COMMITTEES

Chair, Office of State-Federal Relations Consumer Affairs and Protection Corporations, Authorities, and Commissions Correction Energy Labor Ways and Means

CAUCUSES

Black, Puerto Rican, Hispanic, and Asian Legislative Caucus Puerto Rican / Hispanic Task Force Women's Legislative Caucus Task Force on Women's Issues Asian Pacific American Task Force



OFFICE OF
NEW YORK STATE
ASSEMBLYWOMAN
NILY ROZIC
25TH DISTRICT

DISTRICT OFFICE

159-16 Union Turnpike Flushing, New York 11366 718-820-0241

CAPITAL OFFICE

Legislative Office Building, Room 547 Albany, New York 12248 518-455-5172

EMAIL

rozicn@nyassembly.gov

REPRESENTING

Flushing, Queensboro Hill, Hillcrest, Fresh Meadows, Auburndale, Oakland Gardens, Bayside, Douglaston

April 22, 2020

The Honorable Sonny Perdue Secretary of Agriculture U.S. Department of Agriculture

RE: Proposed Rules: Simplifying Meal Service and Monitoring Requirements in the NSLP and SBP 85 FR 4094

Dear Secretary Perdue:

We, the undersigned members of the New York State Legislature, write to declare our resounding opposition to the Department of Agriculture's ("the Department") proposed changes to the National School Lunch and School Breakfast Programs as published on January 23, 2020. Since 2010, the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act has improved the critical nutrition and hunger safety net for millions of school-aged children. As such, we respectfully request that the NSLP and SBP proposed rules be withdrawn and the former guidelines be reinstated.

THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH AND SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAMS WOULD NEGATIVELY AFFECT THE NUTRITION OF STUDENTS AND INCREASE HEALTH RISKS FOR AMERICA'S CHILDREN.

The Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act prioritizes "establishing healthy school environments that are intended to promote student health and wellness." The proposed rule changes would loosen requirements for serving sizes and allow students the selection of unhealthy options and less nutritious foods, including foods high in calories, saturated fat, and sodium. Currently, students receive balanced meals every day, including one cup of fruit during breakfast and one serving of vegetables during lunch. The proposed rules would limit the variety of vegetables that students can choose from. The proposed rules would also allow schools to reduce the required serving of fruit at breakfast. In rolling back school lunch standards on vegetables and fruits, the proposed changes would negatively affect all students' health, particularly disadvantaged low-income students.

School meals are shown to be essential for both physical and mental health for growing students. A study by the National Institute of Health showed that young children in particular require more exposure to healthy and nutritious foods for their development and success in daily activities (Weinreb 2015). Some low-income students may receive their only healthy meals of the day

while at school for breakfast or lunch. When deprived of nutritious options students may instead consume lower-cost, processed food low in nutrients. Short-term effects of an unbalanced diet include weight gain and poor physical health while long-term effects include higher risk of obesity, heart disease, and diabetes.

A 2019 internal Department analysis of your lunch plan showed an overall positive influence on students' nutritional intake. Students' meals consisted of higher mean adequacy ratio and lower energy density, and more varieties of healthy foods were available for their choice. Most students consumed more whole grains, greens, and beans due to the daily offerings, and consumed fewer "empty calories." The proposed rule changes would increase students' consumption of empty calories and ultimately affect students' ability to focus in classes and succeed academically.

We respectfully request the proposed changes to the National School Lunch and School Breakfast Programs be withdrawn. Lacking valid justification, the proposed rule amendments will adversely affect students' health and schools' ability to provide daily balanced meals. We respectfully urge that the proposals be rescinded and the former guidelines be reinstated.

Sincerely,

Nily Rozic, 25th Assembly District

Andrew Gounardes, 22nd Senate District

Brad Hoylman, 27th Senate District

Brian Kavanagh, 26th Senate District

Monica Martinez, 3rd Senate District

Roxanne J. Persaud, 19th Senate District

James Skoufis, 39th Senate District

Thomas J. Abinanti, 92nd Assembly District

David Buchwald, 93rd Assembly District

Carmen De La Rosa, 72nd Assembly District

Jeffrey Dinowitz, 81st Assembly District

Patricia Fahy, 109th Assembly District

Mathylde Frontus, 46th Assembly District

Sandy Galef, 95th Assembly District

Judy Griffin, 21st Assembly District

Pamela Hunter, 128th Assembly District

Alicia Hyndman, 29th Assembly District

Ellen Jaffee, 97th Assembly District

Walter Mosley, 57th Assembly District

Félix W. Ortiz, 51st Assembly District

Dan Quart, 73rd Assembly District

Karines Reyes, 87th Assembly District

Linda Rosenthal, 67th Assembly District

Sean M. Ryan, 149th Assembly District

Rebecca Seawright, 76th Assembly District

Aravella Simotas, 36th Assembly District

Al Taylor, 71st Assembly District

Monica P. Wallace, 143rd Assembly District