1	BEFORE THE NEW YORK STATE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE FACTS AND
2	CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING THE CONVICTION OF SENATOR HIRAM MONSERRATE
3	
4	
5	Public Committee Meeting
6	
7	Room 124 The Capitol
8	Albany, NY
9	November 9, 2009 5:06 p.m.
10	
11	PRESIDING:
12	Senator Eric Schneiderman Chair
13	Senate Select Committee
14	PRESENT:
15	
16	Senator Andrew J. Lanza
17	Senator Diane Savino
18	Senator James S. Alesi
19	Senator Andrea Stewart-Cousins
20	Senator Catharine M. Young
21	Senator John Flanagan
22	Senator Toby Stavisky
	and
23	Special Counsel Daniel Alonso
24	

1	CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN: Good
2	afternoon. Welcome to the first meeting of
3	the Select Committee of the Senate to
4	Investigate Facts and Circumstances
5	Surrounding the Conviction of Senator Hiram
6	Monserrate.
7	I'm Eric Schneiderman, and I'm chairing
8	this committee. And with me is our ranking
9	member Senator Andrew Lanza.
10	This is just an organizational meeting.
11	Today the Senate passed the resolution
12	establishing and empowering this committee.
13	And I would just like to direct the
14	committee members' attention to the every
15	one of you has a set of the background
16	materials which our counsel has prepared.
17	We do want to go over the resolution
18	today and talk about the scope of our
19	investigation and have a discussion of that,
20	but first I do want to introduce our
21	counsel.
22	Dan Alonso is going to serve as counsel
23	to the committee. He has a very
24	distinguished background as a prosecutor and

1 a defense lawyer, has served in many 2 capacities in the bar association, is a 3 former chief of the Criminal Division of the 4 United States Attorney's Office, and will be 5 working us throughout this investigation and doing a lot of the nuts and bolts work. He 6 7 and his team are available to all committee members at any time. 8 9 And I know that we also have the assistance of distinguished counsel for the 10 11 Minority, David Lewis, whose background is 12 far too distinguished and lengthy for me to 13 go into at this time. And I think that for the rest of the 14 15 meeting today, just to give an overview, we want to talk about a couple of objections 16 17 that have been raised by Senator Monserrate's counsel, talk about what 18 19 Senator Lanza and I think are some good 20 working ground rules. But this is a Senate committee, so it's open for discussion and 21 commentary by any of the committee members 22 23 as we go forward.

And with that, let me turn it over to

24

1 Senator Lanza and then we'll go to the resolution and start our work. 2 3 Δ SENATOR LANZA: Thank you, Chairman. Let me just repeat what I said on the 5 floor of the Senate today. First of all, 6 7 we're here, as Senator Schneiderman said, because the Senate voted by resolution for 8 9 us to engage in this process. And the task before us is not a 10 pleasant one. We understand that our client 11 here is the integrity of the Senate as well 12 13 as the public trust. And in order to serve 14 that interest, we must be unfettered by 15 partiality or any external pressure 16 whatsoever, including those pressures of a 17 political nature. 18 That being said, I am confident, with 19 Senator Schneiderman's leadership, and 20 knowing each and every member on this panel, 21 that we will meet that challenge. We are appreciative of the sensitive nature of this 22 23 task as well as our responsibility.

24 CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN: Thank you.

1 We are joined today by all of the 2 members of the panel except Senator Ruth 3 Hassell-Thompson, who is out of the country, will be returning. But her counsel and Δ other staff members are here, and we will 5 discuss all these matters with her when she 6 7 returns. I'd like to start off, before we open 8 9 it up, by just talking a little bit about the legal background to what we're doing. 10 11 As Senator Lanza has noted, this is a very 12 unusual proceeding, and it's a very unusual 13 proceeding for a good reason. 14 Pursuant to Legislative Law Section 3, 15 each house of the Legislature has the power 16 to take sanctions against its members, including expulsion. This power has been 17 used only once in history, by the Assembly 18 back in the 1920s. 19 20 So the decision to pass this resolution was something that I think was taken very 21 seriously by all of our colleagues who voted 22 23 for the resolution today.

