, who are

particularly vulnerable.

My second question is actually for Commissioner Sullivan on cultural competency. So I've heard from members of my own district

that they have struggled with issues of
gender identity or language access when
dealing with the mental health system, or
getting a mental health bed. And as we're
expanding those beds, could you speak a
little bit more to how we're also addressing
cultural competency in the system.

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yeah,
that's going to be a major effort to make
sure that all these services that we have,
depending on where they are and what
communities they're in, that there's cultural
competency, language access.

In order to do that, you've really got to work very closely with the community. You have to understand what the needs are, you have to have often members of the community work with you to ensure that you can recruit the right people, set up the systems in a way that are welcoming to particular communities, because that can vary across the state.

So we're going to be doing all that as we roll out these services. It's a critical point, or people won't use the services.

1	SENATOR GONZALEZ: Okay. And is there
2	any support you need from the Legislature
3	now, a legislative approach to it? Or you're
4	saying you already have these plans in place
5	in
6	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: We are
7	developing the plans with the stakeholder
8	groups.
9	So there's going to be stakeholder
10	groups across the state, which are beginning
11	actually next week, to look at all the new
12	services that are coming up. And part of
13	that is looking very closely at cultural
14	competency and the cultural needs of the
15	various neighborhoods where we'll be putting
16	these services. So that's going to be
17	brought together with the stakeholders.
18	SENATOR GONZALEZ: Yeah. I represent
19	a lot of young people and immigrant
20	communities. Would love to get more
21	information about that, especially as we
22	continue to get cases
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm sorry
24	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: We'll get

1	it to you.
2	SENATOR GONZALEZ: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: If there's more
4	detail, you'll have to get back to us with a
5	letter of response. Sorry to cut you off.
6	Thank you, Assembly.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
8	Gray, three minutes.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN GRAY: Thank you very
10	much.
11	Commissioners, appreciate you being
12	here. I speak to you today from a position
13	of support for all that you do and all the
14	agencies that you support in our communities.
15	So we've talked a lot today about the
16	COLA; 2.5 percent, as you probably know by
17	now, is not going to be adequate. I don't
18	know if it necessarily needs to be indexed to
19	anything, because the labor market is driving
20	it. It is rate-based. That's the solution,
21	in my opinion. So I encourage you to
22	continue to look at rate-based.
23	Commissioner Cunningham, just on op
24	not opioids cannabis, do we so we're

1	putting in \$7 million in support and support
2	services and prevention, and yet on one hand
3	we're enabling the industry. Is that a mixed
4	message for the public?
5	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Well,

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Well,
I think really our job is to make sure that
we are preventing underage use, addressing
youth use, and certainly prepared for
treatment if people do have problems. And,
you know, we're working on all of this now.

ASSEMBLYMAN GRAY: Okay. I just -- in my opinion, it's a mixed message to the public.

The MAT program in local jails, they're struggling to provide the services. The services and the needs are exploding in the jails, so to speak. And that's a lot because the questionnaire is just based on a questionnaire, not previous history. So — and the questionnaire is being manipulated. Obviously they share the answers inside.

Would we be better if we went to a Sublocade injection versus methadone or Suboxone, less labor-intensive?

1	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So
2	there are three FDA-approved medications for
3	the treatment of opioid use disorder. And
4	it's really based on the person and their
5	specific clinical issues and needs and
6	experiences. So having all options for those
7	three different medications is really
8	critical.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN GRAY: Okay. I don't
10	think we offer Sublocade injection right now
11	I don't think it's part of the program. So
12	I'd encourage you to include that in the
13	program.
14	And then, just lastly, do we have
15	length of stay for children in the emergency
16	room under psychiatric, mental or behavioral
17	health issues? Do we have any data on that?
18	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The data
19	isn't very hard because it comes from the

medical emergency rooms, and they haven't

been collecting it. But we do know when we

canvass hospitals that sometimes youth can

wait sometimes hours, sometimes days for

services. So it varies by region and it

20

21

22

23

1	varies by need of services.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN GRAY: Sometimes weeks
3	also, Commissioner.
4	So and then, lastly, you know, I
5	know we're talking about new mental health
6	beds. Are we looking at vacated prisons or
7	perhaps a St. Lawrence Psychiatric Center
8	repurpose, any of those facilities?
9	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: We're
10	looking at trying to have as many as possible
11	of those beds really in the community,
12	because we want them to ultimately be
13	community-based.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN GRAY: Okay. Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. We
16	go to Assemblyman Bores.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN BORES: Thank you all for
18	being here and for supporting what I agree is
19	a historic budget and the investment in your
20	very necessary services. It's a budget that
21	reflects that we have to try a lot of
22	different things to address these problems.
23	I mean, we're talking about housing it's

incredible, really.

1	I want to ask about one specific tool,
2	which is contingency management. And this is
3	a thing that many states have started to try.
4	Rhode Island has launched a pilot, New Jersey
5	has launched a pilot. The academic research
6	for decades has shown that it can be very
7	effective. I obviously don't need to tell
8	you that, Commissioner Cunningham, because
9	you've published some of that research and
10	contributed to the literature there.
11	So, you know, I'm not asking about any
12	specific legislation or anything like that.
13	But in looking at tools in the toolbox, is
14	there something that you think could benefit
15	New Yorkers going forward?
16	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: I
17	certainly think that we're exploring every
18	tool that's effective, and all evidence-based
19	strategies.
20	You know, I think a lot of our
21	priority is making sure that we get
22	medication treatment out to people who need

it. We know that that's incredibly effective and reduces the risk of overdose death by 50

23

1	percent.
2	However, I think there's a particular
3	role for contingency management in stimulant
4	use disorders. And as you know, that
5	certainly stimulants are having a growing
6	impact in terms of overdose deaths. So this
7	is something that we have been discussing.
8	I think the challenge is what's done
9	in research studies is very different than
10	what's done in the real world. And so the
1	fidelity piece is a really big piece and can
12	be challenging for community-based programs.
13	ASSEMBLYMAN BORES: Wonderful, thank
14	you. Well, if there's anything the
15	Legislature can do, I look forward to working
16	with your office for how we could bring that
17	and help New Yorkers. Thank you.
18	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
19	Since I mistakenly called on two
20	Assemblymembers in a row, the Senate will
21	have two Senators in a row now.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. You

know, we all make accidents -- have

accidents.

22

23

1	So	first	will }	be Senator	Borrell	o and
2	then will	be Sen	ator I	Hinchey.	Three min	nutes
3	each.					

4 SENATOR BORRELLO: Thank you,
5 Madam Chair.

First of all, my time is short but I want to say thank you, first of all, for all that you do. But I'm going to direct my questions to Dr. Sullivan.

I'm certainly appreciative that the Governor has included so much money for mental health evaluation beds. But the bottom line is we start in a very deep deficit. And the mental health crisis that we have has largely contributed to a government-created crisis, in my opinion, by shutting down, you know, more than a thousand mental health beds, as Assemblymember Gunther pointed out, and we've shut down facilities to help people that are in crisis. I speak to law enforcement officials and mental health professionals in my district whose hands are tied, who have to in some cases, you know, struggle to find a bed.

1	So the money is great, but my concern
2	is do we have the will to actually do the
3	things that need to be done to ensure people
4	in crisis are, number one, being helped, and
5	also that there is the ability for them to be
6	evaluated and thoroughly vetted before
7	they're you know, they are released again.
8	I carry a bill, I carried it last year
9	with Senator Diane Savino that would
10	strengthen, you know, that ability for
11	evaluation. This year I believe Senator
12	Scarcella-Spanton is going to also sign on
13	with me. But the bottom line is money's
14	great, but what are we going to do to ensure
15	that we have the will to help people when
16	they need it most, and ensure that those beds
17	are quickly returned to our communities?

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yeah.

Please, I'd like to assure you that from the

Governor it's basically yes, we have the

will.

And there are a couple of things that we're doing. One is that we are reviewing those plans immediately from all the

hospitals. We will be getting back to them about the beds, and they will be taken very seriously to make sure that those beds reopen.

The second issue is that we'll be putting standards in place for expectations that have to be followed by hospitals in terms of discharge, and then giving the hospitals the resources to be able to keep those standards.

So for example, you're talking a bit about a revolving door sometimes, where individuals keep coming to the ED, then get discharged, come back.

So what we'll be putting in place are standards of what that evaluation needs to look like, and then standards for the discharge capability for them to get the services they need, and including a place to stay, if that's what they need as well. So both from discharge from inpatient into ED.

So it's a combination of opening the beds, getting the right kind of standards in place for discharge planning, and then making

1	sure that the resources are there for
2	individuals, whether they get admitted or if
3	they are discharged from the emergency room.
4	SENATOR BORRELLO: We also have to
5	ensure that our hospitals, you know, have the
6	funding to be able to help those folks.
7	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes.
8	SENATOR BORRELLO: You know, we lost
9	beds in my district after Lakeshore Hospital
10	closed, which was prepared and set up to
11	handle those folks, and moved them to another
12	hospital that was not. And they essentially
13	said, We don't have the money to ensure that
14	we can keep these people safe.
15	So that money needs to be directed
16	there as well, to ensure that, you know,
17	especially in our rural communities that we
18	don't have someone in crisis that can't get a
19	bed.
20	So I appreciate the seriousness that
21	you're taking this, and certainly the
22	Governor's commitment, and let's hope we do
23	have that will. So thank you very much.

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Thank you.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you. And
2	now it's Senator Hinchey.
3	SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you very much.
4	And thank you all for being here. And
5	I want to echo the comments of some of the
6	colleagues, especially starting with
7	Assemblymember Gunther. Our rural
8	communities don't have these services. And
9	so we talk about wraparound care, we talk
10	about telehealth we don't even have
11	broadband or cellphone service. And so
12	and often these are the communities that need
13	this help the most. So I implore us, as a
14	state, to think better about how we're
15	actually reaching these communities.
16	On that note, my question is for
17	Commissioner Neifeld. I have a constituent
18	with a severe TBI. And when she was hit
19	by a car when she was a child, in my
20	community. And when looking for services,
21	there were none. And, in working both
22	through DOH and OPWDD, was effectively failed
23	at every turn.
24	I have here they allocated there

1	was a budget allocated for her, but there
2	were absolutely zero services available in
3	our community for her to even surrounding
4	areas, for her to access. She was refused
5	approval by OPWDD and therefore couldn't
6	actually get the waiver.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It resulted in she was allocated \$250,000, but only a fraction was accessed. And she also asked for a service dog and wasn't denied or approved, just left lingering for three years. I think actually more now, because it still hasn't been approved or denied. And if there's no official denial, as you know, you can't appeal it. And so they've been waiting forever. So much so, they've actually moved out of our community where they've lived -where her mother lived her entire life. They moved to Long Island to be able to get care. And now the daughter is actually seeking services in Oklahoma, because there aren't services available here.

And so can you let us know what specifically OPWDD is doing today to make

1	sure that we're expanding access to services?
2	You know, Ulster County is not that rural,
3	right? Like how are we actually what are
4	we doing today to actually make sure that
5	these services are available to everyone who
6	needs them?
7	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: It's a
8	great question. And I remember the
9	constituent issue that you raised, you know,
10	last year, and I know that you worked closely
1	with my team on that.
12	I think the issue of access in rural
13	communities is one that not just OPWDD
4	struggles with, but lots of systems struggle
15	with.
16	SENATOR HINCHEY: But arguably,
17	Kingston isn't very rural, right? Like we
18	still don't have those services even in a
19	place like that.
20	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Right, so
21	we're doing you know, we're doing a lot of
22	things. Last year's budget increased several

of our rates to try to bring, you know, new

services online in communities. We're

23

1	continuing to explore where there is a lack
2	of service and to begin to recruit providers
3	to stand up services there.

Like I said, a big piece of this is investing in the workforce. And all the activities that I have outlined this morning regarding, you know, recruitment activities, ways that we're trying to continue to retain our workforce, are all really important to making sure that services are available in communities that need them.

SENATOR HINCHEY: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

14 Assembly.

15 CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman

Maher.

ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: Thank you. Thank you. Here we go. Thank you, guys. I have one million questions, but I have a short period of time. So I'm going to use my time to ask a question on behalf of a constituent of mine. Her name is Jodi Nicoli. Jodi is a recovering addict. She is someone who was hopeless, in her own words. At one point in

time she was left with three options. She was going to be dead, she was going to go to jail, or she was going to go into recovery.

Specifically, her story, she tried to get short-term care. She had some interesting experiences with long-term. And she was met with the fact that they told her she had to have a urine sample that was dirty. So she actually went and used to get into that short-term facility.

Her specific question is, one, how do
we make sure that this never happens? And I
know sometimes it's not the fault of the
folks that are, you know, doing this work;
it's resources. But how do we make sure that
never happens again?

And the second, what can we do to have both your office and SED communicate with each other to try to get the message and the education to younger children? DARE is great, but we need to expand upon it and we need to do a variety of things to make sure that those two agencies are working together.

How is that going? And what can we

L	expect	with	hopefully	this	new	round	of
2	funding	g?					

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Thank you for those questions.

So let me start with the prevention question. We absolutely work with State Ed and really, you know, provide evidence-based prevention strategies in schools, and then also in surrounding communities. So this includes, you know, substances overall, particularly focusing on cannabis as well, and particularly focusing on gambling. And so we have ongoing partnerships and continue to work as there's a change in landscape.

In terms of the individual that you spoke about, you know, I think for us it's really about embracing harm reduction. And so it's thinking about how to reduce barriers, the role of the urine drug screen. We actually just changed -- released a guidance about that recently. And it's a shift in really the approach. And it's one to focus on saving lives first and then sort of thinking later about what people are ready

1	for and when and how.
2	And so getting systems to change, you
3	know, that have been in place for decades is
4	challenging and takes time. But we're
5	certainly really focused on making sure that
6	a harm reduction approach is really embraced
7	across the system.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN MAHER: Well, for Jodi's
9	sake and also those that don't have her story
10	of success, that are no longer with us, I
11	really hope to work with you and to see some
12	of these things come to fruition.
13	So thank you for your time.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
15	To the Senate.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	Senator Lea Webb.
18	SENATOR WEBB: Is it working? Yes?
19	Okay. Good morning
20	(Inaudible exchange.)
21	SENATOR WEBB: Is that better? You
22	have to really get on that mic. Oh, okay, it
23	had to warm up. All right.

Well, good morning. Thank you so much

1 to the commissioners for being here.

I just want to lift up and cosign several of the things I heard my colleagues say. I'm really excited to see the long-overdue investments in mental health, as we all have been talking about, not just during this budget but for quite some time.

And most certainly the need for COLA -- we definitely need to increase that, especially when we're looking at ways to expand recruitment and retention with regards to staff.

So I have two questions. My first question I want to direct to Commissioner Sullivan with regards to -- just to get some clarification. So in the budget there's a \$28 million allocation for expanding critical time intervention teams. And so I was wondering if you could kind of expound upon what do these teams actually look like.

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The teams are composed of nurses, social workers, peers, and other paraprofessionals who work with an individual who is leaving either an

emergency room or an inpatient unit. They
will meet that person while they're there,
engage with them, and then follow them for up
to nine to ten months until they are kind of
settled in the community, hopefully in good
housing, and getting the treatment services
that they need.

So they become a team that gets to know the individual, engages them, and works with them for a good period of time, which is the interesting — the new part of it, that instead of a brief contact these teams work for almost — and then go up to a year working with someone. So they've been found to be very successful in helping individuals not get back into hospitals and do well when they're discharged.

SENATOR WEBB: Okay, thank you. Which takes me to my second question. So with all the proposed expansions of services such as the mobile crisis intervention, along with critical time intervention services, can you give an overview of the time frame and cost estimate to get the providers up and running

1	and when each of these planned service
2	expansions will be operational?
3	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: We're
4	looking to move the mobile crisis and the
5	CTIs probably they will begin to come up
6	immediately after we send out RFPs. You have
7	to send out an RFP. They will go out right
8	after the budget. We're hopeful we'll be
9	able to start establishing them by within
10	a couple of months.
11	And then there's recruitment. So we
12	would hope that probably within by early
13	next year they would all the majority
14	could be up and running, or towards the
15	middle of next year, but we'll have to see.
16	But yes, as quickly as possible. The time
17	span we are really working to get the
18	requests for proposals and the contracts out
19	as quickly as possible.
20	SENATOR WEBB: Thank you.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	Assembly.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
24	Simon.

1		ASSEMBLYWO	NAMC	SIM	ION: I	There	e w	e go.	Ι
2	wasn't	expecting	to k	oe c	alled	up :	so	soon.	

So first of all, I want to say that
I'm very grateful to see that the Governor
has put so much additional money into mental
health and, you know, all of your areas, the
whole mental hygiene field.

But there are a couple of issues that

I'm concerned about. One is how will we be

using that money differently, so as to have a

better result. I think we have sometimes

siloed ourselves in ways that are not

constructive.

I am concerned about school-based health clinics and them actually happening. And some of the challenges -- I know I've lost four of them in my district this year.

I'm concerned about the state of depression and anxiety in girls. And a lot of this is cyberbullying, but we've had a hard time defining "bullying." So I'm curious about whether you're working on that issue. And so many disproportionately girls have been forced to have sex against their

will, and the LGBTQ community of teens.

I also want to address this issue of our group homes versus supported housing, that we have supported and supportive housing, which are funding streams but not necessarily different in the services. And how can we get those things together so that we know what we're talking about when we're talking about these issues?

And, you know, I know a neighbor of mine started like a -- kind of a -- like a group home kind of virtually, like kids were placed in various places with the supports they needed, and they were linked together.

And -- which is a novel approach and I think might be very helpful, particularly in areas where people are spread out.

And then the other issue that I see in terms of disability and this issue of depression and anxiety is the downstream effect of failures of so many of our public schools to appropriately identify our students with disabilities for IP purposes, and providing those services.

1	And obviously my question has taken a
2	long time. But anything you can do to
3	address that, I would be very graceful.
4	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Well, I

think everything you said is very -critically important and very pertinent to
what we're doing.

So in the schools we're working very closely with teachers and we do a lot of training for teachers and parents, et cetera, to understand and recognize signs -- your last question -- of what might be going on, where is it, where there might be an issue with their youth, and then how to get help.

The school-based clinics, we're hopeful -- hope, sorry, that some didn't fail, but I think probably it was financial more than anything else. And I think with what's in the budget now, we should be able to be successful because of the increased rate and because of requiring commercial payment. So I think that will help us grow the school-based clinics, which is critical.

On the housing side, there are various

1	levels of housing and it really is to suit
2	to fix make sure the housing fits the
3	individual. So but we are looking at it.
4	There's a new piece of the I'll get back
5	to you about the rest.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you. I
7	appreciate it. I'm happy to talk to each one
8	of you separately about it. Thank you so
9	much.
10	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Thank you.
11	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Senator Rolison.
13	SENATOR ROLISON: Thank you. Thank
14	you, Madam Chair.
15	And thank you for being here today. I
16	heard a lot of the term street outreach,
17	meeting people where they are. We know
18	that's important. I just, for a little
19	background, just concluded seven years as
20	mayor of the City of Poughkeepsie, where the
21	City of Poughkeepsie has been impacted by
22	individuals in crisis. The numbers have
23	grown from the closure of hospitals, lack of
24	beds. And we needed to do something

1	differently, which we did. We created a
2	team, it is now the Echo team, it's called,
3	Enhancing Community Health through Outreach,
4	in 2022. This is a partnership with the
5	police department and Mental Health America,
6	an intensive case manager. Had 427 contacts
7	last year with individuals in various ways.
8	Out of that, 126 follow-ups were initiated by
9	the case manager, 242 individuals were linked
10	1,835 times to other services.

We've presented before the Conference of
Mayors. The question always becomes, are
there monies available for municipalities
that want to create these teams to meet
people where they are, to help them in crisis
through a collaborative and coordinated way,
through -- and I was looking at the executive
summary on the budget and we're talking about
other teams being created -- for people
coming out, which is important. But also
what about the linking of them before they go
in? Because many times they're not going in,
and they don't have to go in. And that

creates a challenge for the municipalities and our first responders.

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: In the budget is something called the safe option support teams, which are the street outreach teams. And there will be an addition of those teams going up into the rest-of-state. It began in New York City, but it will be rest-of-state.

While there's a particular model that we have, we're very willing to work with the counties to say if you need something like this, how can we modify this, work with you so that will work within your county in the way that you need it to be done.

So we're very excited about those dollars, those teams will be coming out in RFPs and we'll be working with, as we meet with the stakeholders, what model. The model you described sounds terrific. I think it's one of the ways that these teams can work with both law enforcement and with the clients and with the community. So we'd love to look at it and see if there can be some

1	modifications of what we're doing which might
2	be applicable, depending upon the county.
3	Because these things have to be local.
4	SENATOR ROLISON: Right. And just
5	as just a quick follow-up and I keep
6	saying "we," but it's not me anymore there.
7	But we know it works. Are there monies
8	available, say, for the City of Poughkeepsie
9	to get funding to increase the staffing of
10	our intensive case manager program for
11	24 hours a day? Currently it's essentially a
12	day operation.
13	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: That's not
14	specifically in the budget, so I've got to
15	get back to you about that.
16	SENATOR ROLISON: Okay, that's fine.
17	Thank you. Appreciate it.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	Assembly.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
21	Assemblyman Burdick.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you, Chair
23	Weinstein am I on? Can you hear me?
24	Good. Thank you, Chair Weinstein.

1	And thank all of you for testifying.
2	Commissioner Neifeld, I first want to
3	commend you for the significant work you've
4	already accomplished in the short period of
5	time you've been there. And a shout-out to
6	your staff, especially your legislative
7	liaison, Craig Roberts, who's been working
8	closely with my staff and me.
9	As chair of the Subcommittee on
10	Employment Opportunities for People with
11	Disabilities, I certainly was very pleased to
12	see the Governor's Article VII proposed
13	expansion of the 55B and 55C candidate
14	programs. And I'm wondering if you could
15	describe any other tweaks in that that you
16	think might be helpful.
17	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Sure.
18	The 55B and C programs are run by the
19	Department of Civil Service. And so what I
20	can say is that the expansion would expand
21	the number of slots that are available there.
22	But in regards to the details, it's

But in regards to the details, it's just not details that I can provide. But certainly happy to spend a little time with

1	them	and	with	you	to :	follo	W	up	and	discuss
2	what	they	y're ]	propo	osin	g to	do	th	nere.	

ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Okay, fine.

And workforce shortages has been obviously a very keen topic for the Legislature and the administration. And we know that workforce shortages have led to closures of some group homes. And I'm wondering if you could give a quick update on that and how we're doing in trying to get some of those that were closed reopened.

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Sure. If you're referring to the temporary suspensions in our state operations program, I can, you know, certainly share that.

You know, first off I just want to say, right, that when we effectuate these temporary suspensions, they're really -- you know, they are a last resort for us to do.

And they really are the result of, you know, needing to protect the health and safety of both our staff and the people who live in those homes. And whether they're physical plant issues or staffing-related, they're

1	decisions that, you know, we have to make.
2	And we do them as much as possible, you know,
3	with advance notice to family and to people
4	who live there.

And in terms of reopening, you know, we're continuing to evaluate our footprint.

We're continuing to look at where we can support, either through physical plant enhancements or through staffing, you know, to bring some of those programs back online.

But we're also looking at our footprint across the state and ways that we can continue to expand our state-operated footprint. We continue to support our state-operated workforce. The budget this year provides \$12 million in capital for an expansion at Finger Lakes, which will yield 170 additional FTEs at that program eventually.

So we are very interested in continuing to expand our state-operated footprint, supporting our state-operated workforce, and we'll continue to do that.

ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Forgive me.

1	I'll have two other questions today sent to
2	you on the status of the employability pledge
3	and also to learn more about the statewide
4	ombudsman program for people eligible to
5	receive OPWDD services.
6	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Thank
7	you.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN BURDICK: Thank you for
9	all you're doing.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
12	much.
13	Senator O'Mara.
14	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.
15	Good afternoon. Thank you for your
16	testimony here today.
17	With regards to the COLA of
18	2.5 percent, that, according to my notes,
19	accounts for about \$138.8 million in
20	increase. Is that accurate?
21	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: For?
22	SENATOR O'MARA: For the COLA. What's
23	the cost of the COLA?
24	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. Yup.

1	Across different agencies, yes.
2	SENATOR O'MARA: And is that
3	138 million for both state facilities and the
4	non-state facilities?
5	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No, the
6	COLA's not for state facilities.
7	SENATOR O'MARA: It's just for
8	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN:
9	community-based services.
10	SENATOR O'MARA: It's just for
11	community-based organizations, okay.
12	And that's a 2.5 percent increase from
13	the prior year, which was 5.4 percent the
14	prior year?
15	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes.
16	SENATOR O'MARA: Now, these direct
17	care providers in these facilities are barely
18	making more than minimum wage. These
19	facilities struggle to raise wages to make
20	the jobs more competitive. The minimum wage
21	was raised from 2021 to 2022 by 5.6 percent,
22	and the state implemented a 5.4 percent
23	budget increase for that last year. The
24	minimum wage increase upstate this year, from

1	'22 to '23, is a 7.6 percent increase, and
2	only a 2.5 percent increase for these
3	facilities.
4	How are they supposed to keep pace in
5	recruitment and retention of employees when a
6	fast food worker is getting three times the
7	raise?
8	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: You know,
9	I think as we've said as has been said
10	before, the COLA is two years now, it's
11	5.4 percent and 2.5 percent. It is
12	definitely helpful. Also there are other
13	ways that all of our providers get dollars,
14	and that's through rate increases, which have
15	been significant. As I've mentioned before,
16	rate increases of up to 27 percent for
17	hospitals, 10 percent for clinics, 25 percent
18	for our residential treatment facilities,
19	lots of the money going into housing. So
20	there are other ways that dollars come in.
21	The other issue is recruitment. The

The other issue is recruitment. The kinds of things I've talked about, and Commissioner Neifeld, we need to recruit people, get them interested in the human

1	services service. And we are working with
2	universities around that. We also have loan
3	forgiveness programs, and we also have the
4	scholarships that we give to minority groups
5	to get educated to come into the field.
6	So there's a number of things going on
7	for workforce
8	SENATOR O'MARA: But the basic wage
9	isn't helping any, this increase. We're
10	losing ground to fast food workers.
11	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Just for
12	a point of clarification, for the OPWDD
13	budget and I believe for OASAS and OMH, that
14	there are additional dollars invested to keep
15	pace with minimum wage. So the minimum wage
16	increases that you talked about in downstate
17	and upstate, there are additional dollars
18	invested in all of our budgets. So those
19	keeping pace with minimum wage does not need
20	to come out of the COLA investment. Just
21	wanted to clarify that point.
22	SENATOR O'MARA: How much is that?
23	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: For my

budget, I'd have to get back to you on

1	exactly what that figure is. But it is there
2	in our budget. And I can't speak for the
3	other agencies, but

SENATOR O'MARA: Well, it just seems to me to be an insignificant increase compared to the raise in the minimum wage this year.

Now, I want to turn to the investment in mental health beds, a thousand beds. And I think that's great. I think the ratio of those beds of state facilities to private facilities is inversely applied. Why are we putting money in for so few state facility beds when Governor Cuomo closed three to four times that number of beds during his reign of terror on the mental health institutions in this state, yet we're not reopening these beds that are there vacant and available?

And frankly, the institution of pushing these mental health patients to nongovernmental entities has been an abject failure. Yet you're going to create 850 beds more there and only 150 in the state facility. Why is that?

1	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: The
2	community beds, there are about 6,000
3	community beds in New York State. The 850
4	beds were the ones that were closed during
5	the pandemic.
6	Prior to the pandemic, the occupancy
7	in those hospitals was about 70 percent,
8	which means that there was not a shortage of
9	beds. When you look at the state system
10	prior to the pandemic, similarly, we were
11	probably at about 90 percent occupancy, but
12	we did not have any significant waiting
13	lists.
14	Post the pandemic and the closure of
15	those beds is when we've hit this incredible
16	shortage. We do think there's about 150 more
17	beds needed in the state system, and that
18	seems like that would right-size the system
19	and provide the right kind of care.
20	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you. I
21	disagree.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Assembly.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman

1	Anderson.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: Thank you,
3	Madam Chair.
4	Commissioners, good to see you all
5	here on the panel this afternoon. I have a
6	few questions. First, let me make a comment
7	Good to see you, Commissioner Neifeld
8	I'm glad to see you here. You know where I
9	am on my issue around ACCES-VR and making
10	sure that that program assists individuals
1	with developmental disabilities.
12	But my questions today are for OMH, so
13	good to see you, Dr. Sullivan. Glad to see
L 4	you here. The first question is I see in the
15	Governor's Executive Budget an additional
16	\$1.1 billion being proposed, but no new FTEs
L7	Can you talk a little bit about why there's
18	no FTEs attached to that budget proposal
19	request?
20	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: That's
21	those are the FTEs for the state system that
22	are not in that you don't see on the line

The reason is that right now we have a number

of vacancies in the state system. So

23

1	basically when they outlined the budget, they
2	didn't put down increases because we're not
3	at full. So the additional lines that come
4	in do not cause us to have to put more
5	employees.
6	But the money is in the budget.
7	There's \$30 million for the new state beds
8	that is clearly in the budget. So as we
9	hire, those FTEs will go up.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: Thank you so
11	much, Dr. Sullivan. And I guess a follow-up
12	to that is what are your staffing levels at
13	your mental health facilities and
14	institutions presently?
15	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: In the
16	state system we have
17	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: Specifically
18	specifically Kirby, which is a mental health
19	institution in Manhattan.
20	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I can't
21	break it out specifically by Kirby. I can
22	get you that.
23	But we have about 13,000 employees
24	across the system, and right now we probably

1	have are running about I think maybe
2	it's 700 to 800 vacancies, given a certain
3	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: And I guess how
4	are you guys providing resources or even a
5	competitive wage to ensure that those 700 or
6	so vacancies are filled?
7	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: We've had
8	significant increases in our changes in
9	our titles for nursing, and increases in
10	nursing. We've had increases for
11	psychologists, psychiatrists, and we've had
12	increases for our in the titles for our
13	MHTAs, which are assistants. So we've been
14	working very closely with Civil Service and
15	Budget to enable us to be able to recruit.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN ANDERSON: Thank you. And
17	I have one more question, Dr. Sullivan or
18	actually, this is more of a suggestion than
19	anything.
20	I see that the Executive included
21	\$35 million in the 988 crisis hotline, and
22	there's 35 million specifically for

individuals who need to be connected to

mobile crisis services and so on. I think

23

1	that those resources should be used for block
2	grants attached to hospitals, to ensure that
3	there are mobile crisis units either by van
4	or RV reaching out into areas that have a
5	high level of individuals with propensity for
6	crises near downtown centers and so on.
7	So I think that that's something you
8	all should take back to the Executive.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. I'm
12	going to take my 10 minutes. Thank you so
13	much.
14	So for the Mental Health commissioner,
15	help me understand this beds story for
16	inpatient, because we're desperate for them
17	in New York City. I think we're hearing the
18	rest of the state is also.
19	So last year the Governor put money in
20	for I think 850 beds, but this year she's
21	talking about 850 plus another 150. Did we
22	get any of those from the current year's
23	budget?
24	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I don't

1	we didn't the 850 beds are beds that
2	closed because of the pandemic on the
3	community side. So the community side has
4	about 5500 community beds, acute care
5	community beds; 850 of those closed during
6	the pandemic that did not reopen. That gave
7	us the shortage of bed availability on the
8	community side, which has impacted emergency
9	rooms and has impacted communities.
10	Now, the state side
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I understand.
12	None of them did any of those reopen yet?
13	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No. No,
14	no. That's not entirely true. A few of them
15	have reopened after we sent out the letter.
16	There are several hospitals that have gotten
17	back to us, and some of those beds are
18	beginning to reopen. So that's the we had
19	asked all the hospitals to reply by
20	February 10th with their plans to reopen the
21	850 beds. Some have begun to reopen.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So you'll be able
23	to get us in writing the list of where
24	they've been reopened.

1	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. And
2	the process for reopening them, which will be
3	happening soon.
4	Then there's yup.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Then the
6	additional 150 beds in this year's proposed
7	budget are for reopening in state facilities.
8	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: State
9	hospital facilities, yes.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. So can you
11	also get us a list of where you're hoping to
12	open those beds?
13	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. And
14	it will take a little time because we're
15	still planning it, and some of the
16	stakeholder meetings that we're having is to
17	get information from all the communities as
18	to where they should reopen. Because we have
19	23 hospitals across the state.
20	But yes, we will get you the
21	information.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And did you just
23	answer my colleague with the answer that
24	there are 6,000 existing residential

1	psychiatric beds in the state?
2	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: These are
3	inpatient beds, community-based beds.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Psychiatric
5	residential.
6	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes, beds.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So you say
8	there's 6,000 now, before we've expanded.
9	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So can you also,
11	in your letter, please include where those
12	beds are.
13	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes, I
14	will. Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And those are
16	not we're not talking supportive housing
17	here, we're talking psychiatric residential.
18	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No. We're
19	talking inpatient community-based psychiatric
20	beds.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I think we'd all
22	really like to see this where who, what,
23	where.
24	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes,

1	absolutely.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Because I think
3	we all feel like there's nothing available,
4	and yet you're saying that there's already
5	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Well, most
6	of them are in hospitals, and then there's
7	some freestanding.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. So I
9	think yes, we would be very interested in
10	having that material.
11	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So now let's go
13	to your supportive housing discussion, which
14	is again primarily for people with mental
15	health issues coming out of this budget, the
16	\$890 million to increase capital projects for
17	supportive housing expansion. And I think is
18	was originally 3500 new beds.
19	So where are we in a time frame for
20	any of that?
21	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Those new
22	beds have to go out for bid under request for
23	proposals. So as soon as they are approved

in the budget, we will be sending out

1 requests for proposals.

Some of those will be apartments, an RFP for providers to get apartments; those will come up sooner across the state. Others will require capital construction. Others will not require as extensive construction.

So we're expecting within a year and a half to two years, this year through the end of next year, to get the majority of those beds working -- except for the long-term capital ones, which tend to take longer.

That's new construction. And that could go out several years.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we know that there's a variety of silos within state government, so there's supportive housing beds that have been committed to by the Governor, you know, through her housing budget, through her OTDA budget, through I think the OPWDD budget. I'm not sure about substance abuse having its own supportive housing contracts.

I just want to make sure that in this proposal we're committing to additional beds

1	not playing a yeah, you thought you were
2	going to be for this, but now we're telling
3	you, you have to be for this instead.
4	Because there's long waiting lists for all of
5	these beds through the various agencies.
6	So this is for other contracts with
7	perhaps the same agencies, but for additional
8	slots beyond what's already been committed in
9	all those other categories. Am I right?
10	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes,
11	you're correct.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. That's
13	important to know. Thank you.
14	So for the commissioner of OPWDD, can
15	you help me understand a little better what
16	you described in your testimony about
17	excuse me, I had it written down and I just
18	have to find it again. So sorry the DEI
19	initiative with regards to the workforce?
20	I'm a little confused what that's doing.
21	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Sure.
22	Well, first off, you know, it's a big
23	priority for OPWDD, for the Governor in
24	general. And we have appointed this year our

1	first chief diversity officer, who, you know
2	inhabits an executive role in the agency and
3	is spearheading our diversity, equity and
4	inclusion efforts.

What I highlighted in my testimony is our commitment to those efforts overall. We have a large contract with Georgetown University, which has a National Center of Excellence related to, diversity, equity and inclusion specifically for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities.

So over the course of the next three years -- I believe it's a \$10 million contract, but we can confirm that. Over the course of the next three years we'll be working really sort of in three areas:

First, looking at our agency as a whole and our DEI efforts within OPWDD, our policies, our procedures, our ADMs, things like that.

How are we upholding the tenets of DE&I within all that we do.

Working closely with our provider communities to understand their needs related to DE&I. And as we said, our priority is how

can we help our provider community, you know, also to -- you know, to keep pace with the work that we're doing and support them in their own efforts.

And then looking at diversity, equity and inclusion and sort of intersectionality related to the people that we're supporting, knowing that people with developmental disabilities are not just, you know, single-faceted, you know, individuals who are, you know, people with developmental disabilities, but they're from the LGBT community, they're, you know, Black, brown, they identify in many different ways. And wanting to really embrace sort of the whole individual and making sure that our services and our policies can do that.

I think the one other piece that I would just want to emphasize is continuing to do better in working with smaller providers, right, providers that are really in touch with our marginalized communities to be able to support them both as providers and to support the work that they're doing.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
2	Going back to Commissioner Sullivan,
3	on the community-based psychiatric beds, the
4	850, though I'm not sure if I ever saw a cost
5	per bed, what is the money for? Because in
6	theory, everybody who would be in these beds
7	would either be Medicaid or Medicare or
8	private insurance, since we have insurance
9	equity for mental health. So what's this
10	money actually being spent for?
11	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: There's a
12	rate increase for those beds of 27 percent.
13	That's where the money for the community beds
14	is increased. And then there are state funds
15	directly for the state beds. But the money
16	for the community beds is a rate increase, a
17	Medicaid rate increase.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So since we've
19	already established there are 6,000 existing
20	and we're hoping to bring another 850
21	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No. No.
22	The 850 are beds that are offline out of that
23	number.
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Right. But

1	there's 6,000 online and you're adding back
2	850 more.
3	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: No, 6,000
4	total, 850 included.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, sorry.
6	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I'm sorry.
7	If I'm
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: No, I'm all
9	right. So there are currently 5,150 online.
10	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Something
11	like that, yes.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We're adding 850.
13	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes.
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Is the 27 percent
15	rate increase for all 6,000?
16	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. Yes.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. And yet I
18	know and I think we all know that many of
19	these providers do not want to open and
20	reopen these psychiatric beds.
21	And I'm not even convinced, with all
22	due respect, that there aren't a lot more
23	than 850 that they took offline and haven't
24	brought back. You would know better. That's

1	why I really want the list, to see. Because
2	when I go looking at least in my city, I
3	don't think I can find them in a lot of
4	places that some of us think had psychiatric
5	beds open in the past.
6	But I know the Governor also put in
7	sort of a carrot-and-stick model in her
8	budget this year. So there's the increased
9	rate. I think that's good and important.
10	What's the stick? And do we have any faith
1	that that's actually going to be enough to
12	work?
13	I have 16 seconds, so you might have
14	to get it in writing back to me, in fairness.
15	Okay? And we all want to know, so we're
16	going to ask that you have a list now of
17	things to give us in writing.
18	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN:
19	Absolutely.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I will add what
21	the stick specifically is and why we think
22	that will actually work.
23	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes.
24	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

1	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
3	much.
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
5	Assemblyman Epstein, three minutes.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you,
7	Madam Chair.
8	Commissioner Sullivan, I just wanted
9	to know about four months ago we had a
10	hearing here, you testified around the issues
11	of college students with serious mental
12	health issues.
13	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Oh, I'll
14	get you
15	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: It's fine.
16	And I'm just you know, I had hoped
17	after that hearing and you saw the crisis
18	that we're experiencing in our colleges, that
19	we'd see something in the budget dealing with
20	the crisis of mental health in our college
21	students and the role OMH could play to
22	helping college students be successful. The
23	largest reason people drop out of college
24	is one of the largest is mental health

1 issues.

So I'm wondering why there's nothing
here and what OMH's plan is to do in their
role going forward to help our colleges to
assist the students with mental health
issues.

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yeah, we're doing some things which are not highlighted in the budget but are embedded in OMH.

We're expanding the awareness of 988.

And also there's a text called Text5U, which is a texting system for college students to just text directly to someone who understands the issues of college students. And we're expanding that all across SUNY. And we're going to be expanding it to other colleges as well.

We're also working very closely with SUNY, I think it's completed, SUNY -- a whole directory of services in the community that college students can access in addition.

Some of them really don't want to go to the counseling center at the college, but want to

go outside.

And we're working with SUNY, CUNY, and
we will be with the Association of
Independent Colleges, to make connections
between the college services and the
community-based services. Sometimes despite
the fact that there's really community mental
health services there, colleges don't know
about them and haven't really publicized them
to the students.

And then lastly, we are meeting with both, again, the Independent Colleges

Association actually in a couple of weeks, and with SUNY, to look at a whole mental wellness approach on the college campuses, so that we can work together. And we have done this in the past with high schools and others through our various prevention services and will now be doing that with the -- with higher education.

So there's a lot going on between us and them, it just doesn't kind of appear -- ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Okay, because I

think we just need to -- obviously the crisis

is something we have to deal with, and I
appreciate that you're doing work. It would
be great to figure out what we can be doing
in the Legislature to support that because,
you know, as we had that hearing four months
ago, you know, we really see that crisis as
really it's important.

And Commissioner Neifeld, I just
wanted to talk about employment with people
with disabilities. I didn't know -- at the
end of the year there was a report that came
out talking about the -- and especially
related to our government set-asides, that
the program isn't working well enough. I saw
something in the budget saying they'll expand
the 55B and C programs.

I'm wondering what you're thinking that we need to be doing to ensure that people with disabilities have employment opportunities. I only have 20 seconds, but thank you.

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Sure. I mean, I think we're doing a lot as an agency to expand opportunities for people with

1	developmental disabilities, starting with,
2	you know, career and vocational training
3	opportunities. Really working with our
4	providers to understand how we can support
5	them better to provide the full continuum of
6	employment services to people with
7	developmental disabilities. Certainly
8	willing to work with the Department of Civil
9	Service.
10	And we can follow up with you on a lot
11	more.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN EPSTEIN: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	To the Senate.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. And
16	now we're starting our chair second rounds.
17	I'll start with Senator Mannion.
18	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you, Chair.
19	Hard for me to go in there's so
20	many good directions or important directions
21	to go. But I'm going to bring up the dual
22	diagnosis, since we talked about siloing
23	earlier. Right adjacent to my district, at
24	Upstate, the shifting of those dual diagnoses

_	_		_	
1	for	adolescents	and	children
1	TOT	adotescencs	anu	CHITIGIEH.

Where are we with that? Is there anything in the budget to support that? And is there anything in the budget to support an expansion of programs at Hutchings, where some of that shift is leaving?

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: At
Hutchings that is moving forward. We are
expecting that the dual diagnosis unit will
open in the fall of this year. There have
been some delays because of COVID and
construction, but it should be opening in the
fall of this year.

Also in the budget there's an expansion of something we call home-based crisis intervention. And those are teams that work with youth and families right in the home. And two of those teams -- and maybe more -- will be dedicated to work specifically with dual-diagnosis youth who have both developmental disabilities and mental health issues. And that's been very effective in helping families. It's something that we'll connect with the person

L	in the emergency room and then follow them
2	with intensive services for six to eight
3	weeks, and then continue with whatever is
4	needed.

So we're very excited about having that come together. We're also doing a tremendous amount of education. We have a -- we're going to be doing what they call Project Echo, which is collaborative learning, across the system of care so that when individuals come in, there is a cross-learning of mental health and developmental disabilities.

We've worked together on this with

Commissioner Neifeld. And we are also

talking with Commissioner Neifeld about

looking at some of those beds that we will be

adding to the system, while some of those

might be dual diagnosis as well. So in

planning these new services we're working

together very, very closely on integrating

care for the dually diagnosed.

SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.

I'm going to stay with you,

1	Commissioner Sullivan. On CPEP in the
2	Executive Budget there is dollars for new
3	CPEP programs. But I have heard concerns
4	about CPEP programs currently not functioning
5	at full capacity. Is there anything in the
6	budget to support either bringing that to
7	full capacity or additional growth beyond
8	that in current CPEP programs?
9	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: There's
10	been a recent rate increase to CPEPs, so that
11	I think one of the issues had been the rate
12	at the CPEP. So there has been a recent
13	increase in rates to CPEPs.
14	And also we have given all the CPEPs
15	two peer-bridgers to work peer staff which
16	have been funded by the state, to work in the
17	CPEPs. So there's a lot of work going on to
18	make sure that the model is vibrant going
19	forward. And that we think now that it
20	should be, and then we will be expanding by
21	12 more CPEPs across the state.
22	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	Assembly.

1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
2	Assemblyman Ra, five minutes, ranker.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
4	Good afternoon. I just have, you
5	know, one question for Dr. Cunningham with
6	regard to the nax naxol I never
7	pronounce that right. But the standing
8	order.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Naloxone.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Naloxone, thank you.
11	That's why you're the chair.
12	My understanding is that would be
13	something between both OASAS and the
14	Department of Health to update that, but that
15	it hasn't been since 2016 and that there are
16	a number of new, you know, products that have
17	come on the market that are not available as
18	a result of that.
19	Can you comment on that?
20	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Sure.
21	So the Commissioner of Health actually
22	expanded the standing order for naloxone last
23	year. And really that was to expand that to
24	all pharmacies across the state. Previously

1	there had been it was a limited number of
2	pharmacies.
3	I'm not sure if you're getting at
4	specifically the dosing of naloxone.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Well, yeah, I mean
6	the so my understanding is there's some
7	new products that are 8-milligram doses.
8	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Right.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: And, you know, we're
10	dealing with, as you know, the potency of
11	fentanyl that sometimes the basic kit I
12	believe just has two 4-milligrams and
13	sometimes it's not enough because of the
14	potency of the fentanyl we're seeing.
15	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Right.
16	So yes, they are new products. Data have not
17	shown that these new products work any better
18	than the existing products.
19	And I think really this speaks towards
20	the poly-substance issues around the overdose
21	epidemic. And so, you know, in many of these
22	cases there's a lot of questions about
23	whether it's really opioids that are, you

know, leading to the sort of less response to

naloxone or if there are other things like
xylazine, which has certainly been more and
more in the drug supply. Or, you know,
others

And so I think for this reason, it's very important to have this expansion that we have right now with fentanyl test strips and with drug-checking machines, so that we can pick up changes in the drug supply, so people can change their behaviors, so that we can, you know, make sure that the public is educated about what's happening.

ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Yeah, and I just hope we continue to, you know, evaluate that, because I believe it's offered by the VA, Medicaid, Medicare, private plans. So it may be a good option given the issues we're dealing with. But thank you.

With regard to mental health,

Commissioner Sullivan, so I know there's this

proposal regarding insurance for behavioral

health and substance use parity in the budget

proposal. So what would that allow? How

does that work relative to our current

1	behavioral health parity laws?
2	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: One of the
3	major pieces of the proposal is to put into
4	regulation network adequacy for plans.
5	Network adequacy means that they have the
6	services available equally for mental health
7	as they would for medical services.
8	Also, geographic time it would take
9	for someone to get the mental health
10	services. And also some standards around
1	utilization review, that they all be equal to
12	the way individuals with medical illness are
13	treated.
14	Similarly, making clear and clarifying
15	that certain mental health services should be
16	paid for by commercial insurers. And that
17	includes things like school-based services
18	and crisis services.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Okay. And how does
20	that proposal interact with the \$74 million
21	in funding in the Aid to Localities for

managed care behavioral health transition

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Those

22

23

24

funding?

1	dollars would largely come from an increase
2	in the Medicaid budget, for Medicaid, or
3	commercial insurers. The Aid to Localities
4	dollars are state funds that go separate from
5	insurance to the localities.
6	ASSEMBLYMAN RA: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you
8	for thank you. We're going to go to the
9	Senate in a moment.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Just one moment.
11	And it will be Senator Brouk up on deck.
12	All right, Senator Brouk, three-minute
13	follow-up.
14	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you so much.
15	You all are almost there. Maybe. I
16	don't know. Could be much longer.
17	(Laughter.)
18	SENATOR BROUK: But I want to ask of
19	course my dear commissioner here,
20	Commissioner Sullivan, again in these last
21	couple of minutes it might seem off-topic,
22	but you probably know where I'm going with
23	this. Do you know what the number-one
24	pregnancy complication is for birthing

1	people?
2	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I'm sorry,
3	I just didn't hear you.
4	SENATOR BROUK: The number-one
5	complication for pregnant people.
6	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Since
7	you're asking me, I'm wondering if there
8	are it's definitely significant issues
9	with depression in women, pregnant women.
10	SENATOR BROUK: Yeah. And that's the
11	thing I think is really interesting. So the
12	most common complication for pregnancy with
13	birthing people is actually maternal mental
14	health conditions. And this is why I bring
15	it up to you.
16	So 20 percent, or one in five people
17	having a child, are also dealing with a
18	maternal mental health condition. The thing
19	that I think is even more surprising and
20	really kind of upsetting is that here in New
21	York State, per actually information from our
22	own State DOH, the third leading cause of
23	pregnancy-related deaths in New York is a

maternal mental health condition.

So because of that, last year I introduced a bill to create a maternal mental health workgroup so that we could really study these issues. However, it was vetoed by the Governor. So I'm curious to know what OMH is doing currently to tackle this crisis, knowing that it really feeds into our existing maternal mortality and morbidity crisis.

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: One of the major issues in the healthcare community with women who are pregnant and depressed is whether or not they can take antidepressant medications. And there's an issue with this, because some -- there's a knowledge gap, I think, among both OB-GYN providers and among some mental health providers, as to the safety of prescribing these medications. And when you look at the report from the Department of Health, one of the complicating factors was women who were taken off their medications because of a fear that it might affect their pregnancy.