24 The scope of the resolution is the

1 scope of our committee's mandate. And I 2 would encourage everyone to take a look at 3 the resolution, talk to counsel about it if 4 you have any questions. There has been a lot of, I think, 5 discussion about these proceedings in the 6 7 media, and there have been a lot of people who don't necessarily know what they're 8 9 talking about and haven't read any law or looked at any evidence discussing this. 10 11 The mandate for this committee is to -and I'm reading from the resolution -- "to 12 investigate the facts and circumstances 13 14 relating to the conviction against Senator 15 Monserrate and to report to the Senate with our recommendations." 16 17 This is a committee of inquiry. At the end of the day, we make a report with 18 19 recommendations. That's all we do. We are 20 not -- the Senate will then have to take action based on our recommendations. We are 21 not taking a vote as to whether there will 22 23 be any sanction imposed. That's not our 24 mandate.

1	So with that, we can start our
2	business. And I think, to begin, we want to
3	introduce the members and open up the floor
4	for any other comments of an introductory
5	nature as we move ahead. We have Senator
6	Diane Savino, Senator James Alesi, Senator
7	Catharine Young, Senator Andrea
8	Stewart-Cousins, Senator John Flanagan,
9	Senator Toby Stavisky.
10	And, ladies and gentlemen, if anyone
11	has any introductory comments before we get
12	into a discussion of our plans for the
13	committee, please go ahead.
14	(No response.)
15	CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN: Okay. Very
16	different from the Codes Committee.
17	(Laughter.)
18	CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN: So I guess
19	our first order of business before
20	Mr. Alonso is going to talk to us about how
21	we probably are going to be able to proceed
22	going forward is to talk about some
23	correspondence that's taken place.
24	And I just want to make it clear that

2 which will be maintained by counsel and the 3 staff are of course available to all 4 committee members. There are going to be 5 records of Senator Monserrate's trial that 6 the counsel is going assemble. All of the 7 transcripts, all of the exhibits will be	
<ul> <li>4 committee members. There are going to be</li> <li>5 records of Senator Monserrate's trial that</li> <li>6 the counsel is going assemble. All of the</li> </ul>	
5 records of Senator Monserrate's trial that 6 the counsel is going assemble. All of the	
6 the counsel is going assemble. All of the	
7 transcripts, all of the exhibits will be	
8 available to all committee members.	
9 Hopefully our counsel will be able,	
10 working with me and Senator Lanza, be able	
11 to select some portions of that to focus on	
12 so we don't have to all review every page.	
13 But every document will be available.	
14 So with that in mind, I'm going to pass	
15 around a series of letters that went between	
16 our counsel. And the first letter was a	
17 letter to the Senate's Chief Counsel,	
18 Shelley Mayer, from Senator Monserrate's	
19 attorneys. This correspondence raises some	
20 objections to the committee's proceeding,	
21 and we just want to note this and give	
22 everyone a chance to review them and respond	
23 to them.	
24 Specifically, in a letter dated	

1 November 9th which we just received this 2 morning from Senator Monserrate's counsel, 3 there are several objections raised, legal Δ objections which, you know, will be dealt with at later time. But there also are 5 objections raised to some of the members of 6 7 the committee based on statements that they -- that Senator Monserrate's counsel 8 9 asserts that they made, and the concern is raised about the ability of this committee 10 11 to proceed objectively. 12 So I wanted to raise this and give the 13 members of the committee who have been 14 identified by Senator Monserrate's counsel 15 the opportunity to speak up if they want to. 16 The essential issue here is that this 17 is not a committee formed from a jury pool. We all serve with Senator Monserrate. 18 We 19 all have interactions with each other all the time. And the Senators that his counsel 20 has raised a question about are Senator 21 Stewart-Cousins, Senator Savino, Senator 22 23 Young, and Senator Stavisky. 24 I've spoken and Senator Lanza has

1 spoken to our colleagues. But I think that 2 at this point it's important for us to just 3 ask the question and make sure that we're Δ all clear on this. That if anyone feels that you cannot proceed in an objective, 5 fair manner with the work of this committee, 6 7 please let us know. There are some members of the Senate who declined to serve on this 8 9 committee because of such feelings. 10 But I just want to make sure that --11 it's my belief that everyone here 12 understands our mandate and is prepared to 13 carry it out to the best of their abilities, 14 as Senator Lanza said, putting aside any 15 sort of prejudgment. And I just want to 16 give everyone an opportunity, if there is 17 anyone who feels that they can't proceed on that basis, let us know now. We will deal 18 with it. 19 20 And I want to give the Senators who are 21 identified in the letter the opportunity, if they choose, to say something about the 22 23 reference to them.