And so what we've established in the

1	Office of Mental Health is something
2	connected to what we've done for
3	pediatricians for individuals working with
4	maternal health called Project TEACH. And
5	Project TEACH now has available statewide a
6	consultation line for medical providers,
7	whether they're psychiatrists or OB-GYN or
8	to call and, say, get an expert to discuss
9	with them exactly what medications are safe,
10	et cetera. They can do video consultations
11	also to help people understand how the
12	depression needs to be treated. This is
13	funded by the state and available statewide.
14	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you. And I
15	didn't want to cut you off but in the last
16	20 seconds, because I think there probably is
17	more to share. Can you follow up in written
18	form so that we can have a better
19	understanding of what the state is currently
20	doing for maternal mental health conditions?
21	That would be really helpful. Thank you.
22	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes, we
23	will. Thank you.
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to

1	Assembly	yman	Braunstein	, three	minutes

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Thank you all. It's the third hour, so we appreciate your time.

My first question is for

Commissioner Sullivan. One of my colleagues
touched on this earlier. I'd be interested
to hear any insight you might have on the
impact social media is having on mental
health, particularly with young people, and
if your office is responding to that in any
way.

OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: A lot of the work that we do on prevention in schools focuses on the impact of media, especially the impact of the bullying that can happen on social media, often some youth being scapegoated in some ways for various things.

So we do a lot of what we call mental health first aid -- it teaches about mental health conditions -- but at the same time we work with the schools about working with youth about the dangers of certain -- that kind of behavior on social media.

1	We also set up peer programs not as
2	many as we need, but we will be growing peer
3	programs to work adolescent-to-adolescent on
4	things like mental health, suicide
5	prevention, bullying, just a culture of
6	respect for each other. And that's a big
7	piece of what we're doing.
8	In addition, we work with SED on a
9	respectful culture in some of the schools
10	which have given we've given out grants.
1	So there's a number of programs. What
12	happens on social media is kind of a symptom
13	of other things that are going on, so you
4	can't just say ban social media, you have to
15	help the cultures
16	ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: I'm not
17	saying ban social media, but some kind of
18	awareness or education campaign
19	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes,
20	exactly, that's what we're doing. That's
21	what we're doing.
22	ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: You know,

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: You know,
particularly with young women, there are
self-esteem issues and depression. And, you

1	know, I think addressing these issues in
2	school and explaining to people the potential
3	for problems is a good first start. So
4	you're working on those things.
5	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. And
6	what we do when we put in our school-based
7	clinics, they also work with the schools on
8	these issues. And that's when when
9	they're in there, they then work with the
10	teachers.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Thank you.
12	And I have one more question, for
13	Commissioner Neifeld.
14	You referenced earlier that for people
15	with I/DD for residential opportunities,
16	there's 1200 people on the emergency
17	waitlist. What is the criteria to be on the
18	emergency waitlist, and what are we doing to
19	increase residential opportunities? I'm
20	sorry there's only 30 seconds.
21	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: That's
22	okay. And we can certainly get you in
23	writing the specific criteria to the
24	emergency needs list. It's not classified as

1	a waitlist.
2	But it's for people whose needs are,
3	you know, most pressing people who are,
4	you know, waiting for a discharge opportunity
5	from another setting; people whose family
6	members, if they've been living at home, are
7	no longer able to care for them; people who
8	are homeless; children who are aging out of
9	residential schools and need to enter the
10	OPWDD adult system.
11	But we have, you know, very specific
12	criteria, and our Medicaid waiver that we can
13	send you in writing.
14	ASSEMBLYMAN BRAUNSTEIN: Okay. Thank
15	you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: There are no
17	other questions from Senators, so now we go
18	to Assemblywoman Kelles. Oh, hold on.
19	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: I had a question.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Oh, hold on.
21	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: May I? Thank you.
22	For my next three minutes, I want to

go back to the discussion about the machines

for Commissioner Cunningham.

23

1	Where are we in the state right now
2	with the development of expanding these
3	drug-checking services?
4	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So
5	we're working with providers so that we can
6	get all of the providers that we fund for
7	street-level outreach, in addition to all the
8	providers that do outreach and engagement
9	with mobile units, to provide drug-checking
10	machines. So that's a total of 38 providers.
11	And we're working with them right now
12	to see about their level of interest, and
13	then provide the
14	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
15	Asking people to bring illicit drugs to a
16	government entity will require significant
17	trust between the organization and the people
18	who use drugs. What's your plan for building
19	that trust with the people who are using?
20	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: So
21	this funding is actually for community-based
22	non-for-profit organizations. So these are
23	community-based organizations that have been

providing services in these communities for

1	770276
<b>T</b>	years.

And so this is in addition to a lot of
the services that they already provide. And
many of them provide harm reduction and
treatment services. So this is an additional
sort of option of services. So for many of
them, they have been in the community and
have, you know, really had trust in those
communities that they serve.

SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Has any organization not wanted to partner with this? And what is the -- I guess requirements to have an organization be a part of this program?

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: I

think a lot of the questions are really about

the specific technology. That these are -
you know, it's new technology. They're

handheld machines. We're getting them from

Canada. So people just have a lot of

questions about what exactly the substances

are, how accurate the technology is, and

really providing the training and technical

assistance for them.

1	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
2	I mentioned before I was developing a
3	bill to create a program for the drug-testing
4	machines. And we have a bill number that
5	came while we were waiting. That is S4880.
6	So I implore us to explore this program to
7	make sure that those that are going to test
8	are not put in any danger afterward.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
11	Assemblywoman Kelles, three minutes.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Thank you all
13	for being here. It's very, very clear how
14	much you care about the work that you do. So
15	thank you first for that.
16	And I also want to thank you for the
17	focus on harm reduction. All the research
18	shows that you focus on building trust, you
19	focus on building self-efficacy, and you get
20	better outcomes. Particularly with peer
21	work, that's so critical for building
22	relationships and trust and working. So
23	thank you for that.
24	UNIDENTIFIED LEGISLATOR: We can't

1	hear you up here.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I'm going to
3	start yelling and maybe people can you can
4	hear me now?
5	UNIDENTIFIED LEGISLATOR: Now we can.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: All right. I
7	might lose my voice halfway through, but I'll
8	try.
9	So the second thing I wanted to
10	mention, some of you mentioned working to
11	build microcredentials and certificate
12	programs in order to get more staff into
13	these fields. One of the main issues are
14	that those aren't eligible for TAP funding,
15	and the people that we are looking at are
16	tend to be low-income people who then can't
17	afford it if it isn't TAP-eligible.
18	I'm working on a piece of legislation.
19	I'd love your input before I put it in. But
20	I think that that is a major issue, so I just
21	wanted to point that out.
22	We've all brought up wages, and I'm
23	not going to beat, you know, beat this to

death more than we already have -- except to

1	note that we're I don't think anyone is
2	saying that you all aren't doing your best.
3	You know, you put in a COLA last year, you
4	put in a COLA this year, you are absolutely
5	doing things.

The question that -- the issue is, is it keeping pace with the actual need in the community? So if it is not keeping pace with inflation alone, and the rate that we were giving prior to issues with inflation were already lower than what was needed, or the cost, cost of living, then we are going to go in the wrong direction. So even if we're putting in -- so I just wanted to note, mathematically speaking, that is the direction we're heading.

So I have situations in my facilities.

One, they told me they have a shortage of

25 percent staff; another, they have a

shortage of 40 percent staff. And the issue
is we have directors even doing some of the

work.

So I did want to point out that one thing. And here's two questions.

L	Commissioner Cunningham, you stated
2	before that spending the Opioid Settlement
3	funds have been delayed because you are
1	waiting on the Opioid Settlement Advisory
5	Board. But I understand they're waiting on
õ	full reports on existing programs and also of
7	the RFAs. Are those forthcoming?

OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

So we received the report on November 1st of last year, and so we did not make funds available until then. So as soon as that report was reviewed by the Legislature and the Governor, yes, we've made the funding available.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: Great.

And then another question, in respect to Dr. Sullivan, one of the major things that I'm hearing is with the aging population in facilities, that you can't really have beds that are short-term because the populations are aging and that there isn't staffing to address the aging needs because they end up being in the beds long-term. And part of the issue is that some of the beds are restricted

1	for specific populations.
2	Would it be helpful if there was an
3	ability to have some discretion in releasing
4	some of those beds?
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You'll have to
6	let us know in writing for that question.
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN KELLES: I will email
8	them. Thank you.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
10	We go to Assemblyman Manktelow. Is
11	he there he is.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Thank you,
13	Chair, Chairpersons.
14	Good afternoon, ladies, and thank you
15	for sticking this out.
16	Commissioner Sullivan, a question
17	about the Dwyer funding. Do you envision any
18	changes or additions to the program moving
19	forward to expand the capacity of this
20	program?
21	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I think
22	we're really looking at it, now that we've
23	got it in it's in all the counties and
24	it's growing across all the counties. I

1	think we'll continue to look at it and see
2	what the needs are and learn from that.
3	So at this point in time, there's not.
4	But I think we have to look at the needs and
5	after we've made sure that it's adequate
6	across all the counties, what else might be
7	needed.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: So by doing
9	that, Commissioner, how will that be done?
10	Will you reach out to all the counties and
11	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. Our
12	team has been working with all the counties
13	and speaking with them about what they do,
14	what their needs are and, as we've set up the
15	new programs, looked at how successful
16	they've been.
17	So we're beginning to get data too
18	from the Dwyer programs. It's a great
19	program.
20	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Yeah, it
21	absolutely is, especially the peer to peers.
22	That's the best thing we
23	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: That's the
24	best.

1	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: could ever
2	do for these individuals. Thank you.
3	Commissioner Neifeld, a question on
4	our frontline workers. I've met with the
5	frontline workers on many occasions. Some of
6	these frontline workers in the homes are
7	working doubles and are you aware of
8	triples? I know they're frustrated. They do
9	an awesome job. They're even leaving their
10	young families home to take care of these
1	residents. And my hat's off to them. They
12	do a great job.
13	What are we doing when the raises
4	come into play and they're getting the
15	raises, why does it take so long to get that
16	money into their pockets?
L7	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: So agree
18	a hundred percent that our staff are doing
19	incredible jobs, and our providers as well,
20	to meet the needs of people across the state.
21	You know, there's we're talking
22	about, you know, various different funding

streams, you know, and it's hard for me to

sort of answer your question specifically.

23

1	WΘ	can	certainly	talk	more	offline
L	WE	Call	CELLAINIY	Laik	IIIOTE	OTITILE

But we do get the funds to our providers as quickly as possible and work with them to ensure that they have that funding available to get to them. And, you know, there's been various bonus programs, there's been COLA programs, there's been sort of various different funding streams that we could talk a little bit more about offline to sort of sift through some of that, if that would be helpful.

ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Yeah, yeah, that would be great. I will do a follow-up with you. I'll speak to the workers again just to be sure I know where I'm coming from.

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Sure.

ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: But I do have grave concern for them and their families and everything they provide.

And my very last question, just to all of you, as you do so many different programs throughout the years, and we all become complacent sometimes -- do you ever take a look back at some of the programs that have

1	been in the system for a while, evaluating
2	them to see if there's savings that we can
3	use there and take some of that money and
4	possibly use it in other locations,
5	especially in Mental Health?
6	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: Yes. Yes,
7	we do. And we also look back and see if
8	there are improvements that we need to make
9	in programs that are there, yes.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Thank you,
11	ladies.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
13	So now we go to our chairs for their
14	second round of three minutes.
15	Assemblyman Steck.
16	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Thank you,
17	Madam Chair.
18	I have one question for Commissioner
19	Cunningham and one for Commissioner Sullivan.
20	For Commissioner Sullivan, how many
21	people have to go out-of-state to receive
22	mental health treatment that the state is
23	paying for because that treatment's not
24	available here?

1	OMH COMMISSIONER SULLIVAN: I'll have
2	to get back to you on that. I don't know of
3	the number for mental health treatment that
4	go out-of-state.
5	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Okay. And then
6	for Commissioner Cunningham I don't mean
7	to pick on you for this one; anyone could
8	answer it. But is there really any
9	enforcement mechanism, when we do these COLA
10	increases that are supposed to go to staff,
11	to see if the agencies actually pass it on to
12	staff?
13	OASAS COMMISSIONER CUNNINGHAM: I'm
14	not sure if there are specific enforcement
15	efforts. You know, I can certainly get back
16	to you and touch base with our staff. But
17	obviously the intent is for the staff to
18	receive additional funding and support.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: The final
20	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: The final questioner on the Assembly side, for a second
21	questioner on the Assembly side, for a second

1	Thank you.
2	I want to just raise again direct
3	support wages. Why not include the
4	nonprofit-employed DSPs, especially
5	considering the stated goals of the strategi
6	plan and that these employees provide
7	services to 85 percent of the people with
8	disabilities in the state?
9	OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: Sure.
10	You know, as discussed, the COLA in this
11	year's budget, the 5.4 percent and the
12	proposed COLA, the 2.5 percent, the
13	appropriation language does strongly suggest
14	that at least a portion of those funds go to
15	staff wages. And OPWDD has an attestation
16	out to our providers now to understand how
17	they're using those dollars.
18	We understand that there are, you
19	know, multiple ways that providers need to
20	use those dollars to cover operational costs
21	and staff wages. And so our attestation is

trying to ascertain how those funds are being

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: Do you

22

23

24

used.

believe that the 2.5 percent COLA is adequate
to address the placements outside of OPWDD's
system?

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: I think, you know, as we've talked about this morning, the 5.4 percent in this year's budget and then building on that with an additional 2.5 percent yields over \$700 million for our not-for-profit sector just within the OPWDD system, which is a significant amount of money to be able to increase, you know, staff wages and also to provide services.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: We regularly hear reports from parents and people receiving supports that the quality of life in residences has deteriorated significantly in recent years, many resembling institutions rather than residences. Examples that we've heard include the deteriorating physical condition of homes, inadequate staffing levels to support individuals to participate in their communities, staff turnover that causes many people receiving supports to be unable to remember the names of staff,

_		
1	_t	cetera.

What data measures does OPWDD have on
the quality of the lives of people receiving
supports and whether that has indeed
deteriorated over time? And what in this
budget do you believe will help improve the
quality of life of people in residences?

OPWDD COMMISSIONER NEIFELD: There's a lot to unpack in your question, and we can certainly continue the question offline.

But, you know, quality of life, health and safety, is of utmost importance to OPW.

We spend a lot of time out in the field understanding, you know, what are the conditions that people are living in.

Certainly if there are health and safety concerns, physical plant concerns, those are addressed by our Division of Quality

Improvement.

You know, over the course of the pandemic certainly, you know, access to the community, ability to be engaged in the community, staffing concerns have all, you know, worked against, you know, people having

1	that extreme quality of life. But those are
2	issues that we are, you know, constantly
3	working on with our providers.
4	Medicaid CMS has new standards for
5	quality that we're beginning to understand
6	and we're to implement. And we can continue
7	to talk about that offline. It's very
8	important to OPW.
9	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. We're
11	finished, I believe. We have closed for the
12	Senate and the Assembly.
13	We want to thank you all for being
14	with us today, and for the work of you and
15	your agencies. Please let everybody know how
16	much we do appreciate everything you are
17	trying to do for so many New Yorkers.
18	And we're going to now can ask you to
19	leave and we'll be very rude and say do not
20	talk to other people when you are walking
21	out; they can find you in the hall if they

So legislators, if you feel a need to

grab -- no, you can't grab any of them. So

22

23

24

need to.

1	let me rephrase.
2	(Laughter.)
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Helene will
4	holler at me about that.
5	(Laughter.)
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: If you feel a
7	need to reach out to any of them right now
8	please, in the hallways. Thank you all.
9	And next I'm going to call up our next
10	panel of just Denise Miranda, New York State
11	Justice Center for the Protection of People
12	With Special Needs. Thank you.
13	(Off the record.)
14	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Giving everybody
15	a chance to get back in their seats. Okay.
16	And just to clarify, for this witness,
17	the chairs of the committees are Gunther and
18	Seawright for the Assembly, Brouk and Mannion
19	for the Senate. And the rankers are
20	Fitzpatrick and Weber for the Senate and
21	Gandolfo and Giglio for the Assembly. So
22	just for checking what amount of time you're
23	all going to get, now you have actually been
24	told.

1	And good afternoon, and welcome. And
2	you have 10 minutes to present to us. And
3	again, we all have your testimony. So if you
4	can summarize your key points if you think
5	you can't get through the testimony, which
6	most people can't, that would be great.
7	Thank you.
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Good
9	afternoon, Chairs Mannion, Brouk, Krueger,
10	Seawright, Gunther, Weinstein, as well as
11	other distinguished members of the Senate and
12	Assembly.
13	My name is Denise Miranda, and I'm the
14	executive director
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Can you pull the
16	mic a little closer?
17	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure.
18	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
19	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: My name
20	is Denise Miranda, and I'm the executive
21	director of the New York State Justice Center
22	for the Protection of People with Special
23	Needs. I'd like to thank you for the
24	opportunity to testify regarding Governor

Hochul's Executive Budget proposal.

I come to you today on the cusp of an important anniversary for the Justice Center. In June, the agency will mark 10 years since we began operations. That means a decade has passed since the Legislature created the strongest protections in the country for individuals with special needs.

By creating the Justice Center,

New York State overhauled a system that

allowed abusers to commit bad acts, to move

from facility to facility, and to abuse and

neglect vulnerable people time and time

again. These bad actors can no longer hide

in the shadows.

There is no stronger example of the

Justice Center's work than the agency's Staff

Exclusion List. Eight hundred and seventy

people have been barred from the service

system for their horrific acts of abuse.

Without the changes ushered in with the

creation of the Justice Center, these

870 people could have continued their

despicable behavior, leaving countless

1 victims in their wake.

I would love to come before you today to say that our mission has been completed in the last decade, but we know our work must continue. The strain put on the workforce by the pandemic, and magnified by the staffing crisis, is having real impacts on the quality of care in the service sector. This only underscores the importance of the Justice Center's independent investigations and systemic reviews.

In order to carry out those investigations, the Justice Center looks for opportunities to leverage technology to make our processes more efficient and effective.

This year we created a virtual reality training video for Justice Center investigators. This immersive experience takes viewers into an incident as it unfolds. The user has a 360-degree view of the situation and gets a feeling for just how quickly issues can develop and escalate.

The innovative training helps investigators identify all the areas of

concern and avenues to pursue during their investigations. We believe this training also has potential for providers to use for their workforce trainings.

As we know, the Justice Center's mission goes beyond investigations. The agency has taken on several initiatives to help stop abuse and neglect before it happens. At the top of that list is the Justice Center's prevention work. The agency analyzes data from our cases to spot trends. We use this information to create toolkits that providers, family members, and staff can use to prevent abuse and neglect from occurring.

This past year, data analysis told us more guidance was needed for medical emergencies, so the Justice Center produced a new toolkit on that topic. We also continue to enhance our offerings on one of the most prevalent issues we encounter -- maintaining professional boundaries.

Preventing abuse and neglect is not possible without the partnerships we have

1	formed in the last decade with families and
2	provider organizations. Our outreach to
3	these communities remains vital to our
4	success. This year, the Justice Center
5	hosted a virtual summit. Eight workshops
6	held across four days took a deep dive into
7	topics such as our support available to
8	individuals and families, investigative
9	techniques, and trend analysis. In total,
10	nearly 1,000 people across 53 counties
11	registered to attend.

The agency is already working on a similar event for 2023.

When we engage with both families and providers at events like our virtual summit, the workforce crisis comes up frequently. We hear about the challenges being faced in the service system and the strain being put on the workers who show up day in and day out to support vulnerable New Yorkers. We have started a series of roundtable discussions with providers across the state to discuss ways the Justice Center can support them and the dedicated staff they employ. Supporting

1	the workforce through careful evaluation of
2	the Justice Center processes will be a
3	priority as we work through 2023.
4	A decade ago, the state made a promise
5	to a million vulnerable New Yorkers: To
6	maintain the nation's highest standards of
7	health, safety, and dignity for individuals
8	with special needs. It was a goal unlike any
9	other across the country. The Justice Center
10	appreciates your partnership in our
11	relentless pursuit to fulfill this mission.
12	I now welcome your questions.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you very
14	much.
15	Our first questioner will be Senator
16	Samra Brouk.
17	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you.
18	Good afternoon. Thank you for being
19	here. I just have a couple of more general
20	questions for some of the work you do.
21	First of all, and I don't know if you
22	covered this, but how many investigations did
23	you all undertake last year?
24	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure.

1	So we run a 24-hour, seven-day-a-week
2	operation call center which receives all of
3	the incidents of abuse and neglect that are
4	called in. We receive approximately 90,000
5	calls a year
6	SENATOR BROUK: Ninety thousand?
7	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Ninety
8	thousand calls are processed through the call
9	center. Obviously the overwhelming majority
10	of those calls are not abuse and neglect
11	cases, thankfully. But when we look at the
12	numbers for '22, what we see is approximately
13	10,000 to 11,000 incidents of abuse and
14	neglect that are categorized as abuse and
15	neglect and therefore handled within the
16	Justice Center.
17	SENATOR BROUK: And do you notice any
18	trends in terms of what agencies you see
19	cases from more often?
20	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure. So
21	I believe the trends are really attributable
22	to the amount of people who are receiving
23	services in those state oversight agencies,

so the majority, about 60 percent of the

1	cases, flow from OPWDD.
2	SENATOR BROUK: Sixty percent for
3	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: About
4	65 percent from OPWDD. What would be the
5	next.
6	SENATOR BROUK: What would be the
7	next
8	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure. So
9	the stats that we have: OCFS, 18 percent;
10	OMH, 11 percent; OASAS, 3 percent; SED,
11	3 percent. And DOH represents a very small
12	number, less than 1 percent.
13	SENATOR BROUK: And one thing that
14	you talked about some of the methods for
15	training the folks in the Justice Center.
16	How does that process work in terms of when
17	these violations are occurring, kind of the
18	rehabilitative side of that in terms of
19	giving best practices to agencies or to
20	individuals?
21	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure.
22	So our investigatory process involves
23	the investigators going out, speaking with
24	providers, speaking with witnesses, speaking

with the subjects of an investigation as well as any witnesses and the individual who is receiving services.

Throughout that period of time the investigators also oftentimes are going to the actual physical facility, and they will make observations with respect to quality of care. They can bring those observations back to the Justice Center, and we can incorporate those into our investigative summary report, which gives us an option to request for corrective action plans to be put in place.

So those corrective action plans occur on every single substantiated matter, and we have the ability to actually audit those, which really is a great prevention tool.

That allows us to go into the provider setting, sometimes unannounced, and look and ensure that implementation has actually occurred on those corrective action plans.

SENATOR BROUK: And this I think is my final question. When you think about how COVID has affected everything, right -- it's affected our mental health, the mental health

of our workforce doing this work, the me	ntal
health and well-being of individuals get	ting
services from these different agencies -	- how
have you seen either cases going up or	
different types of cases, how have you s	een
the effect come through your work since	2020?

think the impact we see really is obvious in the workforce. Right? Workforce, the situations that have been exacerbated, the challenges that they were experiencing pre-pandemic were only exacerbated by this global pandemic. And so we see that there are many struggles for providers and facilities to really have adequate staffing, supervision levels. And obviously that affects quality of care.

At the Justice Center we have several different categories of cases when we substantiate a case. Category 4 is an important category, although it's a small number of cases. But there's real value in those Category 4 findings because they address systemic issues.

1	So a Category 4 case allows us to
2	mitigate the conduct of an individual subject
3	who might be part of an investigation and see
4	that there were extenuating circumstances
5	that really impacted. And so we're able to
6	issue a finding against the provider and then
7	really, again, that trigger for the
8	corrective action plan and implementation.
9	And so when there are adequate resources, I
10	think it's fair and logical to say the
11	systemic issues are down.
12	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	Assemblymember Gunther, chair.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Thank you.
16	And, you know, when I think about like the
17	incidents that happen in your facilities, and
18	looking at the Justice Center, you know, a
19	lot of the people that work there are
20	women women that are paid lower wages,
21	women with children. And a lot of those
22	women work two to three jobs in order to
23	survive. And I think that when we talk about
24	incidents that happen or accidents that

1	happen, I think a lot of it has to do with
2	this workforce. They're double-dutying just
3	to survive.
4	And I guess when we talk about a
5	2.5 or 8.5 percent increase in salary, I
6	would say that we need that 8.5 so women that
7	are in this field, and men, can only work one
8	job, not two. And that's what's happening.
9	And when people are tired, accidents happen.
10	We know that in the medical field.
11	So other than that, thank you for what
12	you do.
13	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Thank
14	you.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Mine is mostly
16	a statement that we need corrective you
17	know, we need to correct the pay so that
18	people can work one job.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. Short
20	but sweet. Or not, as the case may be. But
21	thank you.
22	(Inaudible exchange.)
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm going to now
24	introduce Senator Mannion, 10 minutes.