24 SENATOR SAVINO: I have no problem

1 addressing the comments that were made about me in this particular letter. I just want 2 3 to correct them, though. I believe on the -- in the letter it Δ says that I threatened him on June 8th and 5 said "His world is circular, what goes 6 7 around comes around." Let me just correct for the record. What I said was "Life is 8 9 circular, my friend." And it really was more in reference to his political activity 10 11 on that day. In addition, I never called him a 12 13 criminal. I actually participated in the 14 discussion to bring him back to the Senate. I reserve the term "criminal" for another 15 16 member of the body who shall remain 17 nameless. And finally, I don't know what the 18 19 alleged embarrassment he claims is, but in 20 fact we had several heated discussion during 21 the course of the Senate coup. 22 But none of those issues would have any 23 bearing on my ability to be fair and 24 objective. You know, as some of you may

1 remember, I spent years as an employee 2 representative, a grievance rep. I 3 represented a lot of people, quite frankly, Δ who were in fact guilty of the charges they 5 were brought up. And I was able to defend them several times -- and you can check with 6 7 the city's Office of Labor Relations; I won 8 more cases than not. 9 I've never allowed my personal opinion 10 about people's guilt or innocence to affect 11 what I think is their right to due process. 12 And that is the only reason I chose to sit 13 on this committee, because I do believe in 14 due process. I think Senator Monserrate is 15 entitled to it. And I think that my 16 personal opinion about his political 17 activities would have no bearing whatsoever on any decision that I would arrive at with 18 this committee. 19 20 CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN: Thank you. 21 SENATOR YOUNG: There's no issue with I will be fair and deliberative. And I 22 me. 23 don't see any problem with this proceeding. 24 SENATOR STEWART-COUSINS: Certainly I

1 would join my colleagues in my assertion that I will be fair, deliberative, and have 2 3 never demonstrated otherwise. Δ The reference here was in response to a reporter who asked me about someone else's 5 comments. And so my response was about 6 7 their comments. And to go further, it was about the fact that we are clearly here to 8 9 do so many things, and this is a journey that we are taking, frankly, off of the path 10 11 that we have been called to do, which was to 12 govern. 13 So any reference to appropriate or not 14 was not about my colleague but, frankly, 15 about the task at hand, which is a bigger 16 task of governing New York. 17 SENATOR STAVISKY: And I too have no problem in terms of fairness or objectivity. 18 19 I note that he refers to my son. My 20 son -- I have no connection at all with my 21 son's business. I don't share in the profits. He does his thing, and I do mine, 22 23 and there is a very, very strict wall we 24 have. We don't discuss legislative issues.

1 We discuss family issues.

24

2 And the other point that he made in the 3 letter concerned a comment I had made with 4 regard to traveling in uncharted waters. I 5 think that's the point that everybody is making, that there is very little precedent 6 7 before us, and therefore been we have to follow the mandate of the resolution and do 8 9 our job as best we can. CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN: 10 Thank you. 11 Well, in that vein, let me just note 12 that the background materials provide 13 whatever authority there is for this 14 proceeding. We have the constitutional and 15 statutory authority, and then the one report 16 of a prior proceeding, which was conducted 17 by the Assembly Judiciary Committee in 1920. We've enclosed the decision of the Appellate 18 19 Division affirming the fact that the 20 Legislature in fact does have the power to 21 determine any sanction, including expulsion of its members. 22 23 And a seminal decision from California

about the inherent power of the legislature

1 to take action. And then two documents that 2 really come from sort of the national 3 research on state legislatures, just to give Δ some context to the members of the committee 5 of what has happened in other states when legislative bodies have considered the 6 7 criminal conduct of members. So that's our background. As Senator 8 9 Stavisky pointed out, there's not a lot of authority here. But the mandate that we're 10 11 under makes one thing very clear, and 12 several of you have mentioned it. And I 13 just want to call everyone's attention to 14 another provision in our resolution which again defines our mandate. This is a 15 16 requirement for us. Our committee shall 17 ensure a full and fair investigation, ensure 18 fairness in the hearing process, 19 specifically providing Senator Monserrate 20 and his counsel with notice of all public 21 committee proceedings as well as ensuring 22 opportunities for Senator Monserrate to be 23 heard.