1	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you, Chair.
2	Good to see you, Executive Director.
3	And I apologize, I had my own event as these
4	schedules overlap, so I appreciate your
5	flexibility.
6	So the Executive has proposed an
7	increase of a total of seven FTEs. Can you
8	describe what the new employees you know,
9	what their job titles are and what capacity
10	they'll be functioning in?
11	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure.
12	So the increase of those seven FTEs
13	really reflect the expansion of our forensic
14	work when it comes to monitoring and
15	compliance.
16	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.
17	And when you talk about forensic work,
18	I think you know, I have an idea of
19	exactly what that means. But can you give me
20	some examples of how that forensic work is
21	initiated and what they do?
22	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure.
23	So the Justice Center monitors
24	compliance as well as quality of care for

1	individuals who are in the mental health
2	rolls at state prisons. So our forensic work
3	was expanded inherently with the expansion of
4	HALT, right, and those provisions. So our
5	employees will go out to inspect the actual
6	physical plants of state prisons. We will
7	speak with inmates who are in the segregated
8	housing unit, ensure compliance with HALT and
9	those 15-day provisions. We'll also do
10	cell-side interviews as well as private
11	interviews, one on one.

This gives us an opportunity to really assess the programs and the resources that are being offered to those individuals. It also gives us an opportunity to really ensure that special populations that are designated -- women who are pregnant, individuals over the age of 55, individuals with disabilities, individuals under the age of 21 -- are excluded from those segregated housing and actually diverted into more rehabilitative programs.

So that's in a nutshell our forensic work. And as you know, implementation of

1	HALT went into effect in April, and so we
2	have been monitoring compliance. And that's
3	where the additional resources
4	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you so much
5	for that answer.
6	We've seen staffing issues across
7	every state agency and department, it seems
8	like. Have you seen rates of decline or
9	unfilled vacancies? And has that impacted,
10	you know, the rates or the number of
1	reportable incidents and cases that have been
12	taken up?
13	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: So the
4	number of cases, interestingly enough,
15	remains pretty static. And we're getting
16	back to returning to those pre-COVID numbers,
17	right, where we're talking about 10,000,
18	11,000 incidents of abuse and neglect.
19	We did see a slight decrease during
20	COVID. We believe a lot of that is
21	attributable to limited programming that was
22	available, and so therefore there was less
23	movement within a lot of these service

sectors. But right now, based on the data

that we've collected, again, we're back to that 10,000 to 11,000 number.

I think with respect to the challenges and the staffing shortages, which I think we all acknowledge, the impact is real, as I stated in my testimony. You know, I spoke earlier about systemic issues. And I think one of the priorities for us this year as an oversight agency is to make sure that we're engaging with the workforce directly. So we have been hosting roundtable discussions with providers. I recently was in Rochester at a detention center and spoke with union members there. We have ongoing communications with all the various stakeholders that are involved, and parents as well, of course.

It's opening those lines of communication that's really key, but also making sure that we're listening to the workforce. So when our investigators are going out and conducting investigations, we ask that they look at the total of the circumstances. So if you have a situation where an event has occurred or perhaps

1	there's an allegation of neglect, it is
2	important for us to look at the staffing
3	plans, the supervision at the time, what
4	training was offered when this person was
5	on-boarded, how many overtime, so that we can
6	make a decision that's fair and based on the
7	realities that the workforce is often
8	experiencing.
9	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.
10	On March 30th of 2021, the Court of
11	Appeals restricted the prosecutorial power of
12	the Justice Center by finding that, you know,
13	the Executive Law impermissibly vested
14	prosecutorial power in an appointee of the
15	Governor.
16	So that language I am not a lawyer.
17	Can you describe the impact that that
18	decision had, however, on the Justice Center
19	and the work that you conduct?
20	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure.
21	So the Court of Appeals in 2021 issued
22	that decision and they concluded that the

Justice Center's prosecutor did not have

concurrent authority for prosecution. The

23

1	court went so far as to communicate to all of
2	us, right, that the importance of the
3	Justice Center and their ability to
4	prosecute, given the specific expertise we
5	have in dealing with cases of individuals who
6	are receiving services.

So our prosecutorial authority remains intact. I think the challenge now is making sure that there are clear parameters with respect to how the county DAs can work with the Justice Center. We're very fortunate to have a very cooperative and collaborative relationship with DAASNY, as well as many of the county DAs, so we continue to provide them with technical support as well as prosecutorial support.

You know, that said, I know that,
Senator, there was a bill introduced last
year that was also sponsored by
Assemblymember Gunther with respect to
codifying that so, again, there are clear
parameters on the issues of consent and
delegation by county district attorneys'
offices.

1	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you, Director
2	for your service and your answering my
3	questions today. Thank you.
4	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Thank
5	you.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	Assembly.
8	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: We go to
9	Assemblywoman Seawright, 10 minutes.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: Thank you,
11	Chairs.
12	So I know you answered earlier how
13	many investigations you do. Do all of those
14	come to completion? And what are your
15	metrics for success?
16	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Sure.
17	So the statutory authority for the
18	Justice Center is set out in the PPSNA, and
19	so we're obligated to investigate any case
20	that comes in that's classified as abuse and
21	neglect. It's a question we often get. We
22	do not have discretion. We are obligated,
23	again, statutorily to complete those
24	investigations.

1	Investigations will come in to the
2	Justice Center; as I mentioned before, about
3	10,000, 11,000 cases a year are investigated.
4	We review all of those cases. Current data
5	reflects a substantiation rate of
6	approximately two-thirds of those cases. And
7	the overwhelming majority of those cases are
8	actually Category 3 cases, which are our
9	least serious cases.
10	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SEAWRIGHT: And
11	congratulations on your upcoming June 10th
12	anniversary. Thank you for your testimony.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	Nathalia Fernandez, three minutes.
15	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you so much.
16	You started saying well, with your
17	testimony you started out explaining the
18	mission of the Justice Center and the work to
19	stop abuse for those with developmental
20	disabilities. Forgive me for repeating if it
21	did come up again, but has workforce
22	diminished more from, one, trying to not have
23	past abusers come back into the field, and,
24	two, with the pandemic?

1	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: So we
2	don't keep census data on workforce staffing
3	levels at the various providers.
4	What I can say with respect to bad
5	actors trying to reenter the system, we have
6	a Staff Exclusion List that consists of
7	approximately 900 individuals. These people
8	have been substantiated for some of the most
9	serious and egregious behavior sexual
10	abuse, serious physical abuse. There is a
11	permanent bar for those people to return to
12	any settings under our jurisdiction.
13	What we have seen is that there have
14	been approximately 280 attempts, individuals
15	trying to reenter the workforce. So this is
16	really one of our strongest mechanisms when
17	it comes to prevention work and our efforts.
18	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
19	Has there been any, like, rate of
20	recidivism of somebody reentering and
21	continuing or doing harm again?
22	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: I'm
23	sorry, can you repeat your question? I'm

having trouble hearing you.

1	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: I said, has there
2	been any questions where someone has left but
3	was able to get back into the work field and
4	had recommitted abuses and harm?
5	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: So the
6	Staff Exclusion List is the first step in the
7	criminal background check that's required
8	before employment. So that is the safeguard.
9	And it's been very successful in the 10 years
10	that we've been operating.
11	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Great. Thank you
12	so much.
13	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Thank
14	you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly, anyone?
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No.
17	Oh, excuse me. Assemblyman Bores.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN BORES: Just a quick
19	clarification based on Assemblymember
20	Seawright's question.
21	You said the ratio of substantiated to
22	unsubstantiated was
23	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA:
24	Approximately one-third of our cases are

1	substantiated, the cases that are classified
2	as abuse and neglect.
3	ASSEMBLYMAN BORES: Are
4	unsubstantiated, okay.
5	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Oh, I'm
6	sorry, perhaps I misspoke. It's one-third of
7	the cases are substantiated.
8	ASSEMBLYMAN BORES: Thank you.
9	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Thank
10	you.
1	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
12	To the Senate now.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
L 4	I just have one follow-up question.
15	So it was with your predecessors,
16	because you've been around a while now and
17	I've been around a while and there was a
18	lot of discussion about sort of the duality
19	of both going after abuses in all these
20	agencies or their representatives or their
21	not-for-profits, but also of educating people
22	to what is appropriate and what is not
23	appropriate and what to do about it.
24	So now that we're years into the

1	operation of the Justice Center, do you know
2	that statistically because you were
3	already asked where do the complaints come
4	from. But have they been going down over the
5	years? And do you think that the sort of
6	combined educational part of the assignment
7	with the going after bad actors has actually
8	helped us improve the quality of all these
9	agencies and services?
10	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: I
11	appreciate the question. It is rather
12	complicated because the numbers don't remain
13	static for the Justice Center. Right?
14	So while the number of cases that have
15	been substantiated, the number of cases that
16	we see coming in has remained pretty
17	consistent, within ballparks, the number of
18	people who are being served varies. Right?
19	We heard earlier about additional beds being

So it's very difficult for us to establish a point in time where we have, for

impact the Justice Center.

placed into the OMH system. That will impact

the Justice Center. Staffing levels also can

20

21

22

23

1	example, 1 million individuals who are
2	receiving services and 100,000 workers.
3	Those numbers are shifting constantly. But
4	as I mentioned before, the level of
5	substantiation remains very consistent
6	throughout the 10 years.

You know, we do a lot of work with respect to prevention, and this is a question we, you know, are asked commonly. And I think, you know, what's important for everyone to understand is that our commitment to investigating abuse and neglect is a priority for this agency, but prevention efforts are a real priority. And so we do a host of different educational programs. We do trainings. We create toolkits. Ten years of existence provides us with data to analyze trends and to make sure that we are targeting specific areas where we're seeing increases.

So we've done caregiver fatigue, we did a recent toolkit on boundaries that was very successful. Medical emergencies.

Wheelchair securement. That's the benefit of having 10 years of data and being able to,

1	again, target strategies with respect to
2	prevention.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
4	much.
5	I think the Senate is closed with
6	questions.
7	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: So is the
8	Assembly.
9	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Well, then I want
10	to thank you very much for your participation
11	today. I want to thank you and the members
12	of your agency for the fine work they do on
13	behalf of very vulnerable New Yorkers. And
14	we're going to let you escape. Thank you.
15	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MIRANDA: Thank
16	you. Have a good afternoon.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: "Escape" perhaps
18	is not that strong a word I don't know.
19	(Laughter.)
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And we are going
21	to call up our first nongovernmental panel.
22	So for those of you following along, we're
23	now in Panel B, New York Conference of Local
24	Mental Hygiene Directors; New York

1	Association of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse
2	Providers; Mental Health Association in
3	New York State; and National Alliance on
4	Mental Illness.
5	And again, now everybody needs the new
6	rules since we're on the nongovernmental
7	panels. It's three minutes to present your
8	testimony, and all legislators are now equal
9	and they each get three minutes to ask their
10	questions. And their testimony has been
11	distributed.
12	So yes, chairs you're no longer
13	chairs, you're just like everybody else.
14	The testimony is online, full
15	testimony is online. And if you are a
16	legislator and need a copy, we probably have
17	a few we can hand you if you need them. Oh,
18	maybe we don't. Hold on. Maybe I was too
19	generous. No, I'm being told no, we don't
20	have copies. But if you go online, you'll
21	find it right now. Sorry.
22	All right, while we fight amongst
23	ourselves, I'm going to ask you to start.

Why don't we start on my left, your right, if

1 that's okay. Hi
-------------------

MS. HORTON: Good afternoon,
Assemblywoman Weinstein, Senator Krueger, and
members of the committee. I'm Sharon Horton,
executive director at National Alliance on
Mental Illness-New York State.

I speak on behalf of the one in four

New York families who are affected by a

diagnosed psychiatric disorder, and the

increasing number of those facing mental

health challenges every day.

Although I am new to this leadership role, I am not new to the struggles families face in accessing mental health services. I am a mother of an adult son living with a serious mental illness who has experienced incredible trauma within broken mental health and criminal justice systems.

For decades, NAMI-New York State has pled for significant investments to be made in both community-based and inpatient services. We are delighted to say now we feel heard and seen. We are beyond grateful for Governor Hochul's commitment to make

1 mental health a top priority.

I'd like to praise the Governor's intention to reverse the alarming decrease of psychiatric beds. Since 2014, New York State lost of 1,849 beds. A key component to recovery is accessing psychiatric services as quickly as possible, especially when hospitalization is required. We hear tragic stories from our members who had to wait days in an emergency room for a bed, to find it was located several hours away. Tragically, this separates an individual from their support network and places an incredible burden upon the family. We applaud Governor Hochul for seeing the need to return 1,000 psych beds.

NAMI-New York State also praises

Governor Hochul for advancing measures to
improve hospital admission and discharge
practices. These reforms are grossly
overdue.

I have seen firsthand the inadequacies of admission processes as well as a lack of competent discharge planning. On one

1	occasion in particular, after waiting hours
2	for evaluation in the ER, my son loped past
3	security outside his door, IV in his arm, in
4	paper pants and bare feet which resulted
5	in a traumatic outcome for him and our entire
6	family, with a second pickup order involving
7	untrained police, where my son was wrestled
8	to the ground, cuffs on his hands and feet,
9	asphyxiated from a sedative which his medical
10	record stated could not be administered.
11	UNIDENTIFIED LEGISLATOR: Can you
12	bring the mic a little closer to your face?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

bring the mic a little closer to your face? It's hard to hear back here, I'm sorry.

MS. HORTON: Sorry about that.

This negligence happens every day and is unacceptable. We know hospitals do incredible work saving lives every day. There have been comprehensive guidelines created for 911 calls to treatment for heart failure and stroke. The same needs to be done for mental health emergencies.

Please follow the Governor's lead to hold hospitals accountable for providing award-worthy mental health care equal to

1	physical healthcare. As an advocate with
2	NAMI-New York State and a mother who has been
3	yearning for this historic moment of
4	opportunity for so long, we can finally see a
5	light, we can see recovery, we can see help
6	and hope before us.
7	Now it's up to you. As we often say
8	in NAMI-New York State, hope begins with you.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
11	Next?
12	MR. COPPOLA: Good afternoon. Senator
13	Krueger, Assemblywoman Weinstein, and panel,
14	appreciate the opportunity to address you
15	this afternoon.
16	As I start, I want to just focus on
17	the human dimension for a second. I was
18	looking at the newspaper over the weekend,
19	and I saw a picture that stopped my eyes. It
20	was a picture of Joseph Reyes, and he was
21	holding a picture of Ralph Ortiz, who was a
22	60-year-old man who lived in the Bronx and
23	who had overdosed. It was his father.
24	And there was a couple of quotes in

1	that story where Joseph said that people are
2	dying at the saddest rate. And it struck me
3	"the saddest rate" is not a phrase that we
4	often use. It's 47 percent, or 27 it's a
5	number. And he said that overdose is
6	happening and people are dying at a saddest
7	rate. He said, A lot of people are losing,
8	and it is sad.

And later on in the same story there was a description of government response, which was to start planning on how to address these overdoses and also to have a laser focus on inequity. And then one other quote that caught my eye, from the Bronx Opioid Collective Impact Project, which said, quote: "We lack resources in the community."

The disconnect between the sadness expressed by Joseph, the planning and laser focus, and the community saying "We have a lack of resources," is the same disconnect that's contained in the Governor's budget proposal. We requested an 8.5 percent increase for the workforce. We got

2.5 percent. That is grossly inadequate,

okay? Grossly inadequate. And the fact that there was an increase last year to build upon is ancient history in the midst of the rising costs that are impacting our workers.

The \$500 million that was requested across the board by mental health and addiction service providers -- which could have been used to really strengthen the foundation of the service delivery system, strengthen the foundation of programs, and increase the fiscal viability of many failing agencies -- is just not something that can happen.

The number "minus 240 million" should not be in this budget next to local assistance in the middle of a pandemic of overdose and addiction. And just my plea to all of you is that when you do your budget, you look at that number. It should not say minus 240 million. It should say something much better than that, because I think you have the creativity to come up with solutions that will address the public health crisis before us. 240 million minus is not

1 acceptable.

2 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

3 MR. LIEBMAN: Well put.

Thank you. I very much appreciate being here. Thank you to the chairs. My name is Glenn Liebman. I'm the CEO of the Mental Health Association in New York State for many years. And our organization represents 26 affiliates in 52 counties, most of whom provide community-based mental health services.

First I just want to reiterate what

Sharon said about what -- you know, as I

said, I've been doing this a long time. And

the fact that we have the resources in this

budget, it's a real credit to the Governor,

to Commissioner Sullivan. Whatever we're

talking about in terms of service structure,

when we're talking about parity, when we're

talking about children's services, kids'

services, beds, hospitals, et cetera,

et cetera, it really is an all-encompassing

budget that we're very pleased about.

I made the analogy in my report that

said it's like -- almost like the Beatles'
greatest hits, it's like it keeps going on
and on.

But using that same analogy, I will say that for our workforce it's been a hard day's night, and they've been working like dogs.

We are -- these workers, as John said, are the heart and soul of the work that's done every day. And for them to get a 2.5 percent cost of living adjustment -- that's also for providers as well. It's not just for the direct-care workers. But for them to get a 2.5 on top of a 5.4 last year -- yes, we acknowledge that the Governor -- that's the first governor to do it two years in a row. But we're really hurting.

That 8.5 is a desperate need. It's a clarion call for us to really make sure -- and everybody in our entire human service system is calling for that 8.5. And we've had 15 years, essentially, of neglect. We've not had any cost of living adjustments at all

1	except for maybe one or two times over the
2	last 15 years. That's 30 percent relative to
3	the CPI. That's over \$600 million lost to
4	the system. Think of what the system would
5	look like. Think of how much less deaths of
6	despair we would have, how many people
7	would there would be less who would be
8	incarcerated, who would be homeless, who
9	would complete suicide. It's just it
10	it's so essential.

The Governor's laid out a great vision, but if you don't have the workforce to operate within that vision, then there's a lot of things that are failing.

And I will say that there is also hope in terms of -- I mean, you all have been incredibly innovative and supportive of COLAs over the years. And there is a \$24 billion Rainy Day Fund this year. I've never seen anything like this in all my years. And so there's money that's there. And I will say we're talking about less than \$500 million to go from a 2.5 to an 8.5.

So within the structure of this, we

1	certainly can advocate strongly that we get
2	from the 2.5 to the 8.5.
3	So thank you very much.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
5	Courtney?
6	MS. DAVID: Thank you. Can you hear
7	me? Okay, great.
8	Thank you, Chairs Krueger and
9	Weinstein, and good afternoon, everyone. I
10	am Courtney David. I am the executive
11	director for the New York State Conference of
12	Local Mental Hygiene Directors.
13	The conference represents the
14	directors of community services, the DCSs for
15	the 57 counties and the City of New York.
16	The DCSs have statutory responsibility under
17	Mental Hygiene Law for the planning,
18	development, implementation and oversight of
19	the mental health system services for adults
20	and children suffering from mental illness,
21	substance use disorder, and developmental
22	disabilities.
23	My testimony today focuses on the
24	conference's priorities to reform the state's

competency restoration process, provide an
8.5 percent COLA for the human services
workforce, and maintaining the role of the
local governmental units in local service
planning.

Strategic and thoughtful planning will be critical to approaching the Governor's budget proposals seeking to add new services or expanding existing services in the current operating environment. We must shore up the foundations of the local systems. Without it, these systems will continue to collapse, and we will see more individuals with mental health conditions interfacing with law enforcement and the court system.

The conference and our colleagues over at New York State Association of Counties are advocating for amendments to Section 730 of the Criminal Procedure Law to provide much-needed improvements to the state's current restoration framework. We sincerely thank Chairs Brouk and Gunther for their sponsorship of this bill.

Competency restoration are services

provided to an individual charged with a
crime who is found to lack the capacity to
participate in their own defense due to an
active mental illness or intellectual
disability. Many judges believe that they
are helping a defendant with mental health
treatment by ordering 730 restoration, but
this is simply not the case. Restoration is
not treatment.

While the majority of these defendants can be restored within 90 to 150 days, there have been cases of individuals languishing for periods of three, six or 10 years.

The daily cost of restoration is over \$1100 per day, and the statute requires the counties to pay 100 percent of these charges.

Enactment of these reforms as part of the final budget will not only assure these defendants have the ability to receive the most appropriate pathway to care, it will provide a mechanism for local reinvestment.

Each and every day the county directors work to find solutions to help support strong mental health services.

1	However, one of the most significant barriers
2	to access, as everyone else has mentioned, is
3	the workforce crisis. Experienced clinicians
4	are leaving for higher-paying jobs, and the
5	staff left behind are overburdened. That is
6	why we are asking for your support of an
7	8.5 percent COLA increase in this year's
8	budget.
9	Finally, we raise concern with pending
10	proposals and legislation that seeks to
11	remove the LGU's legal authority for local
12	service planning. Each LGU develops and
13	submits an annual Local Services Plan to the
14	state, which strategically develops through
15	feedback garnered by a diverse range of
16	stakeholders that include but are not limited
17	to providers, peers, recipients of services,
18	family members all of which shape their
19	community services boards.
20	Thank you. I thought I could do it in
21	under three minutes.

CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Do we have any Senators who wish to ask questions?

We do. I see like all of you. No one 24

22

1	was texting. Sorry.
2	Senator Mannion first.
3	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you,
4	Senator Krueger. I'm afraid of who that text
5	went to, then.
6	(Laughter.)
7	SENATOR MANNION: This is for anybody
8	on the panel. I asked a question previously
9	about CPEP programs. You know, due to the
10	challenges that we're facing, do you know of
11	CPEP programs that have reduced their number
12	of hours or closed or have, you know, longer
13	wait times?
14	MS. DAVID: I don't have specifics on
15	the numbers, but obviously I think we've seen
16	around the state that the availability for
17	beds in those CPEP hospitals has been very
18	difficult to obtain for folks.
19	MR. LIEBMAN: I don't have an answer,
20	but this is certainly something we can look
21	into as well. I don't have an answer.
22	SENATOR MANNION: And can you provide
23	for me a list of reasons why that has
24	occurred, in your opinion or otherwise?

1	MS. DAVID: Well, I think from the
2	county perspective obviously, you know,
3	there's there's a myriad of reasons,
4	right? We have an intense demand for crisis
5	services while exceeding the availability for
6	capacity in these hospitals. We have a
7	workforce crisis that we're also facing.
8	And so, you know, I think the capacity
9	level at the hospitals, you know, even not
10	just these 939 hospitals but just across the
11	board, is, you know, driving up driving up
12	the need. As well as, you know, there's a
13	real backlog on being able to have, you know,
14	that immediate access to service. So
15	ultimately it's it's just following
16	through the system, so
17	SENATOR MANNION: Sure. And I ask
18	that with good intentions and to make sure
19	that I provide the opportunity, in the short
20	time that you have up here, to explicitly
21	state where we are and then, you know, help
22	us advocate for significant investment.
23	MR. LIEBMAN: It is, again, as
24	Courtney said, it's as you know, Senator,

1	so much of it is workforce. It's just
2	workforce. And it's, again, a great vision
3	that we're talking about more CPEPs coming
4	into the system. We applaud that. But if
5	you don't have the workforce to hire, we
6	already see what's going on with existing
7	systems.

So again, that's why we really implore all of you -- and you know, you all get it, that we really have to move that 2.5 to an 8.5.

SENATOR MANNION: Yes, agreed. And do you believe it would be more effective to focus less on the creation of new CPEPs or expand the existing ones? Or because of the crises that are existing, you know, those workforce challenges are going to persist?

MS. DAVID: I mean, to my knowledge, I don't know the number of CPEPs around the state, but it's not many. So not every county has a 939 hospital. So I think creating access -- you know, expanding access to more counties and to more regions I think would be helpful.

1	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
3	Assembly?
4	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
5	Gunther.
6	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Thanks for
7	being so patient today.
8	So, you know, we talk all the time,
9	Glenn, and each one of you, and honestly, you
10	know, the 2.5 was absolutely an insult.
11	Eight-point-five is what we need.
12	You know, many of the people I
13	don't know people out here, but they don't
14	work one job, but they have to go to two
15	jobs. And, you know, incidents happen when
16	people are tired. And honestly, a lot of
17	them are women, people of color. And it's
18	time for the second floor to start listening,
19	listening to the fact that they're saving
20	lives, they are preventing people from going
21	to jail more than anything our jails are
22	full, and a lot of times it's because people
23	don't get the healthcare that they need.
24	And at this point, you know, we have a

1	\$24 million Rainy Day Fund. You know, we
2	have to address the homelessness and make
3	sure that people have the access to the care
4	that they need. And I know all the work that
5	you do, we appreciate it, but we also would
6	appreciate if the Governor would increase the
7	amount of money to save lives and to get
8	people home where they belong. That's it.
9	MR. COPPOLA: Assemblywoman, if we're
10	serious about addressing workforce, it
11	provides us with an opportunity to address
12	structural racism and structural sexism.
13	Because you're absolutely right that the
14	workforce we're talking about is
15	predominantly women and predominantly people
16	of color in many of our programs.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: That deserve a
18	living wage, that deserve to go home to their
19	families after eight hours of work.
20	MR. LIEBMAN: Absolutely. I just want
21	to add real quickly to that as well as that,
22	you know and obviously we've talked about

this a lot. But there's got to be a real --

you know, we shouldn't be coming back year

23

1	after year for a COLA. That's why we love
2	your bill in terms of like making sure it's
3	there every year. Because we shouldn't have
4	to fight for this. These are people who, as
5	you said, two, three jobs. They're
6	struggling. And they're doing great work.
7	you know, we always say this is
8	mission-driven work. But mission-driven does
9	not put food on the table. You really have
10	to employ our people, you have to give them
11	the money to be able to live lives where
12	they're doing they are doing great work,
13	so we need to make sure they have protective
14	factors around them.
15	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: We don't want

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: We don't want to see these people in jail. I mean, a police force is not -- they go on a scene and people are acting out and it doesn't lead to good things.

So the fact of the matter is we need to invest in this community, it's important, and I think you'll see a decrease in people ending up in jail and a decrease of -- usually mental health folks are the people

1	that are attacked, and it will lessen the
2	attacks on those that are vulnerable.
3	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
4	To the Senate.
5	MR. COPPOLA: Thank you.
6	Senator Fernandez.
7	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you so much.
8	What do you think about criminalizing
9	fentanyl? And will it help combat the crisis
10	against opioids? And secondary question,
11	when has penalizing drug users ever been
12	helpful before?
13	MR. COPPOLA: So I didn't understand
14	the second part.
15	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: When has
16	penalizing drug users ever been helpful
17	before in our battle with addiction?
18	MR. COPPOLA: I think the answer to
19	both of those questions is what we're talking
20	about is a public health problem. We're
21	talking about people who have an addiction.
22	Many are people who were prescribed into
23	addiction, who have a legitimate health
24	concern and who were prescribed an opioid and

became addicted. That's what happens.

And so I think that the approach that we take should be to really look at the availability and the underutilization of medication-assisted treatment as a response to that crisis, and to look at treatment as an alternative to incarceration.

The highest-risk group for people to overdose are African-American men coming out of the correctional system. We don't need -- and coming out of the correctional system is an important piece of this. We don't need approaches that put more people in prison.

If it's a public safety issue, okay, that's a different conversation. But the public health issue, we should be treating it in the public health system and investing in treatment, investing in harm reduction, investing in prevention and recovery work.

SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

And with my other time, there's been a lot of conversation and maybe this is a bigger conversation for another day, about the merger of the two agencies. But today,

1	right now and in this budget, what can we do
2	to ensure that services are being provided
3	for those that might need substance use
4	disorder help and also mental health aid?
5	MR. COPPOLA: Well, I think there's a
6	lot of work going on right now that's looking
7	at this whole issue of integration of
8	services and to what extent do we ensure that
9	there's an expertise, a clinical expertise in
10	mental health programs to address the
11	addiction needs of the people that are
12	sitting in front of them.
13	And similarly, to address the mental
14	health, I thought that Commissioner
15	Cunningham, when she addressed the issue, she
16	highlighted the importance of the and the
17	number of people coming into our system. And
18	so I do think that it's an important thing
19	that the training for the people working in
20	our programs has to be across both issues.
21	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you so much.
22	MR. LIEBMAN: And I think that
23	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Go on. Go ahead
24	and answer.

1	MR. LIEBMAN: Thanks, John.
2	I think that where it really where
3	the rubber meets the road seems to be around
4	988. There seems to be this recognition
5	through 988 and recognition through the
6	urgent care centers within the framework of
7	behavioral health that there is the mental
8	health component and the substance use
9	component. And I hope that drills down to
10	other areas. I hope it certainly drills down
11	in school settings as well, where I think
12	we're really missing the whole discussion
13	around school mental health and substance use
14	services.
15	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: I agree. Thank
16	you so much.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	Assembly.
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
20	Gray.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN GRAY: Thank you very
22	much. I appreciate you all being here this
23	afternoon. We'll move quickly here.
24	Homelessness: It is driven by

1	substance use and behavioral health issues as
2	well as cost. And then housing instability
3	also perpetuates substance use. Do you
4	think do you think we're doing enough in
5	this budget to address that? I think we have
6	\$6.4 million in this year's budget. That
7	would be number one.
8	And then for Director David, if you
9	could speak to what do you think we should do
10	on CIT to get more participation from
11	law enforcement.
12	And lastly, first of all and then,
13	first of all, I'll address that I think the
14	2.5 percent inadequate; I support your
15	efforts there.
16	And telehealth for substance use,
17	that's you know, that community is very
18	manipulative, and so I think it's to me I
19	think it's a danger. But if you have
20	comments on that, I'd like to hear it.
21	And then vaping, if you have any
22	comments in terms of would you support

And then vaping, if you have any comments in terms of would you support licensing retail outlets that sell vaping products?

1	Thank you.
2	MS. DAVID: Any takers?
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Senator Oberacker.
5	MS. DAVID: I think can I answer
6	him?
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Oh, I'm sorry,
8	you had time. I apologize. Yes, please
9	answer.
10	MS. DAVID: So real quick, just with
11	regard to the housing question, I think
12	obviously all of our county directors realize
13	that there is a real need for housing from
14	all sides, right. And, you know, I know that
15	the Governor has supported the supportive
16	housing for another year. I know that
17	they're still severely underfunded, but, you
18	know, obviously we support increases to those
19	services.
20	As far as CIT, on the county level
21	I a lot of law enforcement are already
22	being trained in CIT. Obviously, you know,
23	our counties will work with the state on

pushing out those programs, but -- I get so

nervous by that bell. And so yes, we will always support, you know, more funding and access for CIT programs.

MR. COPPOLA: I think to add to the housing dimension of what you were talking about, I think that it's critical that we look across systems. When people who are housing-insecure, when they get treatment for their addiction, they're able to move into supportive housing and then into permanent housing and from unemployment to employment. So we're underutilizing, I think, the OASAS system in helping to address the needs of people who are unsheltered.

MR. LIEBMAN: And also just quickly, when you asked your first question, is this enough funding -- there's never enough funding. I think that the mental health budget is funded like we'd never seen it before, but as we've talked about, the workforce is integral to all this. And again, it's a great budget, best I've seen in my 20 years here. But if we don't have the workforce to, you know, take care of all the

1	work that's got to be done, then
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And now I'm going
3	to stop you. I apologize, before.
4	Thank you. And now Senator Oberacker.
5	SENATOR OBERACKER: Thank you,
6	Madam Chair.
7	I don't know who's more excited, me to
8	ask my question or for you to have me ask my
9	question. But I thank you for that. And
10	thank you all for coming this afternoon.
11	You know, we talk a lot about database
12	decisions and harm reduction. I'm a member
13	of my local EMS squad. And one of the
14	interesting parts about it is we really don't
15	have true numbers as to the number of
16	overdoses that we see, and it's because of
17	how we calculate that. Overdoses are not
18	calculated in our county, at least, and
19	I'm sure across most if they don't result
20	in death.
21	And so one of the areas that I'd
22	really like to expand upon is I'm thinking I
23	have it worked out in my mind, if you will,
24	on how to really get the actual number. And

1	for me it would be potentially Narcan that is
2	used in the field. I was on a recent call
3	where we had two that we actually brought
4	back. And to me, that's an overdose.

But until we really get the true number, until we really get what is really going on out in the field, so to speak, I don't think we can fully address it. Nor is that reflected in our budget.

So I'm just wondering, is there some way you can help me to help you get that number?

MR. COPPOLA: I think we have to look at the lack of coordination from one county to the other across the state. Some counties are plugged into national networks, some counties are not.

The lessons learned from COVID in terms of being able to talk about statistics that happened yesterday, by far, you know, sort of outweighs what's been achieved relative -- it's not okay to be talking about 2021 rates. What about last week? What about last month? What about two months ago?

1	Two months ago is even not adequate.
2	So we've really I think the idea of
3	standardizing how it's approached so that
4	we're not just talking about deaths, we're
5	talking about how many people are in
6	hospitals with brain damage as a consequence
7	of an overdose? How many people, as you
8	pointed out, have been saved by their friends
9	because of the availability of naloxone? It
10	has to be standardized and it has to be a
11	concerted effort.
12	SENATOR OBERACKER: Thank you for
13	that. And off this hearing, I would love to
14	have a further discussion on that to be to
15	maybe construct some piece of legislation
16	that would address that.
17	Again, thank you, and I cede the rest
18	of my time.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Assembly.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
22	Steck.
23	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Yes, thank you,

Madam Chair.

1	I happen to be a dissenter in this
2	Legislature; I do not believe there's
3	adequate revenue in the state. I believe
4	that for the last 40 years the financial
5	industry and the Bezoses and the Musks of the
6	world have been siphoning off most of the
7	resources of this society. I think New York
8	is an extreme case of that. We are pouring
9	money into so-called public-private
10	partnerships which benefit the private and
11	the public gets screwed.

But that all having been said, I don't realistically see that changing. The

New York State budget is a series of partially funded programs.

So here's the question. The question is if I wanted to make a recommendation of an amount of money to be put in the budget -- not for all the things that you would like, but for an increased reimbursement rate for those in the substance abuse field who would treat mental health disorders, co-occurring disorders as well, would you be able to put a number on that? And if so, what would it be?

1	MR. COPPOLA: So the number would be
2	500 million. The number was arrived at by a
3	cross-section of addiction and mental health
4	providers who looked at workforce as a
5	primary issue and would and also the
6	challenges of creating more funding equity
7	and addressing underserved communities. And
8	it was seen as a way to get at addressing
9	some of the huge gaps in services, the
10	disparities across systems, et cetera.
11	But that was a consensus, I believe
12	consensus opinion on the part of a good
13	number of advocacy organizations.
14	MR. LIEBMAN: Yup. Well said. I
15	agree.
16	One other thing, though, Assemblyman.
17	This is just kind of kind of a
18	long-term-vision piece, is that, you know, in
19	terms of dollars, John's right, we reached a
20	consensus around 500 million and certainly
21	going to 8.5 percent around the COLA.
22	But one of the other things that we
23	should be looking at and I don't think
24	it's necessarily aspirational but I think

1	we should be looking at what do we do
2	long term for our 800,000 people in the human
3	service sector. Why don't we have, much like
4	state workforce does, much like the police,
5	much like firefighters, much like teachers,
6	why don't we have some sort of pension
7	system? Why don't we have the ability for us
8	to in order to retain and recruit quality
9	staff, why don't we have some sort of
10	stepladder of a ladder where people can
11	move up and say, I've now been with this
12	nonprofit for 10, 15 years and I get X amount
13	upon my retirement? We should be looking at
14	things like that.
15	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Revenue.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate?
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	Senator O'Mara.
19	SENATOR O'MARA: Thank you.
20	Mr. Coppola, you've mentioned some
21	200-and-some-million-dollar cut. Can you
22	I missed it when you said what aspect is
23	that?
24	MR. COPPOLA: That's the OASAS local

1	assistance budget. And again, I think the
2	commissioner explained that the there was
3	a part of that was the Opioid Stewardship
4	Fund and it was going to spread out over five
5	years. So there's a mathematical explanation
6	for why there's \$240 million less in local
7	assistance.
8	And I'm suggesting that if indeed it
9	was the case that you could take
10	\$246 million, because you already have it
11	someplace, and move that 240 someplace else,
12	I say, well, before we do that, we should ask
13	an extraordinarily simple question: Are
14	there any needs in the addiction service
15	delivery system right now that would benefit
16	by us using that \$240 million a little bit
17	differently?
18	And I'm not talking about the 240 that
19	got shuffled in other places or got used
20	differently, but
21	SENATOR O'MARA: Is some of that money
22	money that wasn't spent last year that's
23	being reappropriated for this year?

MR. COPPOLA: Well, the category of

1	Aid to Localities minus 240 is is I'm
2	not sure of the mechanics. It might be
3	that I think if it was a reappropriation,
4	it would have showed up on that line and you
5	wouldn't have a minus 240.
6	SENATOR O'MARA: Okay. Because my
7	notes are showing some reappropriation of
8	about 200 million
9	MR. COPPOLA: But I do think that we
10	have the creativity to ask that very simple
11	question. Like could this funding before
12	we go someplace else with it, could it be
13	used, could we use it for a different
14	purpose?
15	SENATOR O'MARA: Well
16	MR. COPPOLA: Productively.
17	SENATOR O'MARA: I think we know the
18	answer to that, and there's a great need, and
19	it's there. You know, we can we can find
20	a billion dollars to support migrants in a
21	sanctuary city that invited them, but we're
22	not funding mental health programs.
23	MR. COPPOLA: And there was a billion
24	dollars, a billion dollars of new money as a

1	consequence of the expansion of gambling. A
2	billion dollars of new money into the state.
3	SENATOR O'MARA: In the last minute,
4	if you can, dual diagnosis, co-disorders.
5	Are we making progress on getting
6	practitioners in the field to enough levels
7	to be dealing with this? Because these dual
8	diagnoses, in everything I'm hearing, is kind

and crime that we're seeing.

So what kind of progress are we making in that area?

of some of the root causes of homelessness

MR. LIEBMAN: I think there's progress being made. I think there's one thing in the budget that I am excited about -- well, I'm excited about a lot of things. I think there is -- and Commissioner Sullivan referenced it this morning -- there is a sort of a version of a CASAC for mental health. This is something where paraprofessionals can be working in the mental health field, coming up and rising up the ladder in terms of the progression in terms of, you know, moving up, not necessarily with a college degree.

1	And I think that OMH I mean, OASAS
2	has a CASAC program that's very successful.
3	So as much as we can replicate that through
4	mental health, I think that's a way to move
5	forward.
6	SENATOR O'MARA: I think we should be
7	focusing on that. Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Assembly. Oh, you're done? Okay.
10	Senator Samra Brouk.
11	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you.
12	Good afternoon. Thank you all for
13	being here.
14	First I really just I'm looking at
15	four faces of partners in this work, and I
16	want to thank you for all the work that you
17	do. And also because I think in this field
18	especially we do have a lot of people with
19	shared lived experiences. And so hearing
20	your experience, Sharon, with your is it
21	your son? You know, that always really makes
22	it real, I think, for people sitting in a
23	hearing room to really know that the
24	decisions we make affect people's lives.

1	And I, like you, am encouraged and
2	enthusiastic that things have changed and
3	that we are having very real conversations
4	about how to improve our mental health
5	industry, really.

So I want to pick up on something that you mentioned, Glenn. And surprise, it's about workforce and it's about the COLA. And the reason I want to bring it up is because you said something that I think is worth repeating. And it's this notion that in a field where my fellow chairperson

Assemblywoman Gunther mentioned, it is a lot of times women, a lot of times people of color, a lot of times folks with multiple jobs.

There's this notion that we believe,
the powers-that-be believe you have to be
passionate for this work. And I used to work
in the nonprofit sector and I remember
thinking, well, you're just so passionate and
you love what you do; you're not here for the
money. But it turns out you need the money
to support your family and to support

1	yourself and to pay back your student loans
2	and your rent and your groceries and the
3	like.

And so I am encouraged to hear you talking about that, because I think we need to get away from this idea that the passion can pay the bills.

So I would love for you quickly to put a fine point on why we need a higher COLA and why it needs to be yearly.

MR. LIEBMAN: Well, I think we need a COLA because, again -- and thank you for the question, because our workforce is desperately in need of support. As you said, we can't just rely on mission. Mission has to pay more than \$15 an hour.

We have people -- we are asking people across the human service sector, across the mental health sector, to do incredible work, to do, you know, as you said, working two jobs, doing all this stuff. And what they're doing is they're helping the most vulnerable New Yorkers. People with very difficult, complex cases, they're helping them and

1	they're {unintelligible}. And as a family
2	member myself, we rely on those folks to be
3	doing it. And we're relying on them as
4	they're being paid \$15 an hour? I think we
5	have to do much better.
6	And I know your leadership, I know

And I know your leadership, I know

Assemblymember Gunther's leadership -- I know

we will get there. And I know with the help

and support of the Assembly and Senate, we'll

hopefully get there.

SENATOR BROUK: Thank you.

For my last few seconds, it will be a follow-up -- a written follow-up, hopefully, Courtney, from you.

You know, you talked about the local service plans, and I definitely think that there's work to be done there, and I hope that we can work together on that. The last thing I'll say is, you know, I think a lot of times a lot of these services aren't taking into account the crisis of mental health and substance use, and unfortunately that usually ends up with someone losing their life.

So I would love for us to keep working

1	together to make sure that your voices are
2	heard in that as well.
3	MS. DAVID: Absolutely. I'll
4	definitely follow up with you on that.
5	Thanks.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	No other Assembly? Thank you.
8	I do have one question. So the mental
9	health commissioner earlier today testified
10	that they had a new program planned on mental
11	health associates, I believe is the term she
12	used. And she referenced that they were
13	taking a good idea from the substance abuse
14	world. So since you're all here together and
15	we know we have a desperate shortage of
16	mental health providers, is it a good idea?
17	MR. LIEBMAN: I have a bias because my
18	son is a CASAC, so I have a CASAC bias that
19	my son, as somebody who didn't traditionally
20	go to college or anything like that, went
21	through the paraprofessional approach and

went through and now he's in college, which

is great. But this was a line for him to be

able to go through. He was able to get his

22

23

1	CASAC, he was able to get go to school,
2	get his CASAC. Now he's actually on the job
3	training to get his move from a CASAC-T to
4	a full CASAC.
5	It is something that we've wanted to
6	see in mental health for many years. There
7	are many paraprofessionals in mental health.
8	We often talk in mental health about the
9	clinicians, the social workers, the
10	psychologists, the psychiatrists
11	absolutely. But we need those other folks to
12	be in there every day.
13	So I think personally, it's an idea
14	I applaud. And I think that, again, it also
15	creates gradations where people can move up
16	the ladder, which I think is very hopeful.
17	And again, it's going to take time to mature
18	and figure it out, but I am very enthusiastic
19	about it.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Anyone else?
21	MR. COPPOLA: I think if it's modeled
22	after the CASAC, it provides an opportunity

But many times people with lived

for people to come into the field.

1	experience who potentially were in a peer
2	role and want to move into a more clinical
3	role, it's an excellent opportunity to
4	compound the workforce.
5	It also gives people who have lived
6	experience in multiple systems, including the
7	corrections system, where I think OMH needs
8	to have much more flexibility in terms of
9	employing people who have had experience in
10	the justice system, in the criminal legal
1	system to make sure that they have access
12	to jobs as well.
13	MR. LIEBMAN: Very good point.
L 4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: In my, oh, few
15	seconds left is that okay?
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: You're okay.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So the Governor
18	vetoed a bill that surprised me, which would
19	have simply required that when courts were
20	ordering people with a substance abuse issue
21	into some kind of drug treatment plan, that
22	they weren't going to have to offer

So we know a lot of the 12-step

non-religious-based programs.

1	programs out there have a religious theme.
2	And it works great for some people, but not
3	everyone's comfortable with that.
4	Do you understand why the Governor
5	would veto simply saying you should have on
6	your list providers that are not religiously
7	based?
8	MR. COPPOLA: It doesn't sound to me
9	like it gives all of the options that people
10	should have. It would seem to me that if
11	some people feel comfortable in a different
12	kind of 12-step environment, that that would
13	be something and I think, right, it would
14	be I think it's helpful to offer people
15	all of the options.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you. My
17	time is up perfectly. Thank you.
18	Assembly?
19	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes,
20	Assemblyman Brown for three minutes.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Thank you,
22	Chairwoman.
23	John, if I may, I'd like to drill down
24	on some of the numbers that you talked about

1	in your opening. You talked about the minus
2	240 million. When the commissioner was here,
3	Dr. Cunningham was talking about the fact
4	that it was caused by Opioid Stewardship
5	funds. She didn't elaborate because we
6	didn't have time.
7	But could you like explain that a
8	little bit to members?
9	MR. COPPOLA: So my understanding is
10	that the Opioid Stewardship Fund was to
1	collect \$200 million. I believe that that
12	was kind of a one-time collection, which to
13	me I don't understand that at all. It seemed
14	to me like, well, why not every year?
15	But my understanding is that the
16	intention was that it be spent over a
17	five-year period and that the sort of the
18	decrease in the total number in the budget
19	accommodates the moving forward into future
20	fiscal years some of the \$200 million.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: So was that
22	state money that was allocated previously,
23	or
24	MR. COPPOLA: It was money that was

1	garnered from the pharmaceutical industry and
2	created this fund, and then the fund was
3	allocated to OASAS. And so I think the
4	budget process includes along with any other
5	funding that comes into that has
6	OASAS's name on it.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: So but
8	that's different than the Opioid Settlement
9	money that since has come.
10	MR. COPPOLA: Correct. Correct.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: And then you
12	mentioned something about half a million
13	dollars across OASAS. I wasn't sure I'm
14	not sure if I caught it completely, but you
15	were talking about the deficiencies in the
16	budget, what you meant by that.
17	MR. COPPOLA: So I mean, I think
18	OASAS has been underfunded for decades. It
19	was unusual that it would be the only state
20	agency cut in the years where things were,
21	you know, okay.
22	So I think, you know, you have a
23	commissioner, new commissioner, who's done a
24	really good job establishing an Office of

1	Diversity and an Office of Harm Reduction.
2	And my concern, is she going to be given the
3	resources that she needs to do both of those
4	really well? They were criticized when they
5	weren't doing it well, and then when they get
6	a commissioner who helps to create those
7	offices, does she get the resources to do it
8	adequately?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So again, I think that there is -- you know, if you look at any part of the system -- say, let's talk about recovery homes -- and this is one of the problems I have with this hearing. The commissioners are in a position where the only thing they can do is tell you all the good things that are going on. They can't talk to you about gaping holes in the system. So we talk about recovery and all the nice recovery deals we're going to do.

Well, how many counties do we have -how many recovery centers should we have in New York City? I think a lot. Not one in each borough. Not 10 in each borough. We should have a lot of recovery centers. They

1	should be all over the place. And we're
2	talking about 36 or 27 we're talking about
3	low numbers.
4	Harm reduction we've talked about
5	forever, and we do next the commissioner
6	was talking about thirty a small number of
7	programs. We need a lot more. There's so
8	many holes in the system that need to be
9	filled.
10	ASSEMBLYMAN KEITH BROWN: Great.
11	Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	I believe we are now both closed, both
14	houses. Yes?
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Yes.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. So I want
17	to thank you all very much for your hard work
18	on behalf of New Yorkers every day, and for
19	your testimony here this afternoon.
20	MR. COPPOLA: Thank you.
21	MS. DAVID: Thank you very much.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I'm going to
23	excuse you, call up the next panel, which
24	is sorry, as we're moving along

1	Citizens' Committee for Children, New York
2	Creative Arts Therapists, New York State
3	Coalition for Children's Behavioral Health,
4	and New York Association of Psychiatric Rehab
5	Services.
6	Good afternoon, everyone. Thank you
7	for being with us. Okay, let's start with my
8	left, your right, with Harvey first.
9	MR. ROSENTHAL: Good morning
10	actually, good afternoon.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yup, afternoon.
12	MR. ROSENTHAL: So I put this on a
13	laptop; I kept cutting it to try to get to
14	three minutes. Wish me luck.
15	So I am Harvey Rosenthal. I'm a
16	person in recovery, long-term recovery. I
17	began my career in a mental hospital as a
18	patient in 1970. I've worked in the field
19	for 45 years since. I've been an advocate
20	for 30. And I represent people with tens
21	of thousands of people across the state who
22	are deemed to have serious mental illness.
23	And I will tell you that the
24	perspective that they have right now is

1	feeling blamed for the problems we're dealing
2	with. They're called hard to serve, frequent
3	flyers, noncompliant, public safety threat,
4	treatment resistant to people of color. This
5	is our job for not it's our failure to
6	engage people. And to help them live and
7	thrive in the community, and to see them as
8	people who need public health help as well.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In terms of the COLA, I've been helping a woman who's had major symptoms. She's had trouble, you know, with her thinking and where she's going to live. She was terrified of being in a hospital and injected involuntarily. We couldn't find crisis services in Albany or in Warren County. They had the beds but they didn't have the staff. She's in the hospital, she got injected with medication. Shouldn't have happened.

In terms of hospitals, I'm going to take a different view here. I don't think we can hospitalize ourselves out of this. I don't think this is about more and more beds. Change doesn't happen in a hospital. In some

1	cases it's \$3,000 a day to get medication.
2	It doesn't really cause change.
3	And more beds you have failed
4	discharges. The revolving door in these
5	hospitals is so cyclic. People are in and
6	out of these hospitals in 20 you know,
7	they're back five, 10, 15 times a year.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: (Mic off.)
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Pull the mic
10	closer.
1	MR. ROSENTHAL: They're back did
12	you get any of it? Want me to start again?
13	Okay. Five, 10, 15 times a year. So if
_4	hospitals were working, you know, then why
15	are people leaving? It's the failure of the
16	discharge plan. The failure of the community
17	services.
18	And if I have a little time, I guess
19	I'll say when people leave, they need
20	something than they get now. When they leave
21	and it's not a failed discharge, they leave
22	with a person who will support them and

follow them into the community. That's a

peer bridger. We don't need warm hand-offs,

23

L	we	need	somebody	to	stay	with	people	for	a
2	pei	riod d	of time.						