We will ensure that at all times

24

1 Senator Monserrate's counsel is advised -he's been in communication with and in fact 2 3 has met with our counsel already -- of Δ everything the committee is doing. We will 5 provide Senator Monserrate with the opportunity to submit whatever argument or 6 7 evidence he chooses and will in every way work to ensure that this is a fair hearing. 8 9 The starting place for our activities is going to be the record of the trial. 10 11 This is not a situation in which we are 12 charged with or empowered to relitigate 13 matters that were resolved or dealt with in 14 court. So the starting place is going to be 15 the trial. And I think that our proposal --16 and this is open for discussion now, but 17 Senator Lanza and I would suggest that the 18 next meeting of the committee be an 19 opportunity for our counsel to essentially 20 walk through all of the evidence with us and 21 bring everyone up to speed and identify 22 particular sections of the transcript or 23 documents that he thinks bear on our charge. 24 I think that probably would be a

1 meeting that I would suggest that, following 2 the procedures of the Open Meeting Law, we 3 do in executive session so that we can have Δ a candid discussion and assessment of that. But this is a decision that the 5 committee has to make. That's our 6 7 suggestion. And maybe Mr. Alonso could at this time speak a little bit about what he's 8 9 done so far and where he thinks we should be 10 going as our next step. 11 SPECIAL COUNSEL ALONSO: Thank you, Senator. 12 13 First, let me say that it's a distinct 14 honor to be serving as your counsel on this 15 committee with such distinguished Senators. 16 And I appreciate the opportunity. 17 And as Senator Schneiderman said, and I think Senator Lanza as well, I'm available 18 19 to the entire committee and your staffs. So 20 I would -- I'll be happy to work with you on 21 any issues that arise. 22 What we've done so far is try to get up 23 to speed a little bit so that I could best 24 advise you folks on what the facts are and

1 what the law is. So I have advised Senator 2 Schneiderman, as he mentioned, on issues 3 relating to the legal authority of the Δ Senate to create this body and the legal authority to potentially -- understanding 5 everyone has an open mind -- to potentially 6 7 expel a Senator. And I'm satisfied, and at the appropriate time I can brief each of you 8 9 on the legal basis. But we have the constitutional basis 10 11 and we have a statutory basis to have this 12 proceeding. Legislative Law Section 3 makes 13 it very clear that the Senate has the 14 authority to create this committee, which 15 must then report to the full Senate. 16 So with that in mind, and having been 17 satisfied of that, we have opened up a 18 dialogue with the district attorney of 19 Queens County, Judge Brown, and with lead 20 counsel for Senator Monserrate, Joseph 21 Tacopina. Both of them and their partners, assistants, and staff have been professional 22 23 and courteous, and I've had what have thus 24 far been productive meetings with both

1 sides. You'll see in the correspondence 2 that we haven't always agreed with Senator 3 Monserrate's counsel at this point, but Δ we'll continue to work towards resolving whatever issues we have. 5 I have gathered from the district 6 7 attorney's office the transcript of the trial and all of the exhibits that were 8 9 offered into evidence. I've also gathered some of the materials, though not all of the 10 materials, that turned over to the defense 11 pursuant to the various rules of criminal 12 13 proceedings -- things like prior statements 14 of witnesses and exculpatory materials. 15 I've asked Senator Monserrate's counsel to give us additional materials, and we're 16 working that out. As you can see from the 17 letters, we're at a little bit of an 18 19 impasse, but we'll continue to work that. 20 The materials that are the trial record 21 I'd like to be able to get to the members of the committee and their staffs right away. 22 23 So unless you would prefer something 24 different, I'm having my staff burn DVDs

1	that contain that information, and I can
2	send that over to everybody. I think
3	without further request, I'll just send it
4	to everybody so that you will all have it
5	available to you and you can begin to
6	review, if you choose.
7	As Senator Schneiderman stated, I think
8	that I have a little bit of a head start.
9	And there may be things that I'd like to
10	point out to the members you might want to
11	especially focus on. And so I'd like an
12	opportunity to brief you in executive
13	session, in accordance with the Open
14	Meetings Law, about the trial, what
15	happened, what the issues were, what the
16	arguments were.
17	And as I've assured Senator
18	Monserrate's counsel and the DA, I have a
19	completely open mind, just like the members
20	of this committee do. And I will endeavor
21	to, when I brief you initially, to
22	absolutely give you both sides of the
23	arguments that were presented at the trial
24	and how the judge ultimately came out.