If people are in a crisis, we have crisis stabilization centers, but that's only for one day. We have peer crisis -- I'm sorry, respite programs that will be for 28 days. We need more of them. I have the cost of those in here.

We need -- and when people are discharged during crisis, they need pathways home. Housing often excludes people if they're using or symptomatic. We have models -- all of these are in New York, made in New York. We have models that will take people; we don't have enough of them. Not just housing, but housing first.

We need a place to go. So a person to be with, a place to live that will take you, and a place to go. There's clubhouses programs being increased in the city. We don't have any upstate. They were all killed off. So we need more of them.

And there's a bunch of criminal justice bills that I -- that are in my

1	testimony that we should be also approving.
2	But we can do this in the community.
3	This obsession with hospitals is not going to
4	get it done. It's costly. Change doesn't
5	happen. We can help people before they go to
6	the hospital. And after, in a much better
7	way.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Next?
10	MS. FAGEN: Hi. Thank you so much for
11	having me. I'm really happy to be able to
12	provide testimony today.
13	My name is Drena Fagen. I am a
14	licensed clinical social worker and a
15	licensed creative arts therapist. I've been
16	in the field for about 23 years. I'm a
17	co-owner and director of a private creative
18	arts therapy practice based in Brooklyn and
19	the Hudson Valley. We have 20 creative arts
20	therapists.
21	I'm so grateful, our whole team is so
22	grateful for this bill and efforts by the
23	Governor and the Legislature to close the

provider gap and to expand services. This is

a relentless mental health crisis, and we are feeling it as an outpatient mental health provider. Even though we are private, we feel it too.

It's been really interesting to sit here today and listen to all the discussion about paraprofessionals and mental health associates, and that's -- I'm actually here to talk about a provision of the bill that I find very concerning, and those two things seem to be related.

Part Q intends to amend the Social
Services Law to allow Medicaid reimbursement
for licensed mental health counselors and
licensed marriage and family therapists. Our
concern is that the entire profession in
which I am licensed, licensed creative arts
therapists, is excluded from this bill.

There were four mental health practitioner licenses all licensed at the same time in 2005. We all have, at this point, almost 20 years of experience in the field. The other field that I'm not representing but is also missing from this

l bill is licensed psychoanalyst	oanalysts.
----------------------------------	------------

Not including qualified, licensed therapists who already are licensed in this state -- this seems to undermine the state's effort to solve the current crisis.

Creative arts therapists are on the frontlines after tragic events like mass violence, natural disasters. They commonly work with veterans with PTSD. They're in all these hospitals that he's talking about.

One of my early jobs was working with sexually abused kids in an outpatient mental health facility. And the only reason I could work there was because it was grant-funded. It was grant-funded by an international organization, not even a national organization. That may actually still be going on, because there is no line of funding for us within the clinic model.

After 9/11, our therapists were the first to be activated to provide immediate support and their services were covered by commercial insurances -- and that was before we were licensed.

1	So our value as clinicians is known,
2	but it's not being leveraged in our state,
3	and it's very confusing to me. We have
4	master's degrees and specialized skills that
5	are effective for folks where talk therapy
6	may not serve them well. Or they may feel
7	stigmatized by the standard mental health
8	model, especially children, people from
9	different cultures, immigrants, et cetera.
10	In my quick last little bit here, I
11	want to read an email that we get so many of
12	these at our practice: "I'm a foster mother
13	to a 4-year-old girl. We've been trying to
14	get her into therapy for months, and her law
15	advocate just recommended we reach out for
16	creative arts therapy services. She is on
17	MetroPlus Medicaid. Can you accept it? If
18	not, can you prorate your rate so we can be
19	seen?"
20	I have more, but that's my time.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Good afternoon.
24	MS. CRISTALLI: Thank you. Good

afternoon. I'm Maria Cristalli, and I serve as the president and CEO of Hillside and the board chair of the New York State Coalition for Children's Behavioral Health.

Our coalition represents approximately
40 provider organizations serving youth and
families throughout New York State. And
here, on behalf of them, we're thrilled with
the investments that the Governor and her
budget is making in mental health. Certainly
concur with lots of the programs that were
highlighted by the commissioner this morning.

But I do want to emphasize a few areas that were part of my written testimony.

First and foremost, parity. A parent called me last week from the emergency room.

We've heard a lot about that in the newspapers and stories today. She's been out of work, her husband out of work, to try and manage her son's behavior. She's been unable to get services. She has commercial insurance, and she's not able to access some of the services that families that utilize Medicaid are.

1	We can change that with parity. It's
2	very important. We have a wonderful service
3	array called the Child and Family Treatment
4	and Support Services, and that allows for
5	peer support, assessment, skill building.
6	Let's expand the access to include more
7	families, to avoid going to the hospital and
8	costly out-of-home placement.

The other point that I want to emphasize today is workforce. Workforce at our organization -- and I know I speak for many of my colleagues that are part of the coalition -- we have several hundred openings and, for Hillside, several hundred out of 1800 staff. We can't deliver current services and the future services that the Governor is looking to expand.

What should we do? The 8.5 percent COLA, thank you for the support today for that. It's really important. Let's include more disciplines in there, though. Let's also include domestic violence workers.

Let's include prevention workers and health home care managers. Critically important to

1	the services that are provided for children.
2	Loan forgiveness. The commissioner -
3	I was delighted to hear that she talked abou
4	expanding mental health practitioners in the
5	loan forgiveness program. We need to make
6	sure that happens. That is really important
7	for our staff of color that want to move on
8	to roles that require education. It's also
9	important to have programs for them and our
10	direct service workers that fund full
11	scholarships for underserved communities.
12	We at Hillside have a workforce that
13	represents the populations that we serve.
14	Many organizations do the same. However,
15	their mobility into leadership roles needs to
16	be resourced.
17	And we really appreciate the support
18	of the Legislature and are happy to take
19	questions when it's time. Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	And last? Hi.
22	MS. BUFKIN: Good afternoon. My name

is Alice Bufkin. I am the associate

executive director of policy and advocacy at

23

Citizens' Committee for Children. We're a multi-issue children's advocacy organization dedicated to ensuring every New York child is healthy, housed, educated and safe.

We also help lead the Healthy Minds,
Healthy Kids Campaign, which is a statewide
coalition of families, advocates, providers
focused on ensuring every child has access to
the behavioral health services that they
need.

Thank you, chairs and members of the committees, for holding today's hearing. I want to first echo what so many before me have said, which is it is significant and meaningful to have behavioral health elevated in the way it is in the Executive Budget.

And it gives hope for a truly transformative system moving forward.

In particular, CCC supports funding targeted towards children and adolescents, including funding for school-based mental health clinics, HealthySteps and home-based crisis intervention, youth suicide prevention and wraparound services. However, we must

1	also underscore the reality facing thousands
2	of families across the state, a result of
3	chronic underinvestment in the children's
4	behavioral health system.
5	Children are sitting on waitlists for
6	months, half a year, a year and some of
7	them won't get off those waitlists until it's
8	too late. Death by suicide is the second
9	leading cause of death for children age 15 to
10	19. Rates of anxiety and depression have
11	risen significantly during COVID. Provider
12	shortages are overwhelming, and
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Can you slow
14	it down just a little bit?
15	MS. BUFKIN: Sure, I'm sorry. It's
16	that three-minute mark, trying to hit it.
17	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: (Inaudible.)
18	MS. BUFKIN: No, of course, I'm so
19	sorry.
20	Provider shortages are overwhelming,
21	and finding timely mental health supports for
22	families is isolating, exhausting, and often
23	impossible.
24	This is why we first ask that at least

1	half of the proposed billion dollars for
2	behavioral health funding be invested in
3	services for children and families. It's
4	clear that we have inadequate funding across
5	the board. But it is a historical
6	reality that when funding amounts are
7	unspecified, the minority goes to children
8	and families.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Our state is unfortunately in a never-ending cycle where children and young people fail to get the mental health supports they need, they cycle in and out of ERs and hospitals, and then they become adults who also can't get the mental health supports they need. We have to break this cycle by investing in more upstream services.

We also want to reiterate what so many have said and will say again. We cannot address chronic waitlists and access issues without having the staff to provide services. We join others in supporting the 8.5 percent human services COLA, having reimbursement rates that match the cost of care, and having recruitment and retention strategies,

especially those that support bilingual and BIPOC staff.

We also strongly support providing \$5.5 million for flexible state funding for family and youth peers that are providing services outside of Medicaid.

And finally, we greatly appreciate the Governor's proposal to address issues of network adequacy and parity. Commercial insurers continue to operate with impunity in our state, maintaining deeply inadequate rates that result in a deeply inadequate provider network, ultimately contributing to the number of children who are sent to ERs, forcing families to choose between therapy and basic needs, and subsidizing their practices on the backs of Medicaid.

To truly ensure these practices are addressed, the state must invest more in parity and network adequacy enforcement, and hold managed care companies responsible for the contracts they've committed to, including enforcing the COLA from last year.

And I'll just say in general we really

1	urge the parity between commercial insurance
2	rates and APG Medicaid rates.
3	Thank you so much for your time.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
5	much.
6	Our first questioner, Senator Samra
7	Brouk.
8	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you.
9	Hi. Good afternoon. I have to start
10	with a shout out to my Rochester rep here.
11	Maria, thank you for joining, thank you for
12	being a voice for Hillside and so many
13	children who get such good care through
14	Hillside, but also in your position here as
15	board chair.
16	And it's so great to see Harvey. I'm
17	sorry I missed your speaking portion, but I
18	do have a question for you. And it's great
19	to see everyone else as well.
20	I do have a question, Harvey,
21	specifically about peer programs. I know
22	that we were very encouraged to see the
23	inclusion of INSET in the Governor's budget,
24	but I know that we've also discussed the need

1	to include peers in more places, right, of
2	intervention. Can you talk about some places
3	you see where we could expand peer services?
4	MR. ROSENTHAL: Absolutely.
5	First I want to thank Mrs. Gunther.
6	You funded the peer INSET program for three
7	years, the Governor picked it up finally.
8	It's a big coup for peer engagement, engaging
9	people who otherwise would have been in,
10	under Kendra's Law, forced treatment.
11	So everything I mentioned earlier,
12	Senator, is really peer-run, and they are a
13	gamut, if you engage people voluntarily. But
14	these are peer-run, the agencies are
15	peer-run. And that's the difference between
16	inserting a peer, you know, anywhere and
17	calling that a peer program. It's not.
18	So I would say the engagement service,
19	like INSET, is really critical. I would say
20	also the crisis respite program we mentioned
21	earlier. You funded the subsidization
22	centers, but again it's only one day. There

are respite programs for 28 days where people

should go. We need a lot more of them.

23

1	We also need where did I have it
2	here. The peer bridger programs, which we
3	created, helped thousands of people who left
4	state hospitals but also local hospitals.
5	People need to leave with a peer bridger from
6	a local hospital. They keep sort of coming
7	back. We've got to find a way to fund a lot
8	of them.
9	And clubhouses and recovery centers
10	are places where people need to go during the
11	day. All of them are peer-run.
12	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you.
13	My next question is to Maria. You
14	know, speaking about the mental health loan
15	repayment program and I know that there
16	was a request to try to expand that program.
17	Can you go into a little more specifics as
18	much as you can in 40 seconds
19	(Laughter.)
20	SENATOR BROUK: about who that
21	would include and how that helps?
22	MS. CRISTALLI: Well, you know,
23	Senator Brouk, thank you. I would tie it
24	back to our expanded scope of license so

1	licensed mental health practitioners, our
2	licensed clinical social workers, our
3	licensed marriage and family therapists,
4	behavioral health analysts. We want to make
5	it as wide as possible, because all of the
6	services that were talked about most from
7	this morning utilize multidisciplinary teams.
8	So we need to make sure they have an avenue
9	for getting their education and repaying back
10	their loans.
11	SENATOR BROUK: Well done.
12	In my last seven seconds, I just want
13	to put a finer point on that. I think what
14	we've seen is these kind of artificial
15	boundaries, right, around who gets paid more
16	and who doesn't, and clearly that doesn't
17	work.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
20	Assembly.
21	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
22	Gunther.
23	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: So I'll be
24	quick.

1	You know, we talk a lot about
2	hospitalizations, we talk about chronic
3	the emergencies that happen. You know, if we
4	would invest the money at the beginning when
5	people need help, we could keep people out of
6	beds in OMH, we could keep people out of
7	hospitals and the emergency room, which is
8	really expensive. Add on the ambulance, keep
9	adding it on.

And instead of providing safe
housing -- safe housing where, you know, the
ultimate goal would be to have someone in
that safe housing that would know how to work
with people that had disabilities or mental
health -- a history of mental health issues.

You know, I think that other countries have really done things like this. They've done that. And, you know, I'm hoping that Kathy Hochul is listening, because I'd rather invest our taxpayer money in the health and safety of people, rather than see them in jail because they're combative when someone goes after them and they're paranoid.

There are so many things we could talk

1	about. And we've seen it happen where
2	someone's dragged into the emergency room.
3	It's all terrible, and it's more and more
4	traumatic for the person that's suffering.
5	So I just think it's so important to
6	think about putting the money in on the front
7	end. Give people places to live, get them
8	access to the care that they need, you know,
9	and we'll stop seeing you know, to have a
10	man in uniform I like police officers; my
1	dad was. But to have a man in uniform come
12	up to you and be the person first on the
13	scene is frightening for so many. And it
4	isn't because I just feel that. And
15	Harvey, I don't know if you disagree or agree
16	with me
17	MR. ROSENTHAL: No. Well, I just want
18	to say, Senator Brouk has a bill called
19	Daniel's Law that would it wouldn't be the
20	police that would come out, it would be
21	mental health workers, EMTs
22	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: But they're

still, in small communities, coming. And you

23

24

know that.

1	MR. ROSENTHAL: Yeah.
2	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: You know, and
3	they get the call, you know that they come to
4	the scene.
5	But I just wish we'd invest our money
6	appropriately.
7	MR. ROSENTHAL: One last thing. You
8	know, we spoke out against the mayor's
9	proposal in New York. You mentioned it's
10	international. I got interviews with
11	Denmark, Spain, Germany people can't
12	believe what we're doing in this country.
13	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: I know. In
14	Europe they just it's completely,
15	completely different.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
18	Senator John Mannion.
19	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.
20	Hello, Maria, how are you?
21	MS. CRISTALLI: Good morning. Good
22	morning.
23	SENATOR MANNION: I will give you as
24	much time as possible to talk about as far

1	as 853 schools, the challenges as far as you
2	face, how we fund them, and any
3	recommendations you might make to the
4	Legislature or the Executive regarding how
5	best to fund them.

6 MS. CRISTALLI: Well, Senator Mannion,
7 I appreciate that.

We operate 853 schools, and we're very pleased to see a study that's commissioned in the Executive Budget to study the rate methodology that is decades, years old. We were pleased -- parity is really important to us; we were pleased with the increases from last year.

But we need to be on par with our public school partners. We are serving children in those schools with complex needs, increased acuity, and that is just happening more and more. And those children, some of them are day students, some are residential students. But that study is ever so important to get commissioned so that we can work our way to a different rate methodology and at the same time parity with public

1	school increases are so critically important.
2	Thank you.
3	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you. And I
4	stand with you and support that parity. And
5	hopefully some of the issues that were
6	lined out regarding the Executive Budget
7	recommendation as far as that rate study goes
8	are concerning. I'm sure they're concerning
9	to you. Is there anything you'd like to
10	share regarding what the cost-neutral dynamic
11	of that would mean for schools like you,
12	under your purview?
13	MS. CRISTALLI: Oh, my goodness, I'm
14	not sure I've had the time to go into it
15	today. But what I would say is it is so
16	critically important when we think about what
17	the students need and the resources that
18	we're provided to serve them.
19	You know, one of the areas that I also
20	want to highlight here in terms of workforce
21	is teachers and competition, not only for the
22	resources to serve these children but for the

resources in teaching and teaching

assistants. Critically important that we're

23

1	able to compete.
2	SENATOR MANNION: And as a result of
3	the lack of parity over a period of time,
4	regardless of the recent significant
5	investment that has been committed to by the
6	state, are you able to serve the, you know,
7	population to the extent that the need is out
8	there or that the requests come in for
9	Hillside?
10	MS. CRISTALLI: We certainly are able
1	to serve many children, but they're children
12	with complex needs that we're not able to
13	serve because of the resources that are part
14	of that system, that is correct.
15	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.
16	MS. CRISTALLI: Thank you.
17	SENATOR MANNION: And are you can
18	you speak, in 15 seconds, to the number of
19	853 schools that have had to contract or
20	close in the past 10 years or so?
21	MS. CRISTALLI: I cannot speak
22	statewide, Senator. I can tell you that we

closed an 853 school in that time period.

But certainly we can get back to you with the

23

1	number statewide.
2	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Assembly.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
6	Steck.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Yes.
8	Mr. Rosenthal, I had a meeting recently with
9	a man who's been in the field of housing for
10	people with mental health conditions for a
11	very long time. He runs an organization
12	known as Rehabilitation Support Services.
13	And he said about 20-some-odd years ago they
14	used to operate 28-day or 30-day housing
15	programs with support for people who had come
16	out of hospitalization, weren't ready for
17	outpatient treatment, and OMH did away with
18	it on the ground of supposedly probably
19	for monetary reasons but on the ground of
20	supposedly encouraging independent living.
21	So I certainly would concur that a lot
22	of the folks, including those which are under
23	the jurisdiction of my committee that is,
24	people with concurrent disorders are not

ready right away for independent living and
outpatient treatment. They do need the
support that you spoke of, and we're
certainly trying to advocate for that.

MR. ROSENTHAL: I do want to say, though, that it's delicate because everybody can recover, and it's not like everybody needs to be put in a segregated environment. Maybe people need a bit longer and have it incremental. But I wouldn't want it to be people need to be in an institution in their community because they need so much support. We know how to move people along.

I know the housing program you're talking about. It was a good one. And I'm not sure why it was taken down. But right after that program there should have been more.

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Yeah, I think one of the difficulties, as Chairwoman Gunther said, is that if people aren't ready for independent living, they're out and they can — they get them either if they're inclined — in criminal activity or they're

1	back in the mental health ward of the
2	hospital far too quickly. So there is a need
3	for what I would call intermediate care.
4	MR. ROSENTHAL: There is. And we ran
5	peer bridger programs in the city, engaged
6	people, like you say, in and out, in and out,
7	and engaged them with peer support and
8	reduced their return to the hospital by
9	47 percent with community-based peer support.
10	So all along there's a spectrum of
11	intensity.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you.
14	Senate.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	Senator Rolison.
17	SENATOR ROLISON: Thank you. Thank
18	you, Chair.
19	The 39th District's in the
20	Hudson Valley, and when I heard you say
21	Hudson Valley, obviously it piqued my
22	interest immediately, because I have not
23	heard of the New York Creative Arts
24	Therapists. I'd like to know a little bit

1 more about that.

2	MS. FAGEN: Sure. Well, we're a
3	private we're a corporation licensed as a
4	creative arts therapy practice. We
5	originally started in Brooklyn, and then I
6	moved to the Hudson Valley, so of course I
7	expanded there.

We have eight therapists in the

Hudson Valley location, and we have a waiting

list. We always have a waiting list. So

we're not even a clinic, and we have a

waiting list. And we have nowhere to refer

the people on our waiting list.

But we see children, adults, families, sort of a myriad of issues, whatever's coming our way. We're affiliated with the Philipstown Hub. Are you — the hub is a nonprofit that popped up in Philipstown to help people find care. And we have a good relationship with them because we're one of the people they reach out to to find care. And then we often can't provide the care either. So they're sort of the hub of discovering places, and they've actually

1	submitted written testimony in support of
2	what I'm here talking about, because it's
3	frustrating for all of us.
4	I mean, I guess, to tell you more
5	about it, we do take some commercial plans.
6	We take
7	SENATOR ROLISON: That's if I can
8	interrupt for just one second, because we've
9	got to make it in 40 seconds, on the funding.
10	MS. FAGEN: On the funding. So we're
11	a private business
12	SENATOR ROLISON: I know you were
13	going there, so please
14	MS. FAGEN: We're a private business,
15	so we can't we're not nonprofit, so we
16	can't get we can't get grants or anything
17	like that. So we are primarily a
18	fee-for-service kind of structure. All of
19	our therapists are salaried. And frankly, we
20	don't pay them enough money. But we pay them
21	based we're capped at whatever the
22	insurance companies are paying us.
23	And we do have a fair amount of people
24	who self-pay. So that's useful. And a fair

1	amount	$\circ f$	people	who	use	out-of-network.
<u>_</u>	anioanc	$\circ$	PCOPIC	VVII	abc	OUC OF HECKOTIC

But for our particular license,
licensed creative arts therapists, we
actually are shut out from -- not shut out.

I mean, insurance companies have the -- sort
of the ability to decide whether they want to
take our license or not take our license.

And that recently was derailed and vetoed out of a bill. So now we have this opportunity, I feel like, in this budget bill to kind of get that back. Because we're a resource that can take the weight — if commercial plans are paying for our services, then that takes the weight off of the state paying for services, right? I mean, I don't really all the way understand that. But that sounds true to me.

We take one health -- we take one

Medicaid plan. Healthfirst Medicaid does

accept licensed creative arts therapists in

network; all the other managed care Medicaid

plans do not accept licensed creative arts

therapists.

So there's essentially this patchwork.

1	So somebody might be getting care with us,
2	with their creative arts therapist say
3	it's a drama therapist or an art therapist
4	it's going really well, their parent changes
5	jobs and gets a new insurance, and suddenly
6	their coverage has disappeared because that
7	carrier doesn't have to cover us, versus the
8	one that they had before that was covering
9	us.
10	So it's really frustrating for us,
11	because we don't want to dump those clients,
12	so we end up sliding that fee a lot, and then
13	that impacts our bottom line as well.
14	SENATOR ROLISON: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
17	Eachus.
18	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Thank you.
19	I want to plus-one with Assemblywoman
20	Aileen Gunther. Prior to having access to
21	multiple mental healths, I actually watched
22	my own daughter handcuffed by the police in
23	going through circumstances.
24	Harvey, I'm not sure whether I agree

1	with you or don't agree with you. So maybe
2	there's going to be some clarification. I
3	agree with you that we can't hospitalize this
4	whole thing away. But I do believe that
5	there is a place for hospitalization. I
6	certainly feel very comfortable today knowing
7	where my daughter is, she's safe, and that
8	they have the ability to handle any situation
9	that comes up. Where when she was put into
10	group homes, where she was never successful,
11	she was back to with the police and
12	shipped back and all.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But the one thing I do want to say, and I'm glad you brought it up, is I did not get a chance to talk to Dr. Sullivan about the fact that in the hospital that I'm involved with, and that's the only one I can speak about -- and it's a big one, right, the Rockland Psychiatric Center -- they are not taking advantage of family, friends, caring individuals to help these people come out.

I haven't ever been called by the Office of Mental Health for my opinion and/or even told -- I'm told afterwards about the

1	medications, I'm told afterwards that she's
2	shipped out to a group home. And it's one of
3	those places where you can go I think what
4	you were talking about to find help to
5	have these people transfer out, you know,
6	successfully and so on like that.
7	So I just wanted to mention
8	MR. ROSENTHAL: I would like to talk
9	to you about that, what you're talking about,
10	that particular situation.
11	I wasn't trying to say hospitals are
12	always wrong or we shouldn't have a thousand
13	more beds. Let's not delude ourselves,
14	though, that by getting somebody off the
15	street and putting them in a hospital is
16	going to work. The people are coming back
17	too quickly. It's the discharge plan or what
18	happens afterwards.
19	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Right, great.
20	Great. Thank you.
21	MR. ROSENTHAL: Yeah, thank you.
22	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Senate.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
24	Senator Lea Webb.

1	SENATOR WEBB: Hopefully it's working
2	Can you hear me?
3	MR. ROSENTHAL: Mm-hmm.
4	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: As close as you
5	can get to the microphone.
6	SENATOR WEBB: Okay, I'll come in
7	closer.
8	So thank you all for the great work
9	that you do. I'm very familiar with Hillside
10	and I've actually had family members who have
11	utilized Hillside's services. And, you know,
12	I know it's very challenging to navigate a
13	lot of these resources, especially community
14	members who are in underserved areas, whether
15	it's rural and most certainly through a
16	racial and ethnic lens.
17	I heard someone mention the crisis
18	with the respite program. And so I wanted to
19	ask what resources would be helpful to help
20	to address this particular issue?
21	MS. CRISTALLI: Well, I think
22	certainly rate adequacy is one of them. So
23	when we think about the Medicaid services

that were launched in 2019 as part of the

Medicaid redesign for children, we have benefited lately from a 25 percent enhanced rate.

But looking at those rates again is critically important for respite services, for youth peer advocacy, for the clinical services and for the skill-building services, because those services -- I go back to what Assemblymember Gunther also mentioned. If we have prevention and community-based services in homes that we can wrap around and support families, then they won't need or they may not need high-end services, including the removal from their own home with their family.

So taking a look at those services,

making sure the rates are adequate -- I know

as a provider, and I speak for my colleagues,

the rates are not currently adequate. So we

need to look at those. We need to look at

urban versus rural differences and to take a

look at them because they hold promise to

helping families and young people in their

homes, and also to make them available to

1	commercially insured individuals. That's
2	key.
3	SENATOR WEBB: I think those are very
4	valuable, especially when you think about the
5	marketing of these services, because not many
6	folks may be even aware that they actually
7	could become a respite provider even within
8	their own families.
9	Which takes me to my last question. I
10	know I'm close to time. The commissioner
11	mentioned earlier that there's a
12	multi-million-dollar commitment for
13	marketing. And I was just curious how those
14	funds are going to impact, you know, the
15	promotions for some of the services that you
16	all are providing, to your knowledge.
17	MS. CRISTALLI: It's a good question.
18	I'm not really sure how it's going to impact
19	the providers. But we're certainly
20	interested in learning more.
21	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
22	Assembly.
23	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
24	Simon.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you very
2	much.
3	I wanted to follow-up with
4	Mr. Rosenthal, with your comment about the
5	clubhouse model. As you know, in New York
6	City there's been a proposal to deal with
7	this issue of those people with serious
8	mental illness who come in contact with the
9	criminal justice system and are often
10	homeless, et cetera.
11	MR. ROSENTHAL: Yeah.
12	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: And as you know,
13	I have a bill to address, I think, some of
14	those issues more productively.
15	But I do know that they have now just
16	recently talked about the clubhouse model,
17	which I had also talked about with the
18	administration. And I'm curious if you're
19	familiar at all with that proposal and how
20	that model can be used effectively. So for
21	my purposes, how I can kind of advocate with
22	the administration for the appropriate or

expanding the clubhouse model. If you have

any comments on that.

23

1	MR. ROSENTHAL: Well, I'm not sure I
2	got it, but clubhouses are well I ran a
3	clubhouse for 10 years here in Albany. I
4	think the clubhouses in New York City, which
5	are going to be expanded, are really
6	terrific. The mayor's behind it. And like
7	you say, they've really grown to embrace
8	wellness and criminal justice reform and
9	things like that.
10	I'm not sure I I mean, in the city
11	there's going to be money for that. Upstate
12	is what I came to talk about. No money
13	upstate.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Well, obviously
15	I think it's a model we need to use in other
16	places as well. But I was curious if you
17	were familiar enough with the currently
18	operating clubhouse
19	MR. ROSENTHAL: I am.
20	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: There's Fountain
21	House in my district, there's Greater
22	Heights.
23	MR. ROSENTHAL: I'm very close with
24	them.

1	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: And if you had
2	any sort of guidance for us on that.
3	MR. ROSENTHAL: I'll come see you
4	about that, yeah.
5	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you, I
6	appreciate it very much.
7	MR. ROSENTHAL: I do a lot with them.
8	I do a lot with Fountain House in particular.
9	Thank you for being a rights champion
10	last year.
11	ASSEMBLYWOMAN SIMON: Thank you.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Any other
13	Senators? And any other Assemblymembers?
14	Then we are going to close this panel.
15	Thank you very much for your participation
16	today and for your hard work for New Yorkers
17	every day.
18	I'm going to call up the next panel,
19	Panel D, for those of you keeping track:
20	New York County Defender Services; Center for
21	Alternative Sentencing and Alternative
22	Services; Coalition of Medication-Assisted
23	Treatment Providers and Advocates.
24	And again, for those watching, if you

1	are on Panel E, you want to perhaps get into
2	the room or closer to the front for when we
3	call you up also. And that is Families
4	Together in New York State; New York Alliance
5	for Inclusion and Innovation; and the
6	New York State I guess a New York State
7	resident, excuse me.
8	Good afternoon. Let's start from your
9	left, my right yes. No, your that's
10	right? Yes. I may have said it backwards,
11	but that's who I mean. My left, your right.
12	Sorry.
13	MS. BAJUK: Hello. I'm Katherine
14	Bajuk. I'm a 29-year public defender, the
15	mental health attorney for New York County
16	Defender Services, and a survivor of violent
17	crime.

Passing the Treatment Not Jail Act and allocating another \$60 million to expand treatment courts will build stronger and safer communities throughout the state. It's also less costly than incarceration. Per OCA, for every dollar invested in treatment, you yield \$2 in savings. Treatment is more

effective and efficient, but we need to build
that out. Just the other day in Mental
Health Court, I had an incarcerated client's
case adjourned seven weeks out. That is not
efficient.

The act also reduces incarceration and involuntary commitment, which disproportionately affects people of color, LGBTQIA, and other marginalized communities that I represent. Our state needs this act. One out of five people, and over half our incarcerated population, have mental illness -- yet hundreds of thousands of people go without treatment every day.

And because of ignorance about and stigma around mental illness, people showing symptoms are overpoliced and criminalized.

Then they're warehoused in violent and drug-filled jails and prisons, where people without preexisting conditions develop mental health issues and people with come out more destabilized. When released, it's without structured or sufficient discharge planning, without stable housing or healthcare. And

1	this is proven to create recidivism.
2	This is a public health crisis which
3	must be met with a public health solution.
4	And the Treatment Not Jail Act is that
5	solution. It expands Article 216 to include
6	anyone with a functional impairment.
7	I'm going to skip that and just tell
8	you this. I'm also one of the 75 percent of
9	crime victims who support treatment for
10	people charged with violence. And that's
1	because if I were to meet one of my
12	assailants again, I know I would feel safer
13	if they'd received treatment instead of more
4	destabilizing jail.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	MS. SCHORR: Good afternoon. Thank
18	you for the opportunity to testify here
19	today. I'm Allegra Schorr. I'm the
20	president of COMPA, which is the Coalition of

Medication-Assisted Treatment Providers and

treatment providers and opioid treatment

COMPA represents medication-assisted

21

22

23

24

Advocates.

1	programs across New York State. That
2	includes hospital-based as well as
3	freestanding community providers.

Opiate treatment programs are the only providers licensed to provide methadone treatment. Methadone saves lives, and medication for opioid use disorder saves lives. And as you're aware, deaths from overdoses have increased drastically across our state. New York has exceeded the national average for overdose deaths, and it's crucial to recognize that while overdose deaths tripled for white New Yorkers, the rates increased fivefold for Black

New Yorkers and quadrupled for Latino

New Yorkers. We need -- it quadrupled, quadrupled for Latino New Yorkers. We need to do better. We have to do better.

New York needs to approach the opiate use crisis with a renewed level of urgency and investment. Our response must begin by addressing the workforce shortage and the skyrocketing operating costs, so that access to medications for opiate use disorder can be

1	maintained and expanded. And as you've
2	repeatedly from my colleagues today, an
3	8.5 percent COLA and a \$500 million
4	reimbursement increase is required to retain
5	our workforce and keep our programs open.

The Governor's budget includes

provisions to address network adequacy.

That's good news, but it doesn't go far

enough. A person with commercial insurance
in need of methadone treatment checks their

policy coverage, and they find they're

covered for methadone treatment. Then that

person goes to an opioid treatment program

and finds that the provider is not in network

because there's no contract between the

health insurance plan and the provider.

And that's not because the provider didn't ask for a contract. That's because there's no requirement that the health plan contract with the provider. So the person who has the coverage can't actually get the treatment that they need because the insurance plan has not contracted with the provider.

1	And I'm asking you to fix this.
2	Please require commercial insurance plans to
3	contract with all the opioid treatment
4	programs and MAT providers in their area.
5	Because we can't save lives if people can't
6	access our treatment.
7	Thank you very much.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Good afternoon.
9	MS. CHAIT: Good afternoon. I'm
10	Nadia Chait. I'm the senior director of
11	policy and advocacy at CASES. And thank you
12	for the opportunity to testify today.
13	CASES serves over 9,000 New Yorkers
14	annually, and we are dedicated to serving
15	individuals who have both serious mental
16	illness and involvement with our criminal
17	legal system.
18	And as my colleague testified earlier,
19	we strongly support Treatment Not Jails. And
20	I want to talk more about what treatment
21	looks like and why we believe supporting
22	folks with serious mental illness and
23	criminal legal system involvement in our
24	community and not in our prisons and jails.

1	CASES is one of the largest providers
2	of assertive community treatment in the
3	state, and so we were very excited to see the
4	expansion of ACT teams in the Governor's
5	budget. But we encourage some of those
6	ACT teams to be specifically dedicated to
7	folks with criminal legal system involvement.
8	We operate an ACT team called
9	Nathaniel Assertive Community Treatment.
10	It's the only OMH-licensed alternative to
11	incarceration in the state. It serves people
12	who are facing felony charges and up to a
13	year in prison, and we prioritize intakes
14	from people who have been deemed incompetent.
15	This program is incredibly successful
16	at helping those that we serve, and at

This program is incredibly successful at helping those that we serve, and at creating true community safety. We see a 70 percent decrease in recidivism among those that we serve. And of those who enter the program on a violent felony arrest, less than 5 percent have a new violent felony arrest during their time in the program.

There's a 70 percent decrease in homelessness, a 49 percent decrease in

1	psychiatric hospitalization, and a
2	225 percent increase in employment. And yet
3	there is only one of these programs in the
4	entire state. We are only able to serve
5	individuals in Manhattan and Brooklyn. No
6	one else in the state who is facing these
7	sorts of charges and this level of serious
8	mental illness has access to this service.

So we strongly encourage at least two additional teams. We would like to serve more people in Brooklyn, and we see a significant need in the Bronx, where we have programs. Our programs are in New York City, so that's what I can talk about specifically for us. But I am sure there's a need for more around the state.

We also have Forensic Assertive

Community Treatment teams. We have three of those. Those are folks who have criminal legal system involvement but might not be facing longer charges or might not have current charges but are kind of cycling in and out of our jails and prisons. And it funds additional staff to really bring and

1	integrate criminal legal services and mental
2	health treatment. And those teams are very
3	effective.
4	And in my little time left, I would
5	also say that we strongly support the
6	expansion of Certified Community Behavioral
7	Health Clinics in the budget.
8	We were one of the providers that had
9	a SAMHSA grant to implement that model for
10	two years, and it really helped us to provide
11	the holistic services that are needed for the
12	individuals that we serve, specifically
13	coordination with the criminal legal system,
14	with probation and corrections and all of
15	those different actors. And without that
16	grant funding, our clinic operates at a
17	\$700,000 annual deficit, which leaves us
18	struggling to keep our doors open.
19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
21	Senator Fernandez.
22	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you so much.
23	I just have to go back to the
24	statement that you said in your opening,

1	Ms. Allegra, about the quadrupling rate of
2	Latinos going through overdose and the
3	five-time rate of black New Yorkers going.
4	Is there a I mean, I know there's
5	many reasons, but is there a source or reason
6	aside from just access as to how these
7	numbers got so high?
8	MS. SCHORR: I'm sorry, I missed the
9	last part.
10	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Is there a source
11	or a reason as to how and why these numbers
12	got so high amongst these demographics?
13	MS. SCHORR: Well, I think at the
14	beginning of the opioid crisis white
15	New Yorkers, white Americans were hit
16	hardest. But as time has gone on, that's
17	clearly changed dramatically.
18	And the reason I'm not sure exactly
19	what the reason is. I think it's multilevel,
20	multifaceted. But the reality is we have to
21	address those issues. Certainly poverty,
22	certainly a lack to get to the kind of access
23	and medication that's needed in the
24	communities that are suffering. I think

1	those are critical items.
2	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
3	Second question, semi-separate from
4	it. But has there been any research to
5	emerging therapies in medicine, different I
6	guess I guess different drugs, if you
7	will, to help curb I know we said
8	methadone is vital, and I agree with you it
9	is lifesaving. But has there been any other
10	trials done of different types of medicines
11	and drugs?
12	MS. SCHORR: Yeah, there's I mean,
13	there are the three medicines that are used
14	for opioid use disorder. I'm sure there's
15	some things in the pipeline right now.
16	There's also different formulations. I know
17	Sublocade was mentioned earlier today; that's
18	a buprenorphine product that's injected. And
19	I think that we're also finding that there is
20	underutilization of medications for alcohol
21	use disorder, which is separate from the
22	opioid use, and there are some trials going

on underway for medications for stimulants.

But at the moment, these are the three

23

1	that we have, and they're underutilized.
2	SENATOR FERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Assembly.
5	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
6	Steck.
7	ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: I would like to
8	ask Ms. Schorr, you had indicated and I
9	had statistics to this effect as well that
10	nationwide, overdoses have been somewhat in
11	decline but in New York, they've been
12	increasing still.
13	What do you think the reasons are for
14	that?
15	MS. SCHORR: Clearly the overdoses
16	are the big driver is fentanyl. And we're
17	not only seeing fentanyl in our programs
18	and I would say that at the beginning,
19	fentanyl many, many people talked about
20	they didn't realize they were taking
21	fentanyl, it wasn't something that they were
22	seeking.
23	As time's gone on, we're seeing more
24	and more people who are actually seeking

1	fentanyl. Which is really a function of
2	how what the pull is for that kind of
3	drug. And we're also seeing, I think as
4	the we're seeing xylazine, more and more
5	different illicit drugs combined into
6	different kinds of drugs that people are
7	taking, sometimes they're not aware of. So
8	if you're not aware, then you're really at
9	risk.

I also think that the need to make sure that these medications for someone who is in treatment, that they're really utilized and that they're accessible. Because if you're trying to do this on your own, or you're trying to do this cold turkey, you put yourself at much greater risk for overdose because your tolerance goes down, you think you're going to be okay, and then you go out because it's just too much to try to defeat on your own. And that's when you're really vulnerable to overdose.

ASSEMBLYMAN STECK: Why do you think
New York is any worse in these ways than
other places?

Т	MS. SCHORR: Well, I mean, New York is
2	certainly one of the bigger, larger states
3	that we're seeing. I think that we have to
4	really get behind our I don't think at
5	this point that we've really put fully all
6	hands on deck. And unfortunately, the
7	Governor's budget really is a flat budget
8	when you're looking at the treatment and the
9	opportunities to reckon with opioid use
10	disorder and substance use and these
11	overdoses.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
13	Any other Senator?
14	Ah, Senator John Mannion.
15	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you all for
16	being here today.
17	I have a bill that passed our house.
18	It's a Narcan bill, basically. In all public
19	settings where there is an AED required, they
20	would also carry a supply of Narcan. Can any
21	of you speak to you know, I know it's my
22	bill, but the practicality or feasibility or
23	availability of it being easily accessible
24	for public institutions or not easily

1	accessible to be able to carry that product.
2	MS. CHAIT: Senator, I can say in our
3	programs I actually don't know if we have
4	AEDs, but I do know that we have Narcan
5	available in every single one of our offices
6	on every floor. We've had that for a number
7	of years. It was not complicated to
8	implement.
9	MS. SCHORR: Yeah, I totally support
10	that bill, Senator. I think that Narcan is
11	very simple, very simple to administer if
12	you're in the unfortunate position of having
13	to do so. Essentially it's a nasal spray.
14	And we need to take some of the
15	mystery and the fear out of it so that peopl
16	understand that this is a lifeline. So I
17	would strongly support increasing that
18	access.
19	MS. BAJUK: If I could just add, I
20	think that we would all support that.
21	I think what needs to be done, though
22	is more education and more training of

public-facing institutions of how easy it is

use and how it's not going to cause legal

23

1	issues for the people trying to administer
2	aid.
3	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you.
4	And I know that myself, my own office
5	has conducted a Narcan training in
6	partnership with volunteer fire departments,
7	and I'm from my social media access I
8	believe many of my colleagues have done that
9	as well.
10	Not that this is anyone's area of
11	expertise in front of me, but what would be
12	the cost of one, you know, supply, one dose
13	of Narcan, if you were to estimate?
14	MS. SCHORR: I actually don't know
15	that number right now
16	SENATOR MANNION: Sure. Sorry about
17	that.
18	MS. SCHORR: but we can get back to
19	you on it for sure.
20	SENATOR MANNION: Yes, that's fine.
21	Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly? You're
23	done?
24	Senator Oberacker.

1	(Pause; laughter.)
2	SENATOR OBERACKER: It's okay, we're
3	just carrying on a conversation, you know.
4	So thank you, and my apologies to to
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: There are two
6	Oberackers? No, no, no.
7	SENATOR OBERACKER: No, thank goodness
8	there's not, Madam Chair. Thank you.
9	One of the questions I have, I think I
10	heard recently that there was actually some
11	work being done on a vaccine for fentanyl.
12	Has anyone heard anything maybe potentially
13	more about that? You know, as a food
14	scientist and as an R&D, you know, guru, I
15	guess, I'm really interested in that part of
16	what's going on.
17	MS. SCHORR: I did see the media
18	coverage on the vaccination idea. And I
19	don't think that they're very far along at
20	this point, but it's certainly promising. So
21	we can only be hopeful that that will end up
22	being successful.
23	SENATOR OBERACKER: Is that something

we should be maybe looking at here too a

1	little bit? I know R&D dollars are usually
2	hard to kind of justify in some way, shape or
3	form. But, I mean, the overall good of
4	something like this I think would far
5	outweigh some of the dollars that would be
6	put towards it.
7	MS. SCHORR: I think, to Senator,
8	honestly, the issue I think is that we
9	actually have very good medications. And
10	they're very effective, they have been
11	studied for years. The problem is we're not
12	using them as much as we should. And we
13	need so I think we're really looking at
14	stigma, education and access. And those are
15	the things that will I think turn the corner.
16	More medications would be great, but
17	more medications that sit on the shelf and
18	people don't use aren't going to help anybody
19	either.
20	SENATOR OBERACKER: I agree with you
21	on those notes. Thank you very much.
22	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
23	Assembly?
24	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: No more.

1	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And no more
2	Senators. So I think just checking.
3	I thank you very much for your work on
4	behalf of all New Yorkers, and thank you for
5	being with us today.
6	ALL PANELISTS: Thank you.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And our next
8	panel actually, apparently No. 16 is ill
9	and can't join us. So the New York Alliance
10	for Inclusion and Innovation, and a New York
11	State resident named Jim Karpe.
12	And for people who have been keeping
13	their scorecards ready, the final panel is
14	next, and so those people might want to start
15	heading down. So Panel F: RISE Housing and
16	Support Services; Association for Community
17	Living; Care Design New York; New York
18	Disability Advocates; and The Arc New York.
19	(Off the record.)
20	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And who are you,
21	sir?
22	MR. KARPE: I'm Jim Karpe.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Okay. So do we
24	not have the New York Alliance for Inclusion

1	and Innovation? Did they not hear me ask
2	them to come up? Perhaps we have mis we
3	have mislaid them at the moment.
4	So why don't you start?
5	MR. KARPE: Okay. Let me just make
6	sure the mic's okay.
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Yes.
8	MR. KARPE: Good.
9	So thank you so much for sticking
10	around. This is obviously a marathon, and
11	Senator Krueger, you have to do this I guess
12	19 more times?
13	So thank you all for being here.
14	Thank you for your attention. You have my
15	written testimony.
16	There's a lot of problems in the OPWDD
17	service delivery system. I'm here with one
18	very specific ask to the Legislature, which
19	is that you end the authorization for managed
20	care investigation. The Executive Budget
21	calls for extending for yet another five
22	years this investigation, which has already
23	gone on for a decade and has distracted us
24	from the real work of doing real improvement

1	in	the	lives	of	individuals.	So please,
2	dor	ı't «	extend	it,	end it.	

There's block-and-tackle work that needs to be done, and we've been distracted from that by this tale that managed care is coming. The advertisement said managed care will solve all of our problems.

Unfortunately, the advertisement is not true. The evidence is in. Texas paid for and published two studies that looked at every example, every example across the country of applying managed care to long-term supports and services. And their conclusion was very simple. There's no consistent increase in quality, no consistent increase in access. Sometimes it makes things a little better, sometimes it makes things a little worse.

What it does every single time is increase cost. Here in New York State we paid Deloitte to do a study of what would happen if we moved long-term supports and services into managed care. Deloitte concluded it would cost \$200 million extra

1	per year forever to pay for the small army of
2	administrative people at the MCOs. That
3	report was not released.
4	OPWDD itself did a study of PHP, the
5	pilot program here in New York State. That
6	report showed, among other things, that they
7	achieved only about one-third of the expected
8	enrollment. That report was not released.
9	We don't need more studies. The
10	evidence is in. The stakeholders, the family
11	stakeholders are united in their opposition.
12	So please, help OPWDD concentrate on
13	their block-and-tackle work. Don't extend
14	it, end it.
15	Thank you.
16	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
17	I see that we've been joined I believe
18	by the second panelist. Yes.
19	MR. SEEREITER: Good afternoon. I'm
20	Michael Seereiter with the New York Alliance
21	for Inclusion and Innovation.
22	My comments today will be focused on
23	the OPWDD budget. I'd like to start by
24	thanking the Governor for not continuing the

1	era of complete neglect under the previous
2	administrations. The Governor's proposed
3	2.5 percent COLA is better than zero percent
4	and the cuts that we've seen in previous
5	years.
6	But 5.4 percent plus 2.5 percent is
7	nothing to be proud of. Five-point-four
8	percent last year was laudable and is a good
9	start, as it was reflective of inflation.
10	Two and a half percent, in the context of an
11	8.5 percent inflation environment, is frankly
12	embarrassing. The Executive Budget doesn't
13	even provide enough for I/DD services to keep
14	up, let alone catch up from years of neglect.
15	Eight-point-five percent is the bare
16	minimum needed, and we ask that you include
17	that in your one-house budget proposals.
18	We also request that the Governor
19	include a \$4,000 increase for
20	nonprofit-employed direct support
21	professionals, or DSPs, to address the
22	17 percent vacancy rate and 30 percent
23	turnover rate in nonprofit-employed
24	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: (Inaudible.)

1	MR. SEEREITER: Four thousand dollar
2	increase for direct support professionals,
3	direct support wage enhancement. That's to
4	address the 17 percent vacancy rate and the
5	30 percent turnover rate amongst nonprofit-
6	employed direct support professionals.

These numbers are down from just six months ago, clearly demonstrating that the investments from last year's budget were effective. We had really high hopes, quite frankly, given that the Governor's own OPWDD published a strategic plan in November wherein it articulates as goal number one to improve the recruitment, retention and quality of the direct support workforce by, quote, investing in the workforce.

Instead, adding insult to injury,
quite frankly, the Governor gave
state-employed direct support professionals
increases and left DSPs who work for
nonprofits -- who also provide 85 percent of
the services in our I/DD services system -out in the cold.

Frankly, it's a slap in the face. And

it only	exacerbates the exodus of direct
support	professionals from the nonprofit
service	delivery system.

But it's even worse than that. Fewer OPWDD-employed direct support professionals are Black and Latinx than among DSPs employed by nonprofit employers. And fewer OPWDD employed DSPs are female than among direct support professionals employed by nonprofits.

While maybe not intentional, the raises for state-employed DSPs without corresponding raises for nonprofit-employed DSPs is just another example of the bias and racism that has infected our society and now our government.

If it is not corrected in your one-house budget proposals, it will only exacerbate the generational cycles of poverty that trap these New Yorkers -- who are primarily Black, Latinx and female -- in low-wage work and feed the racial and gender disparities in our state.

Last year I shared with you stories of DSPs doing five consecutive 24-hour shifts,

1	people with disabilities hospitalized for
2	bowel impactions and many other stories. If
3	the DSP crisis is to be addressed, we're
4	going to need at least the 8.5 percent COLA,
5	and the direct support professional wage
6	enhancement
7	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
8	MR. SEEREITER: would go further to
9	address these issues.
10	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We'll have to cut
11	you off, sorry.
12	MR. SEEREITER: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: We have the full
14	testimony.
15	I want to first just double-check with
16	the Senate. Any questions?
17	Senator John Mannion.
18	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you both for
19	being here today. And I appreciate all of
20	your support and advocacy. I am going to
21	avoid the 8.5 percent increase that we are
22	discussing, as a year and a half ago, if I
23	remember correctly, we spent five and a half
24	hours discussing that. So now we're down to

1	2	minutes	and	39	seconds.

So I'll direct this to Jim, which is in your testimony you reference a piece about long-term supports and services. And I just wanted to give you some time to at least speak to that element of, you know, the overriding picture that you led with.

MR. KARPE: Sure. I mean, long-term supports and services are the things that help people live in the community.

For example, I'm the father of two young adults with I/DD. My son is supported by a job coach and works at Trader Joe's.

He's also supported by a housing subsidy from OPWDD. And these are the things that simply can't get managed down. No matter what happens, my son needs a place to live. He needs something to do all day.

The old adage that an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure? That thing which managed care is based on, it doesn't work when you apply it to housing and today's services.

SENATOR MANNION: Thank you, Jim.