1 As you know, he was convicted of one misdemeanor count, acquitted of three felony 2 3 counts, and there were two additional felony Δ counts that he was originally charged with that did not make it to a verdict, they were 5 dismissed earlier in the case. 6 7 So I look forward to working with you and with your staffs, I look forward to 8 9 giving you the information right away. As soon as we're able to schedule our next 10 11 meeting, I will be happy too brief you in 12 detail on what happened at the trial. 13 CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN: Thank you. 14 So our proposal is to have the next meeting cover the trial materials. We will 15 16 then be in a position to schedule a meeting 17 at which Senator Monserrate's counsel can 18 present whatever they want. And then we can 19 reconvene to discuss what, if anything --20 what additional information or evidence the 21 committee members feel that we should obtain before writing the report. 22 23 But that's our outline for the

24 proceedings, if anyone has any comments or

1 suggestions or questions.

24

2 SENATOR LANZA: Chairman, that is the 3 appropriate next step, I agree. Much of Δ what we have to do here is to gather the facts. And in that light, while we need the 5 advice of our counsel, we also need all the 6 7 facts that go into those judgments. So as a housekeeping measure, from this 8 9 point on, now that we've been empaneled, I think all information should be provided 10 11 forthwith to each member here --12 correspondences and any other evidence that 13 is being reviewed by counsel in this committee. 14 CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN: 15 I think 16 that's a good point. We have -- the most 17 important person is not here, Chris Breton, who works for me. Oh, this is Chris, who's 18 19 going to handle all the scheduling and 20 logistical matters for the committee. 21 If each of you would just provide 22 whatever the appropriate contact information 23 is and a staff member to interact with, the

committee will make sure that everything is

1 passed on to you as it is received. And 2 from time to time, you know, there will be 3 communications from counsel. But once 4 again, Mr. Alonso will give you his information. He's available at all times to 5 all the members of the committee for any 6 7 other questions or issues. But I think that's a fair point, and 8 9 we'll make sure that we have a good system of communication. 10 11 One cautionary note. I would strongly advise -- and everyone here is an 12 13 independently elected official. But I would 14 strongly advise that as we go forward, 15 inquiries about the substance of the work of 16 this committee -- I'm not talking about scheduling or anything else, but the 17 18 substance of the inquiry and the investigation -- I think it would be much 19 20 better if those were directed to counsel. 21 And I would also suggest that we would all be better off if we avoid communication 22 23 on the substance of the inquiry with any of 24 the interested parties to the underlying

1 trial.

2	I know that, you know, we all have
3	interactions with Senator Monserrate and his
4	staff and with the Queens district
5	attorney some of us represent Queens.
6	But that doesn't mean we have to discuss
7	with them the substance of this inquiry.
8	And I think we're better off, until the
9	report is finished and the vote is taken by
10	the Senate, directing inquiries about the
11	substance to our counsel. He has already
12	established a good level of
13	counsel-to-counsel communication, and I
14	think that that protects all concerned as
15	much as we can protect them.
16	We will deal with issues as they come
17	up relating to confidentiality. But this is
18	a legislative proceeding, it is not a
19	judicial proceeding. And I think that's
20	just important to bear in mind. I think
21	there is a commitment, to the degree that it
22	is possible, to openness here that we will
23	also try to honor.
24	Anything else? Any other comments,

1 questions, objections?

2 Okay, then I think the next step would 3 probably be for Chris to work with you all Δ to schedule our next meeting. Mr. Alonso will provide information and documents. And 5 at that time we'll review the records of the 6 7 proceeding and then schedule a meeting at which time Senator Monserrate's counsel can 8 9 present whatever additional information they 10 wish to present. 11 So thank you all for agreeing to serve 12 and for coming, and --13 SPECIAL COUNSEL ALONSO: Is there 14 anything about time frame, in general? CHAIRMAN SCHNEIDERMAN: 15 Well, yeah, 16 that's a good point. 17 Our goal is to finish this as quickly 18 as possible, certainly before the end of 19 this year. So hopefully we can get through 20 these first two meetings in the next three 21 weeks and then be in a position to discuss how we're going forward to work on a report. 22 23 That's our hope. 24 Oh, let me ask -- this is a more

delicate question. The question has been raised are we going to have all the meetings in Albany. We could. Or some members of the committee have suggested perhaps we could have some of the meetings in New York City. I know that is further away for a few of our committee members. But a great place to visit during the holiday season. So that's something we can discuss as we're going forward, but Chris is going to be working with you on the schedule. Thank you very much. (Whereupon, at 5:37 p.m., the committee meeting concluded.)