1	Just a follow-up. In what you
2	submitted you listed a number of
3	organizations here in a box. So my guess
4	is because I didn't get a chance to fly
5	through it is that they have also signed
6	on to your statement and request regarding
7	managed care?
8	MR. KARPE: They have not. There
9	simply wasn't time to organize that. We have
10	the three letters which they did sign up to
11	that are included in that testimony.
12	SENATOR MANNION: Yes. I didn't mean
13	to put you on the witness stand. I just want
14	it for clarification purposes, honestly, for
15	myself as well. So I appreciate that.
16	You as a parent have seen staying
17	on managed care, you as a parent have seen
18	this sort of pseudo-transition into it. Do
19	you believe that it has a direct impact, just
20	the transition that we're going through, on
21	services that are being provided to your
22	children?
23	MR. KARPE: Oh, absolutely. I mean,
24	it's been a tremendous distraction to the

1	system.
2	The family the independent family
3	groups have submitted time and time again
4	suggestions to OPWDD of things that could be
5	done, and the response is we don't have the
6	bandwidth to handle it. And the reason they
7	don't have the bandwidth is they're dealing
8	with things like the CCO transition, the
9	so thank you.
10	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you. My
11	apologies to Michael.
12	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly? Any
13	other Senators?
14	I just have one quick question.
15	So the reports that you were
16	referencing, do you know, are those available
17	on websites for us to find?
18	MR. KARPE: Yeah, the two Texas
19	studies are in there's a link to them in
20	my testimony. There's also a link to what I
21	was able to FOIL of the FIDA evaluation. It
22	doesn't make very much reading, though.
23	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very

both of you, thank you very much for your

1	testimony today. Appreciate it.
2	MR. KARPE: Appreciate it.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: And our last
4	panel: RISE Housing and Support Services;
5	Association for Community Living; Care Design
6	New York; New York Disability Advocates; and
7	The Arc New York. We'll make sure everybody
8	can get into their seats.
9	Good afternoon.
10	PANELISTS: Good afternoon.
11	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: I don't think
12	I've ever actually said good afternoon to a
13	panel when it's still actually afternoon.
14	(Laughter.)
15	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Certainly not
16	the last panel.
17	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Not the last
18	panel. That's what I meant, the last panel.
19	So let's start with my left, your
20	right, and introduce yourselves and we'll
21	just go down the row.
22	MS. BARRETT: Hi, I'm Sebrina Barrett,
23	the executive director for ACL. Thank you.
24	ACL members provide community-based

1	mental health housing for more than 40,000
2	New Yorkers with severe mental illness.
3	Housing providers are persevering through
4	many ongoing challenges such as crippling
5	inflation, sustained workforce shortages, and
6	serving aging residents who are experiencing
7	significant medical concerns.

This is our reality. We face a \$96 million shortfall, the amount needed to put us where we were years ago. Governor Hochul inherited a mental health system that boasts an exceptional mission powered by exceptional staff. But for many years our system has received inadequate resources. We support her plan to develop 3500 new housing units, but we need the staff to support them.

Also important: Governor Hochul has followed through on her promise to include 39 million for rate increases for existing homes. This is important because even though we're developing new beds, we can't risk losing the homes that we're currently operating.

And the risk is real. We face a

1	25 percent staff vacancy rate due to the
2	inability to pay a living wage. We face
3	numerous rising costs for operating expenses
4	And the people who depend on us require a
5	higher level of care because they are
6	experiencing more complex concerns due to
7	multiple co-occurring mental and physical
8	conditions.

The 39 million will help us close a 96 million gap. But we also risk making the gap bigger unless we address inflation.

Let's talk about the COLA. While we are grateful for the 2.5 percent in the proposed budget, it isn't enough. We have bills to pay. We held a rally yesterday, and Assemblywoman Gunther was great to join us.

Our service providers told us that
everything has gone up: Groceries, up

34 percent. Health insurance, up 11 percent.
Transportation, 34 percent. Building
maintenance, 35 percent. Utilities,

15 percent. Math is not my strong suit, but
even I know that 2.5 percent won't cover the
bills, let alone leave enough where we can

raise wages and help us recruit and retain staff.

Please build on the Governor's funding plan, which includes the 39 million for our rate increases. We need that 8.5 percent COLA.

Finally, more than 40 percent of our residents are age 55 and older and are experiencing significant medical conditions. Our housing models were not made to address these concerns. The only place for these folks to go are expensive hospitals, because nursing homes won't admit residents with a severe mental illness.

Last year the Legislature passed a bill to create a commission to study aging in place, but it was vetoed because it was not included in the budget. This year please include a task force on aging in place so that we can start to address these concerns. The number of residents with medical challenges is growing, and we can no longer delay the action needed to ensure they can age in place with grace and comfort, in their

1	homes, for as long as possible.
2	Thank you.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	Next?
5	MS. NEWELL: Make sure you can hear me
6	here. Good afternoon, and thank you all for
7	allowing me to come speak to you today.
8	My name is Sybil Newell. I'm the
9	executive director of RISE Housing and
10	Support Services.
11	RISE has been helping people living
12	with mental health, substance use and other
13	life challenges for nearly 50 years. Our
14	mission is to help these people remain safe
15	and healthy and in the community through
16	housing and other supportive services.
17	During those almost 50 years, it's become
18	obvious that we as a community could be doing
19	a lot more to help that very vulnerable
20	segment of the population.
21	So I've come here today to ask the
22	Legislature to commit funding to support a
23	new type of psychosocial program we're

developing called Homebase. We've received

federal	funding for the	physical	construction
and now	we're seeking su	pport for	the
operatio	ns of programs l	ike these	÷ .

Homebase is a low-barrier psychosocial program that will provide a supportive and safe community for vulnerable citizens.

We'll use an evidence-based model to operate the program, which will address a key social determinant of health: Social connectedness.

As we know, people's relationships and interactions with community members can have a major impact on their health and well-being. Due to psychiatric disabilities, addictions, trauma or complex socioeconomic factors, these vulnerable individuals find it nearly impossible to hold a job, volunteer, attend school, or even participate in the more structured day programs that may be available.

Our hope is that the Homebase program will combat the stigma and isolation which has only been exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic and will provide opportunities for people to develop skill sets and a natural

1	support system to help create a path to
2	recovery and success. In addition to
3	reducing the use of more costly emergency
4	services and enhancing public safety, other
5	beneficial outcomes include a significant
6	decrease in hospitalizations, incarcerations
7	and involvement with the criminal justice
8	system, and improved mental and physical
9	health and improved overall well-being.

These kinds of results are backed by statistics that demonstrate how providing opportunity and services to individuals in need is far more effective that treatment or incarceration alone. Investing in low-barrier psychosocial programs up-front will save the healthcare and law enforcement systems money overall.

Homebase will fill a conspicuous gap in the continuum of behavioral healthcare by creating a space that welcomes all individuals in need of a place to belong, regardless of their diagnosis, addiction, or other life challenges. We have to remember to provide services that address the whole

1	person, to provide opportunities for
2	individuals to make connections, create
3	pathways to success, and develop strengths
4	and skills, not to simply provide treatment.
5	Thank you for our time.
6	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
7	Next speaker?
8	MR. GEIZER: Good afternoon. Thank
9	you. I'm Erik Geizer, CEO of the Arc New
10	York, the largest provider of supports and
11	services for people with I/DD in New York
12	State.
13	You've been hearing all day how our
14	system is in crisis. Quite frankly, you've
15	been hearing it year after year after year.
16	I'm here today to tell you what this crisis
17	truly means for the people we support, what
18	20,000 direct support vacancies means to a
19	single person, how one in three DSPs leaving
20	the workforce devastates the people in their
21	care.
22	We operate chapters in every county of
23	this state. They are living this crisis.

These are just a few of their stories.

1	Cole aged out of his school program in
2	June 2020. He has been waiting for an
3	opportunity to continue his life. As a young
4	adult, imagine being confined in your
5	apartment waiting for someone to help you
6	explore your future. Now 22, Cole is unsure
7	that will ever come.

One of our chapters hasn't been able to transition a single young adult from school to community supports in three years.

A stack of requests goes unanswered.

David currently lives with his parents. They're in their nineties. They have failing health. Within a year, David has to decide whether he'll be placed in a residential home or try to live at home independently. Staffing shortages have prevented him from starting his community habilitation program. If he cannot develop these skills in a year, he will be forced into a residence. That loss of independence will be devastating to him and, furthermore, it will cost the state tens of thousands of dollars more every year.

1	Time and again we have not had enough
2	staff to cover a home when someone needs to
3	go to the hospital, so they go to the
4	hospital for emergency care. They go alone.
5	They go scared. They go confused.
6	Lauren refuses to bathe for days on
7	end because she's uncomfortable with
8	substitute staff providing intimate support.
9	Jacob, who started his life in
10	Willowbrook, and struggles to trust people,
11	will only eat with people he knows well. He
12	relies on total support for eating his pureed
13	diet. Strangers were filling in; he stopped
14	eating.
15	This is not acceptable to me. It is

This is not acceptable to me. It is not acceptable to the providers across the state who are trying to provide quality supports and services without the resources to do so. It's not acceptable to the people we support who see the system crumbling beneath them.

So today I ask all of you: Is it acceptable to you? Not just as a legislator, as a human being, is it acceptable to you?

1	It shouldn't be. Yet through underfunding
2	and inaction, New York continues to accept
3	it.
4	You are the ones that have the power
5	to change this. You can stand up and say,
6	this is not okay. You can include resources
7	into our system. You can provide parity for
8	our staff. You can go to the table and say
9	this issue is non-negotiable. You can begin
10	to restore dignity and care for New Yorkers
11	with disabilities. So do that. Do it.
12	Anything else is unacceptable.
13	Thank you.
14	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: Thank you.
15	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
16	And our next witness is Veronica
17	Crawford, a self-advocate from Care Design
18	New York.
19	MS. CRAWFORD: Good afternoon,
20	everyone. Hello. My name is Veronica
21	Crawford. I am a self-advocate and I work at
22	Care Design NY and lead our peer empowerment
23	group.

Today I would like to discuss staff

turnover and how it is affecting the mental
health of people with disabilities. I would
like to give people with disabilities a
voice

Many people with disabilities live in residential homes. Some live in an apartment by themselves. And almost all rely on staff support for daily living skills. Staff provide the individuals with maintaining social, emotion, physical and medical needs. Staff also help the individual have a voice and help them advocate for what they want. The staff teaches the individual new skills and resources. People with disabilities need and rely on them for help.

There are just not enough direct support professionals to support people.

With only one staff or no staff supporting them, they feel isolated and cannot be a part of the community. One staff member cannot support all individuals. During the pandemic, even now, people often cannot go to a program or leave the house to go out in the community due to lack of staff. They have no

social interaction the staff help to provide.

Being by yourself or in your home is a struggle for many people. This leaves the individuals feeling isolated. This increases anxiety, causes many unwanted behaviors, and increases mental concerns.

People with disabilities see their staff as a valuable resource. The staff are there to help them live a good quality of life. We want people with disabilities to be a part of the community and have new experiences, learn and feel a part of the world, and have good mental health.

Increasing hourly wages for DSPs would decrease staff turnover and promote positive everyday life for the individuals they support. I would like everyone to consider adding a \$4,000 wage increase for the direct support professionals that assist the disability community every day. I would like this to be added to the 2023 budget.

Thank you for taking the time to listen, and I hope you can be a voice for the DSP staff and the disability community.

1	Thank you for your time in listening.
2	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you for
3	being with us. Thank you.
4	Next, and last on the panel.
5	MR. ALVARO: Okay. Good afternoon.
6	I'm Mike Alvaro. My day job is with the
7	Cerebral Palsy Associations of New York
8	State. But I'm here on behalf of NYDA, which
9	is a coalition of seven provider
10	organizations. We represent 85 percent of
11	the field, essentially all the providers in
12	the disability field. We support about
13	115,000 people with intellectual and
14	developmental disabilities.
15	I'm not going to add a lot to what
16	you've already heard, but I just want to make
17	sure that I follow up on some of the
18	information you got from the commissioner
19	this morning.
20	Yes, we were thankful to get two
21	investments in through the COLA in the
22	first two years of this administration.
23	That's very, very helpful for us. But that

came after 11 years and a total of a

1.2 percen	t COLA	in	investment	in	this	field
------------	--------	----	------------	----	------	-------

If we had been part of the Medicaid program, there would have been -- just gotten the regular increases that the Medicaid program got, there would have been a billion-dollar investment over those 11 years in our field. That did not take place.

The 5.4 percent is welcome and it is absolutely critical. We need to build on that. We are at a point right now where our staffing shortages are such that we have a 17 percent vacancy rate. That has gone down. It's gone down because we were able to add dollars to the salaries of the people that work for us.

However, there's still a 31 percent turnover rate. That costs the field about \$100 million a year. That's an expense that we take out of what could be supports and services for people with disabilities.

Thirty percent -- and there's a real-world impact on those vacancies. Having about one in five staff people not there has an impact.

We are not able to open new programs. We

1	have 38 percent of our providers having
2	reduced or closed services because of that
3	shortage.

And the other point that I want to make is this is not a one-and-done kind of thing. Because of that long period of time where we didn't have the investments we need, we really need an investment now and an ongoing commitment. What we're looking for is the 8.5 percent. We think that, tied to the CPI, makes sense. It's a real world, we all live in the world, we know what's happening with expenses. We want to make sure that we get a full 8.5 percent.

But we're also looking for the investment that was just talked about. We need to invest in our workforce. The state found it within their plans to support their workers doing the same work that our guys do, and they gave them an investment, an increase, of between \$4,000 and \$6,000. Very similar to what we were asking for.

We're looking for that. That's a \$2 an hour increase in the wages for our

1	DSPs. So it's the 8.5 percent COLA plus the
2	\$4,000 investment in our staff.
3	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
4	I know that Senator Mannion has some
5	questions, and Senator Brouk as well.
6	SENATOR MANNION: Thank you all for
7	your testimony today, to everyone that
8	testified today and, in a very short period
9	of time, trying to articulate the crisis that
10	we're in the midst of.
1	I can say for myself that in my budget
12	priority letter I asked for an 8.5 percent
13	cost-of-living adjustment and a \$4,000 salary
4	increase, and I encourage all my colleagues
15	to do so.
16	Mike, I also don't envy you in having
17	to follow Veronica.
18	(Laughter.)
19	SENATOR MANNION: But, Veronica, if
20	you don't mind, can you share some of the
21	changes or experiences that you no longer
22	have the opportunity to participate in, or
23	others that you know well have lost? Because

what you hear, is there simply not enough

1	staff	to	provide	these	programs?

MS. CRAWFORD: Yes. Well, I imagine that a lot of people in the disability population are feeling very isolated, not available to go to day programs, and only being able to go and like access the community like just a one-and-done situation.

I would like people with disabilities to be able to do more than that, to be able to have more access to their communities, because their staff makes that available to them. Their staff is a valuable resource to them. Without that, they are -- like they're isolated.

SENATOR MANNION: And we know that when you're isolated, when you're not engaging in an enriched environment, it's all the other things that we have talked about earlier today as it relates to mental health. People who are in the disabilities population also suffer when they cannot have enriched experiences, and it is impactful.

Thank you, Veronica, for your testimony.

1	I can't emphasize enough the impact
2	that not having staff has, and it is present
3	today, as we do not see any DSPs testifying.
4	Nor did we a year and a half ago when we
5	conducted a hearing. And it is not without
6	consideration for their input; it's because
7	those that remain are working. Thank you.
8	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
9	Assembly.
10	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
11	Eachus.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Thank you.
13	Erik, just one explanation. You
14	mentioned that it costs with the turnover
15	it costs like \$100 million?
16	MR. GEIZER: That's right.
17	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: And which could
18	be put into obviously programs and so on like
19	that.
20	MR. GEIZER: Absolutely.
21	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Can you explain
22	how the turnover costs that?
23	MR. GEIZER: Sure.
24	Well, obviously there are a lot of

1	requirements when we bring people on board
2	extensive training, time to get people up to
3	speed in terms of their job requirements.
4	We're also, on the back end, covering those
5	shifts. We are double-shifts, double
6	overtime. So all of those things start to
7	add up.
8	And a survey that we did in
9	conjunction with NYDA did demonstrate that it
10	was about \$100 million in costs for the
11	current turnover rate that we have.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN EACHUS: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.
14	Senator Brouk.
15	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you.
16	Hi, everyone. Thank you so much for
17	taking this time to bring your testimony.
18	My question is going to go to Sebrina.
19	You know, I think you were very informative
20	around some of the housing initiatives that
21	the Governor has put forward. But one thing
22	that has come up several times as we've been

discussing this budget amongst my colleagues,

and with you as well, is the aging population

23

living	in	our	mental	health	housing.
--------	----	-----	--------	--------	----------

So I would love for you to expand a bit on how we could better serve that population through budget proposals this year.

MS. BARRETT: Yeah, we really need a task force that could start bringing together experts from not just Mental Health but Aging, Health, Housing, and have a conversation about what this population needs.

Our housing goes along an entire continuum. People start with 24/7 care, they end up in apartments. They're living longer, which is wonderful, but with that comes multiple co-occurring medical conditions. We surveyed our members; it's mobility, hypertension, dementia, COPD. Our models that were created in the 1980s and 1990s weren't created to -- with a staffing model to help these residents who are aging.

Nursing homes are not able to take these folks because they won't admit people with mental illness. I talked to a member --

1	there were two incidents where they tried
2	examples they gave. A hospital made over
3	100 inquiries to a nursing home, could not
4	place an individual. This was in New York
5	City. An ACT team made over 200 inquiries to
6	place someone in a nursing home. That person
7	was not able to be admitted.
8	So what happens is they go to
9	hospitals, they have hospital stays, try to
10	stabilize them, and they come back to the
11	residences. But, you know, we have residents
12	who can't do fire drills because they have
13	mobility issues. So we need to start putting
14	together you know, home healthcare aides,
15	they have a workforce shortage issue as well.

So we're not able to really get those professionals into our programs. Our programs don't have nursing staff. We don't have professional staff. We have people with high school diplomas, by and large.

So we really need to enhance our  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{models}}$  to serve this population.

SENATOR BROUK: Thank you.

And I just want to make sure I have

1	this number right. It's 40 percent of
2	residents
3	MS. BARRETT: More than 42 well,
4	about 42 percent are age 55 and older, and
5	then a third of them are age 65 and older.
6	And that was a survey we did a year ago.
7	Folks are going to continue we have
8	40,000 residents, and every year those folks
9	are going to get older and older. So this
10	problem's only going to exacerbate until we
11	do something about it.
12	SENATOR BROUK: Thank you.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Assembly.
14	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblywoman
15	Gunther.
16	ASSEMBLYWOMAN GUNTHER: (Mic off.) So
17	about two weeks ago my daughter Mary Alice
18	had a best friend, and her best friend was
19	away at camp. And, you know, she came over
20	all the time. So to make a long story short,
21	while she was there her mother passed away.
22	And after she passed away, this young girl
23	was never herself.
24	So my daughter lives in Texas, but I

1	keep in contact with this girl because she
2	came over all the time, we used to make
3	movies. She was an amazing, brilliant girl

So I talked to her last week and she lives someplace in Middletown, probably you know the place that she probably lives at.

And she was asking me to come and pick her up for lunch and those kinds of things. And I'm thinking about that she has such potential to actually live on her own, to be able to not be in a group home, but there's nowhere for her to go. I mean, I'd like to wrap her up and bring her to my house, but I really can't do that.

But I was just thinking about her, and after I got off the phone I called my daughter Mary Alice and I said how sad I was, this vibrant young woman and she's in a place where she has no freedom -- I mean, they take her to the mall or to like a Shop-Rite. But like -- and occasionally a movie. But nothing really fun. And it's because they don't have a lot of direct care professionals -- that, you know, it's costly,

1 those kinds of things.

But I just -- you know, I think that sometimes we have a lot of money, we talk about the budget of New York State, but I think sometimes you have to really have interaction with these folks. And it gives you a sense of empathy. And, you know, I mean -- when I got off the phone I called my daughter Mary Alice and I said, I'm just like so sad, you know, that this is what Sabrina has at this point.

So, you know, I think that, you know, we do need more money but we need more people from the hierarchy to make this a focus.

And, you know, it's about human life and it's about quality of life, it's about allowing people who have the potential to work to work and give them the transportation to get to work. And we're just not doing that right now.

21 That's all I've got to say.

22 CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you.

Senator O'Mara.

24 SENATOR O'MARA: Yes, thank you.

1 Ditto on what Aileen just had to say
--

Thank you all for being here, for your advocacy today. I can assure you that you have a lot of advocates in this Legislature for these issues.

You know, I just met last week with a couple of ARCs in my district, which is a rural Southern Tier Finger Lakes district, with the talk of the homes being closed down because there's no bodies to service them -- lowering and lowering the amount of available spaces because of the lack of the workforce. It's very frustrating.

The budgeting process is all about priorities. Every year it is. And we say that every year in, year out. But it really is. Going to put our money where our mouth is? We're not. Frankly I'm astounded at what's been presented by the Executive at this point. And we will find out over the next month whether that's negotiating strategy, making the Legislature buy these things back, or whether she's just really that out of tune with the I/DD community and

1	the needs that are so great out there.
2	So we'll be fighting for you. Thank
3	you.
4	MULTIPLE PANELISTS: Thank you.
5	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: Thank you very
6	much.
7	I think we have no more questions from
8	either house oh, excuse me.
9	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Assemblyman
10	Manktelow.
11	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: (Mic off.)
12	Thank you, Chairwoman. (Inaudible.)
13	(Exchange off the record.)
14	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: It is now.
15	(Laughter.)
16	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Sorry about
17	that.
18	Mike, back to what you said, 8.5
19	percent, what does that equate to dollarwise
20	in our budget? How much money are you
21	looking for?
22	MR. ALVARO: Okay. The 8.5 percent is
23	the total investment of a hundred and wait
24	a second, I got this. I had it here right in

1	front of me two seconds ago, so oh, here
2	it is.
3	The 8.5 percent is \$235 million state
4	share for the COLA. And for the \$4,000
5	investment, it's \$126 million state share.
6	Now, that is in line with the
7	\$50 million that was found for the 15 percent
8	of the state workers. If you take that
9	15 percent that that \$50 million represents
10	that was invested for them, this is what
11	we're looking for for the other 85 percent.
12	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: And your
13	workers do the exact same thing as state
14	workers, correct?
15	MR. ALVARO: It's similar. It's very
16	close. What they ended up asking for ended
17	up being very similar to what our ask was,
18	yup.
19	MR. GEIZER: To put it in real dollar
20	terms, the average DSP in the state-operated
21	workforce makes about \$24 an hour. The
22	average DSP in the nonprofit sector makes 16.
23	They make 50 percent more than we do for

the -- basically the exact same work. It's

1	unconscionable.
2	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: I would like
3	to talk to you afterwards on really
4	because we don't have enough time.
5	But and Veronica, I want to say
6	thank you for your testimony this afternoon.
7	And
8	MS. CRAWFORD: You're welcome.
9	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: And I know how
10	important staff is. Can you explain to us
11	again how important staff is, dependable
12	staff, staff that's there all the time with
13	you? Can you explain that to us?
14	MS. CRAWFORD: Yes. Basically the
15	staff are just like a valuable asset to not
16	just me but to the disability community.
17	They're an advocate for them, they take them
18	out in the community. You know, they help
19	with transportation, appointments, their
20	well-being.
21	We need them. One staff in
22	residential homes cannot do that. Even two
23	in staff, that's not enough taking care of

everybody living in a residential home that

1	has disabilities.
2	We need to be the voice for them, and
3	we need to make a change and be the voice.
4	ASSEMBLYMAN MANKTELOW: Veronica,
5	thank you so much for your testimony and what
6	you said here today. And it means a lot to
7	all of us. And we do need to make this a
8	priority in New York State for you and
9	everyone else.
10	So thank you all for your testimony.
11	Thank you.
12	MS. CRAWFORD: You're welcome.
13	CHAIRWOMAN KRUEGER: So now I believe
14	there are no more questions from the Assembly
15	or the Senate, unless I'm hearing
16	differently. And I want to thank all of you
17	for your very hard work on behalf of
18	vulnerable New Yorkers and for coming here
19	today to testify. And I agree with all of my
20	colleagues that the work you do is
21	extraordinary and the amount of money we seem
22	to have for you is never quite the right
23	number.

So with that, I'm going to thank you

1	for your participation, and I'm going to
2	officially close down this hearing and tell
3	everyone that you don't have to come back
4	here tomorrow, even though you're used to
5	coming to this room each and every day,
6	because our next hearing won't be until
7	Monday, February 27th, 11:30 a.m.,
8	Higher Education.
9	I thank my colleagues as well and
10	thank all the staff for their incredible
11	work.
12	CHAIRWOMAN WEINSTEIN: Thank you all.
13	(The budget hearing concluded at
14	3:44 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